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What’s Server Virtualization?

Source: VMWare (www.vmware.com)
Why Virtualization?

Food for thought: recall the functions of an OS. What’s different?

Source: VMWare (www.vmware.com)
The birth of virtualization
Early Beginnings: Mainframe Era

• Share expensive mainframes among multiple users
  – Support timesharing users (MIT, Bell Labs, …)
  – Do research on virtual memory systems

• 1964: IBM’s CP-40 research OS
  – First support of full virtualization
  – Multiple (up to 14) CMS guest VMs supported

• 1966: IBM’s CP-67
  – First commercial OS with full VM support

• 1972: IBM VM/370
  – First support for nested virtualization (VM in VM)
  – Lives today under z/VM moniker
Late 90’s: Running different Desktop OSes

• DOS/Windows on Macs
  – 1997: VirtualPC for Mac

• Windows, Solaris on Linux
  – VMWare Virtual Platform

• But, x86 architecture not easily virtualizable
  – Several tricks to make it work (later)
2000’s: Resurgence: Server Consolidation

Enterprise Services. Reduce server sprawl.

DNS  
DHCP  
Webserver  
Databases  
Email  
CRM  
Windows  
Linux  
ERP  
Accounting, ...

Source: VMWare (www.vmware.com)
Nature of Internet Workloads

AT&T – Jan 2010
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Waste!
Virtual Desktop Infrastructure (VDI)

- Reduce peak power
- Reduce upgrade costs

- Multiplex workloads
Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) Clouds

- Multi-tenant shared environments
  - Spin-up VMs on others’ infrastructure
  - Amazon, AT&T, Rackspace, Google, ...
  - Isolation, security, flexibility
Scaling Compute based on Workload
Other Virtualization Benefits

• Checkpoints/snapshots
  – Save entire machine state and restart it later
  – Create multiple copies of same machine
• Live migration
  – Move entire VM from one host to another
• High Availability
  – Continuous replication of a VM on another machine
• Live cloning
  – Create a running “copy” of a live VM
• Partial VMs
  – Create lightweight machine “shims” that allocate resources only when needed
• Security
  – Detect rootkits even if they have compromised kernel
Future uses of virtualization?

• Diversify from x86 (ARM)
• Phones
  – VMWare MVP
• Embedded Systems
  – ATMs, cars, planes, sensors
• Main driver: security and isolation
Virtualization Vendors

- **Server/Cloud Virtualization**
  - VMWare ESX
  - Citrix XenServer
  - Redhat KVM/QEMU
  - Microsoft HyperV
  - IBM z/VM

- **Desktop Virtualization**
  - VMWare Desktop
  - Parallels for Mac
  - Oracle Virtualbox
  - VMWare Mobile Virtual Platform
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Types of Virtualization

- **Type 0**
  - Hardware provides virtual copies (firmware VMM)
  - No OS involvement, e.g., IBM mainframe LPARs

- **Type 1**
  - Hypervisor more privileged than host/dom0

- **Type 2**
  - Host more (or equally) privileged than hypervisor
  - VM is a process in host OS

- **Emulation**
  - Execute hardware instruction in software (Android emulator)
  - Very flexible but very slow

- **Programming Environment Virtualization**
  - Compiler compiles code for special purpose environment (e.g., JVM)

- **OS Containers**
  - Lightweight isolation provided by OS: Linux namespaces, Solaris zones
How does virtualization work?

• Processor privilege levels
Basic Virtualization Architecture

- **Host OS (Native OS)**
  - Hypervisor (VMM)
  - OS Abstractions (FS, Devices, etc.)
  - Hardware (CPU, Memory, Interrupts, Disk)

- **VMs**
  - Application
  - Guest OS

- **Native Applications**
## OS vs. VMM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OS</th>
<th>VMM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thread/Process</td>
<td>VCPU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address space</td>
<td>RAM (virtual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signals</td>
<td>Interrupts (virtual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filesystem</td>
<td>Virtual disk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network sockets</td>
<td>Virtual network interface card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character/Block IO device</td>
<td>Emulated hardware device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronization</td>
<td>Within VM IPI (inter-processor interrupts), between VMs none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Virtualizing Interrupts

• Interrupts are the easiest
  – Delivered by processor to ring 0
  – Hypervisor sits in ring 0
  – Hypervisor can route to guest OS (call appropriate handler in guest’s interrupt descriptor table)

• CPU scheduling between VMs follows
  – Timer interrupts, trapping hlt (idle) instructions

• Memory and I/O, not so easy...
OS Memory Isolation: Page Tables
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VM Memory Isolation: Shadow Page Tables

Gain control through page faults

Page Tables (virtual to physical)

- Process 1
  - a
  - b
  - c

- Process 2
  - a
  - b
  - c
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Shadow Page Tables: another level of indirection
Anatomy of a Shadow Page Fault

• Installing a new page
  1. Guest application accesses non-existent PTE
  2. Host page fault – host looks at guest page table
  3. If guest page table has PTE
     a. Compute MFN corresponding to PTE
     b. Install real PTE in shadow page table
     c. This is a shadow page fault (guest OS doesn’t see)
  4. If guest page table has no PTE
     a. Forward page fault to guest
     b. Guest OS will install new PTE in guest page table
Modifications to Guest Page Tables

• What happens when guest OS installs new PTE or changes existing PTE?
  1. Host makes Guest OS page table mem read only
  2. When guest OS changes PTE, host page faults
  3. VMM inspects the fault
  4. If guest PTE is changing
     a. Compute corresponding MFN and the real PTE
     b. Install real PTE (GVA->MFN mapping) in shadow page table
  5. Emulate the instruction
     a. Install GVA->PFN mapping in guest page table
Virtualizing I/O

• When VM executes I/O instruction, VM traps because of insufficient privilege
• VMM examine I/O instruction and emulates real device
  – Maintain state of virtual device
  – Predict how the I/O instruction would impact real device, and what the return value would be
  – Change the VM’s registers appropriately
• E.g., VM reads from keyboard I/O port
  – If VM is in active window, then VMM reads real keyboard, returns value in emulated keyboard register
  – If VM is running in a background window (no active control of keyboard), then VMM returns code for no key press
Virtualizing Storage

• Support dozens of guests per VMM
  – Standard disk partitioning not sufficient
  – Store as files in file system provided by host OS
  – Duplicate file -> create new guest
  – Move file to another system -> move guest

• Anatomy of VM file access
  – Guest FS converts to access to virtual disk block
  – Guest block I/O driver issues virtual disk read
  – Host FS translates to access to physical disk block
  – Host block I/O driver issues physical disk read
  – Virtual block addr and physical block addr unrelated
Principles of Virtualization

- Requirements for a virtualizable architecture
  - 1974 paper, Popek and Goldberg
  - Privileged instructions (trap in user mode)
  - Sensitive instructions (behavior dependent on user/system mode or change resources)
  - Virtualizable if sensitive subset of privileged

Ring 0: load/store Virtual memory protection tables, I/O instructions, privilege level get/set

Ring 1: arithmetic, normal memory load or store
Trap and Emulate

Privileged Instruction
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X86 Virtualization

- x86 is not virtualizable by Popek-Goldberg definition
- 17 sensitive but not-privileged instructions
  - Access VMM registers: SGDT, SLDT, SIDT
  - Get protection levels: PUSHF, POPF
  - Access sensitive memory (page tables): MOV, CALL

- Hardware extensions to support virtualization
  - Introduced 2006-2009
  - Intel-VTx
  - AMD-V (SVM)
  - Force traps on all privileged instructions
  - Add hardware support for MMU virtualization (EPT)
Binary Translation

• Original x86 virtualization technique
  – Popularized by VMWare (1999)
• Protect sensitive memory by unmapping
• Dynamic instruction rewriting
  – Scan machine code in memory before execution
  – Replace sensitive instructions with traps/emulated instructions
• Drawbacks
  – Complex
  – Slow
  – Caching of translated blocks to speed up execution
Paravirtualization

• Pioneered by Xen
  – 2003 ACM SOSP paper: “Xen and the art of virtualization”

• Observation: only guest OS contains sensitive instructions
  – **Hypercalls**: system calls between guest OS and VMM

• Modify guest OS to avoid sensitive instructions
  – Drivers use direct communication instead of register by register device emulation
  – Paging updates, hardware interrupts replaced with hypercalls

• Fastest performance
Paravirtualization Example: Xen I/O

- **Request Consumer**
  - Private pointer in Xen

- **Request Producer**
  - Shared pointer updated by guest OS

- **Response Consumer**
  - Private pointer in guest OS

- **Response Producer**
  - Shared pointer updated by Xen

**Request queue** - Descriptors queued by the VM but not yet accepted by Xen

**Outstanding descriptors** - Descriptor slots awaiting a response from Xen

**Response queue** - Descriptors returned by Xen in response to serviced requests

**Unused descriptors**

- Xen device drivers don’t emulate native hardware. Directly communicate with host OS device drivers
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Type 1 vs. Type 2 Hypervisors

Potentially more secure (no need to trust host OS)

- Citrix XenServer
- VMWare ESX
- OK Labs OKL4
- Microsoft Hyper-V
- IBM z/VM

- KVM (arguable)
- VMWare Desktop (GSX)
- Oracle VirtualBox
- VMWare MVP
Example: KVM Architecture

(Source: http://www.ibm.com/developerworks/linux/library/l-linux-kvm/)
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Example: VMWare MVP

- Type 2 hypervisor for phones and tablets
Example: Xen Type 1 Architecture

![Diagram of Xen Type 1 Architecture]

- Domain 0
  - Control Commands
  - Linux Drivers
- Domain 1
  - Linux
- Domain 2
  - Linux

Control

Xen Hypervisor

Hardware
Example: Xen Trusted Computing Base

Trusted Computing Base: code that a VM must trust to be secure

Hypervisor

Domain0

- Linux Kernel
- Linux distribution
  - Network services
  - Shell
- Control stack
- VM mgmt tools
  - Boot-loader
  - Checkpointing
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Key VM Benefit: Resource Multiplexing

- Share hardware resources across VMs
- Overlap resource peaks in some applications with valleys in other

- CPU easy to is multiplex...
Multiplexing: The Bad News...

• Memory is not... < 2x of peak usage
• Ballooning [Waldspurger’02]

- Respect guest OS paging/swapping policies
- Allocates memory to free memory
Memory Consolidation (Copy-on-write)

- Trade computation for memory

- Memory Buddies [VEE’09]
  - Bloom filters to compare cross-machine similarity and find migration targets

- Page Sharing [OSDI’02]
  - VMM fingerprints pages
  - Maps matching pages COW
  - 33% savings

- Difference Engine [OSDI’08]
  - Identify similar pages
  - Delta compression
  - Up to 75% savings
Page-granular VMs

• On-demand cloning via copy-on-write (VM Fork)
  – Logical replicas
  – State copied on demand
  – Allocated on demand

• Fast VM Instantiation

**Parent VM:** Disk, OS, Processes

On-demand fetches

**Clone Private State**

**VM Descriptor**
Metadata, Page tables, GDT, vcpu
~1MB for 1GB VM
VM Performance

• Primary property of VMs is isolation
  – Illusion of separate machines
  – Different namespaces

• But...
  – Still share host OS/drivers
  – Still share physical hardware
Virtualization Performance Overhead

- VMM virtualization
  - Negligible (<5%). Lower with EPT
- Ring 0 (kernel) code
  - More substantial
  - Can be eliminated with paravirtualization
- I/O overhead
  - Network, disk
  - Substantial
Implications

- VMs have slow I/O
- VMs can interfere
  - Cache
  - Disk
  - Network
- Poor TCP performance
  - Scheduling jitter
  - Kills TCP RTT estimates
I/O Architecture

- All I/O passes through Dom-0 (or equivalent)
- Emulating device = multiple boundary crossings
- Data copy overhead
- Shared bottleneck
- Paravirtualization minimizes but not eliminates
IO-MMUs (VT-d)

- Why do we need drivers in the VMM/Dom0?
- Device memory access, DMA
- VMs can program devices to overwrite others’ memory
- IOMMU provides virtual-physical mappings for devices
- Page table translation for device memory access
PCI Passthrough

IOMMU is a core requirement
- VMs can do DMA directly
- Drivers reside in VMs
- Can assign devices to VMs
- Remove Dom-0 bottleneck
- Impact portability, migration
Single-root IO Virtualization (SR-IOV)

- Device implemented virtualization
- Hardware creates virtual copies of itself
I/O Overhead

Network Latency by guest interface method

Guest Receive (Lower is better)

- Virtio
- Vhost_net
- SR-IOV
- Host

SR-IOV latency close to bare metal
Finally, Orchestration Frameworks

• Manage physical infrastructure
  – Inventory of physical machines, storage, networks
  – Monitor hardware usage

• Manage collections of VMs
  – Scheduling: where to start a new VM? Enough CPU/memory?
  – Resource provisioning: How to start VM?
  – Inventory of running VMs
  – When to move VMs for balancing load?
  – Similarly, manage storage (virtual disks) and network

• Manage users
  – Who owns what VM?
  – Which VMs are allowed to see what other VMs?
  – Provide web-portals/APIs to start/stop/snapshot VMs

• An OS for VM clusters...
Orchestration Framework Examples

• VMWare vSphere
  – VMWare ESX

• OpenStack
  – Hypervisor agnostic: KVM, Xen, VMWare, HyperV

• Eucalyptus

• OpenNebula

• CloudStack