

# Incentives in Computer Science (COMS 4995-6): Exercise Set #10

Due by Noon on Wednesday, April 15, 2020

## Instructions:

- (1) You can work individually or in a pair. If you work in a pair, the two of you should submit a single write-up.
- (2) Submission instructions: We are using Gradescope for the homework submissions. Go to [www.gradescope.com](http://www.gradescope.com) to either login or create a new account. Use the course code MKRKK6 to register for COMS 4995-6. Only one person needs to submit the assignment. When submitting, please remember to add your partner's name (if any) in Gradescope.
- (3) Please type your solutions if possible. We encourage you to use the LaTeX template provided on the course home page.
- (4) Write convincingly but not excessively. You should be able to fit all of your solutions into 2–3 pages, if not less.
- (5) Except where otherwise noted, you may refer to the course lecture notes and the specific supplementary readings listed on the course Web page *only*.
- (6) You can discuss the exercises verbally at a high level with other groups. And of course, you are encouraged to contact the course staff (via Piazza or office hours) for additional help.
- (7) If you discuss solution approaches with anyone outside of your group, you must list their names on the front page of your write-up.
- (8) Refer to the course Web site for the late day policy.

## Exercise 51

For exercises 51–54, assume that there is an odd number of voters (and an arbitrary number of alternatives). For a set of votes (i.e., ranked lists) over a set  $A$  of alternatives, we say that alternative  $a$  *beats*  $b$  if more than half of the voters rank  $a$  somewhere above  $b$  in their lists. A *Condorcet winner* is an alternative that beats every other alternative.

Show by example that there is not always a Condorcet winner.

## Exercise 52

A voting rule satisfies the *Condorcet condition* if it elects a Condorcet winner whenever one exists.

Does the plurality rule satisfy the Condorcet condition?<sup>1</sup> Provide either a proof that it does or a counterexample (i.e., a set of votes where there is a Condorcet winner  $a$  and the rule chooses an alternative different from  $a$ ).

## Exercise 53

Does ranked-choice voting satisfy the Condorcet condition? Provide either a proof that it does or a counterexample.

---

<sup>1</sup>Throughout this exercise set, assume that ties are broken in some consistent way, such as lexicographically.

**Exercise 54**

Does the Borda count satisfy the Condorcet condition? Provide either a proof that it does or a counterexample.

**Exercise 55**

Recall the basic model and definitions of fair division from lecture. Show that, with three players, a proportional allocation need not be envy-free.