# Recurrent Networks, and LSTMs, for NLP

Michael Collins, Columbia University

## **Representing Sequences**

- ▶ Often we want to map some sequence x<sub>[1:n]</sub> = x<sub>1</sub>...x<sub>n</sub> to a label y or a distribution p(y|x<sub>[1:n]</sub>)
- Examples:
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Language modeling:  $x_{[1:n]}$  is first n words in a document, y is the (n+1) 'th word
  - Sentiment analysis: x<sub>[1:n]</sub> is a sentence (or document), y is label indicating whether the sentence is positive/neutral/negative about a particular topic (e.g., a particular restaurant)
  - Machine translation: x<sub>[1:n]</sub> is a source-language sentence, y is a target language sentence (or the first word in the target language sentence)

## Representing Sequences (continued)

- Slightly more generally: map a sequence  $x_{[1:n]}$  and a position  $i \in \{1 \dots n\}$  to a label y or a distribution  $p(y|x_{[1:n]}, i)$
- ► Examples:
  - Tagging: x<sub>[1:n]</sub> is a sentence, i is a position in the sentence, y is the tag for position i
  - Dependency parsing:  $x_{[1:n]}$  is a sentence, i is a position in the sentence,  $y \in \{1 \dots n\}, y \neq i$  is the head for word  $x_i$  in the dependency parse

### A Simple Recurrent Network

**Inputs:** A sequence  $x_1 
dots x_n$  where each  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . A label  $y \in \{1 \dots K\}$ . An integer m defining size of hidden dimension. Parameters  $W^{hh} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ ,  $W^{hx} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ ,  $b^h \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $h^0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times m}$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^K$ . Transfer function  $g : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ . **Definitions:** 

$$\begin{array}{lll} \theta & = & \{W^{hh}, W^{hx}, b^h, h^0\}\\ R(x^{(t)}, h^{(t-1)}; \theta) & = & g(W^{hx}x^{(t)} + W^{hh}h^{(t-1)} + b^h) \end{array}$$

#### **Computational Graph:**

• For 
$$t = 1 ... n$$
  
•  $h^{(t)} = R(x^{(t)}, h^{(t-1)}; \theta)$   
•  $l = Vh^{(n)} + \gamma, \ q = \mathsf{LS}(l), \ o = -q_y$ 

A Problem in Training: Exploding and Vanishing Gradients

- Calculation of gradients involves multiplication of long chains of Jacobians
- This leads to exploding and vanishing gradients

## LSTMs (Long Short-Term Memory units)

#### Old definitions of the recurrent update:

$$\theta = \{ W^{hh}, W^{hx}, b^h, h^0 \}$$
  
 
$$R(x^{(t)}, h^{(t-1)}; \theta) = g(W^{hx}x^{(t)} + W^{hh}h^{(t-1)} + b^h)$$

• LSTMs give an alternative definition of  $R(x^{(t)}, h^{(t-1)}; \theta)$ .

# Definition of Sigmoid Function, Element-Wise Product

• Given any integer  $d \ge 1$ ,  $\sigma^d : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  is the function that maps a vector v to a vector  $\sigma^d(v)$  such that for  $i = 1 \dots d$ ,

$$\sigma_i^d(v) = \frac{e^{v_i}}{1 + e^{v_i}}$$

• Given vectors  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $c = a \odot b$  has components

$$c_i = a_i \times b_i$$

for  $i = 1 \dots d$ 

#### LSTM Equations (from Ilya Sutskever, PhD thesis)

Maintain  $s^t$ ,  $\tilde{s}^t$ ,  $h^t$  as hidden state at position t.  $s^t$  is memory, intuitively allows long-term memory. The function  $s^t$ ,  $\tilde{s}^t$ ,  $h^t = \text{LSTM}(x^t, s^{t-1}, \tilde{s}^{t-1}, h^{t-1}; \theta)$  is defined as:

$$egin{array}{rcl} u^t &=& \mathsf{CONCAT}(h^{t-1},x^t, ilde{s}^{t-1}) \ h^t &=& g(W^hu^t+b^h) & (\mathsf{hidden \ state}) \ i^t &=& g(W^iu^t+b^i) & (\texttt{``input''}) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} \iota^t &= \sigma(W^{\iota}u^t + b^{\iota}) \quad (\text{``input gate''}) \\ o^t &= \sigma(W^ou^t + b^o) \quad (\text{``output gate''}) \\ f^t &= \sigma(W^fu^t + b^f) \quad (\text{``forget gate''}) \end{split}$$

 $s^t = s^{t-1} \odot f^t + i^t \odot \iota^t$  forget and input gates control update of memory  $\tilde{s}^t = s^t \odot o^t$  output gate controls information that can leave the unit

#### An LSTM-based Recurrent Network

**Inputs:** A sequence  $x_1 \dots x_n$  where each  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . A label  $y \in \{1 \dots K\}$ .

#### **Computational Graph:**

•  $h^{(0)}, s^{(0)}, \tilde{s}^{(0)}$  are set to some inital values.

For 
$$t = 1 \dots n$$

• 
$$s^{(t)}, \tilde{s}^{(t)}, h^{(t)} = \mathsf{LSTM}(x^{(t)}, s^{(t-1)}, \tilde{s}^{(t-1)}, h^{(t-1)}; \theta)$$

$$\blacktriangleright \ l = V^{lh}h^{(n)} + V^{ls}\tilde{s}^{(n)} + \gamma, \ q = \mathsf{LS}(l), \ o = -q_y$$

#### An LSTM-based Recurrent Network for Tagging

**Inputs:** A sequence  $x_1 \dots x_n$  where each  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . A sequence  $y_1 \dots y_n$  of tags.

#### **Computational Graph:**

- $h^{(0)}, s^{(0)}, \tilde{s}^{(0)}$  are set to some inital values.
- For  $t = 1 \dots n$

• 
$$s^{(t)}, \tilde{s}^{(t)}, h^{(t)} = \mathsf{LSTM}(x^{(t)}, s^{(t-1)}, \tilde{s}^{(t-1)}, h^{(t-1)}; \theta)$$

• For  $t = 1 \dots n$ 

• 
$$l^t = V \times \text{CONCAT}(h^{(t)}, \tilde{s}^{(t)}) + \gamma, \ q^t = \text{LS}(l^t), \ o^t = -q_{y^t}$$

• 
$$o = \sum_{t=1}^{n} o^t$$

## A bi-directional LSTM (bi-LSTM) for tagging

**Inputs:** A sequence  $x_1 \ldots x_n$  where each  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . A sequence  $y_1 \ldots y_n$  of tags. **Definitions:**  $\theta^F$  and  $\theta^B$  are parameters of a forward and backward LSTM.

#### **Computational Graph:**

 $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}$ 

$$\blacktriangleright \ h^{(0)}, s^{(0)}, \tilde{s}^{(0)}, \eta^{(n+1)}, \alpha^{(n+1)}, \tilde{\alpha}^{(n+1)}$$
 are set to some inital values.

# Results on Language Modeling

Model	Num. Params	Training Time		Perplexity
	[billions]	[hours]	[CPUs]	
Interpolated KN 5-gram, 1.1B n-grams (KN)	1.76	3	100	67.6
Katz 5-gram, 1.1B n-grams	1.74	2	100	79.9
Stupid Backoff 5-gram (SBO)	1.13	0.4	200	87.9
Interpolated KN 5-gram, 15M n-grams	0.03	3	100	243.2
Katz 5-gram, 15M n-grams	0.03	2	100	127.5
Binary MaxEnt 5-gram (n-gram features)	1.13	1	5000	115.4
Binary MaxEnt 5-gram (n-gram + skip-1 features)	1.8	1.25	5000	107.1
Hierarchical Softmax MaxEnt 4-gram (HME)	6	3	1	101.3
Recurrent NN-256 + MaxEnt 9-gram	20	60	24	58.3
Recurrent NN-512 + MaxEnt 9-gram	20	120	24	54.5
Recurrent NN-1024 + MaxEnt 9-gram	20	240	24	51.3

Table 1: Results on the 1B Word Benchmark test set with various types of language models.

 Results from One Billion Word Benchmark for Measuring Progress in Statistical Language Modeling, Ciprian Chelba, Tomas Mikolov, Mike Schuster, Qi Ge, Thorsten Brants.

## Results on Dependency Parsing

- Deep Biaffine Attention for Neural Dependency Parsing, Dozat and Manning.
- Uses a bidirectional LSTM to represent each word
- Uses LSTM representations to predict head for each word in the sentence
- Unlabeled dependency accuracy: 95.75%

### Conclusions

- Recurrent units map input sequences x<sub>1</sub>...x<sub>n</sub> to representations h<sup>1</sup>...h<sup>n</sup>. The vector h<sup>n</sup> can be used to predict a label for the entire sentence. Each vector h<sup>i</sup> for i = 1...n can be used to make a prediction for position i
- LSTMs are recurrent units that make use of more involved recurrent updates. They maintain a "memory" state.
   Empirically they perform extremely well
- Bi-directional LSTMs allow representation of both the information before and after a position *i* in the sentence
- Many applications: language modeling, tagging, parsing, speech recognition, we will soon see machine translation