Course: COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - ADVANCED SYSTEMS PROGRAMMING-COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee, Hans Montero *

TA: Brennan McManus, Shreya Somayajula, Annie Wang

1 - Course: Amount Learned										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percer	t Respoi	nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.91			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%							
Excellent	(5)	10	90.91%							
				0 25	50	100	Question			
Resp	onse Rate				Mean			STD	M	edian
11/22	(50.00%)				4.91			0.30	ł	5.00

2 - Course: Appropriateness of Workloa	d										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	cent	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.27			
Fair	(2)	2	18.18%								
Good	(3)	1	9.09%								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	8	72.73%								
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response	Rate					Mean			STD	M	ədian
11/22 (50.	0%)					4.27			1.27	1	5.00

3 - Course: Fairness of Grading Process											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		N	leans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.45			
Fair	(2)	1	9.09%								
Good	(3)	1	9.09%								
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%								
Excellent	(5)	8	72.73%								
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response R	ate					Mean			STD	M	dian
11/22 (50.00	%)					4.45			1.04	Ę	i.00

4 - Course: Overall Quality												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respo	nses			Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%						4.82			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	2	18.18%		I							
Excellent	(5)	9	81.82%									
				0	25	50	100	C	Question			
Response Ra	te					Mean				STD	M	ədian
11/22 (50.00%	6)					4.82				0.40	Į	5.00

Course: COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - ADVANCED SYSTEMS PROGRAMMING-COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee, Hans Montero *

TA: Brennan McManus, Shreya Somayajula, Annie Wang

Response Rate: 11/22 (50.00 %)

5 - Enter any additional comments here

Response Rate 5/22 (22.73%)

• Some homeworks felt excessive -- I think I would have benefitted from having less "parts" (i.e. pt1 - pt5) and putting more time towards the essential parts. One example would be HW5 where I felt the looming of parts 4 and 5 maybe took away from 1-3, and HW1 where I felt, actually, part 3 and 4 where more essential than parts 1 and 2.

• I'm definitely biased, but I really loved ASP! It is one of the most challenging classes I've taken at this school, but I really learned so much. If you are looking into taking OS (COMS 4118) and have some time before you wanna take that class, you definitely should take ASP before it.

• workload is heavier than AP but manageable

• This was a fun systems class that wasn't as stressful and intensive as OS, but still easy to learn a lot. I really enjoyed the assignments for ASP, which felt very well designed, organized, and connected to lecture concepts!

• I really like the materials in this course! I love how Hans and Jae try to draw connections to OS components. I first took an OS course and then came back for ASP, and I still learned a lot. I think the coolest homework assignments are HW 2, 3, and 4. They are well-designed and taught me important system design principles, especially the last part of Cowchat. I feel there's potential for improvement by more heavily introducing performance measurement in the lectures and homework. For example: Which IPC mechanisms are more lightweight or heavy? What's the most costly synchronization method? How does container performance compare to that of a VM? I think setting up the overall idea of back-of-the-envelope calculations is also very important. Thank you!

6 - Instructor: Organization and Preparation

Hans Montero											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent R	espon	ses		Mea	ins	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					5.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	11	100.00%								
				0	25	50	100	Instructor			
Response Ra	te				N	lean			STD	M	edian
11/22 (50.00%)				Ę	5.00			0.00		5.00

7 - Instructor: Classroom Delivery

Hans Montero												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respoi	nses			Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.91	1			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%									
Excellent	(5)	10	90.91%									
			•	0	25	50	100	Instruct	or			
Response R	ate					Mean				STD	M	edian
11/22 (50.00	%)					4.91				0.30		5.00

8 - Instructor: Approachability	8 - Instructor: Approachability													
Hans Montero														
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Меа	ins							
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.91									
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%]										
Good	(3)	0	0.00%]										
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%]										
Excellent	(5)	10	90.91%											
				0 25 50 100	Instructo	r								
Response R	ate			Mean		STD	Median							
11/22 (50.00	%)			4.91		0.30	5.00							

Course: COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - ADVANCED SYSTEMS PROGRAMMING-COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee, Hans Montero *

TA: Brennan McManus, Shreya Somayajula, Annie Wang

Response Rate: 11/22 (50.00 %)

9 - Instructor: Overall Quality												
Hans Montero												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent F	Respo	nses			Mea	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				5.00				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	11	100.00%									
	L. L			0	25	50	100	Instructor				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		Me	dian
	11/22 (50.00%)					5.00			0.00		5	.00

10 - Would you nominate this professor for the SEAS Distinguished Faculty Award? Hans Montero **Response Option** Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means Yes (1) 2 28.57% 1.71 No 5 71.43% (2) 25 50 100 0 Instructor Median Response Rate STD Mean 7/22 (31.82%) 1.71 0.49 2.00

11 - If so, please explain why	
Hans Montero	
Response Rate	1/22 (4.55%)
very approachable and engaging	

12 - Overall Quality									
Annie Wang									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respor	ises		Ме	ans
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			5.00		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%]					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%]					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%]					
Excellent	(5)	3	100.00%						
				0 25	50	100	TA		
Re	sponse Rate				Mean			STD	Median
3	/22 (13.64%)				5.00			0.00	5.00

12 - Overall Quality											
Brennan McManus											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					5.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	8	100.00%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Ra	ite					Mean			STD	M	edian
8/22 (36.36%	b)					5.00			0.00		5.00

Course: COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - ADVANCED SYSTEMS PROGRAMMING-COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee * ,Hans Montero

TA: Brennan McManus, Shreya Somayajula, Annie Wang

Response Rate: 11/22 (50.00 %)

5 - Enter any additional comments here

Response Rate 5/22 (22.73%)

• Some homeworks felt excessive -- I think I would have benefitted from having less "parts" (i.e. pt1 - pt5) and putting more time towards the essential parts. One example would be HW5 where I felt the looming of parts 4 and 5 maybe took away from 1-3, and HW1 where I felt, actually, part 3 and 4 where more essential than parts 1 and 2.

• I'm definitely biased, but I really loved ASP! It is one of the most challenging classes I've taken at this school, but I really learned so much. If you are looking into taking OS (COMS 4118) and have some time before you wanna take that class, you definitely should take ASP before it.

• workload is heavier than AP but manageable

• This was a fun systems class that wasn't as stressful and intensive as OS, but still easy to learn a lot. I really enjoyed the assignments for ASP, which felt very well designed, organized, and connected to lecture concepts!

• I really like the materials in this course! I love how Hans and Jae try to draw connections to OS components. I first took an OS course and then came back for ASP, and I still learned a lot. I think the coolest homework assignments are HW 2, 3, and 4. They are well-designed and taught me important system design principles, especially the last part of Cowchat. I feel there's potential for improvement by more heavily introducing performance measurement in the lectures and homework. For example: Which IPC mechanisms are more lightweight or heavy? What's the most costly synchronization method? How does container performance compare to that of a VM? I think setting up the overall idea of back-of-the-envelope calculations is also very important. Thank you!

6 - Instructor: Organization and Preparation

Jae Lee											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent Re	espons	es		Mea	ins	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					5.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	10	100.00%								
				0	25	50	100	Instructor			
Response Ra	te				Me	ean			STD	Me	edian
10/22 (45.45%	%)				5.	.00			0.00	5	5.00

7 - Instructor: Classroom Delivery

Jae Lee										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent I	Respons	es		Mea	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			5.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%]						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%]						
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%]						
Excellent	(5)	10	100.00%							
				0 25	50	100	Instructor			
Response Ra	te				Mean			STD	Me	dian
10/22 (45.45%	6)				5.00			0.00	5	.00

8 - Instructor: Approachability							
Jae Lee							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Меа	ins
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.80		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	1	10.00%				
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1			
Excellent	(5)	9	90.00%				
				0 25 50 100	Instructor		
Response Ra	ite			Mean		STD	Median
10/22 (45.459	6)			4.80		0.63	5.00

Course: COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - ADVANCED SYSTEMS PROGRAMMING-COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee * ,Hans Montero

TA: Brennan McManus, Shreya Somayajula, Annie Wang

9 - Instructor: Overall Quality												
Jae Lee												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respo	nses			Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				5.00				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	10	100.00%									
		•		0	25	50	100	Instructor				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		Me	edian
	10/22 (45.45%)					5.00			0.00		5	5.00

sor for the SEA	S Distinguish	ed Faculty A	Award	?						
Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent	Respo	nses		Меа	ins	
(1)	2	33.33%					1.67			
(2)	4	66.67%								
			0	25	50	100	Instructor			
onse Rate					Mean			STD	Me	edian
(27.27%)					1.67			0.52	2	2.00
	Weight (1)	Weight Frequency (1) 2 (2) 4 onse Rate	Weight Frequency Percent (1) 2 33.33% (2) 4 66.67%	Weight Frequency Percent Per (1) 2 33.33%	(1) 2 33.33% (2) 4 66.67% 0 25 onse Rate 0	Weight Frequency Percent Percent Response (1) 2 33.33% 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses (1) 2 33.33%	Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses (1) 2 33.33% 1.67 (2) 4 66.67% 1.67	Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Mea (1) 2 33.33% 1.67 1.67 (2) 4 66.67% 1.67 1.67 0 25 50 100 Instructor Mea	Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means (1) 2 33.33% 1.67 1.67 (2) 4 66.67% 1.67 1.67 vorse Rate 0 25 50 100 Instructor

11 - If so, please explain why	
Jae Lee	
Response Rate	1/22 (4.55%)
Jae is the best! His attention to details is an	nazing.

12 - Overall Quality													
Annie Wang													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent F	Respoi	nses				Mear	ıs	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					5.0	C				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1									
Excellent	(5)	3	100.00%										
				0	25	50	100	TA	۱.				
	Response Rate				I	Mean				STD		M	edian
	3/22 (13.64%)					5.00				0.00			5.00

12 - Overall Quality											
Brennan McManus											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					5.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	8	100.00%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Ra	ite					Mean			STD	M	edian
8/22 (36.36%	b)					5.00			0.00	4	5.00

Course: COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - ADVANCED SYSTEMS PROGRAMMING-COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee, Hans Montero *

TA: Brennan McManus, Shreya Somayajula, Annie Wang

12 - Overall Quality											
Shreya Somayajula											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				 5.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	4	100.00%								
	•			0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	N	ledian
	4/22 (18.18%)					5.00			0.00		5.00

12 - Overall Quality												
Annie Wang, Brennan McManu	s, Shreya Somayajula	ı										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	ent R	espon	ses			Меа	ins	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					5.00				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	15	100.00%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate				N	lean			ST	D	M	edian
					Ę	5.00			0.0	0	4	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability									
Annie Wang									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Respons	ses		Меа	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			5.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%						
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%]					
Excellent	(5)	3	100.00%						
			•	0 25 50	100	TA			
Respons	e Rate			Mean			STD	Me	dian
3/22 (13	64%)			5.00			0.00	5	i.00

13 - Knowledgeability										
Brennan McManus										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respons	es		Меа	ins	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			5.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%]						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1						
Excellent	(5)	8	100.00%							
				0 25	50	100	TA			
R	esponse Rate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
	8/22 (36.36%)				5.00			0.00	5	i.00

Course: COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - ADVANCED SYSTEMS PROGRAMMING-COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee, Hans Montero *

TA: Brennan McManus, Shreya Somayajula, Annie Wang

13 - Knowledgeability											
Shreya Somayajula											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Me	eans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				5.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	4	100.00%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
	4/22 (18.18%)					5.00			0.00		5.00

13 - Knowledgeability												
Annie Wang, Brennan McManus, Shre	ya Somayajula	a										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent F	Respon	ses			Mea	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				5.00				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	15	100.00%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
Respon	se Rate					Mean		STD			Me	ədian
						5.00			0.00		Ę	5.00

14 - Approachability												
Annie Wang												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Ре	rcent	Respo	nses			Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				5.00				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	3	100.00%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
Response Rate					Mean			5	STD	M	edian	
3/2	2 (13.64%)					5.00			(0.00		5.00

14 - Approachability							
Brennan McManus							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	5.00		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%]			
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%]			
Excellent	(5)	8	100.00%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Re	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
8	/22 (36.36%)			5.00		0.00	5.00

Course: COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - ADVANCED SYSTEMS PROGRAMMING-COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee, Hans Montero *

TA: Brennan McManus, Shreya Somayajula, Annie Wang

14 - Approachability												
Shreya Somayajula												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			M	eans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				5.	00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	4	100.00%									
				0	25	50	100		ΓA			
Response Rate				Mean					STD		Median	
4/22 (18.18%)				5.00					0.00		5.00	

14 - Approachability										
Annie Wang, Brennan McManus, Shr	eya Somayajula	ı								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respon	ses		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				5.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%]						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%]						
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%]						
Excellent	(5)	15	100.00%							
				0 25	50	100	TA			
Respo	nse Rate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
					5.00			0.00	Ę	5.00

15 - Availability												
Annie Wang												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Ре	rcent	Respo	nses			Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					5.	00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	3	100.00%									
				0	25	50	100		TA			
Response Ra	ite					Mean				STD	м	edian
3/22 (13.64%	»)					5.00				0.00		5.00

15 - Availability													
Brennan McManus													
Response Option	1	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	ercent	Respor	ises			Mea	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					5.00)			
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good		(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good		(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent		(5)	8	100.00%									
					0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate						Mean				STD	P	ledian
	8/22 (36.36%)						5.00				0.00		5.00

Course: COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - ADVANCED SYSTEMS PROGRAMMING-COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee, Hans Montero *

TA: Brennan McManus, Shreya Somayajula, Annie Wang

15 - Availability												
Shreya Somayajula												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			Me	eans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1					5.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	4	100.00%									
				0	25	50	100		TA			
Response Rate				Mean					STD		N	edian
4/22 (18.18%)						5.00				0.00		5.00

15 - Availability												
Annie Wang, Brennan McManus, Shreya	Somayajula	a										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respor	ises			Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					5.0	00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	15	100.00%									
				0	25	50	100	Т	A			
Response I	late					Mean				STD	M	edian
						5.00				0.00		5.00

16 - Communication							
Annie Wang							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	5.00		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1			
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1			
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%				
Excellent	(5)	3	100.00%				
	•			0 25 50 100	TA		
Resp	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
3/22	(13.64%)			5.00		0.00	5.00

16 - Communication											
Brennan McManus											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent R	Respon	ises		Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					5.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	8	100.00%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Resp	Response Rate				Ν	Mean			STD	M	ədian
8/22	(36.36%)					5.00			0.00	Į	5.00

Course: COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - ADVANCED SYSTEMS PROGRAMMING-COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Instructor: Jae Lee, Hans Montero *

TA: Brennan McManus, Shreya Somayajula, Annie Wang

16 - Communication											
Shreya Somayajula											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent R	espons	ses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				5.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	4	100.00%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rate					N	lean	STD		M	edian	
	4/22 (18.18%)				:	5.00	0 0.00 5.00			5.00	

16 - Communication													
Annie Wang, Brennan McManus, Shreya Somayajula													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respor	onses Means						
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					5.0	0				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1									
Excellent	(5)	15	100.00%										
	•			0	25	50	100	Т	A				
Response Rate				Mean					STD			Median	
				5.00					0.00			5.00	

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?											
Annie Wang											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	nt Responses Means					
Yes	(1)	3	100.00%								
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rate					Mean			STD		Me	edian
3/22 (13.64%)				1.00					0.00	1.00	

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?											
Brennan McManus											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	nt Responses Means					
Yes	(1)	8	100.00%								
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rate					Mean			STD		M	edian
8/22 (36.36%)				1.00					0.00	1.00	

Course: COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - ADVANCED SYSTEMS PROGRAMMING-COMSW4995_004_2024_3 - TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE Instructor: Jae Lee,Hans Montero * TA: Brennan McManus,Shreya Somayajula,Annie Wang

Response Rate: 11/22 (50.00 %)

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?												
Shreya Somayajula												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			nses	Means				
Yes	(1)	4	100.00%									
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00				
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100	TA				
Response Rate						Mean			STD	м	Median	
4/22 (18.18%)						1.00			0.00		1.00	

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?												
Annie Wang, Brennan McManus, Shreya Somayajula												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	Percent Responses Means							
Yes	(1)	15	100.00%									
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00				
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100	TA				
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	Median	
				1.00					0.00	1.00		

18 - Comments						
Annie Wang						
Response Rate	2/22 (9.09%)					
very responsible and caring						

Annie is an excellent TA, and I believe she should have more confidence in her abilities!

18 - Comments						
Brennan McManus						
Response Rate	0/22 (0%)					
18 - Comments						
Shreya Somayajula						
Response Rate	0/22 (0%)					
18 - Comments	18 - Comments					
Annie Wang, Brennan McManus, Shreva Somavajula						

Annie wang, Brennan McManus, Shreya Somayajula							
Response Rate							
• very responsible and caring	very responsible and caring						
Annie is an excellent TA, and I believe she should have more confidence in her abilities!							