PFP Final Project - Collaborative Filtering

The Problem

Collaborative Filtering has been a popular choice among recommendation algorithms. It makes recommendation by recommending items a user likes to others that shares similar interests with him historically.

The Solution

To implement collaborative filtering algorithm I chose the memory-based strategy, where a feature matrix would be calculated for users. In each grid of the matrix is the rating of a user to an item. So according to the matrix each user would be represented as a feature vector of item rating scores. The similarity of two users is defined by the cosine similarity of their feature vectors.

I chose Slope One [2] as my main reference of parallel strategy. The general idea is to split the matrix into sub-matrixs, and employs processes to do similarity calculation for each sub-matrix, as well as processes to do similarity calculation for each two sub-matrix. As last all calculations are combined and should be the same as a single unsplitted execution. As is explained in the figure below, for \( n \) split of the original dataset, \( n^2 \) processes are needed to compute similarity scores of all user pairs.

![Diagram showing the process of splitting and combining similarity calculations]

Data

I selected the MovieLens 1M dataset [1] for this project. It contains 1 million ratings from 6000 users on 4000 movies.

Experiment Results
Since I'm running the experiments on a 4 CPU core machine, I split the dataset into two halves so I can have four processes running in parallel. I implemented non-parallel version, static parallel version with 1~4 processes, and dynamic parallel version with 1~4 processes.

I made the following observations for the experiments: single processor program achieves nearly 70% of efficiency while multi processor programs only achieves ~30% of efficiency. Static parallel programs reduced mutator time from 3.26s of single processor to 1.5s ~ 2.0s. But the mutator time cost slightly increases with the number of processors it uses. Dynamical parallel programs shows similar behavior with static parallel program with a higher mutator time variance from 1.5s to 3.8s. All parallel programs shows high time costs for garbage collections.
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