

LÉPIX

A very small General Purpose Language

THE BIG IDEA

□ THE BEST LANGUAGE EVER

□ STRUCTS

- CONSTRUCTORS, DESTRUCTORS, DETERMINISTIC DESTRUCTION WOO

□ PARALLELISM

- MASSIVE AMOUNTS OF IT!
- ALL THE CONCURRENCY

□ FUNCTIONS

- SO MANY! BUILT IN IMAGE PROCESSING

□ SUPER MULTIDIMENSIONAL ARRAYS

A tiiny problem...

- Had to work on the project alone
 - ▣ Heavy time constraint
 - ▣ Aaaahhh



2 weeks, Lots to Do

- No Semantic Analyzer, Lexer/Parser not parsing the language, Segmentation Faults galore, no medicine for nine months, no time
- ... Here we go!



The *Better* Idea

- Relax, and take several Chill Pills
 - ▣ And still panic
- Focus on implementing a small subset of what was needed, but well

No Structs

- Not for lack of trying!
- Memory safety = gone
 - ▣ No constructor/destructor, no automatic memory cleanup (manual new/delete, essentially)

No Parallelism

- Not for lack of Trying
 - ▣ Had hand-compiled demo code for parallelism
 - ▣ Worked with arrays and other things
 - ▣ Couldn't jerry-rig it into the compiler in time
- A bit sad
 - ▣ One of the shiniest features

Even no Arrays :(

- At this point, a bit heartbroken
- The syntax, at least, was good
- Slicing
 - ▣ The number of arguments in [...] = number of shed dimensions
 - ▣ Gives C-Like dimension access (z, y, x ...)
 - ▣ Tossed around by-value

Functions!

- Thankfully, have the most basic functions
 - ▣ Parameters by value
 - Mostly because that is all there is!
 - Plans for everything by value with optional reference (&) qualifier
 - Plans for reference analysis
- Overloading selects which function to call properly!
 - ▣ Compile-time arity and argument-type based
 - ▣ Very strict, no covariance, codegen mangles names

Most lost features still there

- `lepixc -s inputfile`
 - ▣ Invokes the compiler and shows the SemanticAST
 - ▣ The semantic AST parses arrays, fixed-sized arrays, parallel blocks, functions
- But lost time struggling with semantic AST for weeks
 - ▣ Codegen suffered greatly, even if everything else was well-done

Implementation

- Problem: Records were initially extremely painful to work with
 - ▣ New state that changes one field? Re-vomit all fields and write them all out
- Time Saver: “with” record syntax
 - ▣ { record_name with field1 = single_change; }
 - ▣ allows for complex records with easy updates

Implementation II – Having Fun

- Might as well get decent at immutability
 - ▣ Each function call is entirely self-contained with only dependencies on its arguments
 - ▣ Barely any usage of ref

Implementation III – Even More Fun

- Travis CI builds and runs the test suite for every push
 - ▣ Useful for knowing when / how things went wrong!
 - ▣ A lot of tests failed a lot of the time

```
257  
▶ 258 $ docker pull ubuntu:latest before_install 6.24s  
262 $ docker run -v${PWD}:/ci_repo -d --name lepix_ci ubuntu:yakkety sleep infinity 5.52s  
263 Unable to find image 'ubuntu:yakkety' locally  
264 yakkety: Pulling from library/ubuntu  
265 Status: Downloaded newer image for ubuntu:yakkety  
266 a131b0e412923d520def01bbbd6c707bd2b20fc87cf69555492d9a7bcf0f103a  
267
```

Standard OCaml Library?

- Pervasives (the builtins) are sparse
 - ▣ Batteries, JaneStreet Core helps with this
 - ▣ Some file functions, string manipulation functions not present in version of Ocaml that comes with VM
 - Travis-CI testing required lower level compiler
- Using provided libraries means using OPAM and ocamlbuild
 - ▣ Killed the windows build

Things to add in the future

- Structs
 - ▣ Needed for proper static language handling
 - ▣ Enables IFEs and captures
- Parallelism
 - ▣ Formal implementation and not the handwritten hack that works in only 1 case and breaks everywhere else
- Real multidimensional arrays
 - ▣ We used “getelementptr” LLVM instruction for printf calls, is also used with structs/arrays and slicing arrays

Learned Things I

- OCaml is nice
 - ▣ Overloading would have been useful
 - ▣ Abstract Data Types useful for new things, not employed usefully for regular things
 - `string_of_int`, `string_of_float`, `String.make 1 ch ...`
 - Primary motivation for Overloading implementation
 - ▣ “Build list then reverse” idiom is a bit annoying
 - Happens everywhere, but alternatives to handling are strange
- Compiler and Ocaml environment do not work well for Not-Linux
 - ▣ At least Torvalds is happy?

Learned Things II

- LLVM Binding is somewhat immature
 - ▣ Can set custom attributes, but cannot retrieve them (made handlers for native functions difficult)
- Reaching out for help would have been good
 - ▣ Understanding the breakdown in communication for teammates would have been better than being upset
 - ▣ Bailing not the most desirable option

Demo

- Time to break the compiler!