Analysis of Algorithms I: Introduction

Xi Chen

Columbia University

Introduction

- Computational Problem: A well-defined input/output relationship. E.g., sorting, connected components, greatest common divisor (GCD), matrix multiplication.
- Algorithm: A well-defined procedure that takes something (as input) and produces something (as output).
 - Existed before computers: e.g., the Euclidean algorithm for GCD. [Section 31.2 of the textbook if interested]
- An algorithm correctly solves a problem if, for every input instance, it halts with the correct output.

- Correctness: Provably correct in this course.
- Performance: (mostly) time complexity, and space complexity (or other computational resources).
- How to measure the running time of an algorithm?
 - the random-access machine (RAM) model [Section 2.2 of the textbook for more details]
 - cells storing integers and rational numbers
 - basic operations: arithmetic/data movement/control
 - count the number of basic operations

InsertionSort(A), where $A = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ is a sequence of integers:

- Create an empty list B
- 2 For *i* from 1 to *n*

Enumerate the list *B* backwards to find the first integer in *B* smaller than a_i ; insert a_i right after that integer.

We use T(A) to denote the number of basic operations it uses when the input is A, and we are interested in its worst-case time complexity: For $n \ge 1$, let

$$T(n) = \max_{\text{all } A \text{ of length } n} T(A).$$

Deriving exactly what T(n) is can be very tedious, e.g., it depends on how we implement a list using a RAM. In a certain implementation, assume that line 1 and line 2 take c_1 and c_2 steps each, where c_1 and c_2 are constants that are independent of the input size n. Also assume the *i*th iteration of the for-loop takes $c_3k_i + c_4$ steps, where

- *c*₃: number of steps to enumerate backwards an integer in *B*;
- c₄: number of steps it takes for insertion;
- and k_i is the number of integers we need to enumerate backwards to find an integer smaller than a_i .

Again, c_3 and c_4 are constants in a reasonable implementation.

From these assumptions, we have

$$T(A) = c_1 + c_2 \cdot n + \sum_{i=1}^n (c_3k_i + c_4) = c_1 + c_2 \cdot n + c_4 \cdot n + c_3 \sum_{i=1}^n k_i.$$

Different input instances yield different k_i 's. If $A = \langle 1, 2, ..., n \rangle$ is already ordered nonincreasingly, then $k_i = 1$ for all *i*. But when $A' = \langle n, n-1, ..., 1 \rangle$, we have $k_i = i$ for all *i*. So

$$T(A) = c_1 + c_2 \cdot n + c_4 \cdot n + c_3 \cdot n$$

$$T(A') = c_1 + c_2 \cdot n + c_4 \cdot n + c_3 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n i.$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. [Will use Induction to prove it next class]

We conclude that

$$T(n) = T(A') = c_1 + c_2 \cdot n + c_4 \cdot n + c_3 \cdot \frac{n(n+1)}{2},$$

because k_i can be no more than the length of the list B, which is i in the *i*-th iteration of the for-loop.

Usually we make the following two simplifications in analysis:

- focus on the dominant term: keep $c_3 n^2/2$ only
- suppress the constant coefficient: keep n^2 only

More formally, we use the asymptotic notation: $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ (to be defined next).

Not worth the effort to keep the constant c_3 because

- An algorithm with T(n) = 100n may not always perform better than an algorithm with T(n) = n in practice, because the cost of the RAM basic operations vary among different machines.
- An algorithm with $T(n) = c_1 n$ always performs better than an algorithm with $T(n) = c_2 n^2$, when the input is large enough, no matter what the positive constants c_1, c_2 are.

We focus on the asymptotic performance to

- avoid the tedious analysis of the constants;
- understand the intrinsic (and machine-independent) complexity of an algorithm;
- concentrate on the dominant term when designing an algorithm because this decides its performance when the inputs are large.

But what if the hidden constant is really really large: E.g., for an algorithm with $T(n) = 10^{100}n$ to perform better than an algorithm with $T(n) = n^2$, *n* needs to be 10^{100} .

 Fortunately the algorithms we cover in the course are well polished and have low hidden constants. Let f(n) and g(n) are functions that map n = 1, 2, ... to real numbers, then we let

$$O(g(n)) = \left\{ f(n) : \exists \text{ constants } c > 0 \text{ and } n_0 > 0 \\ \text{s.t. } 0 \le f(n) \le c \cdot g(n) \text{ for all } n \ge n_0 \right\}$$

Check Figure 3.1 (b) of the textbook. Usually we use

$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$
 to denote $f(n) \in O(g(n))$

Let f(n) and g(n) are functions that map n = 1, 2, ... to real numbers, then we let

$$\begin{split} \Omega(g(n)) &= & \Big\{ f(n) : \exists \text{ constants } c > 0 \text{ and } n_0 > 0 \\ &\text{ s.t. } 0 \leq g(n) \leq c \cdot f(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0 \Big\} \end{split}$$

Check Figure 3.1 (c) of the textbook. Usually we use

$$f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$$
 to denote $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$.

Let f(n) and g(n) are functions that map n = 1, 2, ... to real numbers, then we let

$$\begin{split} \Theta(g(n)) &= & \left\{ f(n) : \exists \text{ constants } c_1, c_2 > 0 \text{ and } n_0 > 0 \\ &\text{ s.t. } 0 \leq c_1 \cdot g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 \cdot g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0 \right\} \end{split}$$

Check Figure 3.1 (a) of the textbook. Usually we use

$$f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$$
 to denote $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$.

- Read Section 3.1 of the textbook to get comfortable about the asymptotic notation. Will be used in almost every lecture.
- Back to the InsertionSort, we have $T(n) = O(n^2)$. To formally prove this, use limit from calculus:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{T(n)}{n^2}=\frac{c_3}{2}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be any constant. By the definition of limits, there exists a large enough n_0 such that

$$\frac{T(n)}{n^2} < \frac{c_3}{2} + \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } n \ge n_0.$$

Similarly $T(n) = \Omega(n^2)$ and thus, by Theorem 3.1 (Page 48, also an exercise in the first homework), $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$. This finishes the asymptotic worst-case analysis of InsertionSort.