# Evaluation and Parsing

#### Announcements

 Chapter on dependency parsing moved to courseworks

## Today

- Beam Search
- Evaluation
- Classification
- Arc-eager: a different approach

#### **Beam Search**

- In greedy search we always took the best option next
- Might we get stuck?

#### e of sentence could cause deterministic pars stuck?

very long sentence

a sentence containing a word that has two or more possible POS tags

#### a garden path

#### sentencce

Start the presentation to activate live content

If you see this message in presentation mode, install the add-in or get help at PollEv.com/app

### Exploring other options

- Beam search
  - Instead of exploring only the best choice, explores N best choices
  - Form of best-first search, but with a limited "beam" of best choices
  - If the beam = all possible next choices, then we have breadth-first search

## Example: Beam of two

The cotton clothing is made of grows.

- [Root] [The... grows]
   SHIFT
- [Root The] [cotton .. grows]
   SHIFT
- [Root The cotton]
   [clothing... grows]
   LEFT ARC
- [Root cotton] [clothing ... grows] (Att 1 2) SHIFT
- [Root cotton clothing] [is.. Grows] LEFT ARC
- [Root clothing] [is ... grows] (Att 1 2) (Att 2 3)

[Root] [The... grows]

[Root cotton clothing] [is .. Grows] SHIFT

[Root cotton clothing is]
 [made .. Grows] (Att 1 2)

## Parsing Algorithm

- Input:  $\{w_t\}_{t \in T}$ , sentence x of length m, beam width K
- Beam: (c,s)εC x R organized by score (s)
- Output: arcs representing a dependency tree for x
- 1. B <- Beam ({(c<sub>0</sub>,0), K)
- 2. While  $c.\beta \neq []$  for some  $(c,s)\epsilon\beta$ 
  - 1. B<sup>'</sup> <- Beam ({},K)
  - 2. For (c,s)  $\epsilon \beta$ , for t  $\epsilon$  LEGAL (c),
    - 1. B'.push(t(c), s + score\_{x(t|c))}
  - 3. B <- β'
- 3. Return  $c^*$ . A where  $c^* <-\beta$ .pop().

## Evaluation (and Training)

- Penn Treebank
  - Early 90's
  - Developed at Univ of Pennsylvania, Linguistics
     Data Consortium
  - 40,000 training, 2400 test
  - Wall Street Journal
- Treebank-3
  - <u>http://catalog.ldc.upenn.edu/LDC99T42</u>
- Original version
  - http://catalog.ldc.upenn.edu/LDC95T7

#### Example sentence

- WSJ/12/WSJ\_1273.MRG, sentence 11
- Because the CD had an effective yield of 13.4 % when it was issued in 1984, and interest rates in general had declined sharply since then, part of the price Dr. Blumenfeld paid was a premium -- an additional amount on top of the CD 's base value plus accrued interest that represented the CD 's increased market value.

#### Parsed sentence

```
(S
  (SBAR-PRP
    (IN Because)
    (S
      (S
        (NP-SBJ (DT the) (NNP CD))
        (VP
          (VBD had)
          (NP
            (NP (DT an) (JJ effective) (NN yield))
            (PP (IN of) (NP (CD 13.4) (NN %))))
          (SBAR-TMP
            (WHADVP-4 (WRB when))
            (S
              (NP-SBJ-1 (PRP it))
              (VP
                 (VBD was)
                (VP
                   (VBN issued)
                   (NP (-NONE- *-1))
                   (PP-TMP (IN in) (NP (CD 1984)))
                   (ADVP-TMP (-NONE- *T*-4)))))))))
```

•••

Slide from Dragomir Radev

Slide from Dragomir Radev

```
(IN Because)
(S
  (S
    (NP-SBJ (DT the) (NNP CD))
    (VP
      (VBD had)
                                                    (, ,)
      (NP
                                                    (NP-SBJ
        (NP (DT an) (JJ effective) (NN yield))
                                                      (NP (NN part))
        (PP (IN of) (NP (CD 13.4) (NN %))))
                                                      (PP
      (SBAR-TMP
                                                        (IN of)
        (WHADVP-4 (WRB when))
                                                        (NP
        (S
                                                          (NP (DT the) (NN price))
          (NP-SBJ-1 (PRP it))
                                                          (SBAR
          (VP
                                                            (WHNP-3 (-NONE- 0))
            (VBD was)
                                                            (S
            (VP
                                                              (NP-SBJ (NNP Dr.) (NNP Blumenfeld))
              (VBN issued)
                                                              (VP (VBD paid) (NP (-NONE- *T*-3))))))))
              (NP (-NONE- *-1))
              (PP-TMP (IN in) (NP (CD 1984)))
              (ADVP-TMP (-NONE- *T*-4)))))))))))
  (, ,)
  (CC and)
  (S
    (NP-SBJ
      (NP (NN interest) (NNS rates))
      (PP (IN in) (ADJP (JJ general))))
    (VP
      (VBD had)
      (VP
        (VBN declined)
        (ADVP-MNR (RB sharply))
        (PP-TMP (IN since) (NP (RB
```

```
(VP
  (VBD was)
  (NP-PRD
    (NP (DT a) (NN premium))
    (: --)
    (NP
      (NP
        (NP (DT an) (JJ additional) (NN amount))
        (PP-LOC
          (IN on)
          (NP
            (NP (NN top))
            (PP
              (IN of)
              (NP
                (NP (DT the) (NNP CD) (POS 's))
                (NN base)
                (NN value))))))
      (CC plus)
      (NP (VBN accrued) (NN interest)))
    (SBAR
      (WHNP-2 (WDT that))
      (S
        (NP-SBJ (-NONE- *T*-2))
        (VP
          (VBD represented)
          (NP
            (NP (DT the) (NNP CD) (POS 's))
            (VBN increased)
            (NN market)
            (NN value)))))))
```

```
(. .))
```

then))))))))

(S

(SBAR-PRP

(S

```
(SBAR-PRP
    (IN Because)
    (S
      (S
        (NP-SBJ (DT the) (NNP CD))
        (VP
          (VBD had)
          (NP
            (NP (DT an) (JJ effective) (NN yield))
            (PP (IN of) (NP (CD 13.4) (NN %))))
          (SBAR-TMP
            (WHADVP-4 (WRB when))
            (S
              (NP-SBJ-1 (PRP it))
              (VP
                 (VBD was)
                 (VP
                   (VBN issued)
                   (NP (-NONE- *-1))
                   (PP-TMP (IN in) (NP (CD 1984)))
                   (ADVP-TMP (-NONE- *T*-4)))))))))
      (, ,)
      (CC and)
      (S
        (NP-SBJ
          (NP (NN interest) (NNS rates))
          (PP (IN in) (ADJP (JJ general))))
        (VP
          (VBD had)
          (VP
            (VBN declined)
            (ADVP-MNR (RB sharply))
            (PP-TMP (IN since) (NP (RB
then))))))))
```

```
(N:
(NP-SBJ
(NP (NN part))
(PP
(IN of)
(NP (DT the) (NN price))
(SBAR
(WHNP-3 (-NONE- 0))
(S
(NP-SBJ (NNP Dr.) (NNP Blumenfeld))
(VP (VBD paid) (NP (-NONE- *T*-3))))))))
```

(VBD was) (NP-PRD (NP (DT a) (NN premium)) (: --) (NP (NP (NP (DT an) (JJ additional) (NN amount)) (PP-LOC (IN on) (NP (NP (NN top)) (PP (IN of) (NP (NP (DT the) (NNP CD) (POS 's)) (NN base) (NN value)))))) (CC plus) (NP (VBN accrued) (NN interest))) (SBAR (WHNP-2 (WDT that)) (S (NP-SBJ (-NONE- \*T\*-2)) (VP (VBD represented) (NP (NP (DT the) (NNP CD) (POS 's)) (VBN increased) (NN market) (NN value)))))))

(. .))

(VP

#### Slide from Dragomir Radev

### **Universal Dependencies**

- Cross-linguistically consistent treebank annotation for many languages
- Goal of facilitating multilingual parser development, cross-lingual learning
- Annotation scheme based on (universal) Stanford dependencies (de Marneffe et al., 2006, 2008, 2014), Google universal part-ofspeech tags (Petrov et al., 2012), and the Interset interlingua for morphosyntactic tagsets (Zeman, 2008)
- http://universaldependencies.org/

#### Universal Dependencies Example: English, Bulgarian, Czech, Sweedish



Example from universaldependencies.org

#### Web Treebank – CoNNL-X format

# sent_	_id = emai	il-enronsen	t28_02-0	0006			
# text :	= He gave	no indicati	on on th	e value of t	he highes	t bid.	
ID	FORM	LEMMA	CPOS	FPOS	FEATS		
1	Не	he	PRON	PRP	Case=Nom Gender=Masc		
Numbe	er=Sing P HEAD	erson=3 Pi DEPREL	ronType= PHEAD	Prs PDEPREL			
	2	nsubj	_	_			
2	gave	give	VERB	VBD	Mood=Ind Tense=Past		
VerbForm=Fin <b>0</b>		root	_	_			
3	no	no	DET	DT	_	4	det
	_	_					
4	indication		indication		NOUN	NN	
	Number=Sing		2	obj	_	_	
5	on	on	ADP	IN	_	7	case
	_	_					
6	the	the	DET	DT	Definite=Def PronType=Art		
	7	det	_	_			
7	value	value	NOUN	NN	Number	=Sing	4
	nmod						

#### Treebanks

- Is it more work to annotate 40K+ sentences than to write a grammar?
- How do we write a grammar?

 Why might it still be advantageous to use a treebank rather than writing a grammar? ght it be advantageous to use a treebank rath writing a grammar?

#### Start the presentation to activate live content

If you see this message in presentation mode, install the add-in or get help at PollEv.com/app

He gave no indication on the value of the highest bid

 $\sigma$  = He gave  $\beta$  = no indication +> SHIFT (ORACLE output)

What information would help us learn that?

Mood=Ind | Tense=Past | gave give VERB VBD 2 VerbForm=Fin root 0 4 DET det 3 DT no no indication indication 4 NOUNNN Number=Sing 2 obj

#### What information would help us learn this?

#### Start the presentation to activate live content

If you see this message in presentation mode, install the add-in or get help at PollEv.com/app

He gave no indication on the value of the highest bid

 $\sigma$  = He gave no  $\beta$  = indication +> SHIFT (ORACLE output)

What information would help us learn that?

Mood=Ind | Tense=Past | gave give VERB VBD 2 VerbForm=Fin root 0 4 DET det 3 DT no no indication indication 4 NOUNNN Number=Sing obj 2

• He gave no indication on the value of the highest bid

 $\sigma$  = He gave no indication  $\beta$  = on +> LEFT ARC (ORACLE output)

What information would help us learn that?

Mood=Ind | Tense=Past | gave give VERB VBD 2 VerbForm=Fin 0 root 4 det 3 DET DT no no indication indication 4 NOUNNN Number=Sing obj 2

He gave no indication on the value of the highest bid

 $\sigma$  = He gave indication  $\beta$  = on +> LEFT ARC (ORACLE output) Arcs = ((3 4 ATT))

What information would help us learn that?

Mood=Ind | Tense=Past | gave give VERB VBD 2 VerbForm=Fin 0 root 4 det 3 DET DT no no indication indication 4 NOUNNN Number=Sing obj 2

#### What information would help us learn this?

#### Start the presentation to activate live content

If you see this message in presentation mode, install the add-in or get help at PollEv.com/app

#### Many examples

which gives employees one day a week gave it that blessed, laudatory lack give them all a piece of coal I was given a charge gives a company an inexpensive way

I bought a car from Fette Ford. I bought the textbook from Amazon. Kevin bought the textbook from Book Culture I bought my mother a gift.

Ebay sells books to students I sold the Block family my house.

#### But what about?

- Ate a sandwich
- Painted a picture

#### Linear Classifier

- Parameters:  $w_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for each  $t \in \mathcal{T}$
- Each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  for sentence x is "featurized" as  $\phi^x(c) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
  - Classical approach: binary features providing useful signals
  - ▶ Assumes we have access to POS tags of  $x_1 \dots x_m$ .

$$\begin{split} \phi^x_{20134}(c) &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } x_{c.\sigma[0]}.\text{POS} = \text{NN} \text{ and } x_{c.\beta[0]}.\text{POS} = \text{VBD} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ \phi^x_{1988}(c) &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } x_{c.\sigma[0]}.\text{POS} = \text{VBD} \text{ with leftmost arc SUBJ} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ \phi^x_{42}(c) &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } x_{c.\beta[1]} = \text{cat} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

## Our Assignment

- Specify Feature types
- These are converted to binary types with specific words (e.g., if you're looking at a specific word)

#### **Evaluation methodology**

- Train, Dev and Test split
- Baselines
  - Dumb baseline
  - Intelligent baseline
  - Human performance (ceiling)
- New method
- Evaluation methods
  - Accuracy
  - Precision and Recall
- Multiple references
  - Interjudge agreement

## Карра

$$\kappa = \frac{P(A) - P(E)}{1 - P(E)}$$

- Agreement vs. expected agreement
  - P(A) is the level of agreement of the judges
  - P(E) is the expected probability of agreement by chance
- When  $\kappa > .7$  agreement is considered high
- Question
  - Judge agreement on a binary classification task is 60%, is this high?



## Scoring: UAS, LAS

Unlabeled Attachment Score (UAS)

# words w/correct parent
# words

• Labeled Attachment Score (LAS)

<u># words w/correct parent and label</u> # words State of the art: 93-95 UAS, 91-93 LAS

## Example

• A big dog party

A dog party! A big dog party! Big dogs, little dogs, red dogs, blue dogs, yellow dogs, green dogs, black dogs, and white dogs are all at a dog party! What a dog party!



Root A big dog party
0 1 2 3 4

**Gold Labels** 

- 1 2 Att
- 3 4 Att
- 2 4 Att
- 4 0 Dobj

Root A big dog party
0 1 2 3 4

**Gold Labels** 

- 1 2 Att
- 3 4 Att
- 2 4 Att

4 0 Dobj

System Output

- 1 2 Att
- 2 3 Att
- 3 4 Att
- 4 0 Subj

Root A big dog party
0 1 2 3 4

Gold Labels

- 1 2 Att
- 3 4 Att
- 2 4 Att

4 0 Dobj

System Output

- 1 2 Att
- 2 3 Att
- 3 4 Att
- 4 0 Subj
- Unlabeled Accuracy: #correct/total # = ¾

Root A big dog party
0 1 2 3 4

Gold Labels

- 1 2 Att
- 3 4 Att
- 2 4 Att
- 4 0 Dobj

System Output

- 1 2 Att
- 2 3 Att
- 3 4 Att
- 4 0 Subj
- Unlabeled Accuracy: #correct/total # = ¾ Labeled Accuracy: 2/4 = .5

#### **Representative Performance**

- CoNNL-X (2006) shared task provides evaluation numbers for various dependency parsing approaches over 13 languages
  - MALT: LAS from 65-92% depending on language/ treebank

Parser	UAS %
Sagae&Lavie (2005) ensemble of dependency parsers	92.7
Charniak (2000) generative, constituency	92.2
Collins (1999) generative, constituency	91.7
McDonald&Pereira (2005) MST graph-based dependency	91.5
Yamada & Matsumoto (2003) – transition-based dependency	90.4

Slide from Manning

#### Precision, Recall and F-

#### measure

- F-measure useful when the dataset is unbalanced Informally:
- Precision = <u># System correct answers</u> # total system answers
- Recall = <u># System correct answers</u> # total correct answers
- F-measure = harmonic mean of precision and recall

$$= 2 \cdot ((p \cdot r)/(p+r))$$

NOTE: Can also weight either P or R more heavily

## More formally

- Precision = TP/ (TP + FP)
- Recall = TP/(TP + FN)

Where TP = true positive, FP = false positive, FN = false negative

- $F_{\beta} = (1 + \beta^2) \cdot (\text{precision} \cdot \text{recall}) / (\beta^2 \cdot (\text{precision} + \text{recall}))$
- F<sub>1</sub> is the harmonic mean. F<sub>2</sub> weights precision higher. F<sub>.5</sub> weights recall higher

#### For the homework

- Precision per sentence = correct\_predicated\_arcs/predicted\_arcs
- Recall per sentence = correct\_predicted\_arcs/gold\_arcs
- F-measure will be F<sub>1</sub>
- For the full test set, average of P, R and F

#### Other alternative approaches

- Deterministic vs beam search
- Arc-standard vs arc-eager
- One pass vs many passes

#### **Arc-standard Problem**

- Right dependents cannot be attached to their head until all their dependents have been attached
- Should a right-arc be taken?

#### Arc-Eager

- All arcs are added ASAP
- Right arc redefined so that the dependent word is shifted onto the stack
- We add an operation, REDUCE, to pop the dependent word at a later time.

"Eager" Left-Arc

#### $\mathsf{LEFT}_{l}^{e} \ (\sigma|\mathbf{i}, j|\beta, A) \Rightarrow (\sigma, j|\beta, A \cup \{(j, l, i)\})$



Illegal if either i = 0 or i already has a parent.

#### "Eager" Right-Arc

#### $\mathbf{RIGHT}_{l}^{e} \ (\sigma|i,j|\beta,A) \Rightarrow (\sigma|i|j,\beta,A \cup \{(i,l,j)\})$



Illegal if j already has a parent.

Shift and Reduce

#### **SHIFT** $(\sigma, i | \beta, A) \Rightarrow (\sigma | i, \beta, A)$

#### Illegal if $\beta$ is empty.

#### **REDUCE** $(\sigma | \mathbf{i}, \beta, A) \Rightarrow (\sigma, \beta, A)$

Illegal if i does not have a parent.

#### **Characteristics of Arc-Eager**

- Top-Down
- Complexity: O(n)
- Sound and complete with respect to projective trees

#### Other resources

 Stanford core NLP <u>https://stanfordnlp.github.io/CoreNLP/</u>

- Phrase Structure Parser
- Dependency Parser
- And much more

#### Next time

Semantics