Attacking Things

- Why learn to attack?
- Is it true that “it takes a thief”? Do we have to learn to think like hackers to protect our systems?
- The answer, of course, is both “yes” and “no”
Construction versus Destruction

- An architect and a demolition expert need to know about, say, the strength of steel beams.
- The architect has to choose, balancing cost, esthetics, the customer’s needs, and the local building codes.
- The demolition engineer has to work with what he’s got. There are choices, but they’re very different ones.
Why Study Demolition in an Architecture Class?

- Unlike architects, someone is trying to knock our structures down before we’re done with them.
- We need some assurance that we’ve done a good job.
- Part of the solution — repeat, part — is a “tiger team”.
Our Role

If we perceive our role aright, we then see more clearly the proper criterion for success: a toolmaker succeeds as, and only as, the users of his tools succeed with his aid. However shining the blade, however jeweled the hilt, however perfect the heft, a sword is tested only by cutting. The blacksmith is successful whose clients die of old age.
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Building Tiger Teams

• What are you trying to test?
• What are the weak spots you want probed?
• What are the constraints?
Rules of Engagement

- Are there things you agree the tiger team can learn, and you’ll just provide?
- Are physical or social engineering attacks allowed?
- What goals must the tiger team achieve?
- What protections do you offer the tiger team?
Selecting a Tiger Team

- Whom should you hire?
- Is this a good spot for a former hacker who’s gone into the security business?
- Let’s put it like this: you’re inviting that person to probe your defenses, with a guarantee that you won’t prosecute
- Are you *certain* that they’ve gone straight?
- For some people, the answer is very clearly yes. In other cases, it’s rather more doubtful
When is Hacking Ethical?

- Under exactly one circumstance: when you have the permission of the person responsible for the system you’re attacking
- This is not a fuzzy line; it is a very bright, sharp barrier
- Even then, there are unethical techniques, such as anything that risks the health or well-being of the hacker or the victim
Different Goals

• Penetrate a running system
• Assess the strength of a particular program
• Let’s look at the latter
Looking on Linux...

- $ ls -l /bin/mount
  -rw-r-xr-x 1 root root 84232 May 24 2006 /bin/mount
- Hmm — mount is setuid root. I wonder why...
- The man page reveals that sometimes, ordinary users can mount file systems
- Let’s try it, with the real version and a non-setuid version
Trying Mount

$ /bin/mount -t iso9660 /dev/cdrom /mnt/cdrom
mount: only root can do that
$ cp /bin/mount .
$ ./mount -t iso9660 /dev/cdrom /mnt
mount: must be superuser to use mount
Note the Difference

- With the setuid version, there is a message from the application itself
- With the unprivileged version, the mount system call fails
- How can we find out what’s happening?
System Call Tracing

- Linux has a command `strace` that monitors a process’ system calls
- (Solaris calls it `truss`; BSDs call it `ktrace`)
Tracing /bin/mount

Leaving out the goo:

getuid32() = 7994
geteuid32() = 7994
lstat64("/etc/mtab", st_mode=S_IFREG|0644, st_size=1634, ...)
stat64("/sbin/mount.iso9660", 0xbfff9a0) = -1 ENOENT (No suc
rt_sigprocmask(SIG_BLOCK, ~[TRAP SEGV RTMIN], NULL, 8) = 0
mount("/dev/cdrom", "/mnt/cdrom", "iso9660",
       MS_POSIXACL|MS_ACTIVE|MS_NOUSER|0xec0000, 0) = -1 EPERM
rt_sigprocmask(SIG_UNBLOCK, ~[TRAP SEGV RTMIN], NULL, 8) = 0
... write(2, "mount: must be superuser to use ..."

Note: the message is the unprivileged version
Why the Difference?

- Tracing a privileged program is a security breach
- The kernel silently disabled the setuid-ness — note the return values for `geteuid32()`
- But we can watch its complete behavior up to the point it needs privilege
- By the way — was the access control error (EPERM) logged?
Tracing Library Calls

- The `ltrace` command traces library calls:
  
  ```
  __strdup(0xbff2abb3, 4095, 0xb7d0a000, 373, 0)= 0x9c3cd28
  __strdup(0xbff2aba0, 4095, 0xb7d0a000, 373, 0)= 0x9c3cd38
  sprintf("/sbin/mount.iso9660", "/sbin/mount.%s", "iso9660") = 19
  ```

- `Sprintf`? Hmm – can we exploit it?

- Probably not — the source shows a `strlen()`

- Sure enough — for a very long file type, it skips the `sprintf`
What Else Can We Learn?

- Run **strings** on the command

  
  $ strings mount | more

  /lib/ld-linux.so.2
  libc.so.6
  putchar
  strcpy
  waitpid
  ioctl
  chown
  ...

- That looks like a symbol table
The Option Table

__netdev
nomand
loop
noatime
nodiratime
kudzu
loop=
vfs=

What does the “kudzu” option do? It’s not documented…
Other Interesting Stuff

- Look for file names
- Anything in /tmp? Anything *predictable* in /tmp (Why is that interesting?)
More Avenues to Explore

Does the program manipulate uids?

$ strings mount | grep uid
geteuid
getuid
getpwuid
setuid
nosuid
uid=useruid
uid=%d
uid=
or by label, using -L label or by uuid, using -U uuid.
broken_suid
What Other Ways Do We Know to Break In?

- What files does it read or write? (string—grep /)
- Does it exec anything it shouldn’t?
- What UID/GID does it run under? (ps can tell you that)
Finding Holes isn’t Following a Recipe

- A script can let you exploit a known hole
- It won’t help you find a new one
- Look for edge cases, push boundaries
- It’s often trial and error
Further Steps

- We could use a disassembler, but that takes a lot of skill
- That said, it is possible, and people do it
- Run the program under `gdb` and trace it. Again, it takes time and skill
Conclusions

- Programs leak a lot of data while executing
- I can still run `strace` — but not `ltrace` — even if the executable is read-protected
- Such monitoring gives valuable clues as to its behavior
- (Other operating systems have similar facilities)
Higher-Level Tiger Teams

- Network attacks
- Social attacks
- Physical attacks
- Infiltration
Infiltration

- Get a job at the company of your choice
- Get a job at a supplier to the company of your choice
- Make it a nice, low-level job
- Do employers really check references and credentials? Many don’t
Physical Attacks

• Physically break in to the building
• Or break into the computer room
• Have you checked your false ceilings lately?
Men Posing as FedEx Workers Rob Jewelry Wholesaler

Two men posing as Federal Express employees breezed through security at a tightly guarded building in the city’s diamond district yesterday, rode the elevator to the sixth floor and robbed a jewelry wholesaler of goods valued at $4 million, the police said.

... 

According to Commissioner Raymond W. Kelly, one of the robbers carried a package that was too big to slide under the door at Doppelt & Greenwald. The robbers said it had to be signed for, the commissioner said, and when the door was opened, they showed the gun.
Social Attacks

- Talk people into giving you what you want
- If you sound convincing, you can be remarkably successful
- If you look the part, you can be remarkably successful
- (Do I classify that robbery as a physical attack or a social one?)
Network Attacks

- Find vulnerable host
- Find a vulnerable application
- Walk right in...
- (More a subject for 4180)
Reconnaissance

- All of these attack techniques depend on *reconnaissance*
- The attacker has to know the weak points
- Blocking, or at least spotting, reconnaissance is a major defense
Blocking Reconnaissance

- Intrusion detection systems can spot probes
- Sophisticated variant: low, slow, distributed reconnaissance — probe from multiple points
- Must do the same in the physical world — it’s a virtual certainty that those robbers had watched how FedEx workers were treated at the entrance
Hiding Version Numbers Doesn’t Help Much

# nmap -sV -O -p 1-1024 bigboy

Starting Nmap 4.20 ( http://insecure.org ) at 2007-04-16 22:02 EDT
Interesting ports on bigboy.machshav.com (192.168.2.79):
Not shown: 1020 closed ports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PORT</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>SERVICE</th>
<th>VERSION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22/tcp</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>ssh</td>
<td>OpenSSH 4.4 (NetBSD 20061114; protocol 2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111/tcp</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>rpcbind</td>
<td>2-4 (rpc #100000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631/tcp</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>ipp</td>
<td>CUPS 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1022/tcp</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>rpc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAC Address: 00:11:11:5B:7A:CD (Intel)
Device type: general purpose
Running: NetBSD
OS details: NetBSD 4.99.4 (x86)
Network Distance: 1 hop
Service Info: OS: NetBSD
Scanning a CLIC Machine

Device type: general purpose
Running: Linux 2.6.X
OS details: Linux 2.6.9 - 2.6.12 (x86)
Uptime: 8.469 days (since Sun Apr 8 11:16:07 2007)
Network Distance: 1 hop
Service Info: OS: Unix

matches the local reality:

$ uptime
 22:33:00 up 8 days, 11:21, 7 users, load average: 0.42, 0.33
$ uname -a
Linux kathmandu.clic.cs.columbia.edu 2.6.9-42.0.8.EL #1 Tue
Process Helps

- Make sure people *always* follow process
- Always check badges, credentials, etc.
- *Never* give out extra information, no matter the circumstance
Establish Technical Processes

- How are system changes made?
- Are they logged?
- Who can change your code base?
- Version control systems — CVS, subversion, others — are a major help; they let you know who made what changes, when, and why
Don’t Just Go Through the Motions

- It just annoys people, and doesn’t protect you
- (Example: demanding a picture ID when nothing is done with the information.)
Practice, Practice, Practice

- The only way to avoid complacency is to practice
- People won’t listen to lectures and training
- Make sure the culture and the incentives are set up properly
- Reward people for following policy, even if it inconveniences senior management