### Scheduling II

Multiprocessor scheduling issues

Real-time scheduling

Linux scheduling

Linux scheduler architecture

### How to allocate processes to CPUs?





# Symmetric multiprocessing (SMP)



- □ Multiple CPUs
- Same access time to main memory
- Private cache

### Global queue of processes

One ready queue shared across all CPUs



- Good CPU utilization
- Fair to all processes
- Disadvantages
  - Not scalable (contention for global queue lock)
  - Poor cache locality
- □ Linux 2.4 uses global queue

### Per-CPU queue of processes

Static partition of processes to CPUs



- Advantages
  - Easy to implement
  - Scalable (no contention on ready queue)
  - Better cache locality
- Disadvantages
  - Load-imbalance (some CPUs have more processes)
    - Unfair to processes and lower CPU utilization

### Modern OSes take hybrid approaches

- Use both global and per-CPU queues
- Migrate processes across per-CPU queues



#### Processor Affinity

- Add process to a CPU's queue if recently run on the CPU
  - Cache state may still present

### Real-time scheduling

Real-time processes have timing constraints

- Expressed as deadlines or rate requirements
- Ex) gaming, video/music player, autopilot
- Hard real-time systems required to complete a critical task within a guaranteed amount of time
- Soft real-time computing requires that critical processes receive priority over others
- Linux supports soft real-time

### Linux: multi-level queue with priorities

- Soft real-time scheduling policies
  - SCHED\_FIFO (FCFS)
  - SCHED\_RR (round robin)
  - Priority over normal tasks
  - 100 static priority levels (1..99)
- Normal scheduling policies
  - SCHED\_NORMAL: standard
    - · SCHED\_OTHER in POSIX
  - SCHED\_BATCH: CPU bound
  - SCHED\_IDLE: lower priority
  - Static priority is 0
    - 40 dynamic priority
    - "Nice" values

sched\_setscheduler(), nice()

See "man 7 sched" for detailed overview



### Linux scheduler history

- $\Box$  O(N) scheduler up to 2.4
  - Simple: global run queue
  - Poor performance on multiprocessor and large N
- □ O(1) scheduler in 2.5 & 2.6
  - Good performance: per-CPU run queue
  - Complex and error prone logic to boost interactivity
  - No fairness guarantee
- Completely Fair Scheduler (CFS) in 2.6 and later
  - Currently default scheduler for SCHED\_NORMAL
  - Processes get fair share of CPU
  - Naturally boosts interactivity
- BFS and MuQSS
  - Linux scheduler for hippies
  - Available as kernel patches on the street

# Ideal fair scheduling Infinitesimally small time slice n processes: each runs uniformly at 1/n<sup>th</sup> rate



# Completely Fair Scheduler (CFS)

- Approximate fair scheduling
  - Run each process once per schedule latency period
    - sysctl\_sched\_latency
  - Time slice for process Pi: T \* Wi/(Sum of all Wi)
    - sched\_slice()
- Too many processes?
  - Lower bound on smallest time slice
  - Schedule latency = lower bound \* number of procs
- □ Introduced in Linux 2.6.23

### Picking the next process

Pick proc with weighted minimum runtime so far

- Virtual runtime: task->vruntime += executed time / Wi
- Example
  - P1: 1 ms burst per 10 ms (schedule latency)
  - P2 and P3 are CPU-bound
  - All processes have the same weight (1)



### Finding proc with minimum runtime fast

### Red-black tree

- Balanced binary search tree
- Ordered by vruntime as key
- O(IgN) insertion, deletion, update, O(1): find min



- Tasks move from left of tree to the right
- min\_vruntime caches smallest value
- Update vruntime and min\_vruntime
  - When task is added or removed
  - On every timer tick, context switch

### Converting nice level to weight

Table of nice level to weight

static const int prio\_to\_weight[40] (kernel/sched/sched.h)

 $\square$  Nice level changes by 1  $\rightarrow$  10% weight

Pre-computed to avoid

- Floating point operations
- Runtime overhead

### Fsck all that...

## Enter BFS

### The scheduler that shall not be named

(now replaced by MuQSS, sadly...)