Columbia University: School of Engineering
Summer A 2022 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course:	COMSW4995_002_2022_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2022_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
TA:	Hans Montero,Xijiao Li,Maylis Whetsel,Fangxin Lin
Response Rate:	17/34 (50.00 %)

1 - Course: Amount Learned

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.41
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	3	17.65%		
Very Good	(4)	4	23.53%		
Excellent	(5)	10	58.82%		

Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
17/34 (50.00%)			4.41	0.80	5.00

2 - Course: Appropriateness of Workload

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	1	5.88%		4.00
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	4	23.53%		
Very Good	(4)	5	29.41%		
Excellent	(5)	7	41.18%		

Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
17/34 (50.00%)			4.00	1.12	4.00

3 - Course: Fairness of Grading Process

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.12
Fair	(2)	2	11.76%		
Good	(3)	2	11.76%		
Very Good	(4)	5	29.41%		
Excellent	(5)	8	47.06%		

Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
17/34 (50.00%)			4.12	1.05	4.00

4 - Course: Overall Quality

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.35
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	3	17.65%		
Very Good	(4)	5	29.41%		
Excellent	(5)	9	52.94%		

Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
17/34 (50.00%)			4.35	0.79	5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering
Summer A 2022 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course:	COMSW4995_002_2022_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2022_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
TA:	Hans Montero,Xijiao Li,Maylis Whetsel,Fangxin Lin
Response Rate:	17/34 (50.00 %)

---

5 - Enter any additional comments here

Response Rate	6/34 (17.65%)

• Overall, the material was interesting, but it feels like there were a lot of nuances that weren't covered, unlike AP. As an example, AP covered the difference between const char * and char * const. However, we didn't really talk about the differences between auto const, const auto, auto&, auto&&, and combinations of these that in depth. C++ of course has many more layers of abstraction than C, so I understand it isn't feasible to cover all of these small concrete details, but in my opinion those small details are part of what made AP special, and these felt just a little missing in C++. I would've also liked the labs to incorporate some of the material from the latter half of the course - by my count, there are ~5 lectures worth of material that wasn't tested on the labs. I learn a lot by doing the labs (there's a limit to how much of the material you can truly digest just from lectures), so that absence was felt. Finally, I think lecture notes à la AP would be really helpful - there's so many small nuances and so much material to keep track of, that while the sample code helps, it isn't a true replacement.

• Jae is a great communicator and is very knowledgable! A rare combination.

• Overall, the course is well-structured and Jae is good at getting straight to business in lecture. For me personally, the course is too quick, but this IS an upper-level course and it's also a summer term... so it's no fault of Jae. I took this course immediately after taking AP, and in AP I always felt I had ample time to get comfortable with the material. If I'm being honest, I have a general understanding of how C++ works now but it's all hazy (and I got an A in AP, just for reference) and I don't think I'm spending too little time on reviewing and the homework. One of the AP TAs had mentioned in a listserv post that this course is back heavy, and now I understand what he means. I personally think that this course would benefit from 5 labs instead of 4 (for the sake of having more time to practice the learned concepts) with the last two labs being the size of lab 3. For me, lab 3 felt comfortable and then lab 4 felt like I was thrown into the ocean so suddenly. My main criticism has to do with the lab instructions and response rate on the listserv. I get that the labs are written (from my understanding) by the smart TAs, and I commend them for that, but I don't think these labs are completely clear with what we should be doing. The sequence of instructions tends to be all over the place and then we receive little clarification from TAs (lack of responses on the listserv). Again, this is an upper-level course and we should be learning a lot on our own, so maybe it's just my lack of experience talking. I'm also comparing this course to AP, which has had years and years of work done to get it where it is now. If I'm not comparing it to AP, the structure of this class is great. By the way, a recitation once or twice the entire summer term wouldn't hurt. Lab 4 would be significantly less confusing if we just had a TA clarify anything that's not super clear in the lab instructions and perhaps offer some guidance in how to approach (for example, Kent's advice for lab 4 2b was excellent). TAs are just as good as in AP. I had TAs in other CS classes that don't really know what's going on so it's always refreshing to receive help from these smart folks.

• While I don't think this course is any match for COMS 3157, it was well-taught, and I feel like I have a good foundational knowledge of C++ after taking this class. (no prior experience) For a summer class, the workload was more than I would have imagined. As always with Jae, assignments are creative, clever, and give students real experience with C++ features. Definitely worth taking!

• Having most of the grading depend on the labs is a great way of truly assessing the students, considering the complexity of the labs.

• Maybe add an extra late to have 5 late days instead of 4. Professor Jae is an amazing teacher. If I were to improve one thing, I would feel it is the process of asking questions. I don't want to interrupt the professor when he is speaking, but I will have a question, raise my hand to indicate I have a question, Jae would see my raised hand, keep talking, I would lower my hand to wait for him to finish his thought, he would finish his thought, and sometimes just keep going. This only happens sometimes, and I usually wait until after class to bring up the questions I had, but this is one thing that I noticed. It's not a big deal, but just thought to mention it. Other than that, the class was excellent.

---

6 - Instructor: Organization and Preparation

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.47
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	2	11.76%		
Very Good	(4)	5	29.41%		
Excellent	(5)	10	58.82%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
17/34 (50.00%)	4.47	0.72	5.00

---

7 - Instructor: Classroom Delivery

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.47
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	2	11.76%		
Very Good	(4)	5	29.41%		
Excellent	(5)	10	58.82%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
17/34 (50.00%)	4.47	0.72	5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering
Summer A 2022 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course:	COMSW4995_002_2022_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2022_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
TA:	Hans Montero,Xijiao Li,Maylis Whetsel,Fangxin Lin
Response Rate:	17/34 (50.00 %)

8 - Instructor: Approachability

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.24
Fair	(2)	1	5.88%		
Good	(3)	3	17.65%		
Very Good	(4)	4	23.53%		
Excellent	(5)	9	52.94%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
17/34 (50.00%)	4.24	0.97	5.00

9 - Instructor: Overall Quality

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.47
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	2	11.76%		
Very Good	(4)	5	29.41%		
Excellent	(5)	10	58.82%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
17/34 (50.00%)	4.47	0.72	5.00

10 - Would you nominate this professor for the SEAS Distinguished Faculty Award?

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Yes	(1)	10	66.67%		1.33
No	(2)	5	33.33%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
15/34 (44.12%)	1.33	0.49	1.00

11 - If so, please explain why

Jae Lee

Response Rate	5/34 (14.71%)

• Jae is the best professor I have ever had in Columbia. He is a role model. He is a professional. Always prepare, always know what he is doing, always interesting. Both in AP and in C2CPP I have learned the most that I ever learned in a single class.

• Jae is a great communicator and is very knowledgable! A rare combination. Also, Jae is very organized and clearly puts in a lot of effort and thought into his instruction.

• Most of my teachers at Columbia have been lacking in charm and ability to convey ideas, and Jae excels at both of these. I wish I could take OS with Jae because I think his level of organization is what has been carrying me through this difficult material.

• Excellent professor. Very knowledgeable on topics discussed. Has a great and comprehensive answer to every question asked. Also entertaining.

• Jae is a very nice instructor who is passionate in teaching. It is always good to take his class.

Columbia University: School of Engineering
Summer A 2022 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course:	COMSW4995_002_2022_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2022_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
TA:	Hans Montero,Xijiao Li,Maylis Whetsel,Fangxin Lin
Response Rate:	17/34 (50.00 %)

12 - Overall Quality

Fangxin Lin

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.50
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	1	12.50%		
Very Good	(4)	2	25.00%		
Excellent	(5)	5	62.50%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
8/34 (23.53%)	4.50	0.76	5.00

12 - Overall Quality

Hans Montero

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.14
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%		
Good	(3)	3	21.43%		
Very Good	(4)	3	21.43%		
Excellent	(5)	7	50.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
14/34 (41.18%)	4.14	1.03	4.50

12 - Overall Quality

Maylis Whetsel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.67
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	1	11.11%		
Very Good	(4)	1	11.11%		
Excellent	(5)	7	77.78%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
9/34 (26.47%)	4.67	0.71	5.00

12 - Overall Quality

Xijiao Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.56
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	1	11.11%		
Very Good	(4)	2	22.22%		
Excellent	(5)	6	66.67%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
9/34 (26.47%)	4.56	0.73	5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering
Summer A 2022 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course:	COMSW4995_002_2022_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2022_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
TA:	Hans Montero,Xijiao Li,Maylis Whetsel,Fangxin Lin
Response Rate:	17/34 (50.00 %)

12 - Overall Quality

Fangxin Lin, Hans Montero, Maylis Whetsel, Xijiao Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.43
Fair	(2)	1	2.50%		
Good	(3)	6	15.00%		
Very Good	(4)	8	20.00%		
Excellent	(5)	25	62.50%		

Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median
		4.43	0.84	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability

Fangxin Lin

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.63
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	1	12.50%		
Very Good	(4)	1	12.50%		
Excellent	(5)	6	75.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
8/34 (23.53%)	4.63	0.74	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability

Hans Montero

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.43
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%		
Good	(3)	2	14.29%		
Very Good	(4)	1	7.14%		
Excellent	(5)	10	71.43%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
14/34 (41.18%)	4.43	1.02	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability

Maylis Whetsel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.67
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	1	11.11%		
Very Good	(4)	1	11.11%		
Excellent	(5)	7	77.78%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
9/34 (26.47%)	4.67	0.71	5.00

Course:	COMSW4995_002_2022_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2022_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
TA:	Hans Montero,Xijiao Li,Maylis Whetsel,Fangxin Lin
Response Rate:	17/34 (50.00 %)

13 - Knowledgeability

Xijiao Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		4.56
Good	(3)	1	11.11%		
Very Good	(4)	2	22.22%		
Excellent	(5)	6	66.67%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
9/34 (26.47%)	4.56	0.73	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability

Fangxin Lin, Hans Montero, Maylis Whetsel, Xijiao Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
Fair	(2)	1	2.50%		4.55
Good	(3)	5	12.50%		
Very Good	(4)	5	12.50%		
Excellent	(5)	29	72.50%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
	4.55	0.81	5.00

14 - Approachability

Fangxin Lin

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		4.50
Good	(3)	1	12.50%		
Very Good	(4)	2	25.00%		
Excellent	(5)	5	62.50%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
8/34 (23.53%)	4.50	0.76	5.00

14 - Approachability

Hans Montero

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
Fair	(2)	2	14.29%		4.14
Good	(3)	2	14.29%		
Very Good	(4)	2	14.29%		
Excellent	(5)	8	57.14%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
14/34 (41.18%)	4.14	1.17	5.00

Course:	COMSW4995_002_2022_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2022_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
TA:	Hans Montero,Xijiao Li,Maylis Whetsel,Fangxin Lin
Response Rate:	17/34 (50.00 %)

14 - Approachability
Maylis Whetsel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.67
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	1	11.11%		
Very Good	(4)	1	11.11%		
Excellent	(5)	7	77.78%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
9/34 (26.47%)	4.67	0.71	5.00

14 - Approachability
Xijiao Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.56
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	1	11.11%		
Very Good	(4)	2	22.22%		
Excellent	(5)	6	66.67%		

| Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
9/34 (26.47%)	4.56	0.73	5.00

14 - Approachability
Fangxin Lin, Hans Montero, Maylis Whetsel, Xijiao Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.43
Fair	(2)	2	5.00%		
Good	(3)	5	12.50%		
Very Good	(4)	7	17.50%		
Excellent	(5)	26	65.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
	4.43	0.90	5.00

15 - Availability
Fangxin Lin

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.63
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	1	12.50%		
Very Good	(4)	1	12.50%		
Excellent	(5)	6	75.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
8/34 (23.53%)	4.63	0.74	5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering
Summer A 2022 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course:	COMSW4995_002_2022_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2022_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
TA:	Hans Montero,Xijiao Li,Maylis Whetsel,Fangxin Lin
Response Rate:	17/34 (50.00 %)

15 - Availability
Hans Montero

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.29
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%		
Good	(3)	3	21.43%		
Very Good	(4)	1	7.14%		
Excellent	(5)	9	64.29%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
14/34 (41.18%)	4.29	1.07	5.00

15 - Availability
Maylis Whetsel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.67
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	1	11.11%		
Very Good	(4)	1	11.11%		
Excellent	(5)	7	77.78%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
9/34 (26.47%)	4.67	0.71	5.00

15 - Availability
Xijiao Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.33
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	2	22.22%		
Very Good	(4)	2	22.22%		
Excellent	(5)	5	55.56%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
9/34 (26.47%)	4.33	0.87	5.00

15 - Availability
Fangxin Lin, Hans Montero, Maylis Whetsel, Xijiao Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.45
Fair	(2)	1	2.50%		
Good	(3)	7	17.50%		
Very Good	(4)	5	12.50%		
Excellent	(5)	27	67.50%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
	4.45	0.88	5.00

Course:	COMSW4995_002_2022_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2022_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
TA:	Hans Montero,Xijiao Li,Maylis Whetsel,Fangxin Lin
Response Rate:	17/34 (50.00 %)

16 - Communication

Fangxin Lin

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.38
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	1	12.50%		
Very Good	(4)	3	37.50%		
Excellent	(5)	4	50.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
8/34 (23.53%)	4.38	0.74	4.50

16 - Communication

Hans Montero

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.21
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%		
Good	(3)	3	21.43%		
Very Good	(4)	2	14.29%		
Excellent	(5)	8	57.14%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
14/34 (41.18%)	4.21	1.05	5.00

16 - Communication

Maylis Whetsel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.56
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	1	11.11%		
Very Good	(4)	2	22.22%		
Excellent	(5)	6	66.67%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
9/34 (26.47%)	4.56	0.73	5.00

16 - Communication

Xijiao Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.44
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	1	11.11%		
Very Good	(4)	3	33.33%		
Excellent	(5)	5	55.56%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
9/34 (26.47%)	4.44	0.73	5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering
Summer A 2022 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course:	COMSW4995_002_2022_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2022_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
TA:	Hans Montero,Xijiao Li,Maylis Whetsel,Fangxin Lin
Response Rate:	17/34 (50.00 %)

16 - Communication
Fangxin Lin, Hans Montero, Maylis Whetsel, Xijiao Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
Fair	(2)	1	2.50%		4.38
Good	(3)	6	15.00%		
Very Good	(4)	10	25.00%		
Excellent	(5)	23	57.50%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
	4.38	0.84	5.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?
Fangxin Lin

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Yes	(1)	7	87.50%		
No	(2)	0	0.00%		1.25
N/A	(3)	1	12.50%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
8/34 (23.53%)	1.25	0.71	1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?
Hans Montero

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Yes	(1)	12	85.71%		
No	(2)	0	0.00%		1.29
N/A	(3)	2	14.29%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
14/34 (41.18%)	1.29	0.73	1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?
Maylis Whetsel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Yes	(1)	8	88.89%		
No	(2)	0	0.00%		1.22
N/A	(3)	1	11.11%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
9/34 (26.47%)	1.22	0.67	1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?
Xijiao Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Yes	(1)	8	88.89%		
No	(2)	0	0.00%		1.22
N/A	(3)	1	11.11%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
9/34 (26.47%)	1.22	0.67	1.00

|	
Course:	COMSW4995_002_2022_2-TOPICSINCOMPUTERSCIENCE: COMSW4995_002_2022_2 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
TA:	Hans Montero,Xijiao Li,Maylis Whetsel,Fangxin Lin
Response Rate:	17/34 (50.00 %)

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Fangxin Lin, Hans Montero, Maylis Whetsel, Xijiao Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Yes	(1)	35	87.50%								
No	(2)	0	0.00%					1.25			
N/A	(3)	5	12.50%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
	1.25	0.67	1.00

18 - Comments

Fangxin Lin

Response Rate	2/34 (5.88%)

- Fangxin is great! Kind, honest, knowledgeable and approachable during OH. Listened patiently to some of my lab issues, and pointed me to how to best fix them in a very informative way.
- very nice a though guy, even if he doesnt have the answer during OH, he will follow up with it later by email.

18 - Comments

Hans Montero

Response Rate	2/34 (5.88%)

- Incredibly knowledgable and smart TA. Not the most approachable when I'm trying to clarify something with him and clearly struggling. Helped me a lot though.
- Hans is a fantastic TA! He takes time to answer listserv questions thoughtfully and comprehensively, and is on top of it. Very knowledgeable and very epic.

18 - Comments

Maylis Whetsel

Response Rate	2/34 (5.88%)

- Just as great as in AP. Super down-to-earth, friendly, and knows what she's doing.
- Maÿlis is quite possibly my favorite person ever. She is very knowledgeable, super approachable, and helpful during OH without being impatient or giving away too much.

18 - Comments

Xijiao Li

Response Rate	3/34 (8.82%)

- Xijiao is awesome!!
- My favorite TA. Quick at understanding what's going on in my mangled code and able to offer suggestions on the spot. (Would've appreciated more notice when changing schedules for OH though!)
- I love Xijiao!! She's kind, approachable, and knows the material.

18 - Comments

Fangxin Lin, Hans Montero, Maylis Whetsel, Xijiao Li

Response Rate	

- Xijiao is awesome!!
- Incredibly knowledgable and smart TA. Not the most approachable when I'm trying to clarify something with him and clearly struggling. Helped me a lot though.
- My favorite TA. Quick at understanding what's going on in my mangled code and able to offer suggestions on the spot. (Would've appreciated more notice when changing schedules for OH though!)
- Just as great as in AP. Super down-to-earth, friendly, and knows what she's doing.
- Hans is a fantastic TA! He takes time to answer listserv questions thoughtfully and comprehensively, and is on top of it. Very knowledgeable and very epic.
- I love Xijiao!! She's kind, approachable, and knows the material.
- Maÿlis is quite possibly my favorite person ever. She is very knowledgeable, super approachable, and helpful during OH without being impatient or giving away too much.
- Fangxin is great! Kind, honest, knowledgeable and approachable during OH. Listened patiently to some of my lab issues, and pointed me to how to best fix them in a very informative way.
- very nice a though guy, even if he doesnt have the answer during OH, he will follow up with it later by email.