

IETF Conferencing

- Packet multimedia experiments since 1980s
 - Audio/video tools + protocols for A/V over IP
 - Conference announcement and control protocols
- First IETF Audiocast (1992)
- Since then: IETF sessions on the Mbone
 - Audio + video (+ sometimes slides)
- Other uses of Mbone conferencing
 - Lectures, seminars, project meetings, ...
 - Broadcasting NASA missions, concerts, ...



IETF Conferencing Model







- INITIATE a call or conference
- JOIN a conference
- LEAVE a conference
- INVITE participants
- EXPEL participants?
- CONFIGURE media streams
- SHARE state? CONTROL conference?

SIP and Multiparty Conferencing

- SIP signaling relationships
 - Central (bridge, endpoint) vs. mesh
- Media distribution
 - Unicast vs. multicast
- Media mixing
 - Centralized (bridge, endpoint) vs. decentralized
- Conference creation
 - ad-hoc vs. scheduled
 - "dial-in" vs. "dial-out" vs. equal peers

ipDialog, Inc.

21 May 2001

Centralized Signaling: Bridge



Centralized Signaling: Endpoint







Centralized Media: Endpoint



Decentralized Media: Multi-Unicast



Decentralized Media: Multicast





Model Transition

- Conference starts off as a call
 - Endpoints can't do mixing
- Conference grows larger
 - Than the mixing endpoint can deal with
- Conference bridge no longer needed
- "Call Transfer" for all participants
 - INVITE and BYE, REFER
 - Re-direct (and re-configure) media streams

Example 1: Conference Bridge



Example 1: Conference Bridge

- Use only basic SIP features
 - SIP URL for identification
 - point-to-point calls for control and media
- Conferencing: application of SIP in the bridge
 - may hide or expose media differences
 - transcoding vs. media re-negotiation
 - may hide or expose participants' identities
- Make a conference "feel" like a phone call
- Works with SIP phones today!

Conference Setup



Ann calls in (1st)



Carol calls in (2nd)



Dave calls in (3rd)





Example 1: Conference Bridge

• PRO

- Endpoints need not be aware of conference
 - (if media distribution is handled centrally as well)
- Can be done with SIP today
- Endpoints can leave at will
- Simple!
- CON
 - Central entity required (find it, book it, access it, ...)
 - Single point of failure



Example 2: Endpoint as Mixer Logically similar to centralized bridge Endpoint creates two calls and bridges locally Perfect solution for small ad-hoc conferences With decentralized media: processing power less an issue Implemented in SIP Phones today!

Example 2: Endpoint as "Mixer"

PRO Endpoints need not be aware of conference (if media distribution is handled by mixing endpoint)

- Can be done with SIP today
- Simple!
- CON
 - Mixing endpoint cannot leave
 - or will terminate the signaling relationships
 - Mixing endpoint has to handle many streams (b/w)
 - Single point of failure

ipDialog, Inc.

21 May 2001

Example 3: Meshed Conference



27



Example 3: Meshed Conference

• PRO

- No centralized server required
- No single point of failure
- Participants may leave at will
- CON
 - More sophisticated endpoints required
 - Each endpoint has to handle multiple streams (b/w)
 - Complex protocol
- Not yet completely defined!





- Membership is straightforward
 - Done for full-mesh conferences
- Use SUBSCRIBE/NOTIFY for other



- Membership and other conference state
- Not perfectly efficient
 - But there is currently not so much state
 - Need not scale to arbitrarily large conferences
- Seek another solution only when really needed





DONE

- Audio
- Video
- Tones (DTMF etc.)
- Text chat
- Fax
- Pointers
- ...

35

MISSING

- Shared Whiteboard
 LBL WB, ...
- Shared Text
 UCL NTE, emacs, ...
- Application Sharing
 - ITU-T T.128
 - Sun VNC

ipDialog, Inc.

21 May 2001

Session Description Protocol (SDP)

- Has enabled SIP and streaming application
 - works fine for many cases
 - makes many implicit assumptions
- BUT: Designed for Session Announcements
 - rather than for interactive "negotiations"
- Many recent extensions
 - to better support SIP, MEGACO in the short-term
 - General solution being worked out







SDPng Status

Requirements agreed upon in MMUSIC
 Also input from SIP, MEGACO

- Basic structure agreed upon
- XML-based syntax chosen
- Strawman proposal available
- Draft spec expected for 51st IETF
- Next steps: definitions (media, transport, ...)

```
ipDialog, Inc.
```

21 May 2001

Conclusion

- For TODAY, we are ok!
 - Audio(visual) conference bridges
 - Small group ad-hoc conferencing
 - End points may but need not support conferences.
- For TOMORROW, there is a long way to go...
 - SIP conferencing support and SDPng
 - Conference control?
 - Media protocols
- And we NEED APPLICATIONS that use it...!

39