#### **Runtime Environments**

Stephen A. Edwards

**Columbia University** 

Fall 2015



**Storage Classes** 

The Stack and Activation Records

**In-Memory Layout Issues** 

The Heap

Automatic Garbage Collection

Shared Libraries and Dynamic Linking

**Objects and Inheritance** 

Exceptions

# **Storage Classes**

#### Storage Classes and Memory Layout

last-in, first-out order Heap: objects created/destroyed in any order; automatic garbage collection optional

Stack: objects created/destroyed in

Static: objects allocated at compile time; persist throughout run



## **Static Objects**

```
class Example {
   public static final int a = 3;
   public void hello() {
      System.out.println("Hello");
   }
}
```

#### **Advantages**

Zero-cost memory management

Often faster access (address a constant)

No out-of-memory danger

#### Examples Static class variable Code for hello method String constant "Hello" Information about the Example class

#### Disadvantages

Size and number must be known beforehand

Wasteful if sharing is possible

# The Stack and Activation Records

## Stack-Allocated Objects



Natural for supporting recursion.

Idea: some objects persist from when a procedure is called to when it returns.

Naturally implemented with a stack: linear array of memory that grows and shrinks at only one boundary.

Each invocation of a procedure gets its own *frame* (*activation record*) where it stores its own local variables and bookkeeping information.

#### An Activation Record: The State Before Calling bar



#### **Recursive Fibonacci**



#### (Assembly-like C)

int fib(int n) {
 int tmp1, tmp2, tmp3;
 tmp1 = n < 2;
 if (!tmp1) goto L1;
 return 1;
L1: tmp1 = n - 1;
 tmp2 = fib(tmp1);
L2: tmp1 = n - 2;
 tmp3 = fib(tmp1);
L3: tmp1 = tmp2 + tmp3;
 return tmp1;
}</pre>

# Executing fib(3)



```
int fib(int n) {
    int tmp1, tmp2, tmp3;
    tmp1 = n < 2;
    if (!tmp1) goto L1:
    return 1;
L1: tmp1 = n - 1;
    tmp2 = fib(tmp1);
L2: tmp1 = n - 2;
    tmp3 = fib(tmp1);
L3: tmp1 = tmp2 + tmp3;
    return tmp1;
}
```



- L1: tmp1 = n 1; tmp2 = fib(tmp1);
- L2: tmp1 = n 2; tmp3 = fib(tmp1); L3: tmp1 = tmp2 + tmp3; return tmp1;



#### Executing fib(3) n = 3 return address last frame pointer. tmp1 = 2tmp2 =int fib(int n) { tmp3 =int tmp1, tmp2, tmp3; n = 2 tmp1 = n < 2;return address if (!tmp1) goto L1: last frame pointer. return 1; tmp1 = 1L1: tmp1 = n - 1; tmp2 = $tmp2 = fib(tmp1); \bigstar$ tmp3 = L2: tmp1 = n - 2;n = 1 tmp3 = fib(tmp1);return address L3: tmp1 = tmp2 + tmp3; last frame pointer. return tmp1; tmp1 = 1} tmp2 =tmp3 =



#### Executing fib(3) n = 3 return address last frame pointer. tmp1 = 2tmp2 =int fib(int n) { tmp3 =int tmp1, tmp2, tmp3; n = 2 tmp1 = n < 2;return address if (!tmp1) goto L1: last frame pointer. return 1; tmp1 = 0L1: tmp1 = n - 1; tmp2 = 1tmp2 = fib(tmp1);tmp3 =L2: tmp1 = n - 2;n = 0 tmp3 = fib(tmp1);return address L3: tmp1 = tmp2 + tmp3; last frame pointer. return tmp1; tmp1 = 1} tmp2 =tmp3 =



# Executing fib(3)









tmp2 = fib(tmp1); L2: tmp1 = n - 2; tmp3 = fib(tmp1); L3: tmp1 = tmp2 + tmp3; return tmp1;

return 1; L1: tmp1 = n - 1;

#### Allocating Fixed-Size Arrays

Local arrays with fixed size are easy to stack.



#### Allocating Variable-Sized Arrays

Variable-sized local arrays aren't as easy.



Doesn't work: generated code expects a fixed offset for c. Even worse for multi-dimensional arrays.

#### Allocating Variable-Sized Arrays



Variables remain constant offset from frame pointer.

### **Nesting Function Definitions**

```
let articles words =
 let report w =
   let count = List.length
      (List.filter ((=) w) words)
    in w ^ ": " ^
       string_of_int count
 in String.concat ", "
    (List.map report ["a"; "the"])
in articles
    ["the"; "plt"; "class"; "is";
     "a"; "pain"; "in";
     "the"; "butt"]
```

```
let count words w = List.length
  (List.filter ((=) w) words) in
let report words w = w ^ ": " ^
  string_of_int (count words w) in
let articles words =
  String.concat ", "
    (List.map (report words)
     ["a"; "the"]) in
articles
    ["the"; "plt"; "class"; "is";
     "a"; "pain"; "in";
     "the": "butt"]
```

Produces "a: 1, the: 2"

# a: $\begin{array}{c} (access link) \\ x = 5 \\ s = 42 \end{array}$

a: 
$$(access link) \cdot x = 5$$
  
 $s = 42$   
e:  $(access link) \cdot q = 6$ 

a: 
$$(access link) \cdot x = 5$$
  
 $s = 42$   
e:  $(access link) \cdot q = 6$   
b:  $(access link) \cdot y = 7$ 

a: 
$$(access link) \cdot x = 5$$
  
 $s = 42$   
e:  $(access link) \cdot q = 6$   
b:  $(access link) \cdot y = 7$   
d:  $(access link) \cdot w = 8$ 

a: 
$$(access link) \cdot x = 5$$
  
 $s = 42$   
e:  $(access link) \cdot q = 6$   
b:  $(access link) \cdot y = 7$   
d:  $(access link) \cdot w = 8$   
c:  $(access link) \cdot z = 9$ 

# **In-Memory Layout Issues**

#### Layout of Records and Unions

Modern processors have byte-addressable memory.

0 1 2 3

The IBM 360 (c. 1964) helped to popularize byte-addressable memory.

Many data types (integers, addresses, floating-point numbers) are wider than a byte.

 16-bit integer:
 1
 0

 32-bit integer:
 3
 2
 1
 0



## Layout of Records and Unions

Modern memory systems read data in 32-, 64-, or 128-bit chunks:



Reading an aligned 32-bit value is fast: a single operation.



It is harder to read an unaligned value: two reads plus shifting



SPARC and ARM prohibit unaligned accesses

MIPS has special unaligned load/store instructions

x86, 68k run more slowly with unaligned accesses

## Padding

To avoid unaligned accesses, the C compiler pads the layout of unions and records.

Rules:

y

- Each *n*-byte object must start on a multiple of *n* bytes (no unaligned accesses).
- Any object containing an *n*-byte object must be of size *mn* for some integer *m* (aligned even when arrayed).



z w



#### Unions

A C struct has a separate space for each field; a C union shares one space among all fields







#### Arrays

Basic policy in C: an array is just one object after another in memory.



This is why you need padding at the end of *structs*.







#### Arrays and Aggregate types

The largest primitive type dictates the alignment





Arrays of Arrays








The Heap

Static works when you know everything beforehand and always need it.

Stack enables, but also requires, recursive behavior.

A *heap* is a region of memory where blocks can be allocated and deallocated in any order.

(These heaps are different than those in, e.g., heapsort)

```
struct point {
   int x, y;
}:
int play_with_points(int n)
{
  int i;
  struct point *points;
 points = malloc(n * sizeof(struct point));
  for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    points[i].x = random();
    points[i].y = random();
  }
  /* do something with the array */
  free(points);
}
```











**Rules:** 

Each allocated block contiguous (no holes) Blocks stay fixed once allocated malloc()

Find an area large enough for requested block

Mark memory as allocated

free()

Mark the block as unallocated



Maintaining information about free memory Simplest: Linked list The algorithm for locating a suitable block Simplest: First-fit The algorithm for freeing an allocated block Simplest: Coalesce adjacent free blocks











Many, many other approaches. Other "fit" algorithms Segregation of objects by size More clever data structures Memory pools: Differently-managed heap areas Stack-based pool: only free whole pool at once Nice for build-once data structures Single-size-object pool: Fit, allocation, etc. much faster Good for object-oriented programs

# Fragmentation



Need more memory; can't use fragmented memory.



Hockey smile

# **Fragmentation and Handles**

Standard CS solution: Add another layer of indirection.

Always reference memory through "handles."





The original Macintosh did this to save memory.

# **Fragmentation and Handles**

Standard CS solution: Add another layer of indirection.

Always reference memory through "handles."





The original Macintosh did this to save memory. Automatic Garbage Collection

# Automatic Garbage Collection

Entrust the runtime system with freeing heap objects

Now common: Java, C#, Javascript, Python, Ruby, OCaml and most functional languages

#### **Advantages**

Much easier for the programmer

Greatly improves reliability: no memory leaks, double-freeing, or other memory management errors

#### Disadvantages

Slower, sometimes unpredictably so

May consume more memory



- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```

- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



# Issues with Reference Counting

Circular structures defy reference counting:



Neither is reachable, yet both have non-zero reference counts.

High overhead (must update counts constantly), although incremental

## Mark-and-Sweep

- Stop-the-world algorithm invoked when memory full
- Breadth-first-search marks all reachable memory
- All unmarked items freed

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



## Mark-and-Sweep

- Stop-the-world algorithm invoked when memory full
- Breadth-first-search marks all reachable memory
- All unmarked items freed

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



## Mark-and-Sweep

- Stop-the-world algorithm invoked when memory full
- Breadth-first-search marks all reachable memory
- All unmarked items freed

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```


### Mark-and-Sweep

What and when to free?

- Stop-the-world algorithm invoked when memory full
- Breadth-first-search marks all reachable memory
- All unmarked items freed

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



### Mark-and-Sweep

Mark-and-sweep is faster overall; may induce big pauses

Mark-and-compact variant also moves or copies reachable objects to eliminate fragmentation

Incremental garbage collectors try to avoid doing everything at once

Most objects die young; generational garbage collectors segregate heap objects by age

Parallel garbage collection tricky

Real-time garbage collection tricky

# Shared Libraries and Dynamic Linking



### Shared Libraries and Dynamic Linking

The 1980s GUI/WIMP revolution required many large libraries (the Athena widgets, Motif, etc.)

Under a *static linking* model, each executable using a library gets a copy of that library's code.



### Shared Libraries and Dynamic Linking

The 1980s GUI/WIMP revolution required many large libraries (the Athena widgets, Motif, etc.)

Under a *static linking* model, each executable using a library gets a copy of that library's code.



Wasteful: running many GUI programs at once fills memory with nearly identical copies of each library.

Something had to be done: another level of indirection.

### Shared Libraries: First Attempt

Most code makes assumptions about its location.

First solution (early Unix System V R3) required each shared library to be located at a unique address:

			libXm.so
	libXaw.so	libXaw.so	
	libX11.so	libX11.so	libX11.so
			netscape
		xterm	
Address 0:	xeyes		

### Shared Libraries: First Attempt

Most code makes assumptions about its location.

First solution (early Unix System V R3) required each shared library to be located at a unique address:

			libXm.so
	libXaw.so	libXaw.so	
	libX11.so	libX11.so	libX11.so
			netscape
		xterm	
Address 0:	xeyes		

Obvious disadvantage: must ensure each new shared library located at a new address.

Works fine if there are only a few libraries; tended to discourage their use.

### **Shared Libraries**

Problem fundamentally is that each program may need to see different libraries each at a different address.



### Position-Independent Code

Solution: Require the code for libraries to be position-independent. Make it so they can run anywhere in memory.

As always, add another level of indirection:

- All branching is PC-relative
- All data must be addressed relative to a base register.
- All branching to and from this code must go through a jump table.

### Position-Independent Code for bar()

## Normal unlinked code

```
save %sp. -112. %sp
sethi %hi(0), %o0
  R SPARC HI22 .bss
mov %00, %00
  R SPARC LO10 .bss
sethi %hi(0). %o1
  R SPARC HI22 a
mov %01, %01
  R SPARC LO10 a
call 14
  R SPARC WDISP30 strcpv
nop
sethi %hi(0), %o0
  R SPARC HI22 .bss
mov %00, %00
  R SPARC LO10 .bss
call 24
  R SPARC WDISP30 baz
nop
ret
restore
```

#### gcc -fpic -shared

```
save %sp, -112, %sp
sethi %hi(0x10000), %17
call 8e0
          ! add PC to %17
add %17, 0x198, %17
ld [ %17 + 0x20 ], %00
1d
    [\%17 + 0x24], %01
             Actually just a stub
call
      10a24 ! strcpy
nop
ld [ %17 + 0x20 ], %00
      call is PC-relative
call 10a3c + baz
nop
ret
restore
```

## **Objects and Inheritance**

### Single Inheritance

Simple: Add new fields to end of the object

Fields in base class always at same offset in derived class (compiler never reorders)

Consequence: Derived classes can never remove fields

C++
class Shape {
 double x, y;
};
class Box : Shape {
 double h, w;
};
class Circle : Shape {
 double r;
};

#### **Equivalent C**

```
struct Shape {
   double x, y;
};
struct Box {
   double x, y;
   double h, w;
};
struct Circle {
   double x, y;
   double r;
};
```

### **Virtual Functions**

```
class Shape {
 virtual void draw(); // Invoked by object's run-time class
};
                       // not its compile-time type.
class Line : public Shape {
 void draw();
}
class Arc : public Shape {
 void draw();
};
Shape *s[10];
s[0] = new Line;
s[1] = new Arc;
s[0]->draw(); // Invoke Line::draw()
s[1]->draw(); // Invoke Arc::draw()
```

### Virtual Functions

Trick: add to each object a pointer to the virtual table for its type, filled with pointers to the virtual functions.

Like the objects themselves, the virtual table for each derived type begins identically.

```
struct A {
  int x;
  virtual void Foo();
  virtual void Bar();
};
struct B : A \{
  int v;
  virtual void Foo();
  virtual void Baz();
};
A a1;
A a2;
B b1;
```



## Exceptions



### C++'s Exceptions

```
struct Except {} ex; // This struct functions as an exception
void top(void) {
  try {
    child();
  } catch (Except e) { // throw sends control here
   printf("oops\n");
}
void child() {
  child2();
}
void child2
  throw ex; // Pass control up to the catch block
3
```

### C's setjmp/longjmp: Idiosyncratic Exceptions



### Implementing Exceptions

#### One way: maintain a stack of exception handlers

try {	<pre>push(Ex, Handler); // Push handler on stack</pre>
<pre>child(); } catch (Ex e) {   foo(); }</pre>	<pre>child(); pop(); // Normal termination goto Exit; // Jump over "catch" Handler: foo(); // Body of "catch" Exit:</pre>
<pre>void child() {     child2(); }</pre>	<pre>void child() {     child2(); }</pre>
<pre>void child2() {    throw ex; }</pre>	<pre>void child2() {    throw(ex); // Unroll stack; find handler }</pre>

Incurs overhead, even when no exceptions thrown

### Implementing Exceptions with Tables

Q: When an exception is thrown, where was the last try?

A: Consult a table: relevant handler or "pop" for every PC

