#### **Attacking Things**

- Why learn to attack?
- Is it true that "it takes a thief"? Do we have to learn to think like hackers to protect our systems?
- The answer, of course, is both "yes" and "no"



#### **Construction versus Destruction**

- An architect and a demolition expert need to know about, say, the strength of steel beams
- The architect has to choose, balancing cost, esthetics, the customer's needs, and the local building codes
- The demolition engineer has to work with what he's got. There are choices, but they're very different ones



# Why Study Demolition in an Architecture Class?

- Unlike architects, someone is trying to knock our structures down before we're done with them
- We need some assurance that we've done a good job
- Part of the solution repeat, part is a "tiger team"



#### **Our Role**

If we perceive our role aright, we then see more clearly the proper criterion for success: a toolmaker succeeds as, and only as, the users of his tools succeed with his aid. However shining the blade, however jeweled the hilt, however perfect the heft, a sword is tested only by cutting. The blacksmith is successful whose clients die of old age.

The Mythical Man-Month Frederick P Brooks, Jr.



## **Building Tiger Teams**

- What are you trying to test?
- What are the weak spots you want probed?
- What are the constraints?



## **Rules of Engagement**

- Are there things you agree the tiger team can learn, and you'll just provide?
- Are physical or social engineering attacks allowed?
- What goals must the tiger team achieve?
- What protections do you offer the tiger team?



## **Selecting a Tiger Team**

- Whom should you hire?
- Is this a good spot for a former hacker who's gone into the security business?
- Let's put it like this: you're inviting that person to probe your defenses,
   with a guarantee that you won't prosecute
- Are you certain that they've gone straight?
- For some people, the answer is very clearly yes. In other cases, it's rather more doubtful



## When is Hacking Ethical?

- Under exactly one circumstance: when you have the permission of the person responsible for the system you're attacking
- This is not a fuzzy line; it is a very bright, sharp barrier
- Even then, there are unethical techniques, such as anything that risks the health or well-being of the hacker or the victim



#### **Different Goals**

- Penetrate a running system
- Assess the strength of a particular program
- Let's look at the latter



## **Looking on Linux...**

- \$ ls -l /bin/mount -rwsr-xr-x 1 root root 84232 May 24 2006 /bin/mount
- Hmm mount is setuid root. I wonder why...
- The man page reveals that sometimes, ordinary users can mount file systems
- Let's try it, with the real version and a non-setuid version



## **Trying Mount**

```
$ /bin/mount -t iso9660 /dev/cdrom /mnt/cdrom
mount: only root can do that
$ cp /bin/mount .
$ ./mount -t iso9660 /dev/cdrom /mnt
mount: must be superuser to use mount
```



#### **Note the Difference**

- With the setuid version, there is a message from the application itself
- With the unprivileged version, the mount system call fails
- How can we find out what's happening?



## **System Call Tracing**

- Linux has a command strace that monitors a process' system calls
- (Solaris calls it truss; BSDs call it ktrace)



#### Tracing /bin/mount

#### Leaving out the goo:

Note: the message is the *unprivileged* version



## Why the Difference?

- Tracing a privileged program is a security breach
- The kernel silently disabled the setuid-ness note the return values for geteuid32()
- But we can watch its complete behavior up to the point it needs privilege
- By the way was the access control error (EPERM) logged?



#### **Tracing Library Calls**

• The **ltrace** command traces library calls:

```
__strdup(0xbff2abb3, 4095, 0xb7d0a000, 373, 0)= 0x9c3cd28
__strdup(0xbff2aba0, 4095, 0xb7d0a000, 373, 0)= 0x9c3cd38
sprintf("/sbin/mount.iso9660", "/sbin/mount.%s", "iso9660") = 19
```

- Sprintf? Hmm can we exploit it?
- Probably not the source shows a strlen()
- Sure enough for a very long file type, it skips the sprintf



#### What Else Can We Learn?

• Run strings on the command

```
$ strings mount | more
/lib/ld-linux.so.2
libc.so.6
putchar
strcpy
waitpid
ioctl
chown
```

That looks like a symbol table



#### **The Option Table**

\_netdev
nomand
loop
noatime
nodiratime
kudzu
loop=
vfs=

What does the "kudzu" option do? It's not documented...



## Other Interesting Stuff

- Look for file names
- Anything in /tmp? Anything predictable in /tmp (Why is that interesting?)



#### **More Avenues to Explore**

Does the program manipulate uids?

```
$ strings mount | grep uid
geteuid
getuid
getpwuid
setuid
nosuid
uid=useruid
uid=%d
uid=
or by label, using -L label or by uuid, using -U uuid.
broken_suid
                                            Steven M. Bellovin __ April 17, 2007 ___ 20
CS 壶
```

#### What Other Ways Do We Know to Break In?

- What files does it read or write? (string—grep /)
- Does it exec anything it shouldn't?
- What UID/GID does it run under? (ps can tell you that)



## Finding Holes isn't Following a Recipe

- A script can let you exploit a known hole
- It won't help you find a new one
- Look for edge cases, push boundaries
- It's often trial and error



#### **Further Steps**

- We could use a disassembler, but that takes a lot of skill
- That said, it is possible, and people do it
- Run the program under gdb and trace it. Again, it takes time and skill



#### **Conclusions**

- Programs leak a lot of data while executing
- I can still run **strace** but not **ltrace** even if the executable is read-protected
- Such monitoring gives valuable clues as to its behavior
- (Other operating systems have similar facilities)



## **Higher-Level Tiger Teams**

- Network attacks
- Social attacks
- Physical attacks
- Infiltration



#### **Infiltration**

- Get a job at the company of your choice
- Get a job at a supplier to the company of your choice
- Make it a nice, low-level job
- Do employers really check references and credentials? Many don't



## **Physical Attacks**

- Physically break in to the building
- Or break into the computer room
- Have you checked your false ceilings lately?



#### From the NY Times, 11/30/2005

# Men Posing as FedEx Workers Rob Jewelry Wholesaler

Two men posing as Federal Express employees breezed through security at a tightly guarded building in the city's diamond district yesterday, rode the elevator to the sixth floor and robbed a jewelry wholesaler of goods valued at \$4 million, the police said.

. . .

According to Commissioner Raymond W. Kelly, one of the robbers carried a package that was too big to slide under the door at Doppelt & Greenwald. The robbers said it had to be signed for, the commissioner said, and when the door was opened, they showed the gun.



#### **Social Attacks**

- Talk people into giving you what you want
- If you sound convincing, you can be remarkably successful
- If you look the part, you can be remarkably successful
- (Do I classify that robbery as a physical attack or a social one?)



#### **Network Attacks**

- Find vulnerable host
- Find a vulnerable application
- Walk right in...
- (More a subject for 4180)



#### Reconnaissance

- All of these attack techniques depend on reconnaisance
- The attacker has to know the weak points
- Blocking, or at least spotting, reconnaisance is a major defense



#### **Blocking Reconnaissance**

- Intrusion detection systems can spot probes
- Sophisticated variant: low, slow, distributed reconnaissance probe from multiple points
- Must do the same in the physical world it's a virtual certainty that those robbers had watched how FedEx workers were treated at the entrance



#### **Hiding Version Numbers Doesn't Help Much**

```
# nmap -sV -0 -p 1-1024 bigboy
Starting Nmap 4.20 (http://insecure.org) at 2007-04-16 22:02 EDT
Interesting ports on bigboy.machshav.com (192.168.2.79):
Not shown: 1020 closed ports
PORT
     STATE SERVICE VERSION
22/tcp open ssh OpenSSH 4.4 (NetBSD 20061114; protocol 2.0)
111/tcp open rpcbind 2-4 (rpc #100000)
631/tcp open ipp CUPS 1.2
1022/tcp open rpc
MAC Address: 00:11:11:5B:7A:CD (Intel)
Device type: general purpose
Running: NetBSD
OS details: NetBSD 4.99.4 (x86)
Network Distance: 1 hop
Service Info: OS: NetBSD
```



#### Scanning a CLIC Machine

```
Device type: general purpose
Running: Linux 2.6.X
OS details: Linux 2.6.9 - 2.6.12 (x86)
Uptime: 8.469 days (since Sun Apr 8 11:16:07 2007)
Network Distance: 1 hop
Service Info: OS: Unix
```

#### matches the local reality:

```
$ uptime
22:33:00 up 8 days, 11:21, 7 users, load average: 0.42, 0.
$ uname -a
Linux kathmandu.clic.cs.columbia.edu 2.6.9-42.0.8.EL #1 Tue 3
```



#### **Process Helps**

- Make sure people always follow process
- Always check badges, credentials, etc.
- Never give out extra information, no matter the circumstance



#### **Establish Technical Processes**

- How are system changes made?
- Are they logged?
- Who can change your code base?
- Version control systems CVS, subversion, others are a major help; they let you know who made what changes, when, and why



## Don't Just Go Through the Motions

- It just annoys people, and doesn't protect you
- (Example: demanding a picture ID when nothing is done with the information.)



#### **Practice, Practice, Practice**

- The only way to avoid complacency is to practice
- People won't listen to lectures and training
- Make sure the culture and the incentives are set up properly
- Reward people for following policy, even if it inconveniences senior management

