Generating Code and Running Programs

COMS W4115



Prof. Stephen A. Edwards
Fall 2003
Columbia University
Department of Computer Science

A Long K's Journey into Byte†

Compiler front end	Source code ↓ Parser/Semantic Analysis AST
Compiler back end	↓ Intermediate code generation IR ↓ Optimization Assembly Code
Assembler	↓ Assemble Relocatable Object Code
Linker	↓ Link Executable
Loader	↓ Relocate In-memory image

†Apologies to O'Neill

Compiler Frontends and Backends

The front end focuses on *analysis*:

- lexical analysis
- parsing
- static semantic checking
- AST generation

The back end focuses on *synthesis*:

- Translation of the AST into intermediate code
- optimization
- assembly code generation

Portable Compilers

Building a compiler a large undertaking; most try to leverage it by making it portable.

Instead of



Portable Compilers

Use a common intermediate representation.



Intermediate Representations/Formats

Stack-Based IR: Java Bytecode

```
int gcd(int a, int b) {
  while (a != b) {
    if (a > b)
      a -= b;
    else
      b -= a;
  }
  return a;
}
```

```
# javap -c Gcd

Method int gcd(int, int)
   0 goto 19

   3 iload_1         // Push a
   4 iload_2         // Push b
   5 if_icmple 15    // if a <= b goto 15

   8 iload_1         // Push a
   9 iload_2         // Push b
  10 isub            // a - b
  11 istore_1        // Store new a
  12 goto 19

  15 iload_2         // Push b
  16 iload_1         // Push a
  17 isub            // b - a
  18 istore_2        // Store new b

  19 iload_1         // Push a
  20 iload_2         // Push b
  21 if_icmpne 3     // if a != b goto 3

  24 iload_1         // Push a
  25 ireturn         // Return a
```

Stack-Based IRs

Advantages:

- Trivial translation of expressions
- Trivial interpreters
- No problems with exhausting registers
- Often compact

Disadvantages:

- Semantic gap between stack operations and modern register machines
- Hard to see what communicates with what
- Diffi cult representation for optimization

Register-Based IR: Mach SUIF

```
int gcd(int a, int b) {
  while (a != b) {
    if (a > b)
      a -= b;
    else
      b -= a;
  }
  return a;
}
```

```
gcd:
gcd._gcdTmp0:
  sne   $vr1.s32 <- gcd.a,gcd.b
  seq   $vr0.s32 <- $vr1.s32,0
  btrue $vr0.s32,gcd._gcdTmp1  // if !(a != b) goto Tmp1

  sl    $vr3.s32 <- gcd.b,gcd.a
  seq   $vr2.s32 <- $vr3.s32,0
  btrue $vr2.s32,gcd._gcdTmp4  // if !(a < b) goto Tmp4

  mrk   2, 4    // Line number 4
  sub   $vr4.s32 <- gcd.a,gcd.b
  mov   gcd._gcdTmp2 <- $vr4.s32
  mov   gcd.a <- gcd._gcdTmp2  // a = a - b
  jmp   gcd._gcdTmp5
gcd._gcdTmp4:
  mrk   2, 6
  sub   $vr5.s32 <- gcd.b,gcd.a
  mov   gcd._gcdTmp3 <- $vr5.s32
  mov   gcd.b <- gcd._gcdTmp3  // b = b - a
gcd._gcdTmp5:
  jmp   gcd._gcdTmp0

gcd._gcdTmp1:
  mrk   2, 8
  ret   gcd.a   // Return a
```

Register-Based IRs

Most common type of IR

Advantages:

- Better representation for register machines
- Dataflow is usually clear

Disadvantages:

- Slightly harder to synthesize from code
- Less compact
- More complicated to interpret

Introduction to Optimization

Optimization

```
int gcd(int a, int b) {
  while (a != b) {
    if (a < b) b -= a;
    else a -= b;
  }
  return a;
}
```

First version: GCC on SPARC

Second version: GCC -O7

```
gcd:  save %sp, -112, %sp
      st   %i0, [%fp+68]
      st   %i1, [%fp+72]
.LL2: ld   [%fp+68], %i1
      ld   [%fp+72], %i0
      cmp  %i1, %i0
      bne  .LL4
      nop
      b    .LL3
      nop
.LL4: ld   [%fp+68], %i1
      ld   [%fp+72], %i0
      cmp  %i1, %i0
      bge  .LL5
      nop
      ld   [%fp+72], %i0
      ld   [%fp+68], %i1
      sub  %i0, %i1, %i0
      st   %i0, [%fp+72]
      b    .LL2
      nop
.LL5: ld   [%fp+68], %i0
      ld   [%fp+72], %i1
      sub  %i0, %i1, %i0
      st   %i0, [%fp+68]
      b    .LL2
      nop
.LL3: ld   [%fp+68], %i0
      ret
      restore
```

```
gcd:  cmp   %o0, %o1
      be    .LL8
      nop
.LL9: bge,a .LL2
      sub   %o0, %o1, %o0
      sub   %o1, %o0, %o1
.LL2: cmp   %o0, %o1
      bne   .LL9
      nop
.LL8: retl
      nop
```

Typical Optimizations

Folding constant expressions

- 1+3 → 4

Removing dead code

- if (0) { . . . } → nothing

Moving variables from memory to registers

```
ld   [%fp+68], %i1
sub  %i0, %i1, %i0    →  sub  %o1, %o0, %o1
st   %i0, [%fp+72]
```

Removing unnecessary data movement

Filling branch delay slots (Pipelined RISC processors)

Common subexpression elimination;

Machine-Dependent vs. -Independent Optimization

No matter what the machine is, folding constants and eliminating dead code is always a good idea.

```
a = c + 5 + 3;
if (0 + 3) {
  b = c + 8;          →     b = a = c + 8;
}
```

However, many optimizations are processor-specifi c:

- Register allocation depends on how many registers the machine has
- Not all processors have branch delay slots to fi ll
- Each processor's pipeline is a little different

Basic Blocks

```
int gcd(int a, int b) {               A: sne t, a, b              A: sne t, a, b
  while (a != b) {                       bz  E, t                    bz  E, t
    if (a < b) b -= a;     lower         slt t, a, b     split
    else a -= b;             →           bnz B, t          →         slt t, a, b
  }                                      sub b, b, a                 bnz B, t
  return a;                              jmp C
}                                     B: sub a, a, b                 sub b, b, a
                                      C: jmp A                       jmp C
                                      E: ret a
                                                                  B: sub a, a, b

                                                                  C: jmp A

                                                                  E: ret a
```

The statements in a basic block all run if the fi rst one does.

Starts with a statement following a conditional branch or is a branch target.

Usually ends with a control-transfer statement.

Control-Flow Graphs

A CFG illustrates the flow of control among basic blocks.

```
A: sne t, a, b
   bz  E, t

   slt t, a, b
   bnz B, t

   sub b, b, a
   jmp C

B: sub a, a, b

C: jmp A

E: ret a
```



Assembly Code and Assemblers

Assembly Code

Most compilers produce assembly code: easier to debug than binary fi les.

```
! gcd on the SPARC
gcd:
    cmp   %o0, %o1
    be    .LL8
    nop
.LL9:
    ble,a .LL2
    sub   %o1, %o0, %o1
    sub   %o0, %o1, %o0
.LL2:
    cmp   %o0, %o1
    bne   .LL9
    nop
.LL8:
    retl
    nop
```

Comment (! gcd on the SPARC)

Operand (a register) (%o1)

Opcode (cmp)

Label (.LL9:)

Conditional branch to a label (ble,a .LL2)

No operation (nop)

Role of an Assembler

Translate opcodes + operand into byte codes

Address — Instruction code

```
                    gcd:
0000 80A20009           cmp    %o0, %o1
0004 02800008           be     .LL8
0008 01000000           nop
                    .LL9:
000c 24800003           ble,a  .LL2
0010 92224008           sub    %o1, %o0, %o1
0014 90220009           sub    %o0, %o1, %o0
                    .LL2:
0018 80A20009           cmp    %o0, %o1
001c 12BFFFFC           bne    .LL9
0020 01000000           nop
                    .LL8:
0024 81C3E008           retl
0028 01000000           nop
```

Encoding Example

```
sub   %o1, %o0, %o1
```

Encoding of “SUB” on the SPARC:

10	rd	000100	rs1	0	reserved	rs2
31	29	24	18	13	12	4

rd = %o1 = 01001

rs1 = %o1 = 01001

rs2 = %o0 = 00100

10 01001 000100 01001 0 00000000 01000
1001 0010 0010 0010 0100 0000 0000 1000

= 0x92228004

Role of an Assembler

Transforming symbolic addresses to concrete ones.

Example: Calculating PC-relative branch offsets.

LL2 is 3 words away

```
000c 24800003        ble,a .LL2
0010 92224008        sub   %o1, %o0, %o1
0014 90220009        sub   %o0, %o1, %o0
                .LL2:
0018 80A20009        cmp   %o0, %o1
```

Role of an Assembler

Most assemblers are “two-pass” because they can’t calculate everything in a single pass through the code.

Don’t know offset of LL2

```
            .LL9:
000c 24800003     ble,a .LL2
0010 92224008     sub   %o1, %o0, %o1
0014 90220009     sub   %o0, %o1, %o0
            .LL2:
0018 80A20009     cmp   %o0, %o1
001c 12BFFFFC     bne   .LL9
```

Know offset of LL9

Role of an Assembler

Constant data needs to be aligned.

```
char a[] = "Hello";
int b[3] = { 5, 6, 7 };

               .section ".data"   ! ‘‘This is data’’
               .global a          ! ‘‘Let other files see a
               .type   a,#object  ! ‘‘a is a variable’’
               .size   a,6        ! ‘‘six bytes long’’
            a:
0000 48656C6C  .asciz  "Hello"    ! zero-terminated ASCII
     6F00

               .global b
0006 0000      .align 4
               .type   b,#object
               .size   b,12
            b:
0008 00000005  .uaword 5
000c 00000006  .uaword 6
0010 00000007  .uaword 7
```

Assembler directives

Bytes added to ensure alignment

Role of an Assembler

The MIPS has pseudoinstructions:

“Load the immediate value 0x12345abc into register 14:”

```
li $14, 0x12345abc
```

expands to

```
lui $14, 0x1234
ori $14, 0x5abc
```

“Load the upper 16 bits, then OR in the lower 16”

MIPS instructions have 16-bit immediate values at most

RISC philosophy: small instructions for common case

Optimization: Register Allocation

Optimization: Register Allocation

Where to put temporary results? Our compiler will just put them on the stack; a typical default.

```
int bar(int g, int h, int i, int j, int k, int l)
{
  int a, b, c, d, e, f;
  a = foo(g);
  b = foo(h);
  c = foo(i);
  d = foo(j);
  e = foo(k);
  f = foo(l);
  return a + (b + (c + (d + (e + f))));
}
```

Quick Review of the x86 Architecture

Eight “general-purpose” 32-bit registers:

eax ebx ecx edx ebp esi edi esp

esp is the stack pointer

ebp is the base (frame) pointer

`addl %eax, %edx` eax + edx → edx

Base-pointer-relative addressing:

`movl 20(%ebp), %eax` Load word at ebp+20 into eax

Unoptimized GCC on the x86

```
movl 24(%ebp),%eax    % Get k
pushl %eax            % Push argument
call foo              % e = foo(k);
addl $4,%esp          % Make room for e
movl %eax,%eax        % Does nothing
movl %eax,-20(%ebp)   % Save return value on stack

movl 28(%ebp),%eax    % Get l
pushl %eax            % Push argument
call foo              % f = foo(l);
addl $4,%esp          % Make room for f
movl %eax,%eax        % Does nothing
movl %eax,-24(%ebp)   % Save return value on stack

movl -20(%ebp),%eax   % Get f
movl -24(%ebp),%edx   % Get e
addl %edx,%eax        % e + f
movl %eax,%edx        % Accumulate in edx
addl -16(%ebp),%edx   % d + (e+f)
movl %edx,%eax        % Accumulate in edx
```

Optimized GCC on the x86

```
movl 20(%ebp),%edx    % Get j
pushl %edx            % Push argument
call foo              % d = foo(j);
movl %eax,%esi        % save d in esi

movl 24(%ebp),%edx    % Get k
pushl %edx            % Push argument
call foo              % e = foo(k);
movl %eax,%ebx        % save e in ebx

movl 28(%ebp),%edx    % Get l
pushl %edx            % Push argument
call foo              % f = foo(l);

addl %ebx,%eax        % e + f
addl %esi,%eax        % d + (e+f)
```

Unoptimized vs. Optimized

```
                             movl 20(%ebp),%edx
                             pushl %edx
                             call foo
                             movl %eax,%esi

movl 24(%ebp),%eax           movl 24(%ebp),%edx
pushl %eax                   pushl %edx
call foo                     call foo
addl $4,%esp                 movl %eax,%ebx
movl %eax,%eax
movl %eax,-20(%ebp)

movl 28(%ebp),%eax           movl 28(%ebp),%edx
pushl %eax                   pushl %edx
call foo                     call foo
addl $4,%esp
movl %eax,%eax
movl %eax,-24(%ebp)

movl -20(%ebp),%eax
movl -24(%ebp),%edx
addl %edx,%eax               addl %ebx,%eax
movl %eax,%edx
addl -16(%ebp),%edx          addl %esi,%eax
movl %edx,%eax
```

Separate Compilation and Linking

Separate Compilation



Linking

Goal of the linker is to combine the disparate pieces of the program into a coherent whole.

file1.c:

```
#include <stdio.h>
char a[] = "Hello";
extern void bar();

int main() {
  bar();
}

void baz(char *s) {
  printf("%s", s);
}
```

file2.c:

```
#include <stdio.h>
extern char a[];

static char b[6];

void bar() {
  strcpy(b, a);
  baz(b);
}
```

libc.a:

```
int
printf(char *s, ...)
{
  /* ... */
}

char *
strcpy(char *d, char *s)
{
  /* ... */
}
```

Linking



Object Files

Relocatable: Many need to be pasted together. Final in-memory address of code not known when program is compiled

Object fi les contain

- imported symbols (unresolved "external" symbols)
- relocation information (what needs to change)
- exported symbols (what other fi les may refer to)

Object Files

file1.c:

```
#include <stdio.h>
char a[] = "Hello";
extern void bar();

int main() {
  bar();
}

void baz(char *s) {
  printf("%s", s);
}
```

exported symbols

imported symbols

Object Files

file1.c:

```
#include <stdio.h>
char a[] = "Hello";
extern void bar();

int main() {
  bar();
}

void baz(char *s) {
  printf("%s", s);
}
```

```
# objdump -x file1.o
Sections:
Idx Name     Size VMA LMA Offset Algn
  0 .text    038  0   0   034    2**2
  1 .data    008  0   0   070    2**3
  2 .bss     000  0   0   078    2**0
  3 .rodata  008  0   0   078    2**3

SYMBOL TABLE:
0000 g O .data   006 a
0000 g F .text   014 main
0000     *UND*   000 bar
0014 g F .text   024 baz
0000     *UND*   000 printf

RELOCATION RECORDS FOR [.text]:
OFFSET   TYPE             VALUE
0004 R_SPARC_WDISP30   bar
001c R_SPARC_HI22      .rodata
0020 R_SPARC_LO10      .rodata
0028 R_SPARC_WDISP30   printf
```

Object Files

file1.c:

```
#include <stdio.h>
char a[] = "Hello";
extern void bar();

int main() {
  bar();
}

void baz(char *s) {
  printf("%s", s);
}
```

```
# objdump -d file1.o
0000 <main>:
 0: 9d e3 bf 90 save  %sp, -112, %sp
 4: 40 00 00 00 call  4 <main+0x4>
    4: R_SPARC_WDISP30 bar
 8: 01 00 00 00 nop
 c: 81 c7 e0 08 ret
10: 81 e8 00 00 restore

0014 <baz>:
14: 9d e3 bf 90 save  %sp, -112, %sp
18: f0 27 a0 44 st  %i0, [ %fp + 0x44 ]
1c: 11 00 00 00 sethi  %hi(0), %o0
    1c: R_SPARC_HI22 .rodata
20: 90 12 20 00 mov  %o0, %o0
    20: R_SPARC_LO10 .rodata
24: d2 07 a0 44 ld  [ %fp + 0x44 ], %o1
28: 40 00 00 00 call  28 <baz+0x14>
    28: R_SPARC_WDISP30 printf
2c: 01 00 00 00 nop
30: 81 c7 e0 08 ret
34: 81 e8 00 00 restore
```

Linking

Combine object files

Relocate each function's code

Resolve previously unresolved symbols

Before and After Linking

```
int main() {
  bar();
}

void baz(char *s) {
  printf("%s", s);
}
```

Code starting address changed

```
0000 <main>:                                  105f8 <main>:
 0: 9d e3 bf 90 save  %sp, -112, %sp          105f8: 9d e3 bf 90 save %sp, -112, %sp
 4: 40 00 00 00 call  4 <main+0x4>            105fc: 40 00 00 0d call 10630 <bar>
    4: R_SPARC_WDISP30 bar
 8: 01 00 00 00 nop                           10600: 01 00 00 00 nop
 c: 81 c7 e0 08 ret                           10604: 81 c7 e0 08 ret
10: 81 e8 00 00 restore                       10608: 81 e8 00 00 restore


0014 <baz>:                                   1060c <baz>:
14: 9d e3 bf 90 save  %sp, -112, %sp          1060c: 9d e3 bf 90 save  %sp, -112, %sp
18: f0 27 a0 44 st  %i0, [ %fp + 0x44 ]       10610: f0 27 a0 44 st    %i0, [ %fp + 0x44 ]
1c: 11 00 00 00 sethi  %hi(0), %o0            10614: 11 00 00 41 sethi %hi(0x10400), %o0
    1c: R_SPARC_HI22 .rodata  <- unresolved symbol
20: 90 12 20 00 mov  %o0, %o0                 10618: 90 12 23 00 or    %o0, 0x300, %o0
    20: R_SPARC_LO10 .rodata
24: d2 07 a0 44 ld  [ %fp + 0x44 ], %o1       1061c: d2 07 a0 44 ld    [ %fp + 0x44 ], %o1
28: 40 00 00 00 call  28 <baz+0x14>           10620: 40 00 40 62 call  207a8
    28: R_SPARC_WDISP30 printf
2c: 01 00 00 00 nop                           10624: 01 00 00 00 nop
30: 81 c7 e0 08 ret                           10628: 81 c7 e0 08 ret
34: 81 e8 00 00 restore                       1062c: 81 e8 00 00 restore
```

Linking Resolves Symbols

file1.c:

```
#include <stdio.h>
char a[] = "Hello";
extern void bar();

int main() {
  bar();
}

void baz(char *s) {
  printf("%s", s);
}
```

file2.c:

```
#include <stdio.h>
extern char a[];

static char b[6];

void bar() {
  strcpy(b, a);
  baz(b);
}
```

```
105f8 <main>:
105f8: 9d e3 bf 90 save %sp, -112, %sp
105fc: 40 00 00 0d call 10630 <bar>
10600: 01 00 00 00 nop
10604: 81 c7 e0 08 ret
10608: 81 e8 00 00 restore

1060c <baz>:
1060c: 9d e3 bf 90 save  %sp, -112, %sp
10610: f0 27 a0 44 st    %i0, [ %fp + 0x44 ]
10614: 11 00 00 41 sethi %hi(0x10400), %o0
10618: 90 12 23 00 or    %o0, 0x300, %o0   ! "%s"
1061c: d2 07 a0 44 ld    [ %fp + 0x44 ], %o1
10620: 40 00 40 62 call  207a8             ! printf
10624: 01 00 00 00 nop
10628: 81 c7 e0 08 ret
1062c: 81 e8 00 00 restore

10630 <bar>:
10630: 9d e3 bf 90 save  %sp, -112, %sp
10634: 11 00 00 82 sethi %hi(0x20800), %o0
10638: 90 12 20 a8 or    %o0, 0xa8, %o0    ! 208a8 <b>
1063c: 13 00 00 81 sethi %hi(0x20400), %o1
10640: 92 12 63 18 or    %o1, 0x318, %o1   ! 20718 <a>
10644: 40 00 40 4d call  20778             ! strcpy
10648: 01 00 00 00 nop
1064c: 11 00 00 82 sethi %hi(0x20800), %o0
10650: 90 12 20 a8 or    %o0, 0xa8, %o0    ! 208a8 <b>
10654: 7f ff ff ee call  1060c <baz>
10658: 01 00 00 00 nop
1065c: 81 c7 e0 08 ret
10660: 81 e8 00 00 restore
10664: 81 c3 e0 08 retl
10668: ae 03 c0 17 add  %o7, %l7, %l7
```

Shared Libraries and Dynamic Linking

Shared Libraries and Dynamic Linking

The 1980s GUI/WIMP revolution required many large libraries (the Athena widgets, Motif, etc.)

Under a *static linking* model, each executable using a library gets a copy of that library's code.

Address 0:



Shared Libraries and Dynamic Linking

Wasteful: running many GUI programs at once fills memory with nearly identical copies of each library.

Something had to be done: another level of indirection.

Address 0:



Shared Libraries: First Attempt

Most code makes assumptions about its location.

First solution (early Unix System V R3) required each shared library to be located at a unique address:

Address 0:



Shared Libraries: First Attempt

Obvious disadvantage: must ensure each new shared library located at a new address.

Works fi ne if there are only a few libraries; tended to discourage their use.

Shared Libraries

Problem fundamentally is that each program may need to see different libraries each at a different address.



Position-Independent Code

Solution: Require the code for libraries to be position-independent. Make it so they can run anywhere in memory.

As always, add another level of indirection:

- All branching is PC-relative
- All data must be addressed relative to a base register.
- All branching to and from this code must go through a jump table.

Position-Independent Code for bar()

Normal unlinked code

```
save  %sp, -112, %sp
sethi  %hi(0), %o0
  R_SPARC_HI22 .bss
mov  %o0, %o0
  R_SPARC_LO10 .bss
sethi  %hi(0), %o1
  R_SPARC_HI22 a
mov  %o1, %o1
  R_SPARC_LO10 a
call  14
  R_SPARC_WDISP30 strcpy
nop
sethi  %hi(0), %o0
  R_SPARC_HI22 .bss
mov  %o0, %o0
  R_SPARC_LO10 .bss
call  24
  R_SPARC_WDISP30 baz
nop
ret
restore
```

gcc -fpic -shared

```
save  %sp, -112, %sp
sethi  %hi(0x10000), %l7
call  8e0    ! add PC to %l7
add  %l7, 0x198, %l7
ld  [ %l7 + 0x20 ], %o0
ld  [ %l7 + 0x24 ], %o1


call  10a24 ! strcpy

nop
ld  [ %l7 + 0x20 ], %o0


call  10a3c ! baz

nop
ret
restore
```

Actually just a stub (points to `10a24` in `call 10a24 ! strcpy`)

call is PC-relative (points to `call 10a3c ! baz`)