The State of Software Security

smb@research.att.com

http://www.research.att.com/~smb

973-360-8656

AT&T Labs Research

Florham Park, NJ 07932



_____ Steven M. Bellovin — November 15, 2002 ____ 1

Today's Status

- Constant reports of new security holes.
- Frequent exploitation of these holes.
- Worms, viruses, "distributed denial of service" (DDoS) attacks are common.



Bugs!

- Most security holes are caused by buggy code.
- A National Research Council study^{*} found that 85% of CERT advisories decribed problems not fixable by crypto (primarily buggy software or misconfigured systems).
- Patches for these security bugs are often not applied.

^{*} *Trust in Cyberspace*, 1999.



Major Types of Bugs

• 31 CERT (Computer Emergency Response Team) Advisories this year.

Microsoft, Sun, Oracle, AOL, and assorted open source products implicated.

- 21 refer to "buffer overflows".
 ⇒Major warning in 1980; exploited very publicly in 1988.
- Two "integer overflows".

 \Rightarrow New as a security problem, but preventable with mid-1960's technology.

- Two "format string" errors. Relatively new, but impossible with modern programming languages.
- All are geek-speak for preventable errors.



Effects

- DDoS attacks can take almost any site off the air.
- Major worm outbreaks can clog corporate mail servers.
- There have been a few worms with malicious payloads that delete files, steal passwords, leak documents, etc.



Distributed Denial of Service Attacks

- Attacker uses known, unpatched hole to take over many "zombie" machines.
- On command, the zombies all bombard some other site.
- The victim's Internet link is clogged, even if the victim is not running any insecure software.
- Defending against this is very difficult.



What is the Cause?

• Vendors often ship too soon.

 \Rightarrow Must ship on Internet time; "first to market" often wins.

• Overly-complex designs.

 \Rightarrow Complex code is very often buggy code.

• Inadequate underlying operating system?



It's Possible to do Better

- Phone switch failures due to sofware problems are at about the same rate as hardware problems.
- The hardware is ultra-reliable to start with!
- Total down time is measured in minutes per year.
- **But...** that requires a specialized, very expensive development process.



The Future?

- Will users pay for better software?
- Will innocent parties pay to be protected from other users' buggy code?
- Is there an economic incentive for vendors to do a better job?

