

---

# Attacking Things

- Why learn to attack?
- Is it true that “it takes a thief”? Do we have to learn to think like hackers to protect our systems?
- The answer, of course, is both “yes” and “no”

---

## Construction versus Destruction

- An architect and a demolition expert need to know about, say, the strength of steel beams
- The architect has to choose, balancing cost, esthetics, the customer's needs, and the local building codes
- The demolition engineer has to work with what he's got. There are choices, but they're very different ones

---

## Why Study Demolition in an Architecture Class?

- Unlike architects, someone is trying to knock our structures down *before* we're done with them
- We need some assurance that we've done a good job
- Part of the solution — repeat, *part* — is a “tiger team”

---

## Our Role

If we perceive our role aright, we then see more clearly the proper criterion for success: a toolmaker succeeds as, and only as, the users of his tools succeed with his aid. However shining the blade, however jeweled the hilt, however perfect the heft, a sword is tested only by cutting. The blacksmith is successful whose clients die of old age.

*The Mythical Man-Month*

Frederick P Brooks, Jr.

---

# Building Tiger Teams

- What are you trying to test?
- What are the weak spots you want probed?
- What are the constraints?

---

## Rules of Engagement

- Are there things you agree the tiger team can learn, and you'll just provide?
- Are physical or social engineering attacks allowed?
- What goals must the tiger team achieve?
- What protections do you offer the tiger team?

---

## Selecting a Tiger Team

- Whom should you hire?
- Is this a good spot for a former hacker who's gone into the security business?
- Let's put it like this: you're inviting that person to probe your defenses, with a guarantee that you won't prosecute
- Are you *certain* that they've gone straight?
- For some people, the answer is very clearly yes. In other cases, it's rather more doubtful

---

## When is Hacking Ethical?

- Under exactly one circumstance: when you have the permission of the person responsible for the system you're attacking
- This is not a fuzzy line; it is a very bright, sharp barrier
- Even then, there are unethical techniques, such as anything that risks the health or well-being of the hacker or the victim

---

## Different Goals

- Penetrate a running system
- Assess the strength of a particular program
- Let's look at the latter

---

## Looking on Linux...

- `$ ls -l /bin/mount`  
`-rwsr-xr-x 1 root root 68508 Sep 14 05:11 /bin/mount`
- Hmm — `mount` is setuid root. I wonder why...
- The man page reveals that sometimes, ordinary users can mount file systems
- Let's try it, with the real version and a non-setuid version

---

## Trying Mount

```
$ /bin/mount -t iso9660 /dev/cdrom /mnt/cdrom
mount: only root can do that
$ cp /bin/mount .
$ ./mount -t iso9660 /dev/cdrom /mnt/cdrom
mount: must be superuser to use mount
```

---

## Note the Difference

- With the setuid version, there is a message from the application itself
- With the unprivileged version, the mount system call fails
- How can we find out what's happening?

---

# System Call Tracing

- Linux has a command `strace` that monitors a process' system calls
- (Solaris calls it `truss`; BSDs call it `ktrace`)

---

## Tracing `/bin/mount`

Leaving out the goo:

```
getuid32() = 7994
geteuid32() = 7994
lstat64("/etc/mtab", st_mode=S_IFREG|0644, st_size=1634, ...)
stat64("/sbin/mount.iso9660", 0xbfffa9a0) = -1 ENOENT (No such file or directory)
rt_sigprocmask(SIG_BLOCK, ~[TRAP SEGV RTMIN], NULL, 8) = 0
mount("/dev/cdrom", "/mnt/cdrom", "iso9660",
      MS_POSIXACL|MS_ACTIVE|MS_NOUSER|0xec0000, 0) = -1 EPERM (Operation not permitted)
rt_sigprocmask(SIG_UNBLOCK, ~[TRAP SEGV RTMIN], NULL, 8) = 0
...
write(2, "mount: must be superuser to use ...")
```

Note: the message is the *unprivileged* version

---

## Why the Difference?

- Tracing a privileged program is a security breach
- The kernel silently disabled the setuid-ness — note the return values for `geteuid32()`
- But we can watch its complete behavior up to the point it needs privilege
- By the way — was the access control error (EPERM) logged?

---

## Tracing Library Calls

- The `ltrace` command traces library calls:

```
strlen("iso9660") = 7  
sprintf("/sbin/mount.iso9660", "/sbin/mount.%s", "iso9660")
```

- Sprintf? Hmm – can we exploit it?
- Probably not — see the length check before it?
- Sure enough — for a very long file type, it skips the sprintf

---

## What Else Can We Learn?

- Run `strings` on the command

```
$ strings mount | more
/lib/ld-linux.so.2
libc.so.6
putchar
strcpy
waitpid
ioctl
chown
...
```

- That looks like a symbol table

---

## The Option Table

\_netdev  
nomand  
loop  
noatime  
nodiratime  
kudzu  
loop=  
vfs=

What does the “kudzu” option do? It’s not documented. . .

---

## Other Interesting Stuff

- Look for file names
- Anything in /tmp? Anything *predictable* in /tmp (Why is that interesting?)

---

## More Avenues to Explore

Does the program manipulate uids?

```
$ strings mount | grep uid
```

```
geteuid
```

```
getuid
```

```
getpwuid
```

```
setuid
```

```
nosuid
```

```
uid=useruid
```

```
uid=%d
```

```
uid=
```

```
or by label, using -L label or by uuid, using -U uuid .
```

```
broken_suid
```

---

## What Other Ways Do We Know to Break In?

- What files does it read or write? (string— grep /)
- Does it exec anything it shouldn't?
- What UID/GID does it run under? (ps can tell you that)

---

## Finding Holes isn't Following a Recipe

- A script can let you exploit a known hole
- It won't help you find a new one
- Look for edge cases, push boundaries
- It's often trial and error

---

## Further Steps

- We could use a disassembler, but that takes a lot of skill
- That said, it is possible, and people do it
- Run the program under `gdb` and trace it. Again, it takes time and skill

---

## Conclusions

- Programs leak a lot of data while executing
- I can still run `strace` — but not `ltrace` — even if the executable is read-protected
- Such monitoring gives valuable clues as to its behavior
- (Other operating systems have similar facilities)

---

## Higher-Level Tiger Teams

- Network attacks
- Social attacks
- Physical attacks
- Infiltration

---

## Infiltration

- Get a job at the company of your choice
- Get a job at a supplier to the company of your choice
- Make it a nice, low-level job
- Do employers really check references and credentials? Many don't

---

## Physical Attacks

- Physically break in to the building
- Or break into the computer room
- Have you checked your false ceilings lately?

---

## From Today's NY Times

# Men Posing as FedEx Workers Rob Jewelry Wholesaler

Two men posing as Federal Express employees breezed through security at a tightly guarded building in the city's diamond district yesterday, rode the elevator to the sixth floor and robbed a jewelry wholesaler of goods valued at \$4 million, the police said.

...

According to Commissioner Raymond W. Kelly, one of the robbers carried a package that was too big to slide under the door at Doppelt & Greenwald. The robbers said it had to be signed for, the commissioner said, and when the door was opened, they showed the gun.

---

## Social Attacks

- Talk people into giving you what you want
- If you sound convincing, you can be remarkably successful
- If you look the part, you can be remarkably successful
- (Do I classify that robbery as a physical attack or a social one?)

---

# Network Attacks

- Find vulnerable host
- Find a vulnerable application
- Walk right in. . .
- (More a subject for 4180)

---

# Reconnaissance

- All of these attack techniques depend on *reconnaissance*
- The attacker has to know the weak points
- Blocking, or at least spotting, reconnaissance is a major defense

---

## Blocking Reconnaissance

- Intrusion detection systems can spot probes
- Sophisticated variant: low, slow, distributed reconnaissance — probe from multiple points
- Must do the same in the physical world — it's a virtual certainty that yesterday's robbers had watched how FedEx workers were treated at the entrance

---

## Hiding Version Numbers Doesn't Help Much

```
# nmap -sV -O -p 1-1024 bigboy
```

```
Starting nmap 3.93 ( http://www.insecure.org/nmap/ ) at 2005-
```

```
Interesting ports on 192.168.2.79:
```

```
(The 1022 ports scanned but not shown below are in state: closed)
```

```
PORT      STATE SERVICE VERSION
```

```
22/tcp    open  ssh      OpenSSH 4.0 NetBSD_Secure_Shell-2005042
```

```
631/tcp   open  ipp      CUPS 1.1
```

```
MAC Address: 00:11:11:5B:7A:CD (Intel)
```

```
Device type: general purpose
```

```
Running: NetBSD
```

```
OS details: NetBSD 1.6ZH or 2.0 - 2.0.2
```

```
Nmap finished: 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 21.827 seconds
```

---

## Scanning Cluster

```
MAC Address: 00:03:BA:14:A3:68 (Sun Microsystems)
Device type: general purpose
Running: Sun Solaris 8
OS details: Sun Solaris 8
Uptime 80.533 days (since Sun Sep 11 01:38:48 2005)
Service Info: OS: Unix
```

matches the local reality:

```
$ uptime
 1:28pm up 80 day(s), 12:45, 33 users, load average: 0.12
$ uname -a
SunOS flame.cs.columbia.edu 5.8 Generic_117350-12 sun4u sparco
```

---

## Process Helps

- Make sure people *always* follow process
- Always check badges, credentials, etc.
- *Never* give out extra information, no matter the circumstance

---

## Establish Technical Processes

- How are system changes made?
- Are they logged?
- Who can change your code base?
- Version control systems — CVS, subversion, others — are a major help; they let you know who made what changes, when, and why

---

## Don't Just Go Through the Motions

- It just annoys people, and doesn't protect you
- (Example: demanding a picture ID when nothing is done with the information.)

---

## Practice, Practice, Practice

- The only way to avoid complacency is to practice
- People won't listen to lectures and training
- Make sure the culture and the incentives are set up properly
- Reward people for following policy, even if it inconveniences senior management