

## Lecture 7: Dimension Reduction

Instructor: *Alex Andoni*Scribes: *Zixuan Zhang, Linxiao Wu*

## 1 Introduction:

This lecture mainly focuses on dimension reduction: Johnson-Linderstrauss Lemma and especially its distributional version. Chi-squared distribution is introduced when there is a sum of Gaussian distributed variables.

## 2 Last time Recap

### Tug-of-War+ Algorithm

1. Frequency vector  $f \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$
2. Goal: estimate  $F_2 = \|f\|_2^2$
- 3.

---

**Algorithm 1:** Tug-of-War+ Algorithm: repeat ToW  $k$  times, and take the average of the estimators.

---

```

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , where  $k = O(\frac{\lg n}{\epsilon^2})$  do
  | pick  $r_{ij} \in \{\pm 1\}$ ,  $j \in [n]$ 
  | sketch:  $Z_i = \sum_{j=1}^n r_{ij} f_j$ 
end
return Estimator:  $Z := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i^2$ 

```

---

### Better complexity

If probability fails  $\leq \delta$  where  $\delta$  is some parameter.

$$\Pr[Z^2 = (1 \pm \epsilon)F_2] \geq 1 - \delta \tag{1}$$

as long as  $k \geq \Omega(\frac{1}{\delta} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon^2})$

Question to today's lecture: Can we get better dependence on  $\delta$ ?

**Claim 1.** Yes, and in fact we can get  $k = O(\frac{\lg(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2})$

### 3 Dimension Reduction

**Definition 1:**(Sketch function). For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , a sketching function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is defined as:

$$\varphi(x) = \sigma \cdot x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \left( \sum \sigma_{1i} x_i, \sum \sigma_{2i} x_i, \dots, \sum \sigma_{ki} x_i \right)$$

Note that  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ . We can use  $\varphi$  as an estimator of the L2 norm of its argument:

$$\|\varphi(f)\|_2^2 = (1 \pm \epsilon) F_2$$

**Definition 2:**(Linearity). If sketching function  $\varphi$  is linear, the following properties hold true:

$$\varphi(x \pm y) = \varphi(x) \pm \varphi(y)$$

#### 3.1 Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma

**Lemma:**  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , there exists a linear, randomized function  $\varphi$  such that:  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$Pr[\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\| \in (1 \pm \epsilon)\|x - y\|] \geq 1 - e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2 k}{9}}$$

*Proof.* Because  $\varphi$  is linear, we have  $\varphi(x) - \varphi(y) = \varphi(x - y)$ . If we define  $z = x - y$ , the original lemma is equivalent to:

$$Pr[\|\varphi(z)\| \in (1 \pm \epsilon)\|z\|] \geq 1 - e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2 k}{9}}$$

Note that there could be various implementation of  $\varphi$ :

- we could use Tug-of-war algorithm where  $\sigma_{ij} = \pm 1$
- $\varphi$  maps  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to a random k-dim linear subspace
- Similar to Tug-of-war but  $\sigma_{ij} \sim N(0, 1)$

Recall: We proved the correctness of Tug-of-War by showing:

- $\mathbb{E}[\sigma_i] = 0$
- $\mathbb{E}[\sigma_i^2] = 1$
- $\mathbb{E}[\sigma_i^4] = 1$

Here we adopt the third implementation, which also satisfies the above conditions. Before moving onto the rest of the proof, we must understand the following properties:

**Definition**(Stability Property):

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i x_i \sim \|x\|_2 \cdot a = \sum x_i^2 \cdot a; \text{ where } a \sim N(0, 1)$$

**Property**(Spherically Symmetric): For a vector  $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$  where  $b_i \sim N(0, 1)$  are i.i.d

$$pdf(b) = \prod \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{b_i^2}{2}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\|b\|_2^2}{2}}$$

Now, let's rewrite the definition of  $\varphi$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \left( \sum_j g_{1j} z_j, \sum_j g_{2j} z_j, \dots, \sum_j g_{kj} z_j \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} (\|z\|g^{(1)}, \|z\|g^{(2)}, \dots, \|z\|g^{(k)}) \\ &= \frac{\|z\|}{\sqrt{k}} (g^{(1)}, g^{(2)}, \dots, g^{(k)}) \rightarrow k - \dim \text{ Gaussian} \\ \|\varphi(z)\|^2 &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k (g^{(j)})^2 \cdot \|z\|^2 \\ &= \|z\|^2 \frac{1}{k} \sum_j (g^{(j)})^2 \\ &= \|z\|^2 \chi_k^2, \text{ where } \chi \text{ is the Chi square distribution} \end{aligned}$$

**Fact:**

$$P[\chi_k^2 \notin (1 \pm \epsilon)] \leq e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2 k}{9}}$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} Pr[\|\varphi(z)\| \in (1 \pm \epsilon)\|z\|] &= 1 - Pr[\|\varphi(z)\| \notin (1 \pm \epsilon)\|z\|] \\ &\geq 1 - e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2 k}{9}} \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D □

**Corollary 2.** (of Johnson-Linderstrauss '84)

- fix  $N$  vectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , pick  $\Phi$  as in Johnson-Linderstrauss, with  $k = \Theta(\frac{\lg N}{\epsilon^2})$
- $Pr_{\Phi}[\forall i, j \in [N], \|\Phi x_i - \Phi x_j\| = (1 \pm \epsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{N}$

*Proof.* fix  $k = \frac{3 \cdot 9 \cdot \ln N}{\epsilon^2}$

Then, by Johnson-Linderstrauss,  $\forall i, j \in [N]$

$$Pr[\|\Phi x_i - \Phi x_j\| \notin (1 \pm \epsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|] \leq e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2 k}{9}} = \frac{1}{N^3}$$

Recall the union bound theorem

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_i A_i\right) \leq \sum_i \mathbb{P}(A_i).$$

By Union bound over all pairs for  $i, j \in [N]$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_{\Phi}[\exists i, j \text{ pair} \in [N], \|\Phi x_i - \Phi x_j\| \notin (1 \pm \epsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|] &\leq \sum_{i, j \text{ pairs}} \Pr[\|\Phi x_i - \Phi x_j\| \notin (1 \pm \epsilon) \|x_i - x_j\|] \\ &\leq N^2 \times \frac{1}{N^3} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \end{aligned}$$

□

Rephrase: For  $\forall N$  vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , can map them in to  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , where  $k = O(\frac{\lg N}{\epsilon^2})$  while preserving distance  $1 \pm \epsilon$

## Dimension Reduction in Other space

**Remark:** Can we do the same for  $l_1$ ?

Recall that

$$l_1^d : \mathbb{R}^d \text{ where } \|x - y\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i - y_i\|$$

For  $l_1$ :  $N$  vectors into lower dimension  $l_1$ :

$$k = N^{\Omega(\frac{1}{\alpha})}$$

for  $\alpha$ -approximation.