Using Cryptography



- We've covered a lot of cryptography principles—but how do we actually *use* it?
- Beyond the basics—don't invent your own algorithms or protocols—what are the issues in practice?
- Lots of them...

- Random numbers are vital for cryptography
- They're used for keys, nonces, primality testing, and more
- Where do they come from?

- Must be unpredictable
- Must be drawn from a large-enough space
- Ordinary statistical-grade random numbers are not sufficient
- Distribution not an indication of randomness: loaded dice are still random!

Anyone who considers arithmetical methods of producing random digits is, of course, in a state of sin.

—John von Neumann, 1951

- Dedicated hardware random number sources
- Random numbers lying around the system
- Software pseudo-random generator
- Combinations

- Radioactive decay
- Thermal noise
- Oscillator pairs
- Other chaotic processes

- Timing of radioactive decay unpredictable even in theory—it's a quantum process
- Problem: low bit rate from rational quantities of radioactive material
- Problem: not many computers have Geiger counters or radioactive isotopes attached...
- See http://www.fourmilab.ch/hotbits/hardware3.html for a description of how to do it...

- Any electronic device has a certain amount of random noise (thermal noise in the components)
- Example: Take a sound card with no microphone and turn up the gain to maximum
- Or use a digital camera with the lens cap on
- Problem: modest bit rate

- Have a free-running fast R-C oscillator (don't use a crystal; you don't want it accurate or stable!)
- Have a second, much slower oscillator
- At each zero-crossing of the slow oscillator, sample the value of the fast oscillator
- Caution: watch for correlations or couplings between the two

- Mouse movements
- Keystroke timing (low-order bits)
- Network packet timing (low-order bits)
- Disk seek timing: air turbulence affects disk internals (but what about solid state disks?)
- At boot time, there's not much of this available
 - Also: what if the enemy can observe the process?
 - Cameras and Lava Lites®! (http://www.lavarnd.org/)

- Need deep understanding of underlying physical process
- Stuck bits
- Variable bit rate
- How do we measure their randomness?
- Assurance—how do we know it's working properly?

- Again, ordinary generators, such as C's random() function or Java's Random class are insufficient
- Can use cryptographic primitives—encryption algorithms or hash functions—instead
- But—where does the seed come from?

Generating Strong Pseudo-Random Numbers?

```
unsigned int
nextrand()
{
    static unsigned int state;
    static int first = 1;
    if (first) {first = 0; state = truerand();}
    state = f(state);
    return sha256(state);
}
```

- State is initialized from a true-random source
- Can't invert sha256() to find state from return value
- But there is a serious problem here. What is it?

- sha256() isn't invertible, but we can do a brute force attack
- state is too short; we can try all possible values in 2³² iterations
- Estimated resources on a 3.4 Ghz Pentium: 3.6 hours CPU time; 150 GB to store all of the values
- The attack parallelizes nicely
- Need enough state—and hence enough true-random bits—that brute force is infeasible.

- An application can keep a file with a few hundred bytes of random numbers
- Generate some true-random bytes, mix with the file, and extract what you need
- Write the file back to disk—read-protected, of course—for next time
- What about stored VMs? Will they get the same seed each time?
- Also: "mixing" isn't as easy as it sounds

- Many operating systems can provide cryptographic-grade random numbers
- /dev/random: True random numbers, from hardware sources (but don't use it!)
- /dev/urandom: Software random number generator, seeded from hardware
- Windows: CryptGenRandom()—similar to /dev/urandom
- And there are APIs—in Python 3, use the secrets class instead of random

- As noted, not much randomness is available at boot time
- But—that's often when key pairs are generated
- An RSA public key is the product of two "random" primes
- Might one be predictable?
- Heninger, Durumeric, Wustrow, and Halderman showed that many ssh keys have at least one predictable prime factor, for just this reason
- The same thing happened with several countries' national ID cards

- NIST decided to standardize a software PRNG
- This is a good thing
 - NIST picked several designs—and the NSA persuaded NIST to include another based on elliptic curve cryptography
 - It seemed odd—DUAL_EC is quite slow, since it's based on public key technology—but the NSA insisted that they needed it. They did need it, but not for the usual reason...
 - At least one company, RSA, made it the default in their product, allegedly after being paid off
 - Juniper used it in their routers—unclear why

The Problem with DUAL_EC_DRBG

- The algorithm includes a "random" constant
- If it's not random—if it's the public key in an elliptic curve cryptosystem—anyone who can see enough of the output from the PRNG and knows the corresponding private key can predict all future output from the algorithm
- Many protocols do in fact transmit some random bits in the clear
- There have been public demonstrations that it's exploitable under certain circumstances
- Does the NSA know the corresponding private key? They've never said...
- *Someone*—supposedly not Juniper—changed the magic constant in Juniper's version. Do they know the new private key?
- NIST has removed DUAL_EC_DRBG from their standard, RSA has removed it from their code...

《曰》 《問》 《曰》 《曰》 二百一

Hardware Versus Software Random Number Generators

- Hardware values can be true-random
- Output rate is rather slow
- Subject to environmental malfunctions, such as 60 Hz noise
- Software, if properly designed and written, is fast and reliable
- Combination of software generator with hardware seed is usually best

- To paraphrase Knuth, random numbers should not be generated by a random process
- In many systems, hardware and software, random number generation is a very weak link
- Use standard facilities when available; if not, pay attention to RFC 4086

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- Data in motion: protect a communications session
- Data at rest: protect a file or device
- The properties are very different

- Both parties are present for the cryptographic protocol
- Certain items can be negotiated, such as which algorithms are supported
- Confidentiality must be future-proof; authenticity generally need not be—authenticity only matters during the life of the session

- Encryption and decryption are asynchronous; you don't know when the decryption will take place
- In the future, no idea which algorithms will be supported (old, insecure algorithms are often deleted from programs)
- Authenticity may be an issue, if you have to verify in the future that the file is genuine

- Suppose we want to use crypto to protect files. Now what?
- What to encrypt?
- Where should keys be stored?
- What is the tradeoff between availability and confidentiality?

- Theft of files
- Theft of backup media
- Theft of computer

- Is there a flaw in the operating system's protection mechanisms? Why can't the OS keep bad guys from the file?
- Do you trust your sysadmin?
- Are you using a cloud VM? What about the cloud sysadmin?
- Laptops have feet a remarkably high percentage are stolen

September 17, 2000

IRVINE – Qualcomm founder Irwin Jacobs' laptop computer disappeared during a conference yesterday in an apparent theft that could put some of the company's most sensitive secrets at risk.

. . .

Jacobs said his laptop contained "everything," secret corporate information, including e-mail dating back years, financial statements and even personal mementos.

• • •

Though Jacobs' IBM ThinkPad PC is valued at about \$3,700, the value of the information it contained is incalculable to Qualcomm and to Jacobs.

- File encryption can help
- But there may be a serious convenience issue
- It may result in a loss of availability, if you lose the key

э.

A B A A B A A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

- Manually encrypt/decrypt files
- Encrypt an entire disk or partition

- Very inconvenient to use
- Users are constantly supplying keys
- Most utilities won't have direct interfaces to the decryption function; you have to manually decrypt files before use
- Users will forget to re-encrypt files
- Important design principle: make it easy for users to do the right thing

- Encrypt an entire disk or disk partition
- Protects everything, even the free space
- 😰 Very important, given that "delete" operations do not delete the data
 - Useful for protecting swap area
 - Built into Windows (BitLocker) and MacOS (FileVault)
 - Pretty much ubiquitous on modern phones

- Encrypt it? Where does the decryption key come from?
- One answer: supplied at reboot time
- In a USB drive plugged into a server?
- Tradeoff: availability versus confidentiality and integrity
- Use secure crypto hardware to decrypt database?
- Who has what sort of access, and what are their powers?

- Store key on disk, encrypted
- Generally decrypted with passphrase
- Passphrases are weak, but they're a second layer, on top of OS file access controls
- Special-purpose hardware
- Or—convert a passphrase directly to a key

- HSM—Hardware Security Module
- Can be used for users or servers
- More than just key storage; perform actual cryptographic operations
- Enemy has no access to secret or private keys
- Friends have no access, either
- Modular exponentiation can be done much faster with dedicated hardware

- Many PCs have TPM—Trusted Platform Module—chips
- Newer Macs have Apple's T2 chip
- iPhones use a "secure enclave" in the CPU

iOS Encryption

- At first boot, the phone generates an internal AES-256 key
- This key remains within the secure enclave and can't be exported
- The use's PIN is converted to an AES-256 key using PBKDF2 (stay tuned); this PIN-derived key is mixed with the internal key inside the secure enclave to produce a master key
- By default, there are limited retries on PIN entry
- All storage is encrypted, sometimes with the internal key, sometimes with the master key, and sometimes with a new key derived from the master key
- All that happens inside the secure enclave—and without the PIN and master key, you can't decrypt anything...

iOS Encryption

- At first boot, the phone generates an internal AES-256 key
- This key remains within the secure enclave and can't be exported
- The use's PIN is converted to an AES-256 key using PBKDF2 (stay tuned); this PIN-derived key is mixed with the internal key inside the secure enclave to produce a master key
- By default, there are limited retries on PIN entry
- All storage is encrypted, sometimes with the internal key, sometimes with the master key, and sometimes with a new key derived from the master key
- All that happens inside the secure enclave—and without the PIN and master key, you can't decrypt anything...
- ... supposedly—in reality, there have been bugs

- Hardware must resist physical attack
- Environmental sensors: detect attack and erase keys
- Example: surround with wire mesh of known resistance; break or short circuit is detected
- Example: temperature sensor, to detect attempt to freeze battery

- Tamper-*resistant*, not tamper-*proof*
- Again: who is your enemy, and what are your enemy's powers?
- How does Alice talk to it securely? How do you ensure that an enemy doesn't talk to it instead?
- What is Alice's *intent*? How does the crypto box know?
- What if there are bugs in the cryptographic processor software? (IBM's 4758 has a 486 inside. That can run complex programs...)
- Research shows that most HSMs are, in fact, insecure

- Different machine-level operations can take different amounts of time
- Fetching data from the cache is much faster than fetching it from RAM
- This can be used by attackers to learn a key!
- Example: suppose the attacker is on the same physical machine as you in a cloud datacenter
- Sometimes, such attacks can even be done remotely

- Passphrases are lousy keys—people pick bad ones, reuse them, they don't have enough entropy, and more
- Sometimes, though, they're all we have
- Goals: make the key look pseudo-random and impede guessing attempts
- Techniques: hash functions, iteration (at least 10,000 times), *salt*—the salt is a 128-bit or longer random number
- More on these techniques next class

PBKDF2: Password-Based Key Derivation Function 2

If we need l bits of keying material and our hash function emits *h*-bit values, we need $n = \lceil l/h \rceil$ invocations of *F*. If *P* is the password, *s* is the salt, and *c* is the iteration count:

$$k = F(P, s, c, 1) || F(P, s, c, 2) || \dots || F(P, s, c, n)$$

$$F(P, s, c, i) = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_c$$

where:

$$U_1 = H(P, s \parallel int 32(i))$$

 $U_2 = H(P, U_1)$

$$U_c = H(P, U_{c-1})$$

. . .

That is: run a hash function over *P*, *s* and the block counter *i* iterated *c* times, exclusive-ORing the outputs together, for each portion of $k_{\text{constraint}} = 0$

- The salt means that two uses of the same password will produce different keys
- But—the salt must be available to the decryptor. (For file or disk encryption, that means storing the salt with the encrypted file.)
- Using many iterations slow down guessing

- Pick a random key to encrypt the data (DEK—Data-Encrypting Key)
- In fact, generate multiple DEKs, one for each section of the disk
- Use the user-supplied key to encrypt the DEK
- This makes changing the password fast
 - (Effectively) erasing the disk is also very quick—just overwrite the DEK

- Suppose you need multiple keys derived from one original key, either from a password or from a Diffie-Hellman exchange
- We use *key expansion*
- For each key you need, pick a label *L*, perhaps "C" for a confidentiality key, "I" for an integrity key, etc.
- Then $K_L = H(K, L)$, where K is the original key and H is a cryptographic hash function

Protecting In-Memory Keys

- If a key is in RAM, it can be stolen from there
- If it's swapped out to disk, it can persist on the disk—use the mlock() system call to lock it into RAM
- When a key (or the password it is derived from) is no longer needed, zero the memory—but that's trickier than it looks char k[32];

```
get_key(k);
decrypt_file(k, filename);
memset(k, (char) 0, sizeof k);
return;
```

Protecting In-Memory Keys

- If a key is in RAM, it can be stolen from there
- If it's swapped out to disk, it can persist on the disk—use the mlock() system call to lock it into RAM
- When a key (or the password it is derived from) is no longer needed, zero the memory—but that's trickier than it looks char k[32];

```
get_key(k);
decrypt_file(k, filename);
memset(k, (char) 0, sizeof k);
return;
```

• What's wrong?

Protecting In-Memory Keys

- If a key is in RAM, it can be stolen from there
- If it's swapped out to disk, it can persist on the disk—use the mlock() system call to lock it into RAM
- When a key (or the password it is derived from) is no longer needed, zero the memory—but that's trickier than it looks char k[32];

```
get_key(k);
decrypt_file(k, filename);
memset(k, (char) 0, sizeof k);
return;
```

- What's wrong?
- A good optimizing compiler will realize that k is not used after zeroing, and will optimize away the call...



(American kestrel, Morningside Park, September 22, 2020)

・ロト ・母ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨー うへで