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Let’s fix a desirable property: preserving geodesic distances.

We are interested in the following question:
Given: a sample $X$ from $n$-dimensional manifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^D$, and an embedding procedure $\mathcal{A} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$

Define: the quality of embedding as $(1 \pm \epsilon)$-isometric, if for all distinct $p, q$

$$(1 - \epsilon) \leq \frac{D(A(p), A(q))}{D(p, q)} \leq (1 + \epsilon)$$

Questions:
I. Can one come up an $\mathcal{A}$ that achieves $(1 \pm \epsilon)$-isometry?
II. How much can one reduce $d$ and still have $(1 \pm \epsilon)$-isometry?
III. Do we need any restriction on $X$ or $M$?
We only have samples

Manifold condition number [Niyogi, Smale, Weinberger ’06]

A submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ has condition number $(1/\tau)$, if $\tau$ is the largest number such that:

normals of M of length r are nonintersecting for all $r < \tau$. 
Let’s fix a desirable property: **preserving geodesic distances**.

We are interested in the following question:

Given: a sample $X$ from a $n$-dimensional manifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^D$, and an embedding procedure $A : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$

Define: the **quality** of embedding as $(1 \pm \epsilon)$-isometric, if for all distinct $p, q$

$$ (1 - \epsilon) \leq \frac{D(A(p), A(q))}{D(p, q)} \leq (1 + \epsilon) $$

Questions:

I. Can one come up an $A$ that achieves $(1 \pm \epsilon)$-isometry?

II. How much can one reduce $d$ and still have $(1 \pm \epsilon)$-isometry?

III. Do we need any restriction on $X$ or $M$?
Recent progress in this area

An interesting result:

Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ be a compact $n$-dimensional manifold with volume $V$ and curvature $\tau$.

Then projecting it to a random linear subspace of dimension

$$O\left(\frac{n}{\epsilon^2 \log \frac{V}{\tau}}\right)$$

achieves $(1 \pm \epsilon)$-isometry with high probability.

[Baraniuk & Wakin ’08, Clarkson ’08, V. ’11]

Does not need any samples from the underlying manifold!
Recent progress in this area

An interesting result:

Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ be a compact $n$-dimensional manifold with volume $V$ and curvature $\tau$.

Then projecting it to a random linear subspace of dimension

$$O\left(\frac{n}{\epsilon^2} \log \frac{V}{\tau}\right)$$

achieves $(1 \pm \epsilon)$-isometry with high probability.

[Baraniuk & Wakin ’08, Clarkson ’08, V. ’11]
What we show

For any compact $n$-dimensional manifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ we present an algorithm that can embed $M$ in

$$O(n + \ln(V/\tau^n))$$

dimensions that achieves $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$-isometry (using only samples from $M$).

Embedding dimension is independent of $\varepsilon$!

Sample size is a function of $\varepsilon$. 
The Algorithm

**Embedding Stage:** Find a representation of $M$ in lower dimensional space without worrying about maintaining any distances.

We can use a random linear projection without $1/\varepsilon^2$ penalty

**Correction Stage:** Apply a series of corrections, each corresponding to a different region of the manifold, to restore back the distances.

This requires a bit of thinking…
Say, this is the manifold after the embedding stage.

Different regions are contracted by different amounts.

$\sigma \leq 1$ shrinkage amount.
Corrections

Zoomed in a local region

Suppose we linearly stretch this local region

cannot systematically attach the boundary of the stretched region back to the manifold...

\[ t \mapsto \sigma^{-1} t \]  
\[ \sigma \leq 1 \text{ shrinkage amount} \]
Corrections

Zoomed in a local region

Instead we locally twist the space!

This creates the necessary stretch to restore back the local distances!

\[ t \mapsto (t, \sin(Ct), \cos(Ct)) \]

\[ C = \sqrt{\sigma^{-2} - 1} \quad \text{correction} \]

\[ \sigma \leq 1 \quad \text{shrinkage amount} \]
Technical challenges

• Need to **estimate the contraction** at every local region.

• Find sufficient amount of ambient space to **create the local twist**.

• Care needs to be taken so as not to have **sharp** (non-differentiable) **edges on the boundary** while locally twisting the space.

• **Interference between different corrections** at overlapping localities need to be reconciled.

Since working with samples, each step of the algorithm results in additive amount of approximation error!
The algorithm

**Input:** Sample $X$ from $M$, local neighborhood size $\rho$.
Let $\Phi$ denote the initial random projection in $O(n)$ dim.

**Preprocess:**
- For each $x \in X$, let $F_x$ be the local tangent space approximation using neighborhood size $\rho$.
- Let $U_x \Sigma_x V_x^T$ be the SVD of $\Phi F_x$.
- Estimate local correction around $x$ as:
  $$C_x := (\Sigma_x^{-2} - I)^{1/2} U_x^T$$

**Embedding:** For any $p \in M$
- $t = \Phi p$.
- for every $x \in X$:
  - let $\Psi_{i-1}(t)$ be the embedding from previous iteration.
  - let $\eta$ and $\nu$ be vectors normal to $\Psi_{i-1}(t)$.
  - let $\Lambda_x$ be a localizing kernel.
  - apply correction
    $$\Psi_i = \Psi_{i-1} + \eta \sqrt{\Lambda_x} \sin(C_x t) + \nu \sqrt{\Lambda_x} \cos(C_x t)$$
- return $\Psi_{|X|}(t)$
The algorithm at work
Theoretical guarantee

**Theorem:**
Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ be compact $n$-dimensional manifold with volume $V$ and curvature $\tau$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, let $X$ be $(D/\epsilon \tau)^n$-dense sample from $M$.

Then with high probability, our algorithm (given access to $X$) embeds any point from $M$ in dimension

$$O(n + \ln(V/\tau^n))$$

with $(1 \pm \epsilon)$-isometry.

Our embedding is $C^\infty$. 
A quick proof overview

The goal is to **prove that the geodesic distances** between all pairs of points $p$ and $q$ in $M$ are approximately preserved.

Recall that **length of any curve** $\gamma$ is given by the expression:

$$\int_{a}^{b} \| \gamma'(s) \| \, ds$$

length of a curve is the infinitesimal sum of the length of the tangent vectors along its path

Therefore, suffices to show that our algorithm preserves lengths of all vectors tangent to at all points in $M$. 
From differential geometry, we know that for any smooth map $F$

the **exterior derivative** or the **pushforward** map $DF$ acts on the tangent vectors.

We carefully analyze how each correction step of the algorithm changes the corresponding pushforward map.
Conclusion and implications

• Gave the first *sample complexity result* for approximately isometric embedding for a manifold learning algorithms.

• **Novel algorithmic and analysis techniques** are of independent interest.

• One can use an existing manifold learning algorithm as the ‘embedding’ step. The corrections in second step enhance the embedding to make it isometric, making this as a *universal procedure*. 
## Summary of known embedding results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Riemannian Geometry</th>
<th>Manifold Learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whitney’s result (medium form) 2n+1 Differential structure preserved</td>
<td>Random projection 2n+1 Differential structure preserved a.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nash / Kuiper 2n+1 All paths preserved</td>
<td>Our result O(n + log( V / τ^n )) All paths preserved upto (1 ± ε) w.h.p.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Random projection O(ε⁻² n log( V / τ ))
Euclidean and Geodesic (1 ± ε) w.h.p.
Open problems

• How can we determine the curvature bound $\tau$, or other geometric properties?

• Is it possible to embed a manifold with constant distortion that only depends on $n$?

• Is it possible to reduce the sampling requirement?
Questions / Discussion
Thank You!