Protecting the Client
Protecting the Client

- Clients of networked applications
- Smart cards
- DRM
Network Clients

• Thus far, we’ve focused on servers — network apps and privilege programs
• Do clients have the same risks?
• Yes — in some ways, more...
Clients at Less Risk

- You can send arbitrary text to a web server
- To attack a web browser, you have to lure them to the infected server
- But — email can be sent to anyone — and it can contain links to web servers
- Other apps are harder to attack
Email and the Web

- Most mailers do not do their own HTML processing (at least not for full HTML)
- They have some way of invoking the standard browser’s rendering engine, and hence are at risk from browser security flaws
- Example: the Eudora mailer suffered from an Internet Explorer flaw ([http://email.about.com/cs/eudoratips/qt/et122001.htm](http://email.about.com/cs/eudoratips/qt/et122001.htm))
- Mozilla provides the Gecko rendering engine
- Active content — Java, Javascript, ActiveX, Flash, and the like — make life much harder (but we’ll cover that later in the semester)
Other Applications

• *Any* program, including network clients, can have security flaws

• Buffer overflows and the like abound

• If someone using the application connects to your server — or if you can trick someone into connecting to your server — you can compromise their machine

• Client software is often updated *less* frequently

• Rarely run on dedicated machines
The Enemy Has Your Device

- Normally, you own your machine
- What if the enemy owns it?
- What if your enemy is *supposed* to own it?
- Who'd do that? Lots of people...
Smart Cards

- Often in credit card form factor
- Contains small CPU and non-volatile storage (some contain an RSA accelerator chip)
- Used for many purposes
These cards use contacts for power and I/O. Other types use inductive coupling or radio.
Uses of Smart Cards

- Money or equivalent (transit fares, parking meters, vending machines, etc.)
- Resistant to counterfeiting (credit cards, especially in Europe)
- “Something you have” for authentication
- Pay TV or satellite/cable box
The Enemy’s Goals

• In stored value systems, the attacker wants to add more money to the card

• Alternatively, the attacker wants to extract the secret, to permit counterfeiting of more cards

• If an authentication token is locked by a PIN, the attacker wants to discover or replace the PIN
Attack Techniques

- Software
- Physical
Software Attacks on Smart Cards

- The card is running software and talking to the outside world
- Can you launch a buffer overflow attack?
- Is that software vulnerable to the usual attacks?
- Note: most smart cards don’t have a privileged mode and a protected kernel. (What about multi-use smart cards?)
- In principle, any attack that works against other systems can work here
Physical Attacks

- An attacker can manipulate the physical environment
- An attacker can monitor the physical behavior
- An attacker can try to reverse-engineer the chip
Differential Power Analysis

- When a transistor switches on, it allows current flow
- The power difference between “on” and “off” can be measured
- Measure the instantaneous power consumption of the smart card
- Repeat this for a moderately large number of operations
- Statistical analysis will reveal the value of the cryptographic key at different points
Fault Injection

- Certain stresses can cause erroneous computations
- It’s practical to use heat or radiation to confuse a CPU or memory
- It has been demonstrated, theoretically and practically, that this can be used to break security
- Other techniques: controlling power
Reverse Engineering

- With suitable tools, it’s possible to reverse-engineer a CPU and read the memory
- Techniques include microtomes, scanning electron microscopes, and the like
- Separate set of physical-layer defenses
From Chipworks.com’s Web Site

“Chipworks’ Circuit Analysis Reports provide full reverse engineering information of all or selected circuitry on a particular die—complete with detailed schematics to reveal the operation of a competitor’s device. . .

“Report Contents:

- “Package and die overview including a package x-ray.
- “Annotated die photograph identifying the major functional blocks.
- “Complete set of hierarchical schematics to capture the operation of the device.
- “Top-level schematic overview to capture the entire function of the circuit.
- “Schematics for each analyzed block.”
Protecting Chips

- Use of “non-metallic links” for device programming
- Program in sensitive data; don’t hardwire it.
- Ghost logic — fake logic elements to complicate the analysis
- False heat dissipation
- Extra metal layers
Satellite and Premium Cable TV

- Very sophisticated enemies
- General attack
  - Sell counterfeit descrambler boxes
  - Buy legitimate access card
  - Extract key from card
  - Distribute keys over the Internet
Where is the Value?

• Is the value on the card or in some database?
• If the value is in the card, must take precautions against counterfeiting
• If the value is in the database, the card is a just a pointer; security features in the card protect against theft, not value loss
MTA Metrocard

Primarily an online system; central database has authoritative card value. Some offline use for buses. No protection against theft for pay-as-you-go Metrocards; database update for monthly cards.
Note that the card itself knows its value. No protection against theft or counterfeiting.
The CU ID Card

- The RFID chip and mag stripe are primarily database indices
- (Older cards had a bar code, too, but the mag stripe held more data. Both had social security numbers.)
- If the card is reissued, a new database index is assigned
Mag Stripe Cards

- Two or three tracks; standardized format
- Old CUID had social security number plus other fields:
  
  track 1: error: e5
  track 2: <2118713710312940>
  track 3: error: e5

- My Amtrak card:
  
  track 1: <AGRˆSTEVENˆBELLOVINˆPLUS>
  track 2: <20100228=5081653010>
  track 3: error: e5
Mass Transit Fare Cards Broken

- Dutch researchers cracked their public transit system’s RFID cards, both cryptographic and non-cryptographic
- MIT students cracked the MBTA’s (Boston) systems: mag stripe and cryptographic RFID
- Many other transit systems vulnerable
- Why? Bad crypto, underestimating the enemy, simple mistakes of the type we’ve discussed here
RFID Chips in Passports

• New passports contain an RFID chip with data and digitized picture (ICAO standard)
• This data is, of course, digitally signed
• But — not all countries have signing certificates for all other countries
• Permits the creation of passports with forged RFID chips
• Solution: creation of a PKI, where each certificate lists the countries for which it can sign passports. But — is this PKI trustable?
• Who is the enemy? Smugglers? Terrorists? Foreign intelligence agencies? All of the above?
Digital Rights Management

- Allow publisher to control use of content
- Prevent arbitrary redistribution of copyrighted materials
- Change sales terms from *physical purchase* to *license*
General Approaches

- Restrict consumer’s ability to use the material
- Trace usage (often via “watermarking”)
Restricting Use

- Preferred approach of content providers
- Used by most (legitimate) vendors of digital music and films
- Many different types, implementing many different policies
Apple’s iTunes

• “personal, noncommercial use”
• “five Apple-authorized devices at any time”
• “shall not be entitled to burn video Products or ring tone Products”
• “burn an audio playlist up to seven times”
Microsoft’s Media DRM

- Media files are encrypted, and contain pointer to license source
- User obtains license from clearing house
- License includes terms and conditions as well as decryption key
- “Licenses can have different rights, such as start times and dates, duration, and counted operations.”
- “may allow the consumer to ... copy the file to a portable device”
- “Licenses, however, are not transferable.”
- (http://www.microsoft.com/windows/windowsmedia/howto/articles/drmarchitecture.aspx)
How Does It Work?

- Operating system mediates access to files
- Operating system enforces rules imposed by the content provider
- Ordinary OS protection mechanisms isolate the unprotected content from the user
- Or do they?
The User Versus the OS

- If you own the computer, you’re the administrator; you have root privileges
- The vendors’ challenge: protecting content against the superuser
- Several different approaches
Approaches

- Obscurity — make it hard to find the plaintext
- Obfuscation — confuse the code to make reverse-engineering harder
- End-to-end crypto — do decryption on the sound card or video card. (But what about the “analog hole”?)
- Trusted hardware
- Automatic upgrades
Automatic Upgrades

- Apple does this to the iPhone
- Microsoft will sometimes update your software without telling you
- DVR vendor took away “skip 30 seconds” button
- Upgrades or downgrades?
Trusted Hardware

- Ultimately, all software-only schemes are futile
- You can always trace the code, patch modules, etc
- But — other software can attempt to detect such “attacks”, and disable playback
- See above
- The *only* reliable solution is trusted hardware
- Manage the keys and the decryption outside of the OS
- As needed, use tamper-resistance techniques for such hardware
Watermarking

- Tag files with owner and/or licensee information
- Tags should be invisible in normal use of the file
- Tags should resist detection and deletion
- Used for iTunes’ unlocked music files
- In practice, this has proved to be extremely difficult to accomplish
Defeating Watermarking

- Pictures: scaling, clipping, color balance, rotation, geometric distortion, printing/scanning
- Sound: Fourier transforms
- Thus far, the attackers are winning
Legal Issues

- The law in the US and other countries outlaws “circumvention technology”
- Lawsuits and threats of lawsuits have blocked some work
- A lot of other stuff is out there, including both academic research and practical tools (i.e., dcss)
- Crucial philosophical issue: do DRM schemes give content owners more power than copyright law would?
Current State of Affairs

- Technical measures are good enough that they’re not the weak point
- Security need not be perfect, merely good enough
- CD/DVD ripping plus redistribution is easier than cracking DRM schemes
- That said, many DRM schemes have been cracked
- Two-fold attack by content owners: technical measures and lawsuits