## Analysis of Algorithms I: Single-Source Shortest Paths

## Xi Chen

Columbia University

Introduction

We start with some notation. Let G = (V, E) denote a weighted directed graph. The weight of  $(u, v) \in E$  is w(u, v). The weight of a path  $p = \langle v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k \rangle$  is the sum of the weights of its edges:

$$w(p) = \sum_{i=1}^k w(v_{i-1}, v_i)$$

Given  $u, v \in V$ , we define the shortest-path weight from u to v:

$$\delta(u,v)={\it min}\left\{w(p)$$
 : any path from  $u$  to  $v
ight\}$ 

and  $\delta(u, v) = +\infty$  if v is not reachable from u. Usually we simply refer to  $\delta(u, v)$  as the distance from u to v. In this class, we focus on the single-source shortest-paths problem: Given a weighted directed graph G = (V, E) and a source vertex  $s \in V$ , compute  $\delta(s, v)$  and find a shortest path from s to v, for all  $v \in V$ . In the next class, we discuss the all-pairs shortest-paths problems. While the latter can be solved by running a single-source algorithm once for each vertex, usually it can be solved faster. Some basic properties of  $\delta(s, v)$ :

Triangle inequality:

 $\delta(u, v) \leq \delta(u, y) + \delta(y, v), \text{ for all } u, y, v \in V$ 

Implies  $\delta(s, v) \leq \delta(s, u) + w(u, v)$  for any  $(u, v) \in E$ 

② \* Subpath property \*: If p = ⟨v<sub>0</sub>, v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>k</sub>⟩ is a shortest path from v<sub>0</sub> to v<sub>k</sub>, then for any i, j : 0 ≤ i ≤ j ≤ k,

$$p_{i,j} = \langle v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_j \rangle$$

must be a shortest path from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ .

We start by discussing the case when all weights are nonnegative (e.g., distances between cities). Dijkstra's algorithm: Very very similar to Prim's algorithm for minimum spanning trees. Let G = (V, E) be a weighted directed graph. Note: If G is undirected, just replace each undirected edge by two directed edges with opposite directions with the same weight. For convenience, we also assume that all vertices are reachable from *s*, though this assumption is not necessary.

Dijkstra's algorithm maintains a set of vertices S, with  $S = \{s\}$  at the beginning. For each round, we pick a vertex from V - S and add it to S. When a vertex v is picked and added into S, the distance  $\delta(s, v)$  is computed correctly and stored in v.d. Since we assumed that all vertices are reachable from s, the algorithm stops when S = V. In addition to v.d, each vertex  $v \in V$  also has an attribute  $v.\pi$ , a pointer to another vertex in the graph. Edges from

$$E_{\pi} = \left\{ (v.\pi, v) : v \in V - \{s\} \right\} \subseteq E$$

form a shortest-paths tree: For every  $v \in V - \{s\}$ , the unique path from s to v in  $E_{\pi}$  must be a shortest path from s to v. In the class, we only focus on the v.d attribute.

Before describing the algorithm, we present the key lemma to Dijkstra's algorithm. Let S be a set of vertices with  $s \in S$ . We say p is an S-path from s to  $v \in V - S$  if all vertices of p lie in S except v itself (so all the edges on the path p have both endpoints in S except the last edge (u, v), with  $u \in S$  and  $v \in V - S$ .) Quick question: If we know the distance  $\delta(s, u)$  for all  $u \in S$ , how can we compute the weight of the shortest S-path from s to  $v \in V - S$ ? We denote the latter by  $\delta(s, S, v)$ . Use the following formula:

$$\delta(s, S, v) = \min_{u \in S} \left\{ \delta(s, u) + w(u, v) \right\}$$
(1)

Prove its correctness. Here comes the lemma:

## Lemma

Let S be a set of vertices with  $s \in S$ . If  $v \in V - S$  has the minimum  $\delta(s, S, v)$  among all vertices  $v \in V - S$ , then we must have  $\delta(s, v) = \delta(s, S, v)$ .

Assume this is not the case, then we must have  $\delta(s, v) < \delta(s, S, v)$ because  $\delta(s, v) \le \delta(s, S, v)$  by definition. This means there is a shortest path p from s to v such that

 $w(p) < \delta(s, S, v)$ 

Let y denote the first vertex not in S on the path p. If y = v then p is indeed an S-path and thus,

$$w(p) \geq \delta(s, S, v)$$

contradiction. So  $y \neq v$  is a predecessor of v in p. Let p' denote the subpath of p from s to y, then p' is clearly an S-path (why?). As a result, we have

$$\delta(s, S, y) \leq w(p') \leq w(p) < \delta(s, S, v)$$

contradicting with the assumption that v has the minimum  $\delta(s, S, v)$  among all vertices in V - S (since  $y \in V - S$ ).

This suggests the following naive but correct algorithm: Start with  $S = \{s\}$  and s.d = 0. At any time every  $v \in S$  has  $v.d = \delta(s, v)$ . For each round (when  $S \neq V$  yet), use formula (1) to compute  $\delta(s, S, v)$  for each  $v \in V$ , which takes time  $|V - S| \cdot |S|$ . Find a vertex  $v \in V$  that has the minimum  $\delta(s, S, v)$ . Set

$$\mathbf{v}.\mathbf{d} = \delta(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{v})$$

and add it into S. But ... too slow!

Instead, we keep the following invariant: Prior to each round

**1** For every 
$$u \in S$$
,  $u.d = \delta(s, u)$ . For every  $v \in S$ ,

$$\mathbf{v}.\mathbf{d} = \delta(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{v})$$

which is set to be  $+\infty$  if currently there is no S-path from s to v (may happen even if all vertices are reachable from s)

We also maintain a priority queue Q of vertices in V − S, sorted based on the v.d attribute. So to find a vertex v ∈ V with the minimum δ(s, S, v), it suffices to make a call to Extract-Min. However (similar to Prim's algorithm), after adding v to S (note that there is no need to change v.d, why?) we need to update w.d for every w remains in Q.

Now we present Dijkstra's algorithm:

• set 
$$S = \{s\}$$
,  $s.d = 0$  and  $s.\pi = nil (root)$ 

• for each  $v \in V - \{s\}$  (check that the invariant holds)

if 
$$(s, v) \in E$$
: set  $v.d = w(s, v)$  and  $v.\pi = s$ 

• else: set 
$$v.d = +\infty$$
 and  $v.\pi = \mathsf{nil}$ 

S Priority-Queue-Init 
$$(Q, V - \{s\})$$

• while 
$$Q \neq \emptyset$$
  $(S \neq V)$  do

$$u = \text{Extract-Min}(Q)$$

• for each 
$$v \in \operatorname{adj}[u]$$
 do

10

9 if 
$$v \in Q$$
 and  $v.d > u.d + w(u, v)$  then

Decrease-Key (Q,v,u.d+w(u,v)) and  $v.\pi=u$ 

To prove its correctness, it suffices to show that after adding u to S at the beginning a while-loop, by the end of the loop we still have

$$v.d = \delta(s, S, v)$$

for every vertex v in Q. Ruunning time of Dijkstra: Initialization of Q plus n-1 Extract-Min plus m Decrease-Key. If we use Heap (or Red-Black tree) to implement Q:  $O(m \lg n)$ . By using a Fibonacci heap (Chapter 19), the total running time is  $O(m + n \lg n)$ .

Now we work on the more general case when the weights can be negative. Again, we assume that all vertices  $v \in V$  are reachable from s. The trouble of having negative weights is that sometimes  $\delta(s, v)$  is not well defined. How can this happen? It happens when there is a cycle c in G such that the total weight w(c) of edges in c is negative. For example, if  $(s, a), (a, b), (b, c), (c, a), (a, d) \in E$ and the weight of the cycle *abca* is negative, then we can go from s to d by cycling around abca for as many times as we want so that the total weight of the path approaches  $-\infty$ . So no matter what path from s to d you pick, I can always find you in this (kind of stupid) way a path with even smaller total weight. Show that if there is no negative-weight cycle in G, then  $\delta(s, v)$  is well-defined and there always exists a shortest "simple" path from s to v.

The Bellman-Ford algorithm solves the single-source shortest-paths problem when the weights may be negative. (See the details below.) The input is a weighted directed graph G = (V, E) in which the weights may be negative, as well as a source vertex  $s \in V$ . Output: Either indicate that G has a negative-weight cycle; or if no negative-weight cycle exists in G (for which case  $\delta(s, v)$  is well-defined for all  $v \in V$ ), compute  $\delta(s, v)$  and a shortest path from s to v for all  $v \in V$ . For the latter, again we mean that  $E_{\pi}$  forms a shortest-paths tree.

1 set 
$$s.d = 0$$
 and  $s.\pi = nil (root)$   
2 for each  $v \in V - \{s\}$  do  
3 set  $v.d = \infty$  and  $v.\pi = nil$   
4 repeat  $n - 1$  times  
5 for each edge  $(u, v) \in E$  do  
6 if  $v.d > u.d + w(u, v)$  then  
6 set  $v.d = u.d + w(u, v)$  and  $v.\pi = u$   
8 for each edge  $(u, v) \in E$  do  
9 if  $v.d > u.d + w(u, v)$  then  
10 return "negative cycle"

return "no reachable negative cycle"

글▶ 글

A∄ ▶ ∢ ∃=

The running time of Bellman-Ford is  $\Theta(nm)$ . Now we prove its correctness. First of all, if there is a negative-weight cycle, say

$$c = \langle v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k, v_0 \rangle$$

in G, then the algorithm must return "negative cycle". To see this, assume for contradiction that line 10 is not executed.

Because  $(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), \ldots, (v_k, v_0) \in E$ , we have

. . .

$$v_{1}.d \leq v_{0}.d + w(v_{0}, v_{1})$$
  
 $v_{2}.d \leq v_{1}.d + w(v_{1}, v_{2})$ 

$$v_0.d \leq v_k.d + w(v_k, v_0)$$

Summing up all these k + 1 inequalities gives us

$$0 \le w(v_0, v_1) + w(v_1, v_2) + \cdots + w(v_k, v_0)$$

contradicting with our assumption of c being a negative cycle.

Finally we show that if there is no negative-weight cycle in G, then  $v.d = \delta(s, v)$  for all v before the first for-loop of line 8; and the algorithm outputs "no reachable negative cycle" by the end. We prove the second part first. If  $v.d = \delta(s, v)$  for all  $v \in V$ , then for any  $(u, v) \in E$ , we have the following simple inequality

$$\delta(s,v) \leq \delta(s,u) + w(u,v)$$

(why?) and thus,

$$v.d \leq u.d + w(u,v)$$

for all  $(u, v) \in E$ . So it outputs "no reachable negative cycle".

We prove  $v.d = \delta(s, v)$  for all  $v \in V$ . First, it is easy to prove, using induction, that  $v.d \ge \delta(s, v)$  during any time of the algorithm. Also v.d is nonincreasing during the execution of Bellman-Ford because we only change v.d on line 7, which only makes it smaller. These two properties imply that if v.d is set to be  $\delta(s, v)$  at some time during the execution, then it remains to be  $\delta(s, v)$  ever after! Now we start the proof. Pick any vertex  $v \in V$ . We show that  $v.d = \delta(s, v)$  by the end of the (n-1) iterations of line 4. If there is no negative-weight cycle, then  $\delta(s, v)$  is well-defined and there is a "simple" path p from s to v with  $w(p) = \delta(s, v)$ . Because

$$p = \langle v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_k \rangle$$
, where  $s = v_0$  and  $v = v_k$ 

is simple, we have  $k \le n - 1$ . It suffice to prove by induction:

By the end of the *i*th iteration of line 4,  $v_i d = \delta(s, v_i)$ .

Because it implies that by the end of the  $(k \le n-1)$ th iteration, we have  $v.d = \delta(s, v)$  and it remains so ever after.

The basis is trivial. Induction step: Assume that by the end of the (i-1)th iteration (or at the beginning of the *i*th iteration), for some  $i \leq k$ ,  $v_{i-1}.d = \delta(s, v_{i-1})$  and remains so ever after. We show that by the end of the *i*th iteration, it must be the case that  $v_i.d = \delta(s, v_i)$ . This is because in the for-loop of line 5, after the edge  $(v_{i-1}, v_i) \in E$  is processed, we must have

$$v_{i.d} \leq v_{i-1.d} + w(v_{i-1}, v_i) = \delta(s, v_{i-1}) + w(v_{i-1}, v_i) = \delta(s, v_i)$$

The second equation uses  $v_{i-1} d = \delta(s, v_{i-1})$  by the inductive hypothesis. The last equation uses the \* subpath property \*.

Read Section 24.2: How to solve the single-source shortest paths problem efficiently when G is a DAG:

Topological sort + dynamic programming