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Different Kinds of Problems

- Directory versus lookup
- Surprising results
- Chokepoints
- Preemption
Directory Versus Lookup

- A directory provides an imprecise, often interactive service
- A lookup system provides reproducible, predictable answers, suitable for use by programs
- The DNS was designed to be the latter
Surprising Results

- Programs no longer do what you expect
- Sometimes there is incorrect behavior; sometimes, an error presents itself differently
Preemption

• The Internet empowers the endpoints, not the middle

• Endpoints – applications, hosts, etc. – can best decide how to handle error cases such as non-existent host name

• Wildcards pre-empt that ability and put control in the center
What is the Internet?

- TLD wildcards worked more-or-less adequately for (most) Web work and ordinary email.
  - The IAB noted that wildcards in general are mostly used for email only.
- TLD wildcards do not work well for other protocols.
- What is the minimum common protocol set for the Internet?
The Hourglass Model

- Historically, the Internet architecture has been modeled as a hourglass: “Everything over IP, and IP over everything.”
- Is the new model “Everything over HTTP”? 
Today's Hourglass

- Apps
- TCP
- IP
- Ethernet

- Apps
- UDP
- ...
Tomorrow's Hourglass?
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Ethernet  Wireless  ...
Where Do Services Originate?

- Most interesting new services come from the edges
  - Often, neither the ISPs nor the IETF are involved, most notably in the early days of the Web.

- One part of the center's job is to stay out of the way of others' innovation – we don't want to lock in the Internet into the mostly-http model
Why Wildcards Can Break Things

- The DNS does not know what application the user wants
  - Is that an ancient mistake?
  - It mirrors the division of responsibility between IP and the upper layers
- A service intended for one or two purposes will often fail for other purposes.
- Even “normal” services can be complex
Conclusions

• The Internet is built on a set of architectural assumptions
• This architecture encouraged innovation
• Breaking the architectural model will cause unforeseeable failures, both in less-common existing software and for future ideas