Architecture

Web Servers and Security

- The Web is the most visible part of the net

- Two web servers — Apache (open source) and Microsoft's IIS — dominate the market
  - ☞ Apache has 35%; IIS has 30%. (Nginx, another open source web server, is in third place with 16%.) (source: `http://news.netcraft.com/archives/web_server_survey.html`)

- Both major servers have lots of functionality

- Are they secure? Let's look at Apache.

Warning

- You're going to hear about web server security issues — and problems

- Some of these issues apply to `www.cs.columbia.edu`

- You do *not* have permission to explore these holes

Metanote on Program Complexity

- Both Apache and IIS are very large, complex programs

- Large, complex programs are often buggy; these are no exception

- Both have had security problems

- IIS used to be *very* insecure:

  Using Internet-exposed IIS Web servers securely has a high cost of ownership. Nimda has again shown the high risk of using IIS and the effort involved in keeping up with Microsoft's frequent security patches.

  —The Gartner Group, 2001

- (They canceled that warning in 2004)

- Web servers are still large and complex. . .

Important Web Server Features

- Access control

- User behavior

- CGI (Apache) or ASP (IIS) scripts (often via special scripting languages)

- Plug-ins

- Back-end databases

- Cryptography

- (Does this remind you of an operating system?)

Access Control

- Many different forms

- Many different types of authentication

- Many interactions

Document Root

- All files served must reside under a certain directory

- Watch out for "`..`" in URLs (gee, we've seen that before)

- For convenience, some "subtrees" can reside somewhere else:

```
ScriptAlias /mailman/ "/usr/pkg/lib/mailman/cgi-bin/"
Alias /pipermail/ "/var/db/mailman/archives/public/"
Alias /mailman-icons/ "/usr/pkg/lib/mailman/icons/"
```

- If the Web server supports "virtual hosting", each "host" gets its own subtree
  - ☞ With virtual hosting, a single machine and web server can offer up several different web sites

Explicit Access Control

- Access control lists settable by the webmaster for any directory tree

- Passwords or certificates can be configured as well

- Permission can be granted or withheld based on client IP address

- If a directory has no `index.html` file, should the web server just list its contents?

- Applications can do their own authentication and access control

- All of these interact; combinations can be used

A Sample Configuration

Here is a `.htaccess` file for a directory:

```
<Files *>
    AuthUserFile /home/smb/pwdir/.htpasswd
    AuthGroupFile /dev/null
    AuthName "File Access"
    AuthType Basic
    Require valid-user
</Files>
```

The string `File Access` is displayed to the user. Logins and passwords are stored in `/home/smb/pwdir/.htpasswd`.

Web Authentication

A web password file:

```
user1:eO3rzWPNjjZFo
user2:CqkaeLJSVcRpI
```


Authentication Required

A username and password are being requested by https://www.cs.columbia.edu. The site says: "Columbia University Computer Science Authentication"

User Name: [   ]

Password: [   ]

Cancel   OK

Operating System Access Control

- Can the web server benefit from OS access control?

- What UIDs does the server run under?

- What permissions can/should be used for the files being served?

"Privileged" Ports versus Security

- Most Unix systems reserve ports < 1024 for root

- Web servers listen on port 80; therefore, they have to run as root

- Do we really want such a large, complex program running as root? Not if we can help it...

Shedding Privileges

- Apache starts as root

- Note: it is *not* setuid; it must be invoked by root. (Why is that the right choice?)

- It opens the socket and some log files, then forks and sheds privileges

- Serving web pages is done as non-privileged user "www"

File Permissions

- If the web server isn't root, it can't open protected files

- All pages served must be readable by the web server, its group, or "other"

- *Don't* make them owned by www; that way, a compromised web server can't overwrite them

- In other words, the web server itself has as few privileges as possible

Design Philosphy

- Use the OS to protect the system against the web server

- Assume the web server can enforce its own access control mechanisms

User Behavior

- Who creates web content?

- Can ordinary users supply web pages?

- At many sites, the answer is yes

- This complicates things

User Directories

- Can users export things they shouldn't?
  - ☞ Is that a software problem or a management problem?

- Where does user content live? Under DocumentRoot, or under the user's home directory?

- Can user-configured access control (`.htaccess`) override system access control settings?

- Scripts. . .

Users versus Web Access Control

- Suppose there's a `.htaccess` file to restrict web access to some directory

- The directory and its contents probably have to be world-readable

- Other users on that machine can read the files in that directory, without satisfying the requirements of the `.htaccess` file

- Oops. . .

Can We Lock Things Away?

- We don't want content owned by user www

- We could try putting user content under some lock directory, with a setuid helper program to let people publish web pages

- That doesn't work well if user-written scripts are allowed

- We can protect a few resources by using group read permissions — make the content group-readable but not other-readable, and let the web server run with several groups' permissions

☞ Unfortunately, Apache doesn't seem to support that

- There's still a problem with scripts

Scripts

- Retrieving static files is ok, but scripts make life interesting

- Scripts are *programs*

- Each script is a separate network service

- Is each one correct?

- From the Apache Security Guide: "Always remember that you must trust the writers of the CGI script/programs or your ability to spot potential security holes in CGI, whether they were deliberate or accidental."

Script Permissions

- In general, all scripts run with the same permissions

- This uid shouldn't own any files; see above for OS access controls

- Scripts can interfere with each other: "All the CGI scripts will run as the same user, so they have potential to conflict (accidentally or deliberately) with other scripts *e.g.* User A hates User B, so he writes a script to trash User B's CGI database."

User-Written Scripts

- Can ordinary users supply scripts?

- Translation: can ordinary users write secure programs that will do the right thing given arbitrary input?

- From the Apache Security Guide:

    Allowing users to execute CGI scripts in any directory should only be considered if:

    1. *You trust your users not to write scripts which will deliberately or accidentally expose your system to an attack.*

    2. *You consider security at your site to be so feeble in other areas, as to make one more potential hole irrelevant.*

    3. *You have no users, and nobody ever visits your server.*

Restricting Scripts

- Allow scripts only in certain directories

- That way, the administrator has some control over what scripts are run

- Use suEXEC to switch uids

suEXEC

- suEXEC runs user CGI scripts as that user

- A dangerous operation: let an unprivileged user — www — tell a setuid-root program to run some arbitrary program as some user

- *Very* difficult to get right!

- suEXEC performs 20 different checks; see `http://httpd.apache.org/docs/2.4/suexec.html` for details

- Sample check: Is the directory NOT writable by anyone else?

- Make sure that suEXEC is only executable by group www

- Watch out for race condition attacks!

- Caution: the CGI script owns *itself*; if subverted, it can overwrite itself (and other files belonging to that user)

Design Philosophy

- Use Apache access controls to isolate the dangerous stuff

- Use OS permission mechanisms — as invoked by Apache — to isolate CGI scripts from each other

- Separation isn't as strong as for the base Apache system, because of the overwrite scenario

Plug-Ins

- Scripting languages are often available as Apache *modules*

- This means that they run as part of the Apache process

- Modules are an efficiency hack: save the expense of fork()/exec()

- Modules run with the full permissions (and address space) of Apache

- Very dangerous!

User-Written Plug-in Scripts

- In the standard installation, user-written scripts run with the web server's permission

- Again, *all* such scripts, even if written by different, mutually hostile users, run with the same UID

- Do the plug-in languages provide access control? Some do

PHP's Safe_Mode

- PHP, if `safe_mode` is turned on, restricts scripts to opening files owned by the script owner

- This in an application — PHP — enforcing something resembling OS permissions

- Did they get it right? Are there race condition attacks?

- Still does not protect against attacks from on-machine

Other Script Languages

- Java can be configured to be secure

- To my knowleged, neither Perl nor TCL — two other languages that can run as plug-ins — have such a feature

- There is *no* way to confine C or C++

Invoking Scripts

- Scripts are often invoked with client-supplied parameters

- Magic shell characters aren't as big a problem for parameters, because they're passed to scripts via an environment variable, not on the command line

- But — what about magic shell characters *in the script name*?

- Example: `http://www.example.com/cgi-bin/`rm-rf/``

- After all, if it's in **cgi-bin** it's executable...

Administrator Strategy

- Use a complex local scheme

- Provide a setuid program to copy user content to the web server

- Do not allow user programs to execute on that server

- Permit only "safe" scripting languages with their own access control

- Do *not* permit execution of C or C++ programs!

- Use web server access controls to restrict other access

Uploading Files

- If all scripts run with the same permissions, and if local users have read-access to user content, how can you do safe upload?

- Example: suppose I wanted to write a PHP script for homework submission

- Create an upload directory owned by me that is mode `rwx,-wx,-wx`: anyone can write to it or trace a search path, but not read it

- Use a true-random string for part or all of the filename

- For instance, store smb2132.0.tar as 158cb5864f2c7662b-smb2132.0.tar (generated from `/dev/urandom`)

- No one will guess that to retrieve it or overwrite it

- Note: I'll be able to list the directory and read the files (if I set the file permissions correctly), but I won't own the files; www will

Back-End Databases

- Scripts are often front-ends to databases

- Does the database have its own access control? Where is the password stored?

- How does the script supply the password?

- Remember that any file on the server is readable by all other users or script writers. . .

Design Issues

- Neither the OS nor Apache's access controls can help us much

- We have to rely on the script language's access controls

- Even that may not protect us from subverted scripts

Cryptography

- TLS encryption used for most e-commerce

- TLS uses hybrid public key/symmetric crypto

- Where does the web server get is private key?

- Again, how do we store a key on a computer?

Key Storage

- Ideally, it's stored in encrypted form, or in some tamper-resistant device

- We can't store it encrypted — how is the decryption key supplied at Apache startup?

- A few large sites use TLS front-end/load-balancer devices, but these aren't common

- We must store the key in the clear, on the web server machine

Protecting the Key

- Of course, it's stored mode `r--,---,---`

- It's also owned by root, and read in at startup before changing UIDs

- Why? To provide maximum OS protection against subversion

Authentication

- Two basic types: passwords and client-side certificates

- Passwords can be for the built-in Web browser authentication or for application-specific authentication

- Passwords should *never* be used without encrypting the network connection

- Client-side certificates are more secure, but they're rare

- They're also less convenient: how does the user carry around a private key to multiple machines?

- Ultimately, the client's identity feeds into Apache's access control mechanisms

Phishing

- Trick people into sending their passwords to the wrong site

- People *could* check the site's certificate — but very few people do that

- Legitimate site should *never* email clickable links — but many do

- What good is a strong web password?

Password Managers

- Store passwords for many web sites

- Protect them with a master password

- The code matches the web site name against the stored password; it won't be fooled by phishing email

Lessons

- Web servers are *very* hard to secure

- We need all of our tools: OS permissions, application ACLs, script language security, cryptography, and more

- There are often residual issues even then