# Advanced Machine Learning & Perception

Instructor: Tony Jebara

## Boosting

- •Combining Multiple Classifiers
- Voting
- •Boosting
- Adaboost

•Based on material by Y. Freund, P. Long & R. Schapire

## **Combining Multiple Learners**

•Have many simple learners

Also called base learners or

weak learners which have a classification error of <0.5

•Combine or vote them to get a higher accuracy

No free lunch: there is no guaranteed best approach hereDifferent approaches:

Voting

combine learners with fixed weight

Mixture of Experts

adjust learners and a variable weight/gate fn Boosting

actively search for next base-learners and vote Cascading, Stacking, Bagging, etc.

## Voting

•Have T classifiers  $h_t(x)$ •Average their prediction with weights  $f(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(x) \text{ where } \alpha_t \ge 0 \text{ and } \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t = 1$ 

•Like mixture of experts but weight is constant with input



## Mixture of Experts

Have T classifiers or experts h<sub>t</sub>(x) and a gating fn α<sub>t</sub>(x)
Average their prediction with variable weights
But, adapt parameters of the gating function and the experts (fixed total number T of experts)

$$f(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t(x) h_t(x) \text{ where } \alpha_t(x) \ge 0 \text{ and } \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t(x) = 1$$



## Boosting

Actively find complementary or synergistic weak learners
Train next learner based on mistakes of previous ones.
Average prediction with fixed weights

•Find next learner by training on weighted versions of data.



## AdaBoost



## AdaBoost

Choose base learner & α<sub>t</sub>:
Recall error of base classifier h<sub>t</sub> must be

$${{\min }_{{{\alpha }_t},{{h_t}}}}\sum\nolimits_{i = 1}^N \exp \! \left( \! - {y_i}\!\sum\nolimits_{t = 1}^T\! {{\alpha }_t}{{h_t}\left( {{x_i}} \right)} \! \right)}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} step\left(-h_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)\boldsymbol{y}_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}} < \frac{1}{2} - \gamma$$

•For binary h, Adaboost puts this weight on weak learners:  $\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1 - \varepsilon_t}{\varepsilon_t} \right)$ (instead of the more general rule)

•Adaboost picks the following for the weights on data for the next round (here Z is the normalizer to sum to 1)

$$w_{i}^{t+1} = \frac{w_{i}^{t}\exp\left(-\alpha_{t}y_{i}h_{t}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)}{Z_{t}}$$

### **Decision Trees**



#### Decision tree as a sum



#### An alternating decision tree



## **Example: Medical Diagnostics**

- **Cleve** dataset from UC Irvine database.
- •Heart disease diagnostics (+1=healthy,-1=sick)
- •13 features from tests (real valued and discrete).
- •303 instances.

## Ad-Tree Example



## Cross-validated accuracy

Learning algorithm	Number of splits	Average test error	Test error variance
ADtree	6	17.0%	0.6%
C5.0	27	27.2%	0.5%
C5.0 + boosting	446	20.2%	0.5%
Boost Stumps	16	16.5%	0.8%



Adaboost is essentially doing gradient descent on this.Convergence?

## AdaBoost Convergence

•Convergence? Consider the binary h<sub>t</sub> case.  $R_{emp} \leq \prod_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t} = \prod_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}^{t} \exp\left(-\alpha_{t} y_{i} h_{t}(x_{i})\right)$  $= \prod_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^t \exp\left[\ln\left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon_t}{1-\varepsilon_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}y_ih_t(x_i)}\right]\right]$  $\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{1 - \varepsilon_t}{\varepsilon_t} \right|$  $=\prod_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_{i}^{t}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{t}}{1-\varepsilon_{i}}}\right)^{y_{i}h_{t}(x_{i})}$  $\varepsilon_t = \frac{1}{2} - \gamma_t \leq \frac{1}{2} - \gamma$  $=\prod_{t=1}^{T} \left[ \sum_{correct} w_i^t \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_t}{1-\varepsilon_{\star}}} + \sum_{incorrect} w_i^t \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon_t}{\varepsilon_{\star}}} \right]$  $=\prod_{t=1}^{T} 2\sqrt{\varepsilon_t \left(1-\varepsilon_t\right)} = \prod_{t=1}^{T} \sqrt{\left(1-4\gamma_t^2\right)} \le \exp\left(-2\sum_t \gamma_t^2\right)$  $R_{emp} \leq \exp\left(-2\sum_t \gamma_t^2
ight) \leq \exp\left(-2T\gamma^2
ight)$ So, the final learner converges exponentially fast in T if each weak learner is at least better than gamma!

## Curious phenomenon

Boosting decision trees



Using <10,000 training examples we fit >2,000,000 parameters

## Explanation using margins



## Explanation using margins



#### **Experimental Evidence**

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

### AdaBoost Generalization Bound

•Also, a VC analysis gives a generalization bound:  $R \le R_{emp} + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{Td}{N}}\right)$  (where d is VC of base classifier)

•But, more iterations  $\rightarrow$  overfitting! •A margin analysis is possible, redefine margin as:  $mar_f(x,y) = \frac{y\sum_t \alpha_t h_t(x)}{\sum_t |\alpha_t|}$ Then have  $R \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N step(\theta - mar_f(x_i, y_i)) + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{N\theta^2}}\right)$ 

## AdaBoost Generalization Bound

•Suggests this optimization problem:

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **AdaBoost Generalization Bound**

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

## UCI Results

#### % test error rates

Database	Other	Boosting	Error reduction
Cleveland	27.2 (DT)	16.5	39%
Promoters	22.0 (DT)	11.8	46%
Letter	13.8 (DT)	3.5	74%
Reuters 4	5.8, 6.0, 9.8	2.95	~60%
Reuters 8	11.3, 12.1, 13.4	7.4	~40%

Consider classifying an image as face/notface
Use weak learner as stump that averages of pixel intensity
Easy to calculate, white areas subtracted from black ones

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

•A special representation of the sample called the integral image makes feature extraction faster.

#### •Summed area tables

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

•A representation that means any rectangle's values can be calculated in four accesses of the integral image.

#### •Summed area tables

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 3: The sum of the pixels within rectangle D can be computed with four array references. The value of the integral image at location 1 is the sum of the pixels in rectangle A. The value at location 2 is A + B, at location 3 is A + C, and at location 4 is A + B + C + D. The sum within D can be computed as 4 + 1 - (2 + 3).

The base size for a sub window is 24 by 24 pixels.
Each of the four feature types are scaled and shifted across all possible combinations
In a 24 pixel by 24 pixel sub window there are ~160,000 possible features to be calculated.

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

•Viola-Jones algorithm, with K attributes (e.g., K = 160,000) we have 160,000 different decision stumps to choose from

At each stage of boosting

•given reweighted data from previous stage

- •Train all K (160,000) single-feature perceptrons
- •Select the single best classifier at this stage
- Combine it with the other previously selected classifiers
  Reweight the data

•Learn all K classifiers again, select the best, combine, reweight

•Repeat until you have T classifiers selected

•Very computationally intensive!

•Reduction in Error as Boosting adds Classifiers

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### •First (e.g. best) two features learned by boosting

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### •Example training data

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

●To find faces, scan all squares at different scales, slow ⊗

Boosting finds ordering on weak learners (best ones first)
Idea: cascade stumps to avoid too much computation! <sup>(C)</sup>

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

•Training time = weeks (with 5k faces and 9.5k non-faces)

•Final detector has 38 layers in the cascade, 6060 features

•700 Mhz processor:

•Can process a 384 x 288 image in 0.067 seconds (in 2003 when paper was written)

### **Boosted Cascade of Stumps**

#### •Results

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)