Program Analysis for Security

Original slides created by Prof. John Mitchell

Facebook missed a single security check...

Man Finds Easy Hack to Delete Any Facebook Photo Album

[PopPhoto.com Feb 10]

Facebook awards him a \$12,500 "bug bounty" for his discovery

App stores

Apps for whatever you're up for.

Stay on top of the news. Stay on top of your finances. Or plan your dream vacation. No matter what you want to do with your iPhone, there's probably an app to help you do it.



iPhone is ready for work. Manage projects, track stocks, monitor finances, and more with these 9-to-5 apps.

View business apps in the App Store >



Keep up with your studies using intelligent education apps like King of Math and NatureTap.

View education apps in the App Store >



Entertainment

Kick back and enjoy the show. Or find countless other ways to entertain yourself. These apps offer hours of viewing pleasure.

View entertainment apps in the App Store >



Turn every night into family night with interactive apps that are fun for the whole house.

View family and kids apps in the App Store >



Create budgets, pay bills, and more with financial apps that take everything into account.

View finance apps in the App Store >



Hungry? Thirsty? A little of both? Learn new recipes, drinks, and the secrets behind what makes a great meal.

View food and drink apps in the App Store > How can you tell whether

software you

- Develop
- Buy

is safe to install and run?

Two options

- Static analysis
 - Inspect code or run automated method to find errors or gain confidence about their absence
- Dynamic analysis
 - Run code, possibly under instrumented conditions, to see if there are likely problems

Program Analyzers







Static vs Dynamic Analysis

- Static
 - Can consider all possible inputs
 - Find bugs and vulnerabilities
 - Can prove absence of bugs, in some cases
- Dynamic
 - Need to choose sample test input
 - Can find bugs vulnerabilities
 - Cannot prove their absence

Cost of Fixing a Defect



Credit: Andy Chou, Coverity

Cost of security or data privacy vulnerability?

Dynamic analysis

- Instrument code for testing
 - Heap memory: Purify
 - Perl tainting (information flow)
 - Java race condition checking
- Black-box testing
 - Fuzzing and penetration testing
 - Black-box web application security analysis

Static Analysis

- Long research history
- Decade of commercial products
 - FindBugs, Fortify, Coverity, MS tools, ...

Static Analysis: Outline

- General discussion of static analysis tools
 - Goals and limitations
 - Approach based on abstract states
- More about one specific approach
 - Property checkers from Engler et al., Coverity
 - Sample security checkers results
- Static analysis for of Android apps

Slides from: S. Bugrahe, A. Chou, I&T Dillig, D. Engler, J. Franklin, A. Aiken, ...

Static analysis goals

- Bug finding
 - Identify code that the programmer wishes to modify or improve
- Correctness
 - Verify the absence of certain classes of errors

Soundness, Completeness

Property	Definition
Soundness	"Sound for reporting correctness" Analysis says no bugs → No bugs or equivalently There is a bug → Analysis finds a bug
Completeness	"Complete for reporting correctness" No bugs → Analysis says no bugs

Recall: $A \rightarrow B$ is equivalent to $(\neg B) \rightarrow (\neg A)$

Complete

Incomplete

Sound



Sound Program Analyzer





Software

Outline

- General discussion of tools
 - Goals and limitations

Approach based on abstract states

- More about one specific approach
 - Property checkers from Engler et al., Coverity
 - Sample security-related results
- Static analysis for Android malware

Slides from: S. Bugrahe, A. Chou, I&T Dillig, D. Engler, J. Franklin, A. Aiken, ...

Does this program ever crash?



Does this program ever crash?



Try analyzing without approximating...



non-termination!

... therefore, need to approximate









$$d_{out1} = f_1(d_{in1})$$
$$d_{out1} = d_{in2}$$
$$d_{out2} = f_2(d_{in2})$$



What is the space of dataflow elements, Δ ? What is the least upper bound operator, \Box ?

 $d_{out1} = f_1(d_{in1})$ $d_{out2} = f_2(d_{in2})$ $d_{join} = d_{out1} \downarrow d_{out2}$ $d_{join} = d_{in3}/$ $d_{out3} = f_3(d_{in3})$

least upper bound operator Example: union of possible values Try analyzing with "signs" approximation...



... therefore, need more precision



Try analyzing with "path-sensitive signs" approximation...



- ... no false alarm
- ... soundly proved never crashes

Outline

- General discussion of tools
 - Goals and limitations
 - Approach based on abstract states
- More about one specific approach
 - Property checkers from Engler et al., Coverity
 - Sample security-related results
 - Static analysis for Android malware

Slides from: S. Bugrahe, A. Chou, I&T Dillig, D. Engler, J. Franklin, A. Aiken, ...

Unsound Program Analyzer





Demo

- Coverity video: <u>http://youtu.be/ Vt4niZfNeA</u>
- Observations
 - Code analysis integrated into development workflow
 - Program context important: analysis involves sequence of function calls, surrounding statements
 - This is a sales video: no discussion of false alarms

Bugs to Detect

Some examples

- Crash Causing Defects
- Null pointer dereference
- Use after free
- Double free
- Array indexing errors
- Mismatched array new/delete
- Potential stack overrun
- Potential heap overrun
- Return pointers to local variables
- Logically inconsistent code

- Uninitialized variables
- Invalid use of negative values
- Passing large parameters by value
- Underallocations of dynamic data
- Memory leaks
- File handle leaks
- Network resource leaks
- Unused values
- Unhandled return codes
- Use of invalid iterators

Example: Check for missing optional args

• Prototype for open() syscall:

int open(const char *path, int oflag, /* mode_t mode */...);

• Typical mistake:

fd = open("file", O_CREAT);

- Result: file has random permissions
- Check: Look for oflags == O_CREAT without mode argument

- Goal: confine process to a "jail" on the filesystem
 - chroot() changes filesystem root for a process
- Problem
 - chroot() itself does not change current working directory



тостои

- Race condition between time of check and use
- Not applicable to all programs

Tainting checkers



Example code with function def, calls

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>
void say hello(char * name, int size) {
  printf("Enter your name: ");
  fgets(name, size, stdin);
 printf("Hello %s.\n", name);
}
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
  if (argc != 2) {
    printf("Error, must provide an input buffer size.\n");
    exit(-1);
  }
  int size = atoi(argv[1]);
  char * name = (char*)malloc(size);
  if (name) {
    say hello(name, size);
    free(name);
  } else {
    printf("Failed to allocate %d bytes.\n", size);
  }
}
```

Callgraph



Reverse Topological Sort



Apply Library Models



Bottom Up Analysis



Bottom Up Analysis



Bottom Up Analysis



Finding Local Bugs

```
#define SIZE 8
void set a b(char * a, char * b) {
char * buf[SIZE];
if (a) {
    b = new char[5];
 } else {
    if (a && b) {
     buf[SIZE] = a;
     return;
    } else {
    delete [] b;
    }
    b = x';
 }
 *a = *b;
}
```

Control Flow Graph



Path Traversal



Conceptually Analyze each path through control graph separately

Actually Perform some checking computation once per node; combine paths at merge nodes















False Positives

• What is a bug? Something the user will fix.

Many sources of false positives

- False paths
- Idioms
- Execution environment assumptions
- Killpaths
- Conditional compilation
- "third party code"
- Analysis imprecision

- ...

A False Path char * buf[8]; if (a) !a а b = new char [5]; if (a && b) !(a && b) a && b buf[8] = a; delete [] b; *b = 'x'; *a = *b; END









Environment Assumptions

Should the return value of malloc() be checked?

OS Kernel:	File server:	Web application:
Crash machine.	Pause filesystem.	200ms downtime

Spreadsheet:	Game:	IP Phone:
Lose unsaved changes.	Annoy user.	Annoy user.

Library:	Medical device:
?	malloc?!

Statistical Analysis

Assume the code is usually right

int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); *p = 42; if(p) *p = 42; int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); *p = 42; if(p) *p = 42; 3/4 1/4deref deref int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); *p = 42; if(p) *p = 42; int *p = malloc(sizeof(int));
if(p) *p = 42; int *p = malloc(sizeof(int)); *p = 42;

Remote exploit, no checks

```
/* 2.4.9/drivers/isdn/act2000/capi.c:actcapi_dispatch */
isdn_ctrl cmd;
...
while ((skb = skb_dequeue(&card->rcvq))) {
    msg = skb->data;
    ...
    memcpy(cmd.parm.setup.phone,
        msg->msg.connect_ind.addr.num,
        msg->msg.connect_ind.addr.len - 1);
```

Example security holes

Missed lower-bound check:

/* 2.4.5/drivers/char/drm/i810_dma.c */

if(copy_from_user(&d, arg, sizeof(arg)))
 return -EFAULT;

if(d.idx > dma->buf_count)

return -EINVAL;

buf = dma->buflist[d.idx];

Copy_from_user(buf_priv->virtual, d.address, d.used);

Summary

- Static vs dynamic analyzers
- General properties of static analyzers
 - Fundamental limitations
 - Basic method based on abstract states
- More details on one specific method
 - Property checkers from Engler et al., Coverity
 - Sample security-related results
- Static analysis for Android malware
 - STAMP method, sample studies

Slides from: S. Bugrahe, A. Chou, I&T Dillig, D. Engler, J. Franklin, A. Aiken, ...