

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW4995_008_2025_1 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee *

TA: Denzel Farmer,Robert Fornos,Annie Wang,Kyra Ramesh Krishna

Response Rate: 23/45 (51.11 %)

1 - Course: Amount Learned

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	2	8.70%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	4.35%					
Excellent	(5)	20	86.96%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
23/45 (51.11%)				4.70		0.88		5.00

2 - Course: Appropriateness of Workload

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	1	4.35%					
Fair	(2)	1	4.35%					
Good	(3)	5	21.74%					
Very Good	(4)	5	21.74%					
Excellent	(5)	11	47.83%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
23/45 (51.11%)				4.04		1.15		4.00

3 - Course: Fairness of Grading Process

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	3	13.04%					
Good	(3)	3	13.04%					
Very Good	(4)	6	26.09%					
Excellent	(5)	11	47.83%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
23/45 (51.11%)				4.09		1.08		4.00

4 - Course: Overall Quality

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	1	4.35%					
Good	(3)	1	4.35%					
Very Good	(4)	6	26.09%					
Excellent	(5)	15	65.22%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
23/45 (51.11%)				4.52		0.79		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW4995_008_2025_1 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor: Jae Lee *
TA: Denzel Farmer,Robert Fornos,Annie Wang,Kyra Ramesh Krishna
Response Rate: 23/45 (51.11 %)



5 - Enter any additional comments here

Response Rate 10/45 (22.22%)

- Jae really knows how to teach a class
- The grading on assignments is fairly arbitrary. I would recommend either giving the rubric or some test cases/autograder out so we don't have to spend so much time testing inputs on the reference solution (which I found to just be tedious instead of instructive).
- It seemed like a lot of the homework assignments had very specific grading rubrics, but some points were never mentioned in the prompt. It would be good to have more clarity in what is expected. In other words, the prompts seemed to describe _behavior_ of systems, but the rubrics expected specific implementations / formatting / etc.
- My favorite class at Columbia!
- Jae is solid lecturer. When you have a professor that actually cares about their teaching, puts in effort to be organized, and cares about how students are doing, the course naturally becomes a good one regardless of the topic. The lecture notes for this course were so good that I barely had to go to office hours at all. The content was great. I would say the class really shines in the second half, where you learn how to build a debugger, a linker, and a container manager which are all great projects. People who have taken OS before this course might find the first half of the course to be a bit repetitive at times (but still very much worth your time). Although they were fair, I'm not sure if having exams was the most appropriate for a class like this. Although they were designed to minimize memorizing code syntax and usages, this class at its core was a C programming class and applying the libraries you learned towards different concepts in systems. You will be spending most of your time reading Linux man pages and understanding how to utilize certain functions to complete the homework. Therefore, given the programming nature of the class, I felt like having a final project would be more appropriate than a classic midterm and final.
- A very thorough and thought out course. I appreciate the range of topics but also the depth of certain topics. I feel like grading may be a bit too harsh sometimes, but that is ok. Workload is a lot but manageable in groups. Overall great course, would recommend.
- I definitely recommend this class. Before taking it, I used a lot of tools like Git, threads, processes, memory allocation, linking, and process management without really understanding how they worked. This class helped everything finally make sense. It also helped me get much better at C and taught me the small details that really matter. Most importantly, it gave me the confidence to believe I can build anything or learn new topics quickly. After this class, I have a much clearer idea of what I want to focus on in computer science. Jae also makes the class way more interesting. The labs are designed to help you fully understand the concepts from lecture and really solidify your knowledge. He does not leave you stuck either. He gives you all the resources and explanations you need to succeed. It is definitely a hard class, but Jae makes it much more manageable.
- Very interesting class!
- This is a great course! Definitely learned a lot on systems programming. The homeworks are tough but rewarding. This is a relatively new class, but designed very well. Kind of messed up the midterm, which is a very significant part of my grade, and it's a bit stressful in such a competitive class :(Two problems are cancelled, and I wish they could be more clearly worded or designed, which is necessary for a tricky exam like this
- Course was difficult and some assignments were very hard to get right. As far as grading, the test cases aren't ultimately revealed, or like seeing the actual wrong output on a test case, but I understand that can be logistically difficult.



6 - Instructor: Organization and Preparation

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.74			
Fair	(2)	1	4.35%						
Good	(3)	1	4.35%						
Very Good	(4)	1	4.35%						
Excellent	(5)	20	86.96%						
				0	25	50		100	
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
23/45 (51.11%)				4.74		0.75		5.00	

7 - Instructor: Classroom Delivery

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.65			
Fair	(2)	1	4.35%						
Good	(3)	1	4.35%						
Very Good	(4)	3	13.04%						
Excellent	(5)	18	78.26%						
				0	25	50		100	
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
23/45 (51.11%)				4.65		0.78		5.00	

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW4995_008_2025_1 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE



Instructor: Jae Lee *

TA: Denzel Farmer,Robert Fornos,Annie Wang,Kyra Ramesh Krishna

Response Rate: 23/45 (51.11 %)



8 - Instructor: Approachability

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	1	4.35%					
Fair	(2)	1	4.35%					
Good	(3)	1	4.35%					
Very Good	(4)	4	17.39%					
Excellent	(5)	16	69.57%					
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
23/45 (51.11%)				4.43	1.08	5.00		



9 - Instructor: Overall Quality

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	2	8.70%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	21	91.30%					
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
23/45 (51.11%)				4.74	0.86	5.00		

10 - Would you nominate this professor for the SEAS Distinguished Faculty Award?

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	18	85.71%					
No	(2)	3	14.29%					
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
21/45 (46.67%)				1.14	0.36	1.00		

Columbia University: School of Engineering
Spring 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW4995_008_2025_1 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor: Jae Lee *
TA: Denzel Farmer,Robert Fornos,Annie Wang,Kyra Ramesh Krishna
Response Rate: 23/45 (51.11 %)

11 - If so, please explain why

Jae Lee

Response Rate 10/45 (22.22%)

- Such an organised class, well selected TA's, clear grading process, excellent explanations for detailed comments, entertaining lectures etc.
- Professor Lee puts in great effort designing and teaching the courses.
- He puts a lot of care into his assignments and exams. He's also very open to questions and always is willing to explore questions to further deepen any students understanding of the topic at hand. He's also willing to say "I don't know" - 10/10 instructor.
- Jae is one of the most consistent teachers. He mixes both an air of casualness and passion that makes his lectures incredibly entertaining. Additionally, the types of homework he issues are extremely fulfilling to complete and his tests are probably the most fair reflections of class material that I have ever seen.
- Jae is so good at explaining complex concepts and breaking down ideas. He is also very willing to answer questions and make sure that our understanding is 100%. With a course like this, I can also see that he enjoys these topics, and therefore it makes me want to learn about them more.
- Yes, absolutely. I would definitely nominate Jae for the SEAS Distinguished Faculty Award. I've been at Columbia for two semesters so far, and I've already taken three classes with him: Advanced Programming, Advanced Systems Programming, and C++ for C Programmers. That alone should tell you how much I value his teaching. Jae goes above and beyond to make challenging material clear and engaging. He breaks down complex concepts in a way that actually makes sense, and he builds your confidence along the way. His labs are thoughtfully designed to reinforce what you learn in class, and he always provides the resources and guidance you need to succeed. Every class I've taken with him has pushed me to grow, not just as a student but as a future engineer. This class in particular helped me figure out what I want to pursue in computer science. Jae has had a huge impact on my academic experience at Columbia, and I can't think of anyone more deserving of this award.
- Jae's preparation for this course is evident. The notes are clear, the assignments and challenging, interesting, and rewarding, and the teaching assistants are very helpful through the listserv.
- Extremely well designed class, labs, and lectures. This is my third class with Jae and I never leave a lecture confused.
- Jae is awesome as always.
- Jae is an incredibly intelligent professor, who finds a way to mix in humor with his lectures to keep us engaged. His pulse on classroom vibes are also good, occasionally recognizing that we were not following and trying to work to reteach.

12 - Overall Quality

Annie Wang

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	1	9.09%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	18.18%					
Excellent	(5)	8	72.73%					
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
11/45 (24.44%)				4.55	0.93	5.00		

12 - Overall Quality

Denzel Farmer

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	1	9.09%					
Good	(3)	1	9.09%					
Very Good	(4)	2	18.18%					
Excellent	(5)	7	63.64%					
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
11/45 (24.44%)				4.36	1.03	5.00		

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW4995_008_2025_1 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee *

TA: Denzel Farmer, Robert Fornos, Annie Wang, Kyra Ramesh Krishna

Response Rate: 23/45 (51.11 %)

12 - Overall Quality

Kyra Ramesh Krishna

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	2	13.33%					
Very Good	(4)	3	20.00%					
Excellent	(5)	10	66.67%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
15/45 (33.33%)				4.53		0.74		5.00

12 - Overall Quality

Robert Fornos

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	2	14.29%					
Very Good	(4)	1	7.14%					
Excellent	(5)	11	78.57%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
14/45 (31.11%)				4.64		0.74		5.00

12 - Overall Quality

Annie Wang, Denzel Farmer, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Robert Fornos

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	2	3.92%					
Good	(3)	5	9.80%					
Very Good	(4)	8	15.69%					
Excellent	(5)	36	70.59%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
				4.53		0.83		5.00

13 - Knowledgeability

Annie Wang

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	1	9.09%					
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%					
Excellent	(5)	9	81.82%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
11/45 (24.44%)				4.73		0.65		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW4995_008_2025_1 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee *

TA: Denzel Farmer, Robert Fornos, Annie Wang, Kyra Ramesh Krishna

Response Rate: 23/45 (51.11 %)

13 - Knowledgeability

Denzel Farmer

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%	■				
Excellent	(5)	10	90.91%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
11/45 (24.44%)				4.91		0.30		5.00

13 - Knowledgeability

Kyra Ramesh Krishna

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	2	13.33%	■				
Very Good	(4)	2	13.33%	■				
Excellent	(5)	11	73.33%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
15/45 (33.33%)				4.60		0.74		5.00

13 - Knowledgeability

Robert Fornos

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	3	21.43%	■				
Excellent	(5)	11	78.57%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
14/45 (31.11%)				4.79		0.43		5.00

13 - Knowledgeability

Annie Wang, Denzel Farmer, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Robert Fornos

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	3	5.88%	■				
Very Good	(4)	7	13.73%	■				
Excellent	(5)	41	80.39%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
				4.75		0.56		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW4995_008_2025_1 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE


Instructor: Jae Lee *

TA: Denzel Farmer, Robert Fornos, Annie Wang, Kyra Ramesh Krishna

Response Rate: 23/45 (51.11 %)


14 - Approachability

Annie Wang

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.64		
Fair	(2)	1	9.09%	■				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%	■				
Excellent	(5)	9	81.82%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
11/45 (24.44%)				4.64		0.92		5.00


14 - Approachability

Denzel Farmer

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.18		
Fair	(2)	2	18.18%	■				
Good	(3)	1	9.09%	■				
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%	■				
Excellent	(5)	7	63.64%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
11/45 (24.44%)				4.18		1.25		5.00


14 - Approachability

Kyra Ramesh Krishna

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.60		
Fair	(2)	1	6.67%	■				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	3	20.00%	■				
Excellent	(5)	11	73.33%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
15/45 (33.33%)				4.60		0.83		5.00

14 - Approachability

Robert Fornos

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.43		
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%	■				
Good	(3)	1	7.14%	■				
Very Good	(4)	3	21.43%	■				
Excellent	(5)	9	64.29%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
14/45 (31.11%)				4.43		0.94		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW4995_008_2025_1 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee *

TA: Denzel Farmer, Robert Fornos, Annie Wang, Kyra Ramesh Krishna

Response Rate: 23/45 (51.11 %)

14 - Approachability

Annie Wang, Denzel Farmer, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Robert Fornos

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	5	9.80%					
Good	(3)	2	3.92%					
Very Good	(4)	8	15.69%					
Excellent	(5)	36	70.59%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
				4.47		0.97		5.00

15 - Availability

Annie Wang

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	1	9.09%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	18.18%					
Excellent	(5)	8	72.73%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
11/45 (24.44%)				4.55		0.93		5.00

15 - Availability

Denzel Farmer

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	2	18.18%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	18.18%					
Excellent	(5)	7	63.64%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
11/45 (24.44%)				4.27		1.19		5.00

15 - Availability

Kyra Ramesh Krishna

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	1	6.67%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	5	33.33%					
Excellent	(5)	9	60.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
15/45 (33.33%)				4.47		0.83		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW4995_008_2025_1 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor: Jae Lee *
TA: Denzel Farmer, Robert Fornos, Annie Wang, Kyra Ramesh Krishna
Response Rate: 23/45 (51.11 %)

15 - Availability

Robert Fornos

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%					
Good	(3)	2	14.29%					
Very Good	(4)	2	14.29%					
Excellent	(5)	9	64.29%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
14/45 (31.11%)				4.36		1.01		5.00

15 - Availability

Annie Wang, Denzel Farmer, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Robert Fornos

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	5	9.80%					
Good	(3)	2	3.92%					
Very Good	(4)	11	21.57%					
Excellent	(5)	33	64.71%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
				4.41		0.96		5.00

16 - Communication

Annie Wang

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	1	9.09%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	18.18%					
Excellent	(5)	8	72.73%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
11/45 (24.44%)				4.55		0.93		5.00

16 - Communication

Denzel Farmer

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	1	9.09%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%					
Excellent	(5)	9	81.82%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
11/45 (24.44%)				4.64		0.92		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW4995_008_2025_1 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor: Jae Lee *
TA: Denzel Farmer, Robert Fornos, Annie Wang, Kyra Ramesh Krishna
Response Rate: 23/45 (51.11 %)

16 - Communication

Kyra Ramesh Krishna

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	1	6.67%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	3	20.00%					
Excellent	(5)	11	73.33%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
15/45 (33.33%)				4.60		0.83		5.00

16 - Communication

Robert Fornos

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%					
Good	(3)	1	7.14%					
Very Good	(4)	2	14.29%					
Excellent	(5)	10	71.43%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
14/45 (31.11%)				4.50		0.94		5.00

16 - Communication

Annie Wang, Denzel Farmer, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Robert Fornos

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	4	7.84%					
Good	(3)	1	1.96%					
Very Good	(4)	8	15.69%					
Excellent	(5)	38	74.51%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
				4.57		0.88		5.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Annie Wang

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	11	100.00%					
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
11/45 (24.44%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW4995_008_2025_1 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE



Instructor: Jae Lee *

TA: Denzel Farmer, Robert Fornos, Annie Wang, Kyra Ramesh Krishna

Response Rate: 23/45 (51.11 %)



17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Denzel Farmer

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	11	100.00%					
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
11/45 (24.44%)				1.00	0.00	1.00		



17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Kyra Ramesh Krishna

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	15	100.00%					
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
15/45 (33.33%)				1.00	0.00	1.00		



17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Robert Fornos

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	13	92.86%					
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	1	7.14%					
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
14/45 (31.11%)				1.14	0.53	1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Annie Wang, Denzel Farmer, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Robert Fornos

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	50	98.04%					
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	1	1.96%					
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
				1.04	0.28	1.00		

18 - Comments

Annie Wang

Response Rate 2/45 (4.44%)

- Always willing to help and is knowledgeable on the HWs.
- So pretty and smart! I love Annie

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW4995_008_2025_1 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor: Jae Lee *
TA: Denzel Farmer, Robert Fornos, Annie Wang, Kyra Ramesh Krishna
Response Rate: 23/45 (51.11 %)

18 - Comments

Denzel Farmer

Response Rate 3/45 (6.67%)

- He is very knowledgeable about these topics, which is great.
- the goat
- Denzel was helpful at office hours and answered good questions I had!

18 - Comments

Kyra Ramesh Krishna

Response Rate 2/45 (4.44%)

- Super helpful and makes sure I understand the concepts!
- Helpful in OH (Office Hours). Has strong understanding of the Linux Operating System (OS). Responsive and fast grader + repo creator.

18 - Comments

Robert Fornos

Response Rate 1/45 (2.22%)

- Awesome TA!

18 - Comments

Annie Wang, Denzel Farmer, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Robert Fornos

Response Rate

- He is very knowledgeable about these topics, which is great.
- Always willing to help and is knowledgeable on the HWs.
- Super helpful and makes sure I understand the concepts!
- the goat
- Helpful in OH (Office Hours). Has strong understanding of the Linux Operating System (OS). Responsive and fast grader + repo creator.
- Awesome TA!
- So pretty and smart! I love Annie
- Denzel was helpful at office hours and answered good questions I had!