

## Proving a Language is Not Regular

We've seen in class one method to prove that a language is not regular, by proving that it does not satisfy the pumping lemma. This method works often but not always.

A second method (which also doesn't always work), is by using **closure properties** of regular languages, and relying on the fact that we already know that some other language is not regular. The proof would go along the following lines: Assume towards contradiction that  $L$  is regular. Apply operations that regular languages are closed under (e.g., union, concatenation, star, intersection, or complement) on  $L$  and other regular languages, to reach a language that is not regular. Contradiction. Conclude that  $L$  is not regular. Here are two examples.

**Claim 1.**  $L_1 = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* : w \text{ has the same number of } a\text{'s and } b\text{'s}\}$  is not regular.

*Proof.* Note that  $L_1 \cap a^*b^* = \{a^ib^i : i \geq 0\}$ . Now assume towards contradiction that  $L_1$  is regular. Since  $a^*b^*$  is regular, and regular languages are closed under intersection, then the intersection is also regular. But we know that  $\{a^ib^i : i \geq 0\}$  is not regular – contradiction.  $\square$

In the above example,  $L_1$  could also be proved non-regular using the pumping lemma. This is not the case for the next example (the adversary would always win / pumping lemma holds for  $L_2$ ). However, using closure properties, we can prove the following example is not regular (try to do this yourself before reading the solution!)

**Claim 2.**  $L_2 = \{a^ib^j : i \neq j\}$  is not regular.

*Proof:* Note that  $\underline{L_2} \cap a^*b^* = \{a^ib^i : i \geq 0\}$ . Assume  $L_2$  is regular. Then  $\underline{L_2}$  is also regular, because regular languages are closed under complement. But then, since regular languages are closed under intersection (and  $a^*b^*$  is regular), we get that  $\{a^ib^i : i \geq 0\}$  is also regular. Contradiction.

A third method for proving a language is not regular, is using the Myhill-Nerode theorem, which is not part of the class material (interested students can read about it in the handout on the class webpage). This has the advantage that it is a characterization (an if and only if condition), and thus can in principle be used for every non-regular language. However, depending on the language, it may be hard to apply this theorem (namely to find the equivalence classes of the language).