COMS E6261: Advanced Cryptography: Minimalist Cryptography. Instructor: Prof. Tal Malkin

nstructor: Prof. Tal Malkin

Problem Set 1

Due: Tuesday 2/9/16 by 10:10am (in class).

- 0. I highly recommend that you read the following proofs of theorems we gave in class. However, this reading assignment is not necessary for the rest of the problem set.
 - (a) Universal OWF: [Ps, 2.13]
 - (b) Goldreich-Levin theorem (hard-core predicates from every OWF): [Gol, 2.5.2]

[Ps] is Pass-shelat textbook, and [Gold] is Goldreich's textbook (see the class webpage for complete references for these books).

- 1. (a) Let $b : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ be an efficiently computable predicate. Prove that if one-way functions exist, then there exists a one-way function f such that b is not a hard-core predicate for f.
 - (b) The previous part proves that there is no universal hard-core predicate that works for every one-way function. Why does this not contradict the Goldreich-Levin theorem?
- 2. Here you will prove that a one-way function may leak information about every one of its input bits (namely no input bit is a hard-core bit for this function). In more detail:

Prove that if there exist one-way functions, then there exists a one-way function f and a polynomial p() such that for every i there exists a ppt algorithm A_i such that for all $n \ge i$,

$$\operatorname{Prob}_{x=x_1,\dots,x_n \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n} [A_i(f(x)) = x_i] \ge \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p(n)}$$

3. Recall that $f : \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ is a worst-case one-way function if f is efficiently computable, and for all ppt A

$$\operatorname{Prob}_{x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n, y = f(x)}[A(y) \in f^{-1}(y)] < 1$$

- (a) Prove that if $\mathcal{NP} \not\subseteq \mathcal{BPP}$, then there exists a worst-case one-way function. Guidance: take some \mathcal{NP} -complete language, and use it to define a function such that an algorithm to invert the function with probability 1 can be used to decide the language.
- (b) Prove that if there exists a worst-case one-way function, then $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$.