# M-Coloring Parallelization Project Proposal

Zhonghao Liu

November 23, 2025

## 1 Introduction to M-Coloring Algorithm and Problem Setup

## 1.1 The M-Coloring Problem

The m-coloring problem states that: given a graph G = (V, E) with V vertices connected by edges E, determine whether it is possible to assign one of m colors to each vertex such that no two adjacent vertices share the same color. This problem serves as a great candidate for parallelization, especially when its standard solution involves backtracking depth-first search.

The worse-case complexity for the problem is  $O(m^{|V|})$ . In this project, I will discover speedup techniques through parallelization over a random graph input.

## 1.2 Problem Setup

I will generate a random graph using a Random Geometric Graph (RGG) model with the following technique:

- Scatter N points randomly in a unit square  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ .
- Connect two points if their Euclidean distance  $\leq$  a given radius r.

For this project, I will start with n = 20, r = 0.2, and m = 4. Then I will adjust m and r experimentally to ensure the graphs are solvable yet computationally demanding enough to measure parallel speedup. I will also reorder the colors in order to ensure as many nodes in the search tree are being visited as possible, as compared to finding a solution by only visiting the first branch towards the first leaf.

## 2 Speedup Techniques

## 2.1 Sequential Pre-Parallelization Optimization

• Adjacency Representation: Instead of keeping edges as a list of [(Int, Int)], I will use Data. IntMap to provide  $O(\log n)$  lookup for vertex neighbors.

• Constraint Checking: Instead of using a list to keep track of used colors for neighbors, I will use Data.IntSet, which reduced runtime by approximately 2x (according to the n-queens project provided in class).

#### 2.2 Parallelization Strategies

#### 2.2.1 Strategy A: Parallelize the Root Branches

I plan to first parallelize the branches of the first vertex by assigning each of the m colors to it.

```
mColoring n m graph = sum (firstVertexChoices 'using' parList rseq)
where
  firstVertexChoices = map (solveRest graph ...) [1..m]
```

**Expected Outcome:** This approach generates only m sparks. For small m (e.g., 4), this will likely result in poor load balancing and "plateaus" on my 16-thread machine.

#### 2.2.2 Strategy B: Parallelize deeper levels

To address the load balancing issues of Strategy A and the irregular nature of random graphs, I will further parallelize deeper branches of the search tree in order to generate more sparks. This means for each neighbor of the root vertex, I will parallelize assigning each of the m-1 colors.

```
import Control.Parallel.Strategies (using, parList, rseq)
mColoring :: Int -> Graph -> Int
mColoring m graph = count 0 emptyColoring
  where
    numVertices = length (vertices graph)
    -- Depth 2 or 3 ensures enough sparks are generated
    -- to keep 16 threads busy without flooding the runtime.
    parallelDepth = 2
    count :: Vertex -> Coloring -> Int
    count v currentColoring
      | v == numVertices = 1
      | v < parallelDepth =
          sum (map recursiveStep validColors 'using' parList rseq)
          sum (map recursiveStep validColors) -- Sequential cutoff
      where
        validColors = filter (\c -> isSafe v c graph currentColoring) [1..m]
        recursiveStep c = count (v + 1) (insertColor v c currentColoring)
```

**Expected Outcome:** By tuning parallelDepth, I aim to maximize the number of "converted" sparks while minimizing "fizzled" sparks caused by branches that fail fast.

## 3 Evaluation Techniques

The programs will be run on a PC with a CPU of 8 physical cores and 16 hardware threads (SMT).

### 3.1 Runtime and Scalability Analysis

I will evaluate the strong scaling of the algorithm by running the best parallel implementation against the sequential baseline.

- Metric: Speedup  $S_N = T_1/T_N$ , where  $T_1$  is the runtime on a single thread and  $T_N$  is the runtime on N threads.
- Target: I will plot Speedup vs. Threads (N = 1 to 16). Ideally, this should approach the linear limit derived from Amdahl's Law.
- **Observation:** I expect speedup to plateau after 8 threads (physical cores) due to resource contention in SMT, as seen in standard parallel benchmarks.

### 3.2 Threadscope and Spark Statistics

To diagnose the efficiency of the parallel strategy, I will use GHC's event logging (+RTS -1 -s).

- **Spark Conversion Rate:** I will measure the ratio of *converted* to *fizzled* sparks. In random graphs, invalid colorings are detected quickly, leading to high fizzle rates. I will use these stats to tune the parallelDepth.
- Load Balancing: Using Threadscope, I will visually inspect the execution timeline for "staircase" patterns or gaps in the green activity bars, which indicate that threads are starving for work.

### 3.3 Garbage Collection Tuning

Since graph coloring involves creating many partial immutable state objects (IntSet), GC pressure will be high.

- Experiment: I will compare runtimes using default GC settings versus increased Allocation Area sizes (e.g., -A16M, -A64M).
- Expectation: While larger nurseries reduce GC frequency, prior reports suggest they may degrade cache performance. I will report the "Mutator Time" vs. "GC Time" to determine the optimal setting.

#### 4 References

- Edwards, Stephen A. "Parallel N-Queens in Haskell." Columbia University, 2025.
- "M-Coloring problem". https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/dsa/m-coloring-problem/