Freedom of Speech: Accountability
Sometimes People Misbehave

- Hacking
- Libel
- Copyright infringement
- Threats
- Child pornography
- Other illegal behavior
There’s a Balance

• Tuesday, I said we needed anonymity
• Today, I’m saying there are reasons it can’t be absolute
• Which is it?
Checks and Balances

- Few rights are absolute
- Who can track someone?
- Under what conditions can they track someone?
- Is it possible to bypass the restrictions?
Criminal Offenses

- Full power of wiretap law
- But — wiretaps are limited to certain serious offenses
- Also use pen registers, trap-and-trace, informants, bugs, etc.
- Must convince police or prosecutor that the offense is real and of sufficient magnitude to warrant prosecution: “de minimis non curat lex” (“the law does not care about trifles”)
Civil Offenses

• Can still get subpoenas, even against third parties
• But — only courts can issue subpoenas
• De minimis non curat lex — and you generally can’t get a subpoena until there’s a real lawsuit
SLAPP

- SLAPP — *Strategic Lawsuit Against Public Participation*
- Sometimes filed by large organizations to harass opponents
- Force the opponents to spend a lot of money defending themselves, even if the lawsuit is preposterous
- Also — break their anonymity/pseudonymity
There Are Real Problems

- Can online commentary or harassment be actionable?
- Certainly — though usually it isn’t
- The standards for libel online are the same as offline
- Anonymity (or perceived anonymity) seems to breed irresponsible behavior

Of course, free speech applies online, too
Tracing a Connection

- Available to recipient (e.g., in mail headers)
- Log files
- Higher layers (e.g., cookies)
Log Files: Mail

Feb 22 21:20:26 machshav postfix/smtpd[28530]: connect from brinza.cc.columbia.edu[128.59.29.8]
Feb 22 21:20:26 machshav postfix/smtpd[28530]: 45ECC52D4E9: client=brinza.cc.columbia.edu[128.59.29.8]
Feb 22 21:20:26 machshav postfix/cleanup[8850]: 45ECC52D4E9: message-id=<4D03745C-C345-41A8-95E2-EF43F771A045@cs.columbia.edu>
Feb 22 21:20:26 machshav postfix/qmgr[23733]: 45ECC52D4E9: from=<smb@cs.columbia.edu>, size=1023, nrcpt=1 (queue active)
Feb 22 21:20:26 machshav postfix/smtpd[28530]: disconnect from brinza.cc.columbia.edu[128.59.29.8]

(recipient not shown here because of spam filter)
What’s Interesting?

- IP address of the immediate (but not original) sender
- Timestamp — but no time zone...
- DNS hostname of sender — a spam clue...

Feb 22 21:31:53 machshav postfix/smtpd[19642]: connect from unknown[222.252.161.130]
Feb 22 21:31:53 machshav postfix/smtpd[19642]: NOQUEUE: reject: RCPT from unknown[222.252.161.130]: 550 5.1.1 <easycert@machshav.com>: Recipient address rejected: User unknown in local recipient table; from=<happenedb33@ldbrewer.com> to=<easycert@machshav.com> proto=ESMTP helo=<localhost>
Web Server Logs

209.2.227.65 -- [22/Feb/2010:21:45:07 -0500] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 401 "-" "Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; U; Intel Mac OS X 10.6; en-US; rv:1.9.1.8) Gecko/20100202 Firefox/3.5.8"

209.2.227.65 -- [22/Feb/2010:21:45:07 -0500] "GET /favicon.ico HTTP/1.1" 404 328

Note all of the information about the browser version
Third Party Web Logs
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Ads on Web Sites

- Remember that many ads on web sites are from third-party sites
- Each site has a log
- Each log has its own set of IP addresses
- Collect and correlate, especially for attacks on web sites...
Using an IP Address

- We now have the bad guy’s IP address
- What we want, though is a person
- How do we track down the target?
Address Registries

$ whois -a 128.59.0.0

OrgName: Columbia University
OrgID: COLUMB
Address: 612 W 115TH ST
City: NEW YORK
StateProv: NY
PostalCode: 10025
Country: US

NetRange: 128.59.0.0 - 128.59.255.255
CIDR: 128.59.0.0/16
NetName: CU-NET
NetHandle: NET-128-59-0-0-1
Parent: NET-128-0-0-0-0
NetType: Direct Assignment

Contact information is in there, too — does CUIT know the owner?
IP Address Assignment

- Two types, static and dynamic
- Static: simple; consult a file
- Dynamic addresses: handed out for a short time; reclaimed and reassigned later
- Simple: unauthenticated DHCP
- More complex: based on some form of authentication, perhaps done by underlying hardware
DHCP

- DHCP — Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol
- Assigns a *lease* to some IP address to the preferred *MAC address*
- A MAC address is manufactured into your network hardware
- It can be overridden, but most people don’t know how to
- Most DHCP servers log the lease
- Who owns a given MAC address?
MAC Addresses

• Who owns a given MAC address?

• No a priori way to tell, though the first 3 bytes indicate the manufacturer of the network card

• If the machine is seized, its MAC address can be compared to the DHCP logs

• Some sites require MAC addresses to be registered

• Other sites divert you to a login page
Hackers

- Good hackers steal or make up IP and MAC addresses
- Even if they don’t do that, even bad hackers use other people’s machines as stepping stones
- Many have “botnets” of thousands — many thousands — of machines belonging to innocent people
- Conclusion: address-tracing goes only so far in locating the real guilty party
Switch Logs

• The site’s network hardware can log which IP addresses and which MAC addresses appear on a given port

• Helpful if the attacker is stealing IP and MAC addresses

• For wired networks, can trace the occurrence to a particular wall jack

• Not nearly as useful for WiFi networks; an access point can reach up to 100 meters — more if the attacker has a good antenna
Authenticating Devices

- For some networks, especially wireless ones, the device itself authenticates to the network
- The network provider then has logs associating a user with an IP address
- Again, this is a short-term (but generally renewable) lease
But...

- How long are the DHCP and switch logs retained?
- (What about the mail and web server logs?)
- Are the clocks properly synchronized?
- What time zone are the different logs in?
Network Address Translators

- We’re basically out of IP addresses — there aren’t enough to go around
- Most homes and many companies use *private address space* (sometimes known as *RFC 1918 space*)
- A *NAT* box at the border translates from private space to a very few public addresses
NAT

Outbound packets will always have the public address of the NAT box. Because there can be multiple connections to a single destination, the source port number is also changed to allow disambiguation and routing of return packets.
Translations

- A packet from 192.168.2.79:2345 is sent to www.cnn.com:80
- Another machine sends traffic from 192.168.2.165:7890 to the same place
- After translation, they appear to be from 128.59.23.127:45678 and 128.59.23.127:46324
- The translation is reversed on inbound packets
Logs: Lost in Translation

- Most NAT boxes do not keep logs of translations
- They can’t — it would have to be one per TCP connection
- Even if they did, it wouldn’t help — receiving site logs do not include port numbers
- Attacks can be traced to the NAT, but rarely beyond it
Other Means of Attribution

- Remember all of those third-party web ads?
- They all have cookies and logs, and cookies pass unchanged through NATs and Tor networks
- Maybe one of those ads also appears on some site where the bad guy has an account
Example: Cookie Crumb Tracing

• The bad guy attacks a web site via a page that has a Doubleclick cookie

• Doubleclick also serves ads on a NY Times page that person visits

• The NY Times registration is tied to the attacker’s home subscription to the paper edition of the Times

• That, of course, is tied to a physical address
Buts... 

- You have to get logs from three different web sites to establish the linkage
- You have to get address data from a site that has no connection to the attack
- It takes persistence and court orders — and money...
Who Can Do All This?

- Law enforcement, with search warrants
- Plaintiffs in civil suits — if they have deep pockets or expect to win a big settlement
- Anti-terrorism investigators, with “National Security Letters”?
- What are the limits?