W4118: OS Overview

Junfeng Yang

References: Modern Operating Systems (3rd edition), Operating Systems Concepts (8th edition), previous W4118, and OS at MIT, Stanford, and UWisc

Outline

OS definitions


OS abstractions/concepts

OS structure

OS evolution

What is OS?

A program that acts as an intermediary between a user of a computer and the computer hardware."



Two popular definitions

- Top-down perspective: hardware abstraction layer, turn hardware into something that applications can use
- Bottom-up perspective: resource manager/coordinator, manage your computer's resources

OS = hardware abstraction layer

- "standard library" "OS as virtual machine"
 - E.g. printf("hello world"), shows up on screen
 - App issue system calls to use OS abstractions
- □ Why good?
 - Ease of use: higher level, easier to program
 - Reusability: provide common functionality for reuse
 - E.g. each app doesn't have to write a graphics driver
 - Portability / Uniformity: stable, consistent interface, different OS/ver/hw look same
 - E.g. scsi/ide/flash disks
- Why hard?
 - What are the right abstractions?


Two popular definitions

- Top-down perspective: hardware abstraction layer, turn hardware into something that applications can use
- Bottom-up perspective: resource manager/coordinator, manage your computer's resources

OS = resource manager/coordinator

□ Computer has resources, OS must manage.

• Resource = CPU, Memory, disk, device, bandwidth, ...



OS = resource manager/coordinator (cont.)

- □ Why good?
 - Sharing/Multiplexing: more than 1 app/user to use resource
 - Protection: protect apps from each other, OS from app
 - Who gets what when
 - Performance: efficient/fair access to resources
- Why hard? Mechanisms vs policies
 - Mechanism: how to do things
 - Policy: what will be done
 - Ideal: general mechanisms, flexible policies
 - Difficult to design right

Outline

OS definitions

OS abstractions/concepts

OS structure

OS evolution

OS abstraction: process

- Running program, stream of running instructions + process state
 - A key OS abstraction: the applications you use are built of processes
 - Shell, powerpoint, gcc, browser, ...
- Easy to use
 - Processes are protected from each other
 - process = address space
 - Hide details of CPU, when & where to run

Unix process-related system calls

□ int fork (void)

- Create a copy of the invoking process
- Return process ID of new process in "parent"
- Return 0 in "child"

□ int execv (const char* prog, const char* argv[])

- Replace current process with a new one
- prog: program to run
- argv: arguments to pass to main()
- □ int wait (int *status)
 - wait for a child to exit

Simple shell

// parse user-typed command line into command and args
...

```
// execute the command
switch(pid = fork ()) {
    case -1: perror (`fork"); break;
    case 0: // child
        execv (command, args, 0); break;
    default: // parent
        wait (0); break; // wait for child to terminate
}
```

OS abstraction: file

Array of bytes, persistent across reboot

- Nice, clean way to read and write data
- Hide the details of disk devices (hard disk, CDROM, flash ...)
- Related abstraction: directory, collection of file entries

Unix file system calls

□ int open(const char *path, int flags, int mode)

- Opens a file and returns an integer called a file descriptor to use in other file system calls
- Default file descriptors
 - 0 = stdin, 1 = stdout, 2 = stderr
- int write(int fd, const char* buf, size_t sz)
 - Writes sz bytes of data in buf to fd at current file offset
 - Advance file offset by sz
- □ int close(int fd)
- □ int dup2 (int oldfd, int newfd)
 - makes newfd an exact copy of oldfd
 - closes newfd if it was open
 - two file descriptors will share same offset

Outline

OS definitions and functionalities

OS abstractions/concepts

OS structure

OS evolution

OS structure

□ OS structure: what goes into the kernel?

- Kernel: most interesting part of OS
 - Privileged; can do everything

 must be careful
 - Manages other parts of OS

Different structures lead to different

 Performance, functionality, ease of use, security, reliability, portability, extensibility, cost, ...

Tradeoffs depend on technology and workload

Monolithic


Most traditional functionality in kernel

	(the users)				
ſ		shells and commands compilers and interpreters system libraries			
		system-call interface to the kernel			
Kernel	charad	nals terminal handling ster I/O system minal drivers	file system swapping block I/O system disk and tape drivers	CPU scheduling page replacement demand paging virtual memory	
		kernel interface to the hardware			
		nal controllers erminals	device controllers disks and tapes	memory controllers physical memory	

Unix System Architecture

Microkernel


Move functionality out of kernel



Minix 3 System Architecture

Virtual machine

Export a fake hardware interface so that multiple OS can run on top



Non-virtual Machine

Virtual Machine

Outline

OS definitions and functionalities

OS abstractions/concepts

OS structure

OS evolution

OS evolution

Many outside factors affect OS

- - New/better abstractions to users
 - New/better algorithms to implement abstractions
 - New/better low-level implementations (hw change)

Current OS: evolution of these things

Major trend in History

- □ Hardware: cheaper and cheaper
- Computers/user: increases
- Timeline
 - 70s: mainframe, 1 / organization
 - 80s: minicomputer, 1 / group
 - 90s: PC, 1 / user

70s: mainframe

□ Hardware:

- Huge, \$\$\$, slow
- IO: punch card, line printer
- OS
 - simple library of device drivers (no resource coordination)
 - Human = OS: single programmer/operator programs, runs, debugs
 - One job at a time

Problem: poor performance (utilization / throughput)
 Machine \$\$\$, but idle most of the time because
 programmer slow

Batch Processing


Batch: submit group of jobs together to machine

- Operator collects, orders, runs (resource coordinator)
- □ Why good? can better optimize given more jobs
 - Cover setup overhead
 - Operator quite skilled at using machine
 - Machine busy more (programmers debugging offline)
- □ Why bad?
 - Must wait for results for long time
- Result: utilization increases, interactivity drops


Spooling

Problem: slow I/O ties up fast CPU

- Input → Compute → Output
- Slow punch card reader and line printer




- Idea: overlap one job's IO with other jobs' compute
- OS functionality
 - buffering, DMA, interrupts
- Good: better utilization/throughput
- Bad: still not interactive



Multiprogramming

- Multiprogramming
 - keep multiple jobs in memory, OS chooses which to run
 - When job waits for I/O, switch
- OS functionality
 - job scheduling, mechanism/policies
 - Memory management/protection
- Good: better throughput
 Bad: still not interactive



80s: minicomputer

- □ Hardware gets cheaper. 1 / group
- Need better interactivity, short response time
- Concept: timesharing
 - Fast switch between jobs to give impression of dedicated machine
- OS functionality:
 - More complex scheduling, memory management
 - Concurrency control, synchronization
- Good: immediate feedback to users

90s: PC

- □ Even cheaper. 1 / user
- □ Goal: easy of use, more responsive
- Do not need a lot of stuff
- □ Example: DOS
 - No time-sharing, multiprogramming, protection, VM
 - One job at a time
 - OS is subroutine again

□ Users + Hardware → OS functionality

Current trends?

Large

- Users want more features
- More devices
- Parallel hardware
- Result: large system, millions of lines of code
- Reliability, Security
 - Few errors in code, can recover from failures
 - At odds with previous trend
- □ Small: e.g. handheld device
 - New user interface
 - Energy: battery life
 - One job at a time. OS is subroutine again

Next lecture

□ PC hardware and x86 programming

Previous assignments

- Apply CS account
- Look for teammates