
Physical and Procedural Security



Physical Security

- Another line of defense
- Violations sometimes lead to cyber breaches
- Remember: the usual goal of cybersecurity is to protect the *data*
- The attackers just want to win; they don't care about how

General Principles

- Who is the enemy?
- What are their resources?
- What are you trying to protect?
- The same as before!

Enemies

- Teenagers and other joy hackers — don't rule them out!
- Criminals — more likely after the hardware, but not always
- Governments
- Note well: physical attacks are much riskier for the attacker; there is no anonymity if detected. Physical attacks can be a high-stakes game.

Enemy Resources

- Technical skills: lock-picking, alarm neutralization, radio jammers, climbing, etc.
- Detailed knowledge of the facility
- Insider assistance?

Assets

- Direct access to computers
- Access to telecommunications lines
- Access to internal LANs
- Access to internal offices
- Information — hard-copy, removable media, etc.

Computer Access

- Remove the disk?
- Probe the RAM?
- Use the debugger to gain root privileges?
- Scan for cryptographic keys?
- Replace the BIOS?
- Install a keystroke logger?
- Physical access wins — always

Lines and LANs

- Plant wiretaps?
- Bypass firewall?
- Denial of service?

Information!

- Manuals
- Phone books
- Organizational charts
- Learn enough to sound like an insider
- The garbage is interesting, too...

Dumpster Diving

- Raid your outside trash bins
- Discarded information is often almost as useful
- Probably legal under US law, if no trespassing is involved

Shredding

- Best defense: shredding
- Interim step: internal locked garbage cans
- Use “cross-cut shredder”
- (NSA has standards for such things. . .)
- Alternative: use *reliable* outside contractor

Shredding Done Poorly

HONG KONG 63179 STAFF
IMMEDIATE DIRECTOR INF PRIORITY TEHRAN, TOKYO, BANGKOK.
IN L. YEAT AJAJA INTEL
S. A. DIRECTOR 5055 13
B. TOKYO 86 82
RECONFUSION ON LOCATION "KIBISI" WHICH WAS PLACE NAME
TO C. TROOP MAP AS SUBJECT REFS INDICATED AREA TO BE AVOIDED BY
IAN GROUND TROOP SUBJECT CLASSIFIED I SER THAT GROUND ASSAULT
RS I G. D. AVOID ENTIRE BORDER REGION FROM MANDALA SOUTH TO THE
IA I G. F. GROUND FORCE INFANTRY TROOP WOULD TAKE PLACE NORTH OF
L. THROUGH MOSTLY NO MOUNTAINS TERRAIN.
E. 4-120-17 5 W. 15 SEP 59 DRV 090.1

Shredded CIA Cable reporting on information provided by an Iranian contact, *secret*.

Source: National Security Archive, George Washington University

Employees

- How are employees authenticated when they arrive?
- Badges? How are they checked?
- Guards? Turnstiles? PINs or chips?
- What links the employee to the badge?

Are Badge Rules Enforced?

- How are badges authenticated?
- Does the guard verify the picture?
- Is it possible to “tailgate”?
- What happens in abnormal situations, i.e., fire drills or fire alarms?
- What about holiday parties?
- What about external service personnel?

Locks

- Locks are not always as strong as they appear
- Experts have many ways to bypass locks
- Does your lock match your security needs?
- What about key control?

Pin and Tumbler Locks

- Most common form of lock
- Generally very easy to pick
- Guides and videos freely available on the Internet
- “Keep honest people honest”

Better Key Locks Exist

- Used for high-threat situations (i.e., bike locks in New York)
- (Not always as good as they seem — see the story of the Kryptonite bike lock and the Bic pen)
- Much harder to get duplicate keys made
- People frequently trade security for convenience

Combination Locks

- Often trivial to crack (i.e., most combination padlocks)
- But — safes often have *much* better ones
- High-end safes have electronic combination locks — turning the dial generates enough power
- If users pick their own combinations, are they guessable? Of course...

Richard Feynman on Safes

“I opened the safe that contained the secret of the atomic bomb — all the secrets, the formulas, the rates at which neutrons are liberated from uranium, how much uranium you need to make a bomb, how much was being made and available, all the theories, all the calculations, the WHOLE DAMN THING! . . .

“I remembered in the book about the psychology, and I said, ‘You know, it’s true. Psychologically, DeHoffman is just the kind of a guy to use a mathematical constant for his safe combination. And the other important mathematical constant is e .’ So I walk back to the safe. 27–18–28 — click, clock, it opens.

“I checked, by the way, that all the rest of the filing cabinets had the same combination.”

Los Alamos From Below: Reminiscences 1943–1945, by Richard Feynman

Electronic Locks

- Many types
- Mag stripe (hotels)
- RFID (this university)
- Keypads
- More

Key Control

- How easy is it to get a key or combination?
- (Note the analogy to cryptographic keys)
- Many different threat vectors

Key Labels

- Many common keys or locks have numbers stamped on them, i.e., “S-100” for file cabinets
- Most car keys have such numbers
- Combination locks used for school lockers have such numbers
- Anyone with access to the database can create a key
- The database isn’t hard to get. . .

Rekeying

- Home locks: generally must disassemble the lock
- Offices: remove just the cylinder, via a “control key”
- Hotels: put a “combination” on a magstripe; change the combination via a new mag stripe that lists the previous one

Other Issues

- The spare key safe
- Employees (or family members) who lose keys
- Fire department keys
- “Hidden” keys to houses and cars

Attacking Locks

- Lock picks and “bump keys”
- Drilling the lock
- Plastic or metal shims
- Many other techniques

Going Around Security

- “You don’t go through strong security, you go around it”
- Many ways to evade locks
- Physical security is also a systems property

Attacks

- Remove the hinges
- Break nearby glass and unlock from the inside
- Break down the door
- Pry off the door jamb

Attacking Electronic Locks

- Bug the cable?
- Hack the control computer!
- Often have modem access, default passwords, unpatched software
- Pry the door from the magnetic catch just a little bit — it's an inverse cube law
- Insiders: put transparent tape on the strike plate

Fool the Motion Detector

- Slide paper under the door
- Squirt Silly String in the gap
- Easier with glass doors

Evading Detection

- Locks are often rated by time-to-crack with and without power tools
- Power tools are *noisy* — use will be noticed
- Frequently, then, the goal is to combine the lock with surveillance or alarms

Process Helps

- Logs
- ID checks
- Protocols
- Disclosure
- But — don't just go through the motions

Logs

- Keep track of who enters and leaves
- Sometimes automated, via electronic keys (hackable?)
- Guard should match log entry against ID
- Look at the logs!

Chain of Evidence

- Do not separate authorization from entry
- Example: separation of ID check from actual gate
- Photo ID-checking not very useful for authorization unless matched against external data

Protocols

- Make sure everyone understands and follows the process
- Don't let someone talk you into something
- Education helps — make sure your employees know how they can be fooled, and why each part of the process exists

Social Engineering

- Talk your way into someplace
- Act and sound as if you belong
- Know the terminology (see dumpster diving, above)

Why it Works

- Constant verification is too clumsy
- Most of the time, you're not being scammed
- But the bad guys know this

Data Matching

- Reconcile data after the fact
- Make sure that all records agree
- Doesn't prevent fraud; can detect it, and perhaps deter it
- That's how the fraudulent Microsoft code-signing certificates were discovered (CERT Advisory CA-2001-04)

Data Auditing

- In a large-scale system, you can't check all transactions in detail
- Pick a random subset, and investigate them carefully
- Check *all* links in the process — does everyone know about the transaction and have the same details recorded?

Risk Management

- We can't prevent all security problems
- What are the odds of a failure? What will one cost us?
- Easier in the physical world — we have a much better sense of the strength of security systems
- Electronic locks and access control systems turn a well-understood problem into a software security problem — and we don't know how to solve that very well. . .

Is This Computer Science?

- Many of the thought processes and techniques are the same
- Computer systems can be part of the problem
- Computer systems have to support the solutions