Course: COMSW4995_002_2023_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2023_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee *

TA: Tal Zussman, Andrew Cheng, Phoebe Lu, Ivy Basseches

Response Rate: 14/25 (56.00 %)

1 - Course: Amount Learned												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percen	t Respor	nses	ses Means					
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.71					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	4	28.57%									
Excellent	(5)	10	71.43%									
				0 25	50	100	Question					
Response Rate					Mean		STD		Median			
14/2	14/25 (56.00%)							0.47	5.00			

2 - Course: Appropriateness of Work	load											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent	Respo	nses	Means				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				3.93				
Fair	(2)	3	21.43%					0.00				
Good	(3)	2	14.29%									
Very Good	(4)	2	14.29%									
Excellent	(5)	7	50.00%									
				0	25	50	100	Question				
Response Rate				Mean					STD	M	edian	
14/25	14/25 (56.00%)					3.93			1.27		4.50	

3 - Course: Fairness of Grading Proce	ess									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Poor	(1)	2	14.29%		4.07					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%							
Good	(3)	1	7.14%							
Very Good	(4)	3	21.43%							
Excellent	(5)	8	57.14%							
				0 25 50 100	Question					
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median				
14/25 (56.00%)				4.07	1.44	5.00				

4 - Course: Overall Quality													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respo	nses	Means					
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.50					
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%										
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Very Good	(4)	4	28.57%										
Excellent	(5)	9	64.29%										
				0	25	50	100	Questic	n				
Response Rate					Mean				STD			ledian	
14/25 (56.00%	6)			4.50				0.85				5.00	

5 - Enter any additional comments here

Response Rate 4/25 (16%)

• I appreciated the course structure, and how the assignments were sequential. The workload was well laid out. The entire course was very well organized. The classes were effective. It would have been nice if the professor offered office hours. Additionally, some of the assignments were a bit challenging to understand. Sometimes the TAs gave me misleading information.

· I don't know how people can enter the workforce without taking this class.

• I would say it could be a good idea to introduce some new additional topics every year since we have access to recordings of previous years. We can kind of skip some not-that-important content and point to a reference in previous years' recordings. I would love to hear Jae talk about some design patterns in C++ but unfortunately we do not have time to cover this part this year.

• PLEASE release the rubric (or a version of it that doesn't explicitly give answers) ahead of time so we can have more test cases- assignment 4 grades were a huge surprise

Course: COMSW4995_002_2023_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2023_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE Instructor: Jae Lee * TA: Tal Zussman,Andrew Cheng,Phoebe Lu,Ivy Basseches

Response Rate: 14/25 (56.00 %)

6 - Instructor: Organization and Preparation												
Jae Lee												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Ме	ans						
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.57							
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	1	7.14%									
Very Good	(4)	4	28.57%									
Excellent	(5)	9	64.29%									
				0 25 50 100	Instructor							
Re	sponse Rate			Mean	STD	Median						
14	14/25 (56.00%)				0.65	5.00						

7 - Instructor: Classroom Delivery

Jae Lee													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	ent Respo	nses	Means						
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				4.64						
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Very Good	(4)	5	35.71%										
Excellent	(5)	9	64.29%										
				0	25 50	100	Instructor						
Response Rate					Mean			STD	Median				
14/25 (56.00%)					4.64			0.50	5.00				

8 - Instructor: Approachability

Jae Lee										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.50					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%							
Good	(3)	2	14.29%							
Very Good	(4)	3	21.43%							
Excellent	(5)	9	64.29%							
				0 25 50 100	Instructor					
Response Ra		Mean	STD		Median					
14/25 (56.00%		4.50	0.76		5.00					

9 - Instructor: Overall Qualit	у						
Jae Lee							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.64		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	5	35.71%				
Excellent	(5)	9	64.29%				
				0 25 50 100	Instructor		
	Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median	
	14/25 (56.00%)			4.64	0.50	5.00	

	COMSW4995_002_2023_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2023_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
TA:	Tal Zussman, Andrew Cheng, Phoebe Lu, Ivy Basseches

Response Rate: 14/25 (56.00 %)

10 - Would you nominate this	professor for the SEA	S Distinguish	ed Faculty A	ward?	?								
Jae Lee													
Response Option	ponse Option Weight Frequency Percent					Respor	ises	Means					
Yes	(1)	12	92.31%										
No	(2)	1	7.69%					1.08					
				_									
				0	25	50	100	Instructor					
Response Rate						Mean		STD		M	edian		
13/25 (52.00%)						1.08			0.28		1.00		

11 - If so, please explain why

Jae Lee

Response Rate

· Very well organized. Explains concepts well.

• Incredible explanations that are comprehensive and don't hide any tricky details. Only think I would change is call out when you are changing a topic more clearly so I know to make a new heading in my notes.

• He brings excellent lecture experience with following the code file but not slides to let us clearly understand each characteristics in C++, including how to implement it, and what these will output. Overall, he is the best teacher that I met to explain the C++ and code most clearly.

Best instructor

• Well-explained concepts. Well-prepared lectures.

• Well-prepared lectures, well-designed homework, can't ask for more.

8/25 (32%)

· Jae teaches very well and runs a very well oiled and fair class

· clearly an expert on the topic, a good communicator in class

12 - Overall Quality											
Andrew Cheng											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respon	ses		Меа	ins	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1							
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	0	0.00%	1				0.00			
	4			0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rate						Mean			STD	Median	
	0/25 (0.00%)					0.00			0.00	0.00	

12 - Overall Quality													
Ivy Basseches													
Response Option	We	eight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respo	nses		Ν	leans		
Poor	((1)	0	0.00%	1				4.64				
Fair	((2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	((3)	1	9.09%									
Very Good	((4)	2	18.18%									
Excellent	((5)	8	72.73%									
					0	25	50	100	TA				
Response Rate					Mean				STD		N	edian	
11/25 (44.00%)					4.64					0.67		5.00	

Course: COMSW4995_002_2023_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2023_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE COMPUTER SCIENCE Instructor: Jae Lee *

TA: Tal Zussman, Andrew Cheng, Phoebe Lu, Ivy Basseches

12 - Overall Quality											
Phoebe Lu											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	ent R	espor	ises		M	eans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.20			
Fair	(2)	1	10.00%								
Good	(3)	2	20.00%								
Very Good	(4)	1	10.00%								
Excellent	(5)	6	60.00%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				N	lean			STD	M	edian
	10/25 (40.00%)				4	4.20			1.14		5.00

12 - Overall Quality											
Tal Zussman											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent I	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.50			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	1	50.00%								
Excellent	(5)	1	50.00%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	Me	dian
	2/25 (8.00%)					4.50			0.71	4	.50

12	Overall	Quality	
12 -	Overall	Quality	

Andrew Cheng, Ivy Basseches, Phoebe Lu, Tal Zussman												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent	Respo	nses		Меа	ans		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.43				
Fair	(2)	1	4.35%									
Good	(3)	3	13.04%									
Very Good	(4)	4	17.39%									
Excellent	(5)	15	65.22%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	M	ədian	
						4.43			0.90		5.00	

13 - Knowledgeability												
Andrew Cheng												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respon	ses		Меа	ins		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%									
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	0	0.00%	1				0.00				
				0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian	
	0/25 (0.00%)					0.00			0.00	(0.00	

Course: COMSW4995_002_2023_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2023_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE Instructor: Jae Lee * Jae Lee *

TA: Tal Zussman, Andrew Cheng, Phoebe Lu, Ivy Basseches

13 - Knowledgeability										
Ivy Basseches										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percen	t Respoi	nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				4.73			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Very Good	(4)	3	27.27%							
Excellent	(5)	8	72.73%							
				0 25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
	11/25 (44.00%)				4.73			0.47	Ę	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability													
Phoebe Lu													
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respo	nses			Means		
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.20				
Fair		(2)	1	10.00%									
Good		(3)	2	20.00%									
Very Good		(4)	1	10.00%									
Excellent		(5)	6	60.00%									
					0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate	e					Mean			STD		Med	ian
	10/25 (40.00%))					4.20			1.14		5.0	00

13 - Knowledgeability												
Tal Zussman												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	5		Меа	ins				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.50						
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%									
Good	(3)	0	0.00%									
Very Good	(4)	1	50.00%									
Excellent	(5)	1	50.00%									
				0 25 50 10	0	TA						
Response	Rate			Mean			STD	Me	dian			
2/25 (8.0	1%)			4.50			0.71	4	.50			

13 - Knowledgeability														
Andrew Cheng, Ivy Basseches, Phoebe Lu, Tal Zussman														
Response Option														
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.4	8								
Fair	(2)	1	4.35%].										
Good	(3)	2	8.70%]										
Very Good	(4)	5	21.74%											
Excellent	(5)	15	65.22%											
0 25 50 100 TA														
	Response Rate			Mean		:	STD	Me	dian					
				4.48			0.85	5	.00					

Course: COMSW4995_002_2023_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2023_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE Instructor: Jae Lee * TA: Tal Zussman, Andrew Cheng, Phoebe Lu, Ivy Basseches Response Rate: 14/25 (56.00 %)

14 - Approachability												
Andrew Cheng												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent F	Respon	ses		Меа	ins		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%									
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	0	0.00%	1				0.00				
				0	25	50	100	ТА				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	Median		
	0/25 (0.00%)					0.00			0.00	0.00		

14 - Approachability													
Ivy Basseches													
Response Option	١	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respo	nses			Mea	ns	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.73				
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good		(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good		(4)	3	27.27%									
Excellent		(5)	8	72.73%									
					0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate						Mean			STD		M	ədian
	11/25 (44.00%)						4.73			0.47			5.00

14 - Approachability								
Phoebe Lu								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Res	oonses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.30		
Fair	(2)	1	10.00%] 🔳				
Good	(3)	1	10.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	20.00%					
Excellent	(5)	6	60.00%					
				0 25 50	100	TA		
F	Response Rate			Mea	1		STD	Median
	10/25 (40.00%)			4.30			1.06	5.00

14 - Approachability												
Tal Zussman												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respons	es		Mea	ins			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.50					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	1	50.00%									
Excellent	(5)	1	50.00%									
				0 25	50	100	TA					
Resp	onse Rate				Mean			STD	Median			
2/25	i (8.00%)				4.50			0.71	4.50			

Course: COMSW4995_002_2023_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2023_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee *

TA: Tal Zussman, Andrew Cheng, Phoebe Lu, Ivy Basseches

14 - Approachability	4 - Approachability											
Andrew Cheng, Ivy Basseches, Phoebe Lu, Tal Zussman												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respo	nses		Меа	ins			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.52					
Fair	(2)	1	4.35%]								
Good	(3)	1	4.35%]								
Very Good	(4)	6	26.09%									
Excellent	(5)	15	65.22%									
				0 25	50	100	TA					
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Median			
					4.52			0.79	5.00			

15 - Availability														
Andrew Cheng	ndrew Cheng													
Response Option	Weig	ht Frequenc	y Percent	Perce	ent Respo	onses		Меа	ins					
Poor	(1	0	0.00%											
Fair	(2	0	0.00%	1										
Good	(3	0	0.00%	1										
Very Good	(4	0	0.00%	1										
Excellent	(5	0	0.00%	1			0.00							
				0 :	25 50	100	TA							
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Median					
	0/25 (0.00%)				0.00			0.00	0.00					

15 - Availability												
Ivy Basseches												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Resp	onses		Mea	ns				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.64						
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%]								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%]								
Very Good	(4)	4	36.36%									
Excellent	(5)	7	63.64%									
			•	0 25 50	100	TA						
	Response Rate			Mean			STD	Median				
	11/25 (44.00%)			4.64			0.50	5.00				

15 - Availability												
Phoebe Lu												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns					
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.44							
Fair	(2)	1	11.11%									
Good	(3)	0	0.00%									
Very Good	(4)	2	22.22%									
Excellent	(5)	6	66.67%									
				0 25 50 100	TA							
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median					
	9/25 (36.00%)			4.44		1.01	5.00					

Course: COMSW4995_002_2023_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2023_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE Instructor: Jae Lee * TA: Tal Zussman,Andrew Cheng,Phoebe Lu,Ivy Basseches

15 - Availability										
Tal Zussman										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respons	es		Ме	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.50			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Very Good	(4)	1	50.00%							
Excellent	(5)	1	50.00%							
				0 25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Me	dian
	2/25 (8.00%)				4.50			0.71	4	.50

15 - Availability													
Andrew Cheng, Ivy Basseches, Phoebe Lu, Tal Zussman													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent F	Response	s		Mea	ns				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.55						
Fair	(2)	1	4.55%]									
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Very Good	(4)	7	31.82%										
Excellent	(5)	14	63.64%										
	•			0 25	50 1	00	TA						
F	Response Rate			I	Mean			STD	Median				
					4.55			0.74	5.00				

16 - Communication													
Andrew Cheng													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Меа	ns					
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%										
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%]									
Good	(3)	0	0.00%										
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%										
Excellent	(5)	0	0.00%			0.00							
				0 25 50 100		TA							
Response R	ate			Mean			STD	Median					
0/25 (0.00%)			0.00			0.00	0.00					

16 - Communication													
Ivy Basseches													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mean	s						
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.73								
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%										
Good	(3)	0	0.00%										
Very Good	(4)	3	27.27%										
Excellent	(5)	8	72.73%										
				0 25 50 100	TA								
	Response Rate			Mean	S	TD	Median						
	11/25 (44.00%)			4.73	0.	47	5.00						

Course: COMSW4995_002_2023_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2023_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE Instructor: Jae Lee *

TA: Tal Zussman, Andrew Cheng, Phoebe Lu, Ivy Basseches

Response Rate: 14/25 (56.00 %)

16 - Communication												
Phoebe Lu												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent F	Respo	nses		Ме	ans		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.30				
Fair	(2)	1	10.00%									
Good	(3)	1	10.00%									
Very Good	(4)	2	20.00%									
Excellent	(5)	6	60.00%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	ədian	
	10/25 (40.00%)					4.30			1.06		5.00	

16 - Communication											
Tal Zussman											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.50			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	1	50.00%								
Excellent	(5)	1	50.00%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response	Rate					Mean			STD	M	ədian
2/25 (8.0	0%)					4.50			0.71	4	1.50

16 - Communication

Andrew Cheng, Ivy Basseches, Phoebe Lu, Tal Zussman											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.52			
Fair	(2)	1	4.35%								
Good	(3)	1	4.35%								
Very Good	(4)	6	26.09%								
Excellent	(5)	15	65.22%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	M	edian
				4.52			0.79		5.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate ef	fectively in Englisi	1?										
Andrew Cheng												
Response Option	Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means											
Yes	(1)	0	0.00%									
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1				_	0.00			
				0	25	50	100		TA			
Re	sponse Rate					Mean				STD	M	edian
0	/25 (0.00%)					0.00				0.00		0.00

Course:	COMSW4995_00 COMPUTER SCIE	_	3_2-TOPI0	SINCOM	PUTERSCIENCE: C	OMSW4995_002_2023_2 - TOPICS IN
Instructor:	Jae Lee *					
TA:	Tal Zussman, Andrew	/ Cheng, F	hoebe Lu,Iv	y Basseche	S	
Response Rate:	14/25 (56.00 %)					
17 - Does this TA c	ommunicate effectively	in English	1?			
Ivy Basseches						
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Yes		(1)	11	100.00%		

100	(1)		100.0070									
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				_	1.00			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100		TA			
Response Rat	e	Mean				Mean			STD Median		edian	
11/25 (44.00%)					1.00				0.00	1	.00

17 - Does this TA communicat	e effectively in Englis	h?									
Phoebe Lu											
Response Option	Weight Frequency Percent Perce					nses		Me	ans		
Yes	(1)	10	100.00%								
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1			1.00				
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
				0 25	50	100	TA				
Response Rate					Mean S				Median		
	10/25 (40.00%)				1.00			0.00	1	1.00	

17 - Does this TA communica	te effectively in English	1?									
Tal Zussman											
Response Option	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent	Respo	Responses Means					
Yes	(1)	2	100.00%								
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
	·			0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
	2/25 (8.00%)					1.00			0.00		.00

ectively in Englisi	1?							
oebe Lu, Tal Zuss	man							
Weight	Frequency	Percent	t Percent Responses Means				ns	
(1)	23	100.00%						
(2)	0	0.00%	1			1.00		
(3)	0	0.00%						
			0 25	50 10	0	TA		
ponse Rate			Mean				STD	Median
				1.00			0.00	1.00
	Weight (1) (2)	(1) 23 (2) 0 (3) 0	Weight Frequency Percent (1) 23 100.00% (2) 0 0.00% (3) 0 0.00%	Weight Frequency Percent Percent F (1) 23 100.00% I (2) 0 0.00% I (3) 0 0.00% I o 25 sponse Rate I	Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses (1) 23 100.00% I (2) 0 0.00% I (3) 0 0.00% I	Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses (1) 23 100.00% I (2) 0 0.00% I (3) 0 0.00% I o 25 50 100 Sponse Rate Mean	Veight Frequency Percent Percent Responses (1) 23 100.00% I <thi< th=""> I I I<td>Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Mea (1) 23 100.00% </td></thi<>	Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Mea (1) 23 100.00%

18 - Comments	
Andrew Cheng	
Response Rate	0/25 (0%)

18 - Comments	
Ivy Basseches	
Response Rate	2/25 (8%)
• Have not interacted, but you seem effe	ective over emails.
• great	

Course:COMSW4995_002_2023_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2023_2 - TOPICS IN
COMPUTER SCIENCEInstructor:Jae Lee *TA:Tal Zussman,Andrew Cheng,Phoebe Lu,Ivy Basseches

18 - Comments	
Phoebe Lu	
Response Rate	2/25 (8%)
great inissing from office hours after labs done (Is)	still have questions, would have been nice to at least have a notice, I take work off early for these office hours)

18 - Comments	
Tal Zussman	
Response Rate	1/25 (4%)
• great	

18 - Comments								
Andrew Cheng, Ivy Basseches, Phoebe Lu, Tal Zussman								
Response Rate								
Have not interacted, but you seem effective	over emails.							
• great								
• great								
• great								
missing from office hours after labs done (I s	till have questions, would have been nice to at least have a notice, I take work off early for these office hours)							

Course: COMSW4995_002_2023_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2023_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE Instructor: Jae Lee* Response Rate: 3/6 (50.00 %)

Jae Lee											
Response Option	Percent	Pe	rcent I	Respo	nses		Меа	ins			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					4.00			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Neither Agree nor Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Agree	(4)	3	100.00%								
Strongly Agree	(5)	0	0.00%	1							
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	ədian
3/6	3/6 (50.00%)			4.00 0.00					4	1.00	

• I think the assignments should be worded more precisely -- for example, in Lab 3, only part 1 specifies that mdb.cpp should house definitions. It does not say this for the other parts of this lab, yet one of the overall rubric penalties was "Uses extra source files." If this was an overall requirement, it should have been specified as an overall requirement and not just for part 1; the lab submission instructions say that extra files may be created.

· The instructor responds to most, but not all, emails sent to him.

2 - The TA was available via email, phone, web conference or discussion board for office hours												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Neither Agree nor Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Strongly Agree	(5)	0	0.00%	1				0.00				
			•	0	25	50	100	Question				
Response Rate						Mean			STD	Median		
0/6 (0.00	0/6 (0.00%)					0.00			0.00	0.00		

3 - My academic and/or professional background prepared me well for this course's requirements														
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent	Respo	nses		Means					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					4	4.00					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1										
Neither Agree nor Disagree	(3)	1	33.33%											
Agree	(4)	1	33.33%											
Strongly Agree	(5)	1	33.33%											
				0	25	50	100	Q	uestion					
Response Rate						Mean				STD	Median			
3/6 (50.00%)	3/6 (50.00%)					4.00		1.00			4	4.00		

4 - I am able to apply what I learned in this course to my professional experience												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					4.33				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Neither Agree nor Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Agree	(4)	2	66.67%									
Strongly Agree	(5)	1	33.33%									
		•		0	25	50	100	Question				
Response Rate						Mean			STD	Median		
3/6 (50.00%)						4.33			0.58	4	4.00	

Course: COMSW4995_002_2023_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2023_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE Instructor: Jae Lee * Response Rate: 3/6 (50.00 %)

5 - My level of interest in the subject after taking this course is very high													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	onses			Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1				3.6	67				
Neither Agree nor Disagree	(3)	1	33.33%										
Agree	(4)	2	66.67%										
Strongly Agree	(5)	0	0.00%	1									
			-	0	25	50	100	Que	stion				
Response Rate					Mean				STD		M	edian	
3/6 (50.00%)						3.67				0.58		4.00	

6 - I typically spend the following number of hours each week on this course												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses	Means				
0	(1)	0	0.00%	1					5.00			
1-3	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
4-6	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
7-9	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
10+	(5)	3	100.00%									
				0	25	50	100		Question			
Response Rate					Mean					STD	Median	
3/6 (50.00	%)			5.00				0.00			5.00	

7 - Please comment on why you took this course:

Response Rate 2/6 (33.33%)

to get a better understanding of c++

• I wanted to learn the latest C++ concepts and techniques.

8 - Canvas (Courseworks2) is easy to use												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	ent Res	pons	es	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					4.00				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Neither Agree nor Disagree	(3)	1	33.33%									
Agree	(4)	1	33.33%									
Strongly Agree	(5)	1	33.33%									
				0 2	25 50) (100	Question				
Response Rate					Mea	n			STD	Me	edian	
3/6 (50.00%)				4.00					1.00	2	4.00	

9 - Course videos are easy to access

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respo	nses	Means					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.33					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Neither Agree nor Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Agree	(4)	2	66.67%										
Strongly Agree	(5)	1	33.33%										
				0	25	50	100	Question					
Response Rate						Mean			STD	Me	edian		
3/6 (50.00%)				4.33					0.58	4	4.00		

Course: COMSW4995_002_2023_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2023_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE Instructor: Jae Lee * Response Rate: 3/6 (50.00 %)

10 - Please list the device(s) you use most to view the course videos:

3/6 (50%)

Response Rate

Desktop PC

desktop

• Mac

11 - Please type your comments or suggestions regarding the course technology (e.g., website, course videos, software, etc.):

Response Rate 3/6 (50%)

• I appreciate that all relative files / course outlines were available in one spot.

• PLEASE use Ed or Slack over email for course communication- it is extremely confusing to shuffle through all of Columbia's emails and the course content, even in the summer

 \bullet I wish the video and slides could be split 50/50, not 1/3 and 2/3.

12 - The proctoring guidelines were easy to follow													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%										
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1				3.33	_				
Neither Agree nor Disagree	(3)	2	66.67%										
Agree	(4)	1	33.33%										
Strongly Agree	(5)	0	0.00%	1									
				0	25	50	100	Questic	on				
Response Rate						Mean		STD			N	ledian	
3/6 (50.00%))			3.33				0.58				3.00	

13 - The exams made available online were easy to access												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	1				3.67				
Neither Agree nor Disagree	(3)	1	33.33%									
Agree	(4)	2	66.67%									
Strongly Agree	(5)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100	Question				
Response Rate						Mean			STD	Me	edian	
3/6 (50.00%)				3.67					0.58	4.00		

 14 - Please type your comments or suggestions regarding the exam process (e.g., your experience with remote proctoring or in-person proctoring):

 Response Rate
 2/6 (33.33%)

• As a remote student, I'm not a huge fan of requiring a printer / physical printout for the final. I don't know if it could be done any differently, though.

• glad to use zoom over proctorio but it does force students to take time off work in the middle of the day in western time zones- consider having an evening exam