

# Building Certified Software Systems

RESEARCH STATEMENT

Ronghui Gu ([ronghui.gu@columbia.edu](mailto:ronghui.gu@columbia.edu))

My research goal is to make critical software systems truly reliable and secure through *formal verification*. As the backbone of modern software systems, operating system (OS) kernels factor heavily into the reliability and security of today’s computing hosts. Despite its importance, OS kernel implementations are complicated, highly concurrent, and prone to bugs—meaning that the programs built upon them, no matter how rigorous, have the same faint vulnerabilities. In the past several years, my research was focused on compositional verification theories and developing scalable tools to verify concurrent OS kernels that are formally proved to be error-free and secure [POPL’15, OSDI’16, PLDI’16a, PLDI’16b, CAV’17, OOPSLA’17, APLAS’17, JAR, PLDI’18, SOSP’19a, SOSP’19b, CACM].

## My Current Work on CertiKOS

My research approach is to explore clean and concise programming language theories that reveal the fundamental insights of system design patterns, and to apply these theories in building practical systems that behave as intended through formal verification. While such mechanical “Formal Verification” dates back to the 1960s, complete formal proofs of single-core OS kernels have only become feasible recently: most notably as demonstrated by seL4 in 2009. While the seL4 example was encouraging, it was still prohibitively expensive to verify—its verification took 11 person-years to develop. Furthermore, verification of multi-core OS kernels remained out of reach.

I hypothesized that these hurdles were caused by ignoring the *layered structure* in the programming language theories. While modern systems are implemented with well-designed layers, this layered structure had not been exploited by the verification techniques like program logic: even with the most advanced methods, modules across different layers and multiple threads have to be reasoned about at the same abstraction level. This made system verification difficult to untangle and costly to extend.

My work is among the first to address these challenges by creating a novel class of specifications, named *deep specifications*, through a layered approach. In theory, these layered deep specifications uncover the insights of layered design patterns and are rich enough to fully characterize a system’s functionality. In practice, they are “live” enough to connect with actual implementations, enabling a modular approach to building entirely trustworthy software system stacks. These pioneering advances have resulted in the creation of a comprehensive verification framework, named CertiKOS, as well as a series of fully verified sequential and concurrent OS kernels. CertiKOS is used in high-profile DARPA programs [CRASH, HACMS] and has been a core component of an NSF Expeditions in Computing project [DeepSpec], being widely considered “a real breakthrough” toward creating hacker-resistant systems [YaleNews, IBTimes, YDN].

## Deep Specifications and Certified Abstraction Layers

One innovation of my thesis research is that OS kernels are treated as *run-time compilers* [POPL’15]. From this novel view, the OS kernel as a whole compiles user programs that are understood using system call specifications into programs that interact with the kernel implementation directly. We can view a layer in the kernel as a *compilation phase* and the kernel module between two layers as a *compiler transformation*. In this way, OS kernels can be verified by showing that every such transformation preserves the behavior of *arbitrary context programs*, and that all the compilation phases can be composed into a *compositional compiler*. Due to this contextual preservation property, these layer interfaces are named as deep specifications, which precisely capture the functionality of the implementation under any context.

Just as compilers enable program development in higher-level and machine-independent languages, this layer-based approach allows program verification to be done over a more abstract interface that is implementation independent. Each module is verified at its proper abstraction level by showing a contextual simulation between the implementation at that level and the deep specification at a higher level. Once this proof is done, the deep specification forms a new layer interface, which we call a *certified abstraction layer*. Any client program or any functional property of this module can be reasoned about using this more abstract layer specification alone, without looking at the actual implementation.

To apply this layer-based approach to the verification of real software systems, we developed the CertiKOS framework to specify, verify, and compose certified abstraction layers in the Coq proof assistant. We also extended the CompCert verified compiler to compile certified C layers such that they can be linked with assembly layers. With CertiKOS, the task of verifying an OS kernel can be mechanically decomposed into many small, simple, and independent tasks, which are

convenient for manual proofs and are often automatable. To demonstrate the power of our framework, we have developed multiple certified sequential OS kernels in Coq. The most realistic one is called mCertiKOS, which consists of 37 certified abstraction layers, took less than one person-year to develop, and can boot a version of Linux as a guest. An extended version of mCertiKOS was deployed on a military land vehicle in the context of a high-profile DARPA program [HACMS].

### Verifying Concurrent OS Kernels with CertiKOS

Moving from the sequential kernel verification to the concurrent one is not straightforward at all and requires a more robust compositional theory. A concurrent kernel allows arbitrarily interleaved execution of kernel/user modules across different layers of abstraction. Several researchers even believe that the combination of concurrency and the kernels’ functional complexity makes the formal verification intractable, and even if it is possible, the cost would far exceed that of verifying a single-core sequential kernel.

We believe that the key to untangling this “intractable” complexity is rooted in the strong contextual property of deep specifications [OSDP’16, PLDI’18, CACM]. A deep specification, in the concurrent setting, must ensure behavior preservation not only under any client context but also under any *concurrent context* with any interleaving. A concurrent context corresponds to a particular execution of the kernel and is represented as a list of *events* that encapsulates the behaviors of the rest of the CPUs (or threads), as well as the interference among them under this execution.

The *certified concurrent layer* is then parameterized over this concurrent context, and a new layer-based theory is developed to compose certified concurrent layers with valid concurrent contexts. Given a particular concurrent context, the interleaving is determined, and a concurrent layer is reduced to a sequential one — this allows us to apply sequential verification techniques for building new concurrent layers. A newly introduced concurrent layer becomes “deep” only after we show that its simulation proof holds for all valid concurrent contexts. To ease this step, we lift the concurrent machine model (which allows arbitrary interleaving at any point) to an abstract local machine model, where all interference from the concurrent context is restricted to certain specific points, i.e., just before the invocations of synchronization primitives. The idea of this machine lifting enables the *local reasoning* of multicore (and multithreaded) programs, without worrying about the interleaving except for a few specific places. Furthermore, based on this machine lifting, we introduce a thread-safe version of the CompCert compiler called CompCertX, which compiles concurrent C layers into assembly ones and propagates the guarantees down to the assembly-level execution over the multicore hardware.

Using this concurrent layer-based theory, we have also developed and verified a practical concurrent OS kernel in Coq. Our certified kernel is written in 6,500 lines of C and x86 assembly, and it runs on stock x86 multicore machines. To our knowledge, this is the first fully verified concurrent OS kernel with fine-grained locking. This work has been recognized as “a milestone that ... could lead to a new generation of reliable and secure systems software” [YaleNews].

### Adapting CertiKOS to Verify Interruptible OS Kernels and Device Drivers

Besides the power to build certified software systems from scratch, our layer-based theory also makes it efficient to introduce new system features. One example is our CertiKOS extensions for verifying device drivers [PLDI’16b, JAR]. In a monolithic kernel, device drivers represent the majority of the code base, as well as the primary source of the system crashes. Although formal verification of device drivers is highly desirable, it is widely considered to be challenging, due to the abundance of device features and the non-local effects of interrupts.

To address this issue, we extend the certified layer with both a general device model that can be instantiated with various hardware devices and a realistic interrupt model that scales the reasoning about interruptible code. The device drivers are modeled as if each of them were running on a separate *logical CPU*. This novel idea allows us to incrementally refine a raw device model into a more abstract one by building certified layers of the relevant driver on its logical CPU. Meanwhile, this idea systematically enforces the isolation among different devices and the rest of the kernel. This strong isolation property leads to an abstract interrupt model, under which most of the kernel execution is interrupt-unaware.

Thanks to the layer-based framework equipped with these new models, we turned mCertiKOS into an interruptible kernel with verified device drivers (e.g., serial and IOAPIC). The entire extension took seven person-months to implement in Coq. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first verified interruptible OS kernel with device drivers.

### End-to-End Security Verification in CertiKOS

In the layer-based approach, richer properties of the whole system can be easily derived from the deep specifications. Take the security property as an example. Protecting the confidentiality of information manipulated by a software system is one of the critical challenges facing today’s cybersecurity community. It is challenging to specify the desired security policy of a complex system in a clean way and to conduct the proof in a uniform way that allows linking everything together into a system-wide guarantee. We address these issues by extending our CertiKOS framework [PLDI’16a]. We develop a novel methodology, called the *observation function*, which provides a uniform mechanism to specify security policies. A policy can be proved at the top-most layer of the kernel using a general method that subsumes both security-label proofs and

information-hiding proofs. By showing that our simulation preserves security, the guarantees can be propagated across layers of abstraction, resulting in the first ever end-to-end secure kernel involving both C and assembly code.

## Future Research Agenda

The goal of my research is to integrate clean and concise programming language theories into the development of formally verified software systems. I plan to pursue this goal through the following research directions at different stages.

### Programming Certified Software Systems Directly

Existing projects on real system verification, including CertiKOS, all require (manually) writing the actual implementation in a C-like language and a formal specification in a proof assistant language. Lacking the support of writing certified programs directly makes the certified software systems difficult to develop and maintain. I plan to work with *program synthesis* and *artificial intelligence* researchers to bridge this enormous gap between the low-level system programming and the high-level specification reasoning through a uniform framework that enables programming certified software directly.

This goal is ambitious but promising. Because deep specifications precisely capture the contextual functionality of their implementations, why not write only the layer specifications and then generate the whole system from the layers automatically? When focusing on a single verification task between two layers, the gap between the implementation and its deep specification, as well as the gap between two adjacent specifications, are both relatively small. We have already synthesized a page allocator module consisting of four layers. I aim to exploit this uniform framework in more depth by attempting: (1) to generate a complete kernel from layer specifications following the line of the program synthesis work; and (2) to link adjacent layers automatically by taking advantage of the recent progress in the artificial intelligence.

### Apply Verification Theories to Various Domains

OS kernels are not the only area that can benefit from the formal verification techniques. In the next five years, I will further investigate more powerful and more compositional verification theories, applying them to the following domains:

- I plan to work with *system researchers* to build a zero-vulnerability system stack consisting of verified components, such as database systems, file systems, network stacks, operating systems, and distributed systems. Although each of these components has been actively studied, for most of them (e.g., file systems), only sequential versions have been verified, and there is a high demand to link all their guarantees together to form a trustworthy system stack. Our success on device driver extensions reveals a promising way to address these challenges. For different systems, we may provide “customized” machine models by exposing an interface that abstracts a particular set of system features. This will enable a domain-specific approach to building certified layers for each system separately. The major remaining issue, then, is how to link together all of these layers with different reliability requirements and system features, using a single compositional theory. I am looking forward to exploring this research opportunity.
- I plan to work with *security researchers* to develop certified commercial-grade toolkits for security protocols (e.g., TLS and SSL). For those layer-based protocols, the layered verification approach will be a perfect fit. The concept of our certified concurrent layers can scale the existing security proofs by instantiating the widely-used oracle techniques with the concurrent context. The theoretical challenge that I have to solve is how to preserve security guarantees under simulations with concurrent contexts.
- I plan to work with *cyber-physical system (CPS) researchers* to build high-confidence CPS with the real-time assurance. Due to the physical consequences, it is highly desirable to prove that a CPS behaves as required regarding both functionality and timing. We have built an embedded system (a variant of mCertiKOS) that is proved to be functionally correct and can run on a real drone. However, the most difficult problem left is how to formally model time in the theory. My proposal is to abstract the flow of time as a list of special events, which will be indicated by a timing oracle that is enriched from the concurrent context. With this model, we can apply our concurrent verification techniques to prove real-time properties. I hope to explore this timing theory shortly.
- I plan to work with *program analysis* researchers to establish a general and efficient specification-based testing framework. For concurrent software (e.g., user-level apps) without high-reliability requirements, the complete formal verification is unnecessary and too expensive, while the random testing has difficulty detecting concurrency bugs caused by certain interleavings. We plan to solve this research problem by utilizing deep specifications to intelligently generate the test cases in the concurrent setting. Due to the “live” property mentioned earlier, we can run these tests over the specifications directly, without waiting for the responses from heavy-workload operations.

## Pushing Towards an Industry-Scale Verification Framework

In the long term, I aim to scale our verification techniques up to the industry grade. To achieve this, we not only have to provide a powerful set of certified libraries but also need to make a breakthrough in the specification theories. I aim to make our deep specifications more natural and straightforward for software engineers. If successful, this research will make the real-world systems reliable and secure, creating a profound impact on the industry and the society in general.

## References

- [POPL'15] R. Gu, J. Koenig, T. Ramanandro, Z. Shao, X. Wu, S. Weng, H. Zhang, and Y. Guo. “Deep Specifications and Certified Abstraction Layers.” In *Proceedings of the 42nd ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL'15)*.
- [OSDI'16] R. Gu, Z. Shao, H. Chen, X. Wu, J. Kim, V. Sjöberg, and D. Costanzo. “CertiKOS: An Extensible Architecture for Building Certified Concurrent OS Kernels.” In *Proceedings of the 12th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI'16)*.
- [PLDI'16a] D. Costanzo, Z. Shao, and R. Gu. “End-to-End Verification of Information-Flow Security for C and Assembly Programs.” In *Proceedings of the 37th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation (PLDI'16)*.
- [PLDI'16b] H. Chen, X. Wu, Z. Shao, J. Lockerman, and R. Gu. “Toward Compositional Verification of Interruptible OS Kernels and Device Drivers.” In *Proceedings of the 37th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation (PLDI'16)*.
- [OOPSLA'17] E. Zhai, R. Piskac, R. Gu, X. Lao, and X. Wang. “An Auditing Language for Preventing Correlated Failures in the Cloud.” In *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages 1 (OOPSLA'17)*.
- [CAV'17] X. Yuan, J. Yang, and R. Gu. “Partial Order Aware Concurrency Sampling.” In *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Computer Aided Verification (CAV'18)*.
- [APLAS'17] J. Kim, V. Sjöberg, R. Gu, and Z. Shao. “Safety and Liveness of MCS Lock—Layer by Layer.” In *Proceedings of the 15th Asian Symposium on Programming Languages and Systems (APLAS'17)*.
- [JAR] H. Chen, X. Wu, Z. Shao, J. Lockerman, and R. Gu. “Toward Compositional Verification of Interruptible OS Kernels and Device Drivers.” *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1-4).
- [PLDI'18] R. Gu, Z. Shao, J. Kim, X. Wu, J. Koenig, V. Sjöberg, H. Chen, D. Costanzo, and T. Ramanandro. “Certified Concurrent Abstraction Layers.” In *Proceedings of the 39th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation (PLDI'18)*.
- [SOSP'19a] L. Nelson, J. Bornholt, R. Gu, A. Baumann, E. Torlak, and X. Wang. “Scaling symbolic evaluation for automated verification of systems code with Serval.” In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGOPS 27th Symposium on Operating Systems Principles (SOSP'19)*.
- [SOSP'19b] M. Zou, H. Ding, D. Du, M. Fu, R. Gu, and H. Chen. “Using Concurrent Relational Logic with Helper for Verifying the AtomFS File System.” In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGOPS 27th Symposium on Operating Systems Principles (SOSP'19)*.
- [CACM] R. Gu, Z. Shao, H. Chen, J. Kim, J. Koenig, X. Wu, V. Sjöberg, and D. Costanzo, “Building Certified Concurrent OS Kernels.” *Communications of the ACM (CACM)*, 62(10), 89-99.
- [DeepSpec] DeepSpec: The science of deep specifications. <http://deepspec.org/>.
- [HACMS] High-Assurance Cyber Military Systems (HACMS). <http://opencatalog.darpa.mil/HACMS.html>.
- [CRASH] Clean-slate design of Resilient, Adaptive, Secure Hosts (CRASH). <http://www.darpa.mil/program/clean-slate-design-of-resilient-adaptive-secure-hosts>.
- [YaleNews] “CertiKOS: A breakthrough toward hacker-resistant operating systems.” *Yale News*, 2016. <http://news.yale.edu/2016/11/14/certikos-breakthrough-toward-hacker-resistant-operating-systems>.
- [IBTimes] “CertiKOS: Yale develops world’s first hacker-resistant operating system.” *International Business Times*, 2016. <http://www.ibtimes.co.uk/certikos-yale-develops-worlds-first-hacker-resistant-operating-system-1591712>.
- [YDN] “Yale computer scientists unveil new OS.” *Yale Daily News*, 2016. <http://yaledailynews.com/blog/2016/11/18/yale-computer-scientists-unveil-new-os/>.