

Decision tree learning

COMS 4771 Fall 2023

Decision trees

Decision trees: nested if-then-else statements

- ▶ Can be relatively easy to understand (when not too large)
- ▶ Can have fast execution time (when not too large)
- ▶ Standard learning algorithm has some nice properties


1 / 22

Decision trees vs nearest neighbors


- ▶ Both: try to exploit “local regularity”
- ▶ Nearest neighbors: memorize training data
- ▶ Decision trees: use training data to carve \mathcal{X} into regions
 - ▶ ...so that, for each region, there is a good constant prediction

2 / 22

Example: iris dataset (using different features)




Example: iris dataset (using different features)



Structure of decision tree (in context of prediction):

- ▶ Rooted binary tree T
- ▶ A non-leaf node is associated with a predicate involving single feature
- ▶ A leaf node is associated with a label from \mathcal{Y}
- ▶ Computing $f_T(x) =$ prediction of tree T at x :
Start at root node
 - ▶ If current node is leaf node: return associated label
 - ▶ Else if predicate at x is true: recurse on left child
 - ▶ Else: recurse on right child



Top-down learning algorithm


Top-down learning algorithm: repeatedly modify tree to reduce its “cost”

- ▶ Simplest cost function (for classification): training error rate

$$\widehat{\text{err}}[f_T; \mathcal{S}] = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{1}\{f_T(x) \neq y\}$$


- ▶ ([Classification tree](#) = decision tree for classification problem)
- ▶ Initial tree: a single (leaf) node
- ▶ Repeat until done: make a modification to tree that reduces the cost the most

Example: iris dataset (using different features)




Allowed modifications to improve the tree:

- ▶ Replace a leaf node with a [decision stump](#)




- ▶ How many possible modifications are there?

Example: iris dataset (using different features)



Two steps of top-down algorithm on iris dataset




10 / 22

When to stop modifying the tree? Some options:

- ▶ Stop when no modification leads to reduction in cost
- ▶ Stop when # leaves or depth reaches predetermined maximum
- ▶ Stop when each leaf node is “pure” (i.e., all training examples that “reach” the leaf node have same label or same feature vector)

11 / 22

Over-fitting training data




Training data

feature vector	label
(0, 0)	0
(0, 1)	1
(1, 0)	1
(1, 1)	0

Decision trees with 1 or 2 leaf nodes make 2 mistakes (Myopic learner does not get past first step)

But the following makes no mistakes:



sklearn.tree.DecisionTreeClassifier

```
class sklearn.tree.DecisionTreeClassifier(*, criterion='gini', splitter='best', max_depth=None, min_samples_split=2, min_samples_leaf=1, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, max_features=None, random_state=None, max_leaf_nodes=None, min_impurity_decrease=0.0, class_weight=None, ccp_alpha=0.0)
```

[source]

A decision tree classifier.

Read more in the [User Guide](#).

Parameters:	criterion : {"gini", "entropy", "log_loss"}, default="gini" The function to measure the quality of a split. Supported criteria are "gini" for the Gini impurity and "log_loss" and "entropy" both for the Shannon information gain, see Mathematical formulation .
	splitter : {"best", "random"}, default="best" The strategy used to choose the split at each node. Supported strategies are "best" to choose the best split and "random" to choose the best random split.
	max_depth : int, default=None The maximum depth of the tree. If None, then nodes are expanded until all leaves are pure or until all leaves contain less than min_samples_split samples.
	min_samples_split : int or float, default=2 The minimum number of samples required to split an internal node: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• If int, then consider min_samples_split as the minimum number.• If float, then min_samples_split is a fraction and $\text{ceil}(\text{min_samples_split} * \text{n_samples})$ are the minimum number of samples for each split.
	<i>Changed in version 0.18: Added float values for fractions.</i>
	min_samples_leaf : int or float, default=1

Regression trees

Regression trees: decision trees for real-valued prediction, (usually) with squared error as loss function

- ▶ Q: How to determine the labels associated with the leaf nodes?
- ▶ A: Average of labels among examples that “reach” the leaf node

Model averaging

Suppose you have many possible predictors f_1, f_2, \dots, f_T
(or many possible ways to learn a predictor)

- ▶ Model selection: try to choose the best one
- ▶ Model averaging: combine them into a single predictor by averaging/voting

Simplest form: uniform model averaging

$$f_{\text{avg}}(x) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(x)$$

(For classification, use majority/plurality vote instead of averaging)

16 / 22

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[(f_{\text{avg}}(X) - Y)^2]}_{\text{mse}[f_{\text{avg}}]} &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[(f_t(X) - Y)^2]}_{\text{mse}[f_t]} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2T^2} \sum_{s=1}^T \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[(f_s(X) - f_t(X))^2]}_{\text{average disagreement}} \end{aligned}$$

To generate many “similar” predictors that may disagree often:

- ▶ Train each predictor on a different (random) subset of the training data

17 / 22

Popular alternative: [Bootstrap resampling](#) of $\mathcal{S} = ((x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}))_{i=1}^n$

- ▶ Independently sample T new datasets $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{S}^{(T)}$, where

$$\mathcal{S}^{(t)} = ((X^{(t,i)}, Y^{(t,i)}))_{i=1}^n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Unif}(\mathcal{S})$$

- ▶ Differs from “sampling without replacement”
- ▶ Some examples in \mathcal{S} can appear more than once in $\mathcal{S}^{(t)}$
- ▶ Some may not appear at all

18 / 22

[Bagging](#) = bootstrap resampling + model averaging

- ▶ Use bootstrap resampling to generate $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{S}^{(T)}$
- ▶ For each $t = 1, \dots, T$:
Let f_t = output of learning algorithm on $\mathcal{S}^{(t)}$
- ▶ Combine f_1, \dots, f_T to form f_{avg} using uniform model averaging
(Or f_{vote} using plurality vote, in case of classification problems)

19 / 22

```

from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
from sklearn.utils import resample
from scipy.stats import mode

def learn(train_x, train_y, num_trees=20):
    return [DecisionTreeClassifier().fit(*resample(train_x, train_y))
            ↪ for i in range(num_trees)]

def predict(params, test_x):
    predictions = np.array([tree.predict(test_x) for tree in params])
    return mode(predictions, axis=0, keepdims=False)[0]

```

20 / 22

Forest cover type dataset¹

Problem: Create a program that, given cartographic data about a 30×30 meter region of a forest, predict the type of forest cover

- ▶ Dataset: “[...] four wilderness areas located in the Roosevelt National Forest of northern Colorado [...] minimal human-caused disturbances [...] forest cover types are more a result of ecological processes rather than forest management practices.”
- ▶ Classes: spruce/fir (1), lodgepole pine (2), ..., krummholz (7)
- ▶ Features ($d = 54$): elevation, slope, ..., distance to water, distance to roads, ..., amount of shade at 9am, amount of shade at 12pm, ...
- ▶ Number of training data: 464809; number of test data: 116203

¹<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/dataset/31/covertime>

21 / 22

Results on cover type

- ▶ Decision tree with trained by top-down algorithm
 - ▶ Stopped when all leaf nodes are pure
 - ▶ Test error rate: 6.1%
- ▶ Bagging + top-down as before ($T = 20$)
 - ▶ Individual trees' test error rates: between 7.7% and 8.0%
 - ▶ Plurality vote classifier test error rate: 3.5%