Ramsey Spanning Trees and their Applications

Arnold Filtser

Ben-Gurion University

Co-authors: Ittai Abraham, Shiri Chechik, Michael Elkin, Ofer Neiman

25 April 2018

Metric Embeddings



Embedding $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^d$ has distortion α if for all $x, y \in X$

$$d_X(x,y) \le \|f(x) - f(y)\|_2 \le \alpha \cdot d_X(x,y)$$

Metric Embeddings



Embedding $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^d$ has distortion $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ if for all $x, y \in X$

$$d_X(x, y) \le \|f(x) - f(y)\|_2 \le \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot d_X(x, y)$$

Theorem (Bourgain,85)

Every n-point metric (X, d_X) *is* **embeddable** *into* <u>*Euclidean*</u> *space with* **distortion** $O(\log n)$.

Metric Embeddings



Embedding $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^d$ has distortion α if for all $x, y \in X$

$$d_X(x,y) \leq \|f(x) - f(y)\|_2 \leq \alpha \cdot d_X(x,y)$$

Theorem (Bourgain,85)

Every n-point metric (X, d_X) is **embeddable** *into* <u>*Euclidean*</u> *space with* **distortion** $O(\log n)$.

Asymptotically tight.

Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

For a fixed distortion $k > 1$, what is the largest subset $M \subset X$, s.t. (M, d_X) is embeddable into Euclidean space with **distortion** k?



Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

For a fixed distortion $\boldsymbol{k} > 1$, what is the largest subset $M \subset X$, s.t. (M, d_X) is embeddable into Euclidean space with **distortion** $\boldsymbol{k}$?



$$\forall x, y \in M, \qquad d_X(x, y) \leq \|f(x) - f(y)\|_2 \leq \boldsymbol{k} \cdot d_X(x, y)$$

Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

For a fixed distortion $\boldsymbol{k} > 1$, what is the largest subset $M \subset X$, s.t. (M, d_X) is embeddable into Euclidean space with **distortion** $\boldsymbol{k}$?



$$\forall x, y \in M, \qquad d_X(x, y) \le \|f(x) - f(y)\|_2 \le \boldsymbol{k} \cdot d_X(x, y)$$

Theorem (Mendel, Naor 07, following BFM86, BLMN05)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \ge 1$, *there exists a subset* M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *that can be embedded into Euclidean space with distortion* $O(\boldsymbol{k})$.

Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Mendel, Naor 07, following BFM86, BLMN05)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*, there exists a subset* M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *that can be embedded into Euclidean space with distortion* $O(\boldsymbol{k})$*.*

Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Mendel, Naor 07, following BFM86, BLMN05)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, there exists a subset M of size $n^{1-1/k}$ that can be embedded into Euclidean space with distortion $O(\boldsymbol{k})$.

Asymptotically tight.

Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Mendel, Naor 07, following BFM86, BLMN05)

For every n-point metric space and $k \geq 1$, there exists a subset M of size $n^{1-1/k}$ that can be embedded into Euclidean space with distortion $O(k)$.

Asymptotically tight.

Euclidean space can be replace here by an ultrametric U! (a.k.a HST)

Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Mendel, Naor 07, following BFM86, BLMN05)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*, there exists a subset* M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *that can be embedded into* **ultrametric** *with distortion* $O(\boldsymbol{k})$*.*

Asymptotically tight.

Euclidean space can be replace here by an ultrametric U! (a.k.a HST)

Ultrametric is a spacial kind of tree which is:

1. Very useful for divide an conquer algorithms.
2. Isometrically embeds into Euclidean space (i.e. distortion 1).

Our Second Result: Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Mendel, Naor 07, following BFM86, BLMN05)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, there exists a subset M of size $n^{1-1/k}$ that can be embedded into **ultrametric** *with distortion $O(\boldsymbol{k})$.*

Our Second Result: Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Mendel, Naor 07, following BFM86, BLMN05)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*, there exists a subset* M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *that can be embedded into* **ultrametric** *with distortion* $O(\mathbf{128} \cdot \boldsymbol{k})$.

The constant in the distortion important as it in the exponent.

Our Second Result: Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Mendel, Naor 07, following BFM86, BLMN05)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, there exists a subset M of size $n^{1-1/k}$ that can be embedded into **ultrametric** *with distortion $O(\mathbf{128} \cdot \boldsymbol{k})$.*

The constant in the distortion important as it in the exponent.

Paper	**Distortion**	**Size**	
BFM06	$O(k \log\log n)$	$n^{1-1/k}$	
BLMN04	$O(k \log k)$	$n^{1-1/k}$	
MN07	$\mathbf{128} \cdot k$	$n^{1-1/k}$	
BGS16	$\mathbf{33} \cdot k$	$n^{1-1/k}$	
NT12	$\mathbf{2e} \cdot k$	$n^{1-1/k}$	

Our Second Result: Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Mendel, Naor 07, following BFM86, BLMN05)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, *there exists a subset* M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *that can be embedded into* **ultrametric** *with distortion* $O(\mathbf{128} \cdot \boldsymbol{k})$.

The constant in the distortion important as it in the exponent.

Paper	**Distortion**	**Size**	
BFM06	$O(k \log \log n)$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**
BLMN04	$O(k \log k)$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**
MN07	$\mathbf{128} \cdot k$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**
BGS16	$\mathbf{33} \cdot k$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**
NT12	$\mathbf{2e} \cdot k$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**

Our Second Result: Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Mendel, Naor 07, following BFM86, BLMN05)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*, there exists a subset* M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *that can be embedded into* **ultrametric** *with distortion* $O(\mathbf{128} \cdot \boldsymbol{k})$.

The constant in the distortion important as it in the exponent.

Paper	Distortion	Size	
BFM06	$O(k \log\log n)$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**
BLMN04	$O(k \log k)$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**
MN07	$\mathbf{128} \cdot k$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**
BGS16	$\mathbf{33} \cdot k$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**
NT12	$\mathbf{2e} \cdot k$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**
This Paper	$\mathbf{8} \cdot k - 2$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Deterministic**

Our Second Result: Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Mendel, Naor 07, following BFM86, BLMN05)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, there exists a subset M of size $n^{1-1/k}$ that can be embedded into **ultrametric** *with distortion $O(\mathbf{128} \cdot \boldsymbol{k})$.*

The constant in the distortion important as it in the exponent.

Paper	Distortion	Size	
BFM06	$O(k \log \log n)$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**
BLMN04	$O(k \log k)$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**
MN07	$\mathbf{128} \cdot k$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**
BGS16	$\mathbf{33} \cdot k$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**
NT12	$\mathbf{2e} \cdot k$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Randomized**
This Paper	$\mathbf{8} \cdot k - 2$	$n^{1-1/k}$	**Deterministic**

*Bartal had similar (deterministic) result.

Our Second Result: Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Our Secondary Result)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*, there is a* **deterministic algorithm** *that finds a subset* M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *that can be embedded into* **ultrametric** *with distortion* $\mathbf{8} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}$.

Our Second Result: Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Our Secondary Result)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*, there is a* **deterministic algorithm** *that finds a subset* M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *that can be embedded into* **ultrametric** *with distortion* $\mathbf{8} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}$.

Instead of preserving distance for $M \times M$,
we can preserve distances for $M \times X$.

Our Second Result: Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Our Secondary Result)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*, there is a* **deterministic algorithm** *that finds a subset* M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *such that the hall metric can be embedded into* **ultrametric** *with distortion* $\mathbf{16} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}$ *w.r.t* $M \times X$*.*

Instead of preserving distance for $M \times M$,
we can preserve distances for $M \times X$.

Our Second Result: Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Our Secondary Result)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*, there is a* **deterministic algorithm** *that finds a subset* M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *such that the hall metric can be embedded into* **ultrametric** *with distortion* $\mathbf{16} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}$ *w.r.t* $M \times X$*.*

Our Second Result: Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Theorem (Our Secondary Result)

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*, there is a* **deterministic algorithm** *that finds a subset* M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *such that the hall metric can be embedded into* **ultrametric** *with distortion* $\mathbf{16} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}$ *w.r.t* $M \times X$*.*

Corollary

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*,*
there is a **set** $\mathcal{U}$ *of* $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **ultrametrics** *and a mapping* **home** : $X \to \mathcal{U}$*, such that for every* $x, y \in U$*,*

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(x)}(x,y) \leq (\mathbf{16} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}) \cdot d_X(x,y)$$

Our Second Result: Metric Ramsey-Type Problem

Corollary

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$,
there is a **set** $\mathcal{U}$ *of* $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **ultrametrics** *and a mapping*
home : $X \to \mathcal{U}$, *such that for every* $x, y \in U$,

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(x)}(x, y) \leq \mathbf{(16 \cdot \boldsymbol{k})} \cdot d_X(x, y)$$



Distance Oracle

A distance oracle is a succinct data structure that (approximately) answers distance queries.

Distance Oracle

A distance oracle is a succinct data structure that (approximately) answers distance queries.





Distance Oracle

A distance oracle is a succinct data structure that (approximately) answers distance queries.





The properties of interest are size, distortion and query time.

Distance Oracles: State of the Art

DO	Distortion	Size	Query	Deterministic?
TZ05	$2k-1$	$O(k \cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(k)$	no
MN07	$128k$	$O(n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1)$	no
W13	$(2+\epsilon)k$	$O(k \cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1/\epsilon)$	no
C14	$2k-1$	$O(k \cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1)$	no
C15	$2k-1$	$O(n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1)$	no
RTZ05	$2k-1$	$O(k \cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(k)$	yes
W13	$2k-1$	$O(k \cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(\log k)$	yes





Our contribution: Deterministic Distance Oracles

Distance Oracle	Distortion	Size	Query
RTZ05	$2k-1$	$O(k \cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(k)$
W13	$2k-1$	$O(k \cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(\log k)$
This paper	$8(1+\epsilon)k$	$O(n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1/\epsilon)$
This paper+C14	$2k-1$	$O(k \cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1)$

Our contribution: Deterministic Distance Oracles

Corollary

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*,*
there is a **set** $\mathcal{U}$ *of* $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **ultrametrics** *and a* *mapping*
home : $X \to \mathcal{U}$*, such that for every* $x, y \in U$*,*

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(x)}(x,y) \leq \mathbf{(16 \cdot \boldsymbol{k})} \cdot d_X(x,y)$$



Our contribution: Deterministic Distance Oracles

Corollary

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$,
there is a **set** $\mathcal{U}$ *of* $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **ultrametrics** *and a* <u>*mapping*</u>
home : $X \to \mathcal{U}$, *such that for every* $x, y \in U$,

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(x)}(x, y) \leq \mathbf{(16 \cdot \boldsymbol{k})} \cdot d_X(x, y)$$



Our contribution: Deterministic Distance Oracles

Corollary

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*,*
there is a **set** $\mathcal{U}$ *of* $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **ultrametrics** *and a* *mapping*
home $: X \to \mathcal{U}$*, such that for every* $x, y \in U$*,*

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(x)}(x, y) \leq \mathbf{(16 \cdot \boldsymbol{k})} \cdot d_X(x, y)$$

Theorem (Tree Distance Oracle, HT84, BFC00)

For every **tree metric***, there is an exact distance oracle of* **linear size** *and* **constant** *query* **time***.*

Our contribution: Deterministic Distance Oracles

Corollary

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*,*
there is a **set** $\mathcal{U}$ *of* $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **ultrametrics** *and a mapping*
home : $X \to \mathcal{U}$*, such that for every* $x, y \in U$*,*

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(x)}(x, y) \leq \mathbf{(16 \cdot k)} \cdot d_X(x, y)$$

Theorem (Tree Distance Oracle, HT84, BFC00)

For every **tree metric***, there is an exact distance oracle of* **linear size** *and* **constant** *query* **time***.*

Theorem (Ramsey based Deterministic Distance Oracle)

For any n-point metric space, there is a distance oracle with :

Distortion	**Size**	**Query time**
$\mathbf{16 \cdot k}$	$O(k \cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1)$

Our contribution: Deterministic Distance Oracles

Corollary

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$,
there is a **set** $\mathcal{U}$ *of* $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **ultrametrics** *and a* *mapping*
home : $X \to \mathcal{U}$, *such that for every* $x, y \in U$,

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(x)}(x, y) \leq (\mathbf{16} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}) \cdot d_X(x, y)$$

Theorem (Ramsey based Deterministic Distance Oracle)

For any n-point metric space, there is a distance oracle with :

Distance Oracle	Distortion	Size	Query
This paper	$16 \cdot k$	$O(k \cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1)$
This paper	$8(1+\epsilon)k$	$O(n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1/\epsilon)$
This paper+*C14*	$2k - 1$	$O(k \cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1)$

Our contribution: Deterministic Distance Oracles

Corollary

For every n-point metric space and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*,*
there is a **set** $\mathcal{U}$ *of* $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **ultrametrics** *and a mapping*
home : $X \to \mathcal{U}$*, such that for every* $x, y \in U$*,*

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(x)}(x,y) \leq \mathbf{(16 \cdot k)} \cdot d_X(x,y)$$

Theorem (Ramsey based Deterministic Distance Oracle)

For any n-point metric space, there is a distance oracle with :

Distance Oracle	Distortion	Size	Query
This paper	$16 \cdot k$	$O(k \cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1)$
This paper	$8(1+\epsilon)k$	$O(n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1/\epsilon)$
This paper+*C14*	$2k-1$	$O(k \cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1)$
C15 *(Randomized)*	$2k-1$	$O(n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1)$

Ramsey Spanning Tree Question

Given a **weighted graph** $G = (V, E, w)$, and a fixed distortion $\boldsymbol{k} > 1$, what is the **largest subset** $M \subset V$, such that: there is a **spanning tree** T of G with distortion $\boldsymbol{k}$ w.r.t $M \times V$?



Ramsey Spanning Tree Question

Given a **weighted graph** $G = (V, E, w)$, and a fixed distortion $\boldsymbol{k} > 1$, what is the **largest subset** $M \subset V$, such that: there is a **spanning tree** T of G with distortion $\boldsymbol{k}$ w.r.t $M \times V$?



For all $v \in M$ and $u \in V$, $\quad d_T(v, u) \leq \boldsymbol{k} \cdot d_G(v, u).$

Main Result

Ramsey Spanning Tree **Question**

Given a **weighted graph** $G = (V, E, w)$, and a fixed distortion $\boldsymbol{k} > 1$, what is the **largest subset** $M \subset V$, such that: there is a **spanning tree** T of G with distortion $\boldsymbol{k}$ w.r.t $M \times V$?

Theorem (Main Result)

For every n-vertex **weighted graph** $G = (V, E, w)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, *there exists a* **subset** M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *and* **spanning tree** T *of* G *with* **distortion** $O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log\log n)$ *w.r.t* $M \times V$.

Main Result

Ramsey Spanning Tree **Question**

Given a **weighted graph** $G = (V, E, w)$, and a fixed distortion $\boldsymbol{k} > 1$, what is the **largest subset** $M \subset V$, such that: there is a **spanning tree** T of G with distortion $\boldsymbol{k}$ w.r.t $M \times V$?

Theorem (Main Result)

For every n-vertex **weighted graph** $G = (V, E, w)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, *there exists a subset* M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *and* **spanning tree** T *of* G *with distortion* $O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log\log n)$ *w.r.t* $M \times V$.

Theorem (Mendel, Naor 07)

For every n-point **metric space** (X, d_X) *and* $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, *there exists a subset* M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *and an* **ultrametric** U *over* X *with distortion* $O(\boldsymbol{k})$ *w.r.t* $M \times X$.

Theorem (Main Result)

For every n-vertex **weighted graph** $G = (V, E, w)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, *there exists a* **subset** M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *and* **spanning tree** T *of* G *with* **distortion** $O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log\log n)$ *w.r.t* $M \times V$.

Theorem (Main Result)

For every n-vertex **weighted graph** $G = (V, E, w)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, *there exists a* **subset** M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *and* **spanning tree** T *of* G *with* **distortion** $O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log\log n)$ *w.r.t* $M \times V$.

Corollary

For every n-vertex weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, *there is a* **set** $\mathcal{T}$ *of* $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **spanning trees** *and a mapping* **home** : $V \to \mathcal{T}$, *such that for every* $u, v \in V$,

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(v)}(v, u) \leq O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log\log n) \cdot d_G(v, u)$$



Corollary

For every n-vertex weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$ and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, there is a **set** $\mathcal{T}$ *of* $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **spanning trees** *and a mapping* **home** $: V \to \mathcal{T}$*, such that for every $u, v \in V$,*

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(v)}(v, u) \leq O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log\log n) \cdot d_G(v, u)$$



The union of all the trees in $\mathcal{T}$ creates an $O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log\log n)$-**spanner** with $O(k \cdot n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ edges.

Application: Compact Routing Scheme



- **Huge** network

Application: Compact Routing Scheme



- **Huge** network
- There is a **server** in each **node**.

Application: Compact Routing Scheme



- **Huge** network
- There is a **server** in each **node**.
- Task: **route** packages throughout the network.

Application: Compact Routing Scheme



- **Huge** network
- There is a **server** in each **node**.
- Task: **route** packages throughout the network.
- **Store** the whole network in each node is **unfeasible**.

Compact Routing Scheme



Compact Routing Scheme



Compact Routing Scheme



Compact Routing Scheme



Compact Routing Scheme



In order to keep other **parameters small**, we will allow **stretch**.

Compact Routing Scheme



In order to keep other **parameters small**, we will allow **stretch**.
Stretch k: the length of a **route** from v to u will be $\leq k \cdot d_G(v, u)$.

Compact Routing Scheme



In order to keep other **parameters small**, we will allow **stretch**.
Stretch k: the length of a **route** from v to u will be $\leq k \cdot d_G(v, u)$.

Theorem (Thorup, Zwick, 01)

For any n-vertex **tree** $T = (V, E)$, *there is a routing scheme with :*

Stretch	**Label**	**Table**	**Decision time**
1	$O(\log n)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$

Routing using Ramsey Spanning Trees

For every n-vertex weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$ and $k \geq 1$, there is a **set** $\mathcal{T}$ of $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **spanning trees** and a mapping **home** : $V \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, such that for every $u, v \in V$,

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(v)}(v, u) \leq O(k \cdot \log\log n) \cdot d_G(v, u)$$



Routing using Ramsey Spanning Trees

For every n-vertex weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$ and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, there is a **set** $\mathcal{T}$ of $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **spanning trees** and a mapping **home** : $V \to \mathcal{T}$, such that for every $u, v \in V$,

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(v)}(v, u) \leq O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log\log n) \cdot d_G(v, u)$$

To **route** a package from u to v, we will simply route on **home**(v)!



Routing using Ramsey Spanning Trees

For every n-vertex weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$ and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, there is a **set** $\mathcal{T}$ of $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **spanning trees** and a mapping **home** : $V \to \mathcal{T}$, such that for every $u, v \in V$,

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(v)}(v, u) \leq O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log \log n) \cdot d_G(v, u)$$

To **route** a package from u to v, we will simply route on **home**(v)!

The label of v will consist of: $\left(\mathbf{home}(v), \mathrm{Label}_{\mathbf{home}(v)}(v)\right)$.



Routing using Ramsey Spanning Trees

For every n-vertex weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$ and $k \geq 1$, there is a **set** $\mathcal{T}$ of $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **spanning trees** and a mapping **home** : $V \to \mathcal{T}$, such that for every $u, v \in V$,

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(v)}(v, u) \leq O(k \cdot \log\log n) \cdot d_G(v, u)$$

To **route** a package from u to v, we will simply route on **home**(v)!

The label of v will consist of: $\left(\mathbf{home}(v), \mathrm{Label}_{\mathbf{home}(v)}(v)\right)$.

The table of v will consist of union of all tables in $\mathcal{T}$.



Routing using Ramsey Spanning Trees

For every n-vertex weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$ and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, there is a **set** $\mathcal{T}$ of $k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ **spanning trees** and a mapping **home** : $V \to \mathcal{T}$, such that for every $u, v \in V$,

$$d_{\mathbf{home}(v)}(v, u) \leq O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log\log n) \cdot d_G(v, u)$$

To **route** a package from u to v, we will simply route on **home**(v)!

The label of v will consist of: $\left(\mathbf{home}(v), \mathrm{Label}_{\mathbf{home}(v)}(v)\right)$.

The table of v will consist of union of all tables in $\mathcal{T}$.

Theorem (Ramsey based Compact Routing Scheme)

For any n-vertex graph, there is a routing scheme with :

Stretch	**Label**	**Table**	**Decision time**
$O(k \cdot \log\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}})$	$O(1)$

Theorem (Ramsey based Compact Routing Scheme)

For any n-vertex graph, there is a routing scheme with :

Stretch	Label	Table	Decision time
$O(k \cdot \log\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}})$	$O(1)$

Theorem (Thorup, Zwick 01, Chechik 13)

For any n-vertex graph, there is a routing scheme with :

Stretch	Label	Table	Decision time
$3.68k = O(k)$	$O(k \cdot \log n)$	$O(k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}})$	$O(1)$ *(initial: $O(k)$)*

Theorem (Ramsey based Compact Routing Scheme)

For any n-vertex graph, there is a routing scheme with :

Stretch	Label	Table	Decision time
$O(k \cdot \log\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}})$	$O(1)$

Theorem (Thorup, Zwick 01, Chechik 13)

For any n-vertex graph, there is a routing scheme with :

Stretch	Label	Table	Decision time
$3.68k = O(k)$	$O(k \cdot \log n)$	$O(k \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}})$	$O(1)$ *(initial: $O(k)$)*

By choosing $k = \log n$, we get:

	Stretch	Label	Table	D. time
Here	$O(\log n \cdot \log\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(1)$
[TZ01]	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log^2 n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(1)$ $(O(\log n))$

Technical Ideas

Theorem (Main Result)

For every n-vertex **weighted graph** $G = (V, E, w)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, *there exists a* **subset** M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *and* **spanning tree** T *of* G *with* **distortion** $O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log\log n)$ *w.r.t* $M \times V$.

Technical Ideas

Theorem (Main Result)

For every n-vertex **weighted graph** $G = (V, E, w)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*, there exists a* **subset** M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *and* **spanning tree** T *of* G *with* **distortion** $O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log\log n)$ *w.r.t* $M \times V$*.*

- Framework: Petal decomposition.

Technical Ideas

Theorem (Main Result)

For every n-vertex **weighted graph** $G = (V, E, w)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, *there exists a* **subset** M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *and* **spanning tree** T *of* G *with* **distortion** $O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log\log n)$ *w.r.t* $M \times V$.

- Framework: Petal decomposition.
- Hierarchically padded decompositions.

Technical Ideas

Theorem (Main Result)

For every n-vertex **weighted graph** $G = (V, E, w)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$*, there exists a* **subset** M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *and* **spanning tree** T *of* G *with* **distortion** $O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log\log n)$ *w.r.t* $M \times V$.

- Framework: Petal decomposition.
- Hierarchically padded decompositions.
- Region growing.

Petal Decomposition



Petal Decomposition



Petal Decomposition



Petal Decomposition



Petal Decomposition



Petal Decomposition



Petal Decomposition



Petal Decomposition



Petal Decomposition



Petal Decomposition



Petal Decomposition



Petal Decomposition

- Each cluster X (petal) has a center vertex x.



Petal Decomposition

- Each cluster X (petal) has a center vertex x.
- The radius Δ defined w.r.t the center.



Petal Decomposition

- Each cluster X (petal) has a center vertex x.
- The radius Δ defined w.r.t the center.
- The radius decrease by $\frac{3}{4}$ factor in each hierarchi. step.



Petal Decomposition

- Each cluster X (petal) has a center vertex x.
- The radius Δ defined w.r.t the center.
- The radius decrease by $\frac{3}{4}$ factor in each hierarchi. step.
- The radius of T is at most 4 times larger than in G.



Petal Decomposition

- Each cluster X (petal) has a center vertex x.
- The radius Δ defined w.r.t the center.
- The radius decrease by $\frac{3}{4}$ factor in each hierarchi. step.
- The radius of T is at most 4 times larger than in G.



Corollary

Suppose v, u were separated while being in cluster of radius Δ. Then $d_T(v, u) \leq 8 \cdot \Delta$.

Petal Growth

Degree of freedom:

parameter $R \in [\mathrm{lo}, \mathrm{hi}]$ $(\mathrm{hi} - \mathrm{lo} = \frac{\Delta}{8})$.



Petal Growth

Degree of freedom:
parameter $R \in [\mathrm{lo}, \mathrm{hi}]$ $(\mathrm{hi} - \mathrm{lo} = \frac{\Delta}{8})$.

W_r denotes the **petal** (cluster)
created for $R = r$.

Monotonicity: $r' \le r \Rightarrow W_{r'} \subseteq W_r$.



Petal Growth

Degree of freedom: parameter $R \in [\mathrm{lo}, \mathrm{hi}]$ ($\mathrm{hi} - \mathrm{lo} = \frac{\Delta}{8}$).

W_r denotes the **petal** (cluster) created for $R = r$.

Monotonicity: $r' \leq r \Rightarrow W_{r'} \subseteq W_r$.

Set $\delta = \Delta/(k \cdot \log\log n)$.



Petal Growth

Degree of freedom: parameter $R \in [\mathrm{lo}, \mathrm{hi}]$ $(\mathrm{hi} - \mathrm{lo} = \frac{\Delta}{8})$.

W_r denotes the **petal** (cluster) created for $R = r$.

Monotonicity: $r' \leq r \Rightarrow W_{r'} \subseteq W_r$.

Set $\delta = \Delta/(k \cdot \log\log n)$.

Vertex v s.t. $B(v, \delta) \subseteq W_r$ is **padded**.



Petal Growth

Degree of freedom:
parameter $R \in [\mathrm{lo}, \mathrm{hi}]$ ($\mathrm{hi} - \mathrm{lo} = \frac{\Delta}{8}$).

W_r denotes the **petal** (cluster) created for $R = r$.

Monotonicity: $r' \le r \Rightarrow W_{r'} \subseteq W_r$.

Set $\delta = \Delta/(k \cdot \log\log n)$.

Vertex v s.t. $B(v, \delta) \subseteq W_r$ is **padded**.

All vertices out of $W_{r+\delta} \setminus W_{r-\delta}$ (restricted area) are padded.



Petal Growth

Degree of freedom:
parameter $R \in [\text{lo}, \text{hi}]$ ($\text{hi} - \text{lo} = \frac{\Delta}{8}$).

W_r denotes the **petal** (cluster) created for $R = r$.

Monotonicity: $r' \leq r \Rightarrow W_{r'} \subseteq W_r$.

Set $\delta = \Delta/(k \cdot \log\log n)$.

Vertex v s.t. $B(v, \delta) \subseteq W_r$ is **padded**.

All vertices out of $W_{r+\delta} \setminus W_{r-\delta}$ (restricted area) are padded.



Padded vertices suffer distortion **at most $\boldsymbol{\Delta/\delta}$** $= O(k \cdot \log\log n)$!

Petal Growth

Degree of freedom:
parameter $R \in [\text{lo}, \text{hi}]$ $(\text{hi} - \text{lo} = \frac{\Delta}{8})$.

W_r denotes the **petal** (cluster) created for $R = r$.

Monotonicity: $r' \leq r \Rightarrow W_{r'} \subseteq W_r$.

Set $\delta = \Delta/(k \cdot \log\log n)$.

Vertex v s.t. $B(v,\delta) \subseteq W_r$ is **padded**.

All vertices out of $W_{r+\delta} \setminus W_{r-\delta}$ (restricted area) are padded.



Padded vertices suffer distortion **at most $\boldsymbol{\Delta/\delta}$** $= O(k \cdot \log\log n)$!

Goal: find r, with **many padded vertices**! (sparse restricted area).

Petal Decomposition



Petal Decomposition

A vertex which is **padded in all the levels** will have small distortion w.r.t all other vertices.



Petal Decomposition

A vertex which is **padded in all the levels** will have small distortion w.r.t all other vertices.

Goal: choose parameters ($r \in [lo, hi]$) s.t. at least $n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$ vertices will be **padded in all levels**.



Petal Decomposition

A vertex which is **padded in all the levels** will have small distortion w.r.t all other vertices.

Goal: choose parameters ($r \in [lo, hi]$) s.t. at least $n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$ vertices will be **padded in all levels**.

A vertex is called **active** if it is **padded** in all levels **up till now**.



Region Growing

For petal W_r:

Active $x \in W_{r-\delta}$ **remains** active.

Active $x \in W_{r+\delta} \setminus W_{r-\delta}$ **ceases** to be active.



Region Growing

For petal W_r:

Active $x \in W_{r-\delta}$ **remains** active.

Active $x \in W_{r+\delta} \setminus W_{r-\delta}$ **ceases** to be active.



Region Growing

For petal W_r:

Active $x \in W_{r-\delta}$ **remains** active.

Active $x \in W_{r+\delta} \setminus W_{r-\delta}$
ceases to be active.



Region Growing

For petal W_r:

Active $x \in W_{r-\delta}$ **remains** active.

Active $x \in W_{r+\delta} \setminus W_{r-\delta}$ **ceases** to be active.



Region Growing

For petal W_r:

Active $x \in W_{r-\delta}$ **remains** active.

Active $x \in W_{r+\delta} \setminus W_{r-\delta}$ **ceases** to be active.



Intuition

There is $r \in [lo, hi]$ such that $W_{r-\delta}$ is **large enough** *compared to $W_{r+\delta}$.*

Intuition

There is $r \in [lo, hi]$ such that $W_{r-\delta}$ is

large enough *compared to $W_{r+\delta}$.*

Intuition

There is $r \in [lo, hi]$ such that $W_{r-\delta}$ is **large enough** *compared to $W_{r+\delta}$.*

Intuition

There is $r \in [lo, hi]$ such that $W_{r-\delta}$ is **large enough** *compared to $W_{r+\delta}$.*

Corollary

At least $n^{1-1/k}$ vertices **remain active** *at the* **end** *of the process.*

Intuition

There is $r \in [lo, hi]$ such that $W_{r-\delta}$ is **large enough** *compared to $W_{r+\delta}$.*

Corollary

At least $n^{1-1/k}$ vertices **remain active** *at the* **end** *of the process.*

Theorem (Main Result)

For every n-vertex **weighted graph** *$G = (V, E, w)$ and $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, there exists a* **subset** *M of size $n^{1-1/k}$ and* **spanning tree** *T of G with* **distortion** *$O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \log\log n)$ w.r.t $M \times V$.*

Open Questions

1. **Remove** the $\log \log n$ factor.

Conjecture

For every n-vertex **weighted graph** $G = (V, E, w)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, *there exists a* **subset** M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *and* **spanning tree** T *of* G *with* **distortion** $O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \cancel{\log \log n})$ *w.r.t* $M \times V$.

Open Questions

1. **Remove** the $\log\log n$ factor.

Conjecture

For every n-vertex **weighted graph** $G=(V,E,w)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{k}\geq 1$, *there exists a* **subset** M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *and* **spanning tree** T *of* G *with* **distortion** $O(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\cancel{\log\log n})$ *w.r.t* $M\times V$.

2. Improve construction for deterministic distance oracle.

Distance Oracle	Distortion	Size	Query
This paper+C14	$2k-1$	$O(k\cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1)$
C15 (Randomized)	$2k-1$	$O(n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1)$

Open Questions

1. **Remove** the $\log\log n$ factor.

Conjecture

For every n-vertex **weighted graph** $G = (V, E, w)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{k} \geq 1$, *there exists a* **subset** M *of size* $n^{1-1/k}$ *and* **spanning tree** T *of* G *with* **distortion** $O(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \cancel{\log\log n})$ *w.r.t* $M \times V$.

2. Improve construction for deterministic distance oracle.

Distance Oracle	**Distortion**	**Size**	**Query**
This paper+C14	$2k-1$	$O(k \cdot n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1)$
C15 (Randomized)	$2k-1$	$O(n^{1+1/k})$	$O(1)$

3. Find more **applications** to Ramsey spanning trees!