

# Columbia University: School of Engineering

## Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Korall Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

1 - Course: Amount Learned						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.42	
Fair	(2)	3	2.16%			
Good	(3)	21	15.11%			
Very Good	(4)	29	20.86%			
Excellent	(5)	86	61.87%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
139/299 (46.49%)				4.42	0.83	5.00

2 - Course: Appropriateness of Workload						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	7	5.04%		3.68	
Fair	(2)	19	13.67%			
Good	(3)	31	22.30%			
Very Good	(4)	37	26.62%			
Excellent	(5)	45	32.37%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
139/299 (46.49%)				3.68	1.21	4.00

3 - Course: Fairness of Grading Process						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	8	5.76%		3.73	
Fair	(2)	19	13.67%			
Good	(3)	27	19.42%			
Very Good	(4)	33	23.74%			
Excellent	(5)	52	37.41%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
139/299 (46.49%)				3.73	1.25	4.00

4 - Course: Overall Quality						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	2	1.44%		4.19	
Fair	(2)	7	5.04%			
Good	(3)	28	20.14%			
Very Good	(4)	28	20.14%			
Excellent	(5)	74	53.24%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
139/299 (46.49%)				4.19	1.02	5.00

# Columbia University: School of Engineering

## Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Korah Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

### 5 - Enter any additional comments here

Response Rate	21/299 (7.02%)
---------------	----------------

- n/a
- I'm definitely going to get a C but I still think I learned a lot.
- One of the best courses I've ever taken. I paid dearly for it.
- Really enjoyed the class. Learned a lot and was very intellectually rewarding. It was hard and had to study a lot, but I think workload was right, especially given the expectation set from the beginning. would recommend to everyone!
- Pretty good class
- AP is AP, as I'm sure you have heard about. Run from it, dread from it, but you'll still have to take this course and pass.
- If this class was taken in isolation, without coursework from other classes, the workload would be appropriate. However, in the context of other classes, jobs, extracurricular activities, work life balance, the expectation it unreasonable. It should be adjusted. The course should be split in two semesters. Learning C, and applying C to web servers. There is a reason this class has a reputation for students failing and it being a monster of a class, and that shouldn't be ignored. And towards the end, the homework releases were overlapping and being released the day before thanksgiving to compensate for "a shorter Fall semester." The compensation shouldn't come from the students being expected to complete double at once, but from restructuring the class due to this time restriction. Also, the labs should count for more. Labs, which we spend a large amount of time working on, even cutting into our study time for exams, are only given 16%, which is not even true because we cant receive the full 16% due to the the grading policy : "Maximum possible lab score:  $(820-100) / 820 * 100 = 87.8$ ". Our work should count for more.
- It is very helpful to learn about details of programming in C. Especially learning the nature of pointers. However, I feel like learning the grand unified theorem for pointers or learning 6 different approach to solve the same thing only increasing the stress and workload — leading us to confusion.
- Particular attention to details, optional materials for self-study, practical labs and broad coverage of programming tools are remarkable features of this course
- Is AP a class where you learn C? No, its everything you can dream of in a CS class. Everything is disguised in C, its actually systems programming, swe, programming languages, networking, databases, data structures, OS, UNIX, etc. etc. It actually teaches USEFUL things that you can use in BOTH academia and industry. Professor Jae is the Goat.
- Class was taught at a quick pace but everything was explained very well and workload was ok.
- Hard, as expected. I wish I hadn't spread myself so thin because this class required more time than I was able to put into it (user error). Super cool how the class built up into one whole system.
- This class's format is very good in theory. I can see how if you had the perfect student, this class would be the perfect class. But in practice, this class is extremely difficult, purely because of the amount of workload. Jae does warn this, as do the TA's. But wow, you cannot slip in this class or it is over. And then you begin to question everything—whether CS for you, whether it was worth taking this class, whether you're smart enough for CS, etc etc. Let's just say this class made me think a lot!
- I think it would be easier to have a rubric or hidden tests that could determine if the error testing in my code is as intended.
- Did not know that this class offers 0 partial credit on exams before coming into the first exam. Grading is harsh, unforgiving, and meticulous that even a small mix up will dock a lot of points, which is just unfortunate.
- Jae is a great professor, although the workload can be heavy, especially if you have not been coding since birth, it teaches you so MUCH! I definitely feel that I have learned and grown so much, and have gained more confidence as a coder.
- Very worthwhile course. People that say Jae is too strict or the course is too difficult aren't really giving either justice. Yes, it is not easy, but I learned a lot and had a good time. My only slight quailm is that sometimes I felt too many points were taken off for certain exam questions, and it didn't totally reflect what I did and did not know. As a student this type of thing is very frustrating to me. But eh, that is my only complaint. Very well taught and structured course with a lot of help and guidance available.
- This class basically taught me 1, maybe 2 important concepts that I had no prior knowledge of. The first is pointers, I'd learned about them in Fundamentals before, but this class's material on pointers made me much more confident in understanding how they work which is something I think will be of much use to me in the future. The other one is tcp connections, I had no idea (and still kind of have no idea) how they just magically work but the entire second half of the semester elucidated the whole client-web-front-server-database infrastructure for me and now I feel like I have a much more solid understanding of how the Internet works (especially HTTP protocol). I would've loved to dive a little deeper into servers (instead of just using boiler plate code).. It's hard to precisely evaluate the merit of this course—on its face, it's just a big revision that also unites concepts from data structures, databases, intro to programming in java, fundamentals—basically every other core cs class. It was nice as a way to get back into programming after taking a break over the summer but it very quickly turned into a presentation of familiar concepts (negative number binary representation, linked lists, stack vs heap) only now in C, base language that no one uses. However, I did learn a lot of useful terminal commands, basically whole git functionality (that I had to use for my other classes and projects this semester), vim, http, shell/bash script, even a tiny bit of c++ and java.. Towards the end, it often felt like we ran out of vital material to cover so the professor was just trying to fill the remainder of class time for the semester with his own knowledge of general cs trivia/basic knowledge.. Also, the professor was late to class a lot more often than I would've expected. The homeworks felt fair and not that difficult. The only thing is they made it nearly impossible to differentiate between students as everyone constantly got 100/100.. Thus, it all came down to exams which were purposely designed to give us just enough time to finish everything if we felt incredibly solid in our knowledge. Otherwise, with my 3 other classes all being taught in different languages this semester (SQL, Python) and a different one for the video games club, it was hard for me to remember the mistake that I made and corrected while testing the lab of passing the same malloc pointer to every linked list node that was tested for on the exam. Similarly, I just missed -- (decrement by 1) on the first midterm or started implementing a function that was already implemented on the 2nd midterm simply because I read the specification below the function name and not above... Part of it is my own lack of concentration but part of it is the typewriter font of the assignments and the exam that Jae is so loyal to. (I missed the last part of lab5 that was mandatory apparently (directory tree) just because it didn't stand out in context).. Otherwise, the class is not hard at all (I don't know why people say it's hard), I had much more difficult assignments for databases and computer vision, this class often felt like a morning run/warm up. I got a median grade on the 2nd midterm because I was confused by the formatting, it was very straightforward looking back at it now. Also, all my mistakes on the exams did teach me concepts that I felt shaky on, I just wish the entire grade for the class was not based on just exams with a lot of time pressure seeing how everyone else gets full grades for homeworks.
- I learned a lot. Quite heavy in terms of workload and time spent. Grading is harsh but fair. I wish there were an interactive platform with all the past exams in it, so we can do some extra practice if we feel we need more, especially on the topics of Makefiles, endianness, and fork. The design of the labs is great. They will be even better if there is more personal and helpful feedback alongside the grading and the minimal error notations. Clicking open the grade takes some courage already. Realizing that it is not what we expected and without knowing exactly why just makes things worse. More descriptive feedback on the labs would definitely be helpful. Given the heavy workload of the course, going through the solutions thoroughly before sending an email asking the TA what I got wrong is hardly achievable. Much more support is needed in this aspect.
- The course is good as an introduction to systems programming course, but idk why there is so much content on computer networks.
- Overall I really enjoyed this class. For some reason I was expecting it to be this impossible weeder class and it definitely was a lot of work but by no means impossible. You really get out what you put in, and Jae is an incredible teacher that is able to help you a lot within the class. For me a lot of the concepts didn't click until about halfway through the class when you use earlier concepts in a more applied context. I also will say I actually enjoyed this class and found the topics it covered interesting. I know the pacing of everything is really the best it can be but I wish some of the earlier parts went faster, because I already knew Linux and some of the basic c syntax. I think having more experience using pointers in complex coding would have helped me for the first exam. I found that I understood argv arrays but not the larger concepts of pointers and variable types until after that exam. I wish the homework overall grading accounted more for the last two assignments being higher point values, where dropping one lab could actually lower your overall grade if you did badly on labs 6/7 percentage wise. I also really enjoyed the section 2 of this class and would definitely recommend it.

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

6 - Instructor: Organization and Preparation						
Jae Lee						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	7	5.04%			
Good	(3)	13	9.35%			
Very Good	(4)	31	22.30%			
Excellent	(5)	88	63.31%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
139/299 (46.49%)				4.44	0.86	5.00

7 - Instructor: Classroom Delivery						
Jae Lee						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	7	5.04%			
Good	(3)	14	10.07%			
Very Good	(4)	34	24.46%			
Excellent	(5)	84	60.43%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
139/299 (46.49%)				4.40	0.87	5.00

8 - Instructor: Approachability						
Jae Lee						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	2	1.44%			
Fair	(2)	21	15.11%			
Good	(3)	27	19.42%			
Very Good	(4)	35	25.18%			
Excellent	(5)	54	38.85%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
139/299 (46.49%)				3.85	1.14	4.00



9 - Instructor: Overall Quality						
Jae Lee						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	1	0.72%			
Fair	(2)	5	3.60%			
Good	(3)	22	15.83%			
Very Good	(4)	32	23.02%			
Excellent	(5)	79	56.83%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
139/299 (46.49%)				4.32	0.92	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

10 - Would you nominate this professor for the SEAS Distinguished Faculty Award?						
Jae Lee						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Yes	(1)	87	70.73%		1.29	
No	(2)	36	29.27%			
				0 25 50 100	Instructor	
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	
123/299 (41.14%)			1.29	0.46	1.00	

# Columbia University: School of Engineering

## Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

### 11 - If so, please explain why

Jae Lee

Response Rate

42/299 (14.05%)

- Excellent at breaking complex ideas down. Very organized and well-structured course. I learned so much from Jae's class.
- GOAT professor in this school!
- Jae's lectures and notes make all the low-level concepts in C easy to understand
- I think that Jae runs a very rigid course, but as a result of that everything is very clear and fair. His lectures are very in detail and very useful. Him recording lectures so that students are able to use it as review is very helpful (along with his very well written lecture notes).
- So much to say, so little time.
- One of the best CS professors I have had. Content was delivered in a way that grabbed my attention and helped me focus during lectures
- Great teacher who emphasizes important things like using vim and writing clean code.
- Jae's AP class is well organized; He provide huge resources for AP students
- He is very dedicated and knowledgable
- Best instructor I had. An expert in the field with very structured lectures.
- Very good professor, very organized, and he makes the lectures very interesting and engaging.
- Jae Woo Lee is one of the most intelligent, passionate, and overall best professors I have ever had. He seems to know everything about computers and every nook and cranny of the internet --- and is extremely passionate about teaching it to you. But, truth be told, there are a lot of professors who are like that. What makes Jae unique is the amount of effort that he puts into the actual TEACHING EXPERIENCE. Unlike many professors who are just incredibly learned but have no ability to teach, Jae has that in abundance. I love the way that he has structured the course, making everything clear and doable (though not necessarily easy!). Even something as simple as his anti-AI policy make it so that I can learn so much more. He is truly fantastic.
- Good professor.
- Most chill, trustworthy and fair professor. Also amazing at teaching complicated lectures with his mix of blackboard drawings and online demonstrations.
- Definitely would nominate. 1. Thought professor Jae was a very good lecturer 2. Thought the course material and organization was very well done. Very obvious professor has spent a lot of effort and put in a lot of thought into crafting this course. 3. The growth from the class is great!
- Excellent, learnt a lot, well-organized lectures and deadlines. learnt a lot from labs. Exams are hard but not trivial
- The course is very well-structured, the explanations of the concepts are very clear, and questions are answered promptly.
- Jae Lee is probably the best professor I've had in my time at Columbia so far. The lecture and course quality have been truly one of a kind, and I have learned an immense amount through his course.
- Very prepared, knowledgeable, and explanatory.
- Jae is an excellent instructor, teaches very well.
- He is incredible, seriously an awesome instructor. I tried to take this class last spring with Brian the other professor and I had to drop it because he was so horrible. Jae's teaching method works for everyone, he always answers questions super well and never makes students feel bad about asking them. He is super responsive online through email and every lecture is seriously well done.
- Jae will really challenge your understanding of how modern technology works, and expect you to be good at taking up that challenge as well. It is a tough course, but Jae will be someone who always tries to help you as best as he can.
- This class is his baby and it shows. He cares about what his students learn beyond how they end up testing on the exams. You can tell each assignment has been finely tuned for appropriateness.
- He is very friendly and looking for us to learn. I can feel it.
- Excellently crafted class. Assignments had purpose, delivery was fantastic. Cared about making the classes better computer scientists. Greatly enjoyed this class.
- GOAT. No further explanation needed.
- Clear and structured syllabus of the course, smooth work of TAs and hardware, high expertise in the course material, friendly atmosphere during in-class lectures
- Professor Jae really cares about his students. There is no need to explain, just see it with your eyes through the quality of the AP curriculum and teaching. Master class of CS education. I was excited to code the labs (I can't say the same about other STEM classes). Through AP and Jae, I see why I love programming and CS. Jae inspires (especially his last lecture of the semester).
- He explains everything in great detail and makes hard and boring topics approachable. Tests are difficult but fairly assess what we learned and curves his class appropriately to combat hard exams.
- Wonderful teacher, very knowledgeable and fair.
- Jae is one of the best computer science professors that I have had the pleasure of taking a course with. His explanation of the range of topics covered in AP, along with repetition, cemented the concepts in my mind. Additionally, his fun facts about the history of computers and facts about the evolution of the industry constantly kept me engaged.
- this course has been my favorite at columbia so far. jae guides you through a rigorous but rewarding journey learning many of the most important fundamentals of CS. everything builds on itself and culminates into a practical and useful project that feels a lot like learning to do stuff in the real world. he cares a lot about his students success and has a gift of communicating information with extreme precision and in a way that still feels laid back and enjoyable to listen to.
- Professor Lee is very nice and great at teaching
- You can tell that Jae genuinely loves talking about the C language. You can see his pride when teaching. He teaches with so much passion, he can talk for hours about C if there wasn't a lecture right after our lecture. All he cares about is seeing improvement. He is a very lenient professor.
- This course has been structured from top to bottom, with each lecture building on the other. If anyone makes the most out of this course, they will undoubtedly be a better programmer. Follow the river and you will find the C.
- This course covers a lot and really pushes kids to the next level. Jae is able to consistently deliver the course material in a manageable way that challenges students what I think is a near-perfect level to really grow without getting discouraged.
- Learned so much and appreciate the content so much
- I think he is the most organized person ever, very good at working with his TAs and students to provide as much information as he can.
- He is a wonderful professor. Very knowledgeable and smart.

# Columbia University: School of Engineering

## Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

---

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

• This is such a solid course, and prof. Jae explains every concept really clearly. Whether it's the labs or the exams, the professor doesn't just have us "apply" C, but truly helps us "master" it. Every lecture is valuable, and the professor does a great job breaking down complex ideas in a way that's easy to understand. For me, 3157 is the class I don't want to miss a single word of! The course design and pace are also really well thought out, with everything arranged just right. The professor's teaching is super thorough—every lecture is packed with useful info, and nothing is thrown in casually. The TAs are also really helpful, summarizing tricky or confusing points in emails. This course has taught me how to really master each piece of knowledge, instead of just brushing through it. Even though I had some programming experience before, this class helped me understand the logic behind C and gave me a clearer picture of how computer languages work. This course also made me realize that computer languages aren't as intimidating as I once thought. C has a lot of similarities with other programming languages, and even though I can't write code as "pretty" as the professor's just yet : ) This is such a core course—not just for understanding C, but also for building a strong foundation for everything in computer science. Lastly, I really hope Prof. Jae gets this award!!! The course design is not only brilliant but also super practical. The professor is a talented educator, and the knowledge we've gained from this class goes far beyond what's just covered in the classroom.

• Jae is an incredible teacher. There was a lot of content in this class but he covered it well and was able to explain things in a way that makes sense but where the detail is not lost. He's one of the best teachers I've had at Columbia. Although I did not do well on the first midterm, on the second midterm in this class I got probably the highest grade relative to the average while at Columbia. Overall I feel like his approach although rigorous, allows you to feel a sense of satisfaction afterwards in what you accomplish. He's also very kind and approachable after class. In every way he's really a great teacher.

• Jae is an excellent instructor and his course is incredibly fair. You get whatever you commit into this course. Jae is organized, provides notes, recitations, and spec/lab reviews with concise instructions. I've been amazed at how I could understand a concept or a task from how well it's broken down in this course.

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Korah Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

12 - Overall Quality						
Begum Gokmen						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	1	5.56%			
Good	(3)	2	11.11%			
Very Good	(4)	2	11.11%			
Excellent	(5)	13	72.22%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
18/299 (6.02%)				4.50	0.92	5.00

12 - Overall Quality						
Brian Paick						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	1	3.57%			
Good	(3)	5	17.86%			
Very Good	(4)	5	17.86%			
Excellent	(5)	17	60.71%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
28/299 (9.36%)				4.36	0.91	5.00

12 - Overall Quality						
Brianna Wang						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	1	4.76%			
Good	(3)	2	9.52%			
Very Good	(4)	3	14.29%			
Excellent	(5)	15	71.43%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
21/299 (7.02%)				4.52	0.87	5.00

12 - Overall Quality						
Carl von Bonin						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	1	3.33%			
Good	(3)	5	16.67%			
Very Good	(4)	3	10.00%			
Excellent	(5)	21	70.00%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
30/299 (10.03%)				4.47	0.90	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

12 - Overall Quality						
Conor Stewart						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.36	
Fair	(2)	1	4.55%			
Good	(3)	4	18.18%			
Very Good	(4)	3	13.64%			
Excellent	(5)	14	63.64%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
22/299 (7.36%)				4.36	0.95	5.00

12 - Overall Quality						
Daniel Manjarrez						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.19	
Fair	(2)	2	12.50%			
Good	(3)	3	18.75%			
Very Good	(4)	1	6.25%			
Excellent	(5)	10	62.50%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
16/299 (5.35%)				4.19	1.17	5.00

12 - Overall Quality						
Elizabeth Kushelevsky						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.59	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	4	13.79%			
Very Good	(4)	4	13.79%			
Excellent	(5)	21	72.41%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
29/299 (9.70%)				4.59	0.73	5.00

12 - Overall Quality						
Elvin Ko						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.45	
Fair	(2)	1	5.00%			
Good	(3)	4	20.00%			
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	15	75.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
20/299 (6.69%)				4.45	1.00	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

12 - Overall Quality					
Ivan Wong					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.42
Fair	(2)	2	10.53%		
Good	(3)	2	10.53%		
Very Good	(4)	1	5.26%		
Excellent	(5)	14	73.68%		
Response Rate			19/299 (6.35%)	Mean	4.42
				STD	1.07
				Median	5.00

12 - Overall Quality					
Jonathan Ang					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.63
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	4	12.50%		
Very Good	(4)	4	12.50%		
Excellent	(5)	24	75.00%		
Response Rate			32/299 (10.70%)	Mean	4.63
				STD	0.71
				Median	5.00

12 - Overall Quality					
Julien Remy					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.32
Fair	(2)	1	5.26%		
Good	(3)	4	21.05%		
Very Good	(4)	2	10.53%		
Excellent	(5)	12	63.16%		
Response Rate			19/299 (6.35%)	Mean	4.32
				STD	1.00
				Median	5.00

12 - Overall Quality					
Kevin Durand					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.31
Fair	(2)	1	7.69%		
Good	(3)	3	23.08%		
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%		
Excellent	(5)	9	69.23%		
Response Rate			13/299 (4.35%)	Mean	4.31
				STD	1.11
				Median	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

12 - Overall Quality						
Koral Wheeler						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	4	23.53%	■		
Very Good	(4)	1	5.88%	■		
Excellent	(5)	12	70.59%	■		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
17/299 (5.69%)				4.47	0.87	5.00

12 - Overall Quality						
Kyra Ramesh Krishna						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	3	12.50%	■		
Very Good	(4)	3	12.50%	■		
Excellent	(5)	18	75.00%	■		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
24/299 (8.03%)				4.63	0.71	5.00

12 - Overall Quality						
Leo Sun						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	1	4.35%	■		
Good	(3)	3	13.04%	■		
Very Good	(4)	2	8.70%	■		
Excellent	(5)	17	73.91%	■		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
23/299 (7.69%)				4.52	0.90	5.00

12 - Overall Quality						
Madalina Stoicov						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	1	5.26%	■		
Good	(3)	2	10.53%	■		
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	16	84.21%	■		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
19/299 (6.35%)				4.63	0.90	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

12 - Overall Quality						
Olivia Avila						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.56
Fair	(2)	2	11.11%	█		
Good	(3)	1	5.56%	█		
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	15	83.33%	██████████		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
18/299 (6.02%)				4.56	1.04	5.00

12 - Overall Quality						
Robert Fornos						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.21
Fair	(2)	1	4.17%	█		
Good	(3)	7	29.17%	████		
Very Good	(4)	2	8.33%	█		
Excellent	(5)	14	58.33%	██████████		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
24/299 (8.03%)				4.21	1.02	5.00

12 - Overall Quality						
Sam Weldon						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.67
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	3	9.09%	█		
Very Good	(4)	5	15.15%	██		
Excellent	(5)	25	75.76%	██████████		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
33/299 (11.04%)				4.67	0.65	5.00

12 - Overall Quality						
Begum Gokmen, Brian Paick, Brianna Wang, Carl von Bonin, Conor Stewart, Daniel Manjarrez, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Elvin Ko, Ivan Wong, Jonathan Ang, Julien Remy, Kevin Durand, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Leo Sun, Madalina Stoicov, Olivia Avila, Robert Fornos, Sam Weldon						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.48
Fair	(2)	17	4.00%	█		
Good	(3)	65	15.29%	██████		
Very Good	(4)	41	9.65%	███		
Excellent	(5)	302	71.06%	██████████		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
				4.48	0.89	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Korah Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

13 - Knowledgeability					
Begum Gokmen					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.63
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	2	12.50%		
Very Good	(4)	2	12.50%		
Excellent	(5)	12	75.00%		
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
16/299 (5.35%)			4.63	0.72	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability					
Brian Paick					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.42
Fair	(2)	1	3.85%		
Good	(3)	4	15.38%		
Very Good	(4)	4	15.38%		
Excellent	(5)	17	65.38%		
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
26/299 (8.70%)			4.42	0.90	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability					
Brianna Wang					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.60
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	3	15.00%		
Very Good	(4)	2	10.00%		
Excellent	(5)	15	75.00%		
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
20/299 (6.69%)			4.60	0.75	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability					
Carl von Bonin					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.52
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	5	18.52%		
Very Good	(4)	3	11.11%		
Excellent	(5)	19	70.37%		
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
27/299 (9.03%)			4.52	0.80	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

13 - Knowledgeability					
Conor Stewart					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.50
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	4	20.00%	■	
Very Good	(4)	2	10.00%	■	
Excellent	(5)	14	70.00%	■	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
20/299 (6.69%)			4.50	0.83	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability					
Daniel Manjarrez					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.33
Fair	(2)	1	6.67%	■	
Good	(3)	3	20.00%	■	
Very Good	(4)	1	6.67%	■	
Excellent	(5)	10	66.67%	■	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
15/299 (5.02%)			4.33	1.05	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability					
Elizabeth Kushelevsky					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.63
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	3	11.11%	■	
Very Good	(4)	4	14.81%	■	
Excellent	(5)	20	74.07%	■	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
27/299 (9.03%)			4.63	0.69	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability					
Elvin Ko					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.65
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	3	17.65%	■	
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%		
Excellent	(5)	14	82.35%	■	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
17/299 (5.69%)			4.65	0.79	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

13 - Knowledgeability						
Ivan Wong						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	2	12.50%	■		
Very Good	(4)	1	6.25%	■		
Excellent	(5)	13	81.25%	■		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
16/299 (5.35%)				4.69	0.70	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability						
Jonathan Ang						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	3	10.34%	■		
Very Good	(4)	3	10.34%	■		
Excellent	(5)	23	79.31%	■		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
29/299 (9.70%)				4.69	0.66	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability						
Julien Remy						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	3	18.75%	■		
Very Good	(4)	2	12.50%	■		
Excellent	(5)	11	68.75%	■		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
16/299 (5.35%)				4.50	0.82	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability						
Kevin Durand						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	2	18.18%	■		
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	9	81.82%	■		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
11/299 (3.68%)				4.64	0.81	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

13 - Knowledgeability					
Koral Wheeler					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.60
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	3	20.00%	█	
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%		
Excellent	(5)	12	80.00%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>	
15/299 (5.02%)		4.60		0.83	
		<b>Median</b>		5.00	

13 - Knowledgeability					
Kyra Ramesh Krishna					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.71
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	3	14.29%	█	
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%		
Excellent	(5)	18	85.71%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>	
21/299 (7.02%)		4.71		0.72	
		<b>Median</b>		5.00	

13 - Knowledgeability					
Leo Sun					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.60
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	3	15.00%	█	
Very Good	(4)	2	10.00%	█	
Excellent	(5)	15	75.00%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>	
20/299 (6.69%)		4.60		0.75	
		<b>Median</b>		5.00	

13 - Knowledgeability					
Madalina Stoicov					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.76
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	2	11.76%	█	
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%		
Excellent	(5)	15	88.24%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>	
17/299 (5.69%)		4.76		0.66	
		<b>Median</b>		5.00	

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

13 - Knowledgeability						
Olivia Avila						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	2	12.50%	█		
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	14	87.50%	██████████		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
16/299 (5.35%)				4.75	0.68	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability						
Robert Fornos						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	6	27.27%	████		
Very Good	(4)	2	9.09%	█		
Excellent	(5)	14	63.64%	██████████		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
22/299 (7.36%)				4.36	0.90	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability						
Sam Weldon						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	3	10.34%	█		
Very Good	(4)	5	17.24%	██		
Excellent	(5)	21	72.41%	██████████		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
29/299 (9.70%)				4.62	0.68	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability						
Begum Gokmen, Brian Paick, Brianna Wang, Carl von Bonin, Conor Stewart, Daniel Manjarrez, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Elvin Ko, Ivan Wong, Jonathan Ang, Julien Remy, Kevin Durand, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Leo Sun, Madalina Stoicov, Olivia Avila, Robert Fornos, Sam Weldon						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	2	0.53%			
Good	(3)	59	15.53%	██████		
Very Good	(4)	33	8.68%	███		
Excellent	(5)	286	75.26%	██████████		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
				4.59	0.77	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

14 - Approachability					
Begum Gokmen					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	2	14.29%		
Very Good	(4)	2	14.29%		
Excellent	(5)	10	71.43%		
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
14/299 (4.68%)			4.57	0.76	5.00

14 - Approachability					
Brian Paick					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
Fair	(2)	1	4.35%		
Good	(3)	3	13.04%		
Very Good	(4)	2	8.70%		
Excellent	(5)	17	73.91%		
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
23/299 (7.69%)			4.52	0.90	5.00

14 - Approachability					
Brianna Wang					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	3	17.65%		
Very Good	(4)	2	11.76%		
Excellent	(5)	12	70.59%		
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
17/299 (5.69%)			4.53	0.80	5.00

14 - Approachability					
Carl von Bonin					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	4	17.39%		
Very Good	(4)	4	17.39%		
Excellent	(5)	15	65.22%		
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
23/299 (7.69%)			4.48	0.79	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

14 - Approachability						
Conor Stewart						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	4	23.53%	■		
Very Good	(4)	1	5.88%	■		
Excellent	(5)	12	70.59%	■		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
17/299 (5.69%)				4.47	0.87	5.00

14 - Approachability						
Daniel Manjarrez						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	1	9.09%	■		
Good	(3)	2	18.18%	■		
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%	■		
Excellent	(5)	7	63.64%	■		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
11/299 (3.68%)				4.27	1.10	5.00

14 - Approachability						
Elizabeth Kushelevsky						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	3	12.00%	■		
Very Good	(4)	4	16.00%	■		
Excellent	(5)	18	72.00%	■		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
25/299 (8.36%)				4.60	0.71	5.00

14 - Approachability						
Elvin Ko						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	2	15.38%	■		
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	11	84.62%	■		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
13/299 (4.35%)				4.69	0.75	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

14 - Approachability						
Ivan Wong						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	1	6.67%			4.40
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	2	13.33%			
Very Good	(4)	1	6.67%			
Excellent	(5)	11	73.33%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
15/299 (5.02%)				4.40	1.18	5.00

14 - Approachability						
Jonathan Ang						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.71
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	3	10.71%			
Very Good	(4)	2	7.14%			
Excellent	(5)	23	82.14%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
28/299 (9.36%)				4.71	0.66	5.00

14 - Approachability						
Julien Remy						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.38
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	3	23.08%			
Very Good	(4)	2	15.38%			
Excellent	(5)	8	61.54%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
13/299 (4.35%)				4.38	0.87	5.00

14 - Approachability						
Kevin Durand						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.50
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	2	25.00%			
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	6	75.00%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
8/299 (2.68%)				4.50	0.93	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

14 - Approachability					
Koral Wheeler					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.50
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	3	25.00%	█	
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%		
Excellent	(5)	9	75.00%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>	
12/299 (4.01%)		4.50		0.90	
		<b>Median</b>		5.00	

14 - Approachability					
Kyra Ramesh Krishna					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.78
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	2	11.11%	█	
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%		
Excellent	(5)	16	88.89%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>	
18/299 (6.02%)		4.78		0.65	
		<b>Median</b>		5.00	

14 - Approachability					
Leo Sun					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.61
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	3	16.67%	█	
Very Good	(4)	1	5.56%	█	
Excellent	(5)	14	77.78%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>	
18/299 (6.02%)		4.61		0.78	
		<b>Median</b>		5.00	

14 - Approachability					
Madalina Stoicov					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.73
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	2	13.33%	█	
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%		
Excellent	(5)	13	86.67%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>	
15/299 (5.02%)		4.73		0.70	
		<b>Median</b>		5.00	

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

14 - Approachability						
Olivia Avila						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	2	14.29%	█		
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	12	85.71%	██████████		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
14/299 (4.68%)				4.71	0.73	5.00

14 - Approachability						
Robert Fornos						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	5	27.78%	███		
Very Good	(4)	2	11.11%	█		
Excellent	(5)	11	61.11%	██████		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
18/299 (6.02%)				4.33	0.91	5.00

14 - Approachability						
Sam Weldon						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	3	10.34%	█		
Very Good	(4)	5	17.24%	██		
Excellent	(5)	21	72.41%	██████		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
29/299 (9.70%)				4.62	0.68	5.00

14 - Approachability						
Begum Gokmen, Brian Paick, Brianna Wang, Carl von Bonin, Conor Stewart, Daniel Manjarrez, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Elvin Ko, Ivan Wong, Jonathan Ang, Julien Remy, Kevin Durand, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Leo Sun, Madalina Stoicov, Olivia Avila, Robert Fornos, Sam Weldon						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	1	0.30%			
Fair	(2)	2	0.60%			
Good	(3)	53	16.01%	████		
Very Good	(4)	29	8.76%	███		
Excellent	(5)	246	74.32%	██████████		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
				4.56	0.80	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

15 - Availability						
Begum Gokmen						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.50	
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%			
Good	(3)	1	7.14%			
Very Good	(4)	2	14.29%			
Excellent	(5)	10	71.43%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
14/299 (4.68%)				4.50	0.94	5.00

15 - Availability						
Brian Paick						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.41	
Fair	(2)	2	9.09%			
Good	(3)	2	9.09%			
Very Good	(4)	3	13.64%			
Excellent	(5)	15	68.18%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
22/299 (7.36%)				4.41	1.01	5.00

15 - Availability						
Brianna Wang						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.47	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	3	17.65%			
Very Good	(4)	3	17.65%			
Excellent	(5)	11	64.71%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
17/299 (5.69%)				4.47	0.80	5.00

15 - Availability						
Carl von Bonin						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.43	
Fair	(2)	1	4.35%			
Good	(3)	3	13.04%			
Very Good	(4)	4	17.39%			
Excellent	(5)	15	65.22%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
23/299 (7.69%)				4.43	0.90	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

15 - Availability						
Conor Stewart						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.41	
Fair	(2)	1	5.88%			
Good	(3)	3	17.65%			
Very Good	(4)	1	5.88%			
Excellent	(5)	12	70.59%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/299 (5.69%)				4.41	1.00	5.00

15 - Availability						
Daniel Manjarrez						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.18	
Fair	(2)	2	18.18%			
Good	(3)	1	9.09%			
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%			
Excellent	(5)	7	63.64%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
11/299 (3.68%)				4.18	1.25	5.00

15 - Availability						
Elizabeth Kushelevsky						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.56	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	4	16.00%			
Very Good	(4)	3	12.00%			
Excellent	(5)	18	72.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
25/299 (8.36%)				4.56	0.77	5.00

15 - Availability						
Elvin Ko						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.62	
Fair	(2)	1	7.69%			
Good	(3)	1	7.69%			
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	11	84.62%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
13/299 (4.35%)				4.62	0.96	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

15 - Availability					
Ivan Wong					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	1	6.67%	█	4.27
Fair	(2)	1	6.67%	█	
Good	(3)	2	13.33%	█	
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%		
Excellent	(5)	11	73.33%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>	
15/299 (5.02%)		4.27		1.33	
				<b>Median</b>	
				5.00	

15 - Availability					
Jonathan Ang					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.79
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	2	7.14%	█	
Very Good	(4)	2	7.14%	█	
Excellent	(5)	24	85.71%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>	
28/299 (9.36%)		4.79		0.57	
				<b>Median</b>	
				5.00	

15 - Availability					
Julien Remy					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.23
Fair	(2)	1	7.69%	█	
Good	(3)	3	23.08%	████	
Very Good	(4)	1	7.69%	█	
Excellent	(5)	8	61.54%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>	
13/299 (4.35%)		4.23		1.09	
				<b>Median</b>	
				5.00	

15 - Availability					
Kevin Durand					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.38
Fair	(2)	1	12.50%	█	
Good	(3)	1	12.50%	█	
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%		
Excellent	(5)	6	75.00%	██████████	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>	
8/299 (2.68%)		4.38		1.19	
				<b>Median</b>	
				5.00	

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

15 - Availability						
Koral Wheeler						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	1	8.33%	█		
Good	(3)	2	16.67%	█		
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	9	75.00%	█		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
12/299 (4.01%)				4.42	1.08	5.00

15 - Availability						
Kyra Ramesh Krishna						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	1	5.56%	█		
Good	(3)	2	11.11%	█		
Very Good	(4)	1	5.56%	█		
Excellent	(5)	14	77.78%	█		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
18/299 (6.02%)				4.56	0.92	5.00

15 - Availability						
Leo Sun						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	1	5.88%	█		
Good	(3)	2	11.76%	█		
Very Good	(4)	2	11.76%	█		
Excellent	(5)	12	70.59%	█		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
17/299 (5.69%)				4.47	0.94	5.00

15 - Availability						
Madalina Stoicov						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			
Fair	(2)	1	6.67%	█		
Good	(3)	1	6.67%	█		
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	13	86.67%	█		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
15/299 (5.02%)				4.67	0.90	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

15 - Availability					
Olivia Avila					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%	█	
Good	(3)	2	14.29%	█	
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%		
Excellent	(5)	11	78.57%	██████████	
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
14/299 (4.68%)			4.50	1.02	5.00

15 - Availability					
Robert Fornos					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
Fair	(2)	1	5.56%	█	
Good	(3)	4	22.22%	█	
Very Good	(4)	2	11.11%	█	
Excellent	(5)	11	61.11%	██████	
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
18/299 (6.02%)			4.28	1.02	5.00

15 - Availability					
Sam Weldon					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
Fair	(2)	1	3.45%	█	
Good	(3)	4	13.79%	█	
Very Good	(4)	3	10.34%	█	
Excellent	(5)	21	72.41%	██████	
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
29/299 (9.70%)			4.52	0.87	5.00

15 - Availability					
Begum Gokmen, Brian Paick, Brianna Wang, Carl von Bonin, Conor Stewart, Daniel Manjarrez, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Elvin Ko, Ivan Wong, Jonathan Ang, Julien Remy, Kevin Durand, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Leo Sun, Madalina Stoicov, Olivia Avila, Robert Fornos, Sam Weldon					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	1	0.30%		
Fair	(2)	18	5.47%	█	
Good	(3)	43	13.07%	█	
Very Good	(4)	28	8.51%	█	
Excellent	(5)	239	72.64%	██████	
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
			4.48	0.94	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

16 - Communication						
Begum Gokmen						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.50	
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%			
Good	(3)	1	7.14%			
Very Good	(4)	2	14.29%			
Excellent	(5)	10	71.43%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
14/299 (4.68%)				4.50	0.94	5.00

16 - Communication						
Brian Paick						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.41	
Fair	(2)	2	9.09%			
Good	(3)	2	9.09%			
Very Good	(4)	3	13.64%			
Excellent	(5)	15	68.18%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
22/299 (7.36%)				4.41	1.01	5.00

16 - Communication						
Brianna Wang						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.44	
Fair	(2)	1	6.25%			
Good	(3)	2	12.50%			
Very Good	(4)	2	12.50%			
Excellent	(5)	11	68.75%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
16/299 (5.35%)				4.44	0.96	5.00

16 - Communication						
Carl von Bonin						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.39	
Fair	(2)	1	4.35%			
Good	(3)	4	17.39%			
Very Good	(4)	3	13.04%			
Excellent	(5)	15	65.22%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
23/299 (7.69%)				4.39	0.94	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

16 - Communication						
Conor Stewart						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.35	
Fair	(2)	1	5.88%			
Good	(3)	3	17.65%			
Very Good	(4)	2	11.76%			
Excellent	(5)	11	64.71%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/299 (5.69%)				4.35	1.00	5.00

16 - Communication						
Daniel Manjarrez						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.18	
Fair	(2)	2	18.18%			
Good	(3)	1	9.09%			
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%			
Excellent	(5)	7	63.64%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
11/299 (3.68%)				4.18	1.25	5.00

16 - Communication						
Elizabeth Kushelevsky						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.52	
Fair	(2)	1	4.00%			
Good	(3)	3	12.00%			
Very Good	(4)	3	12.00%			
Excellent	(5)	18	72.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
25/299 (8.36%)				4.52	0.87	5.00

16 - Communication						
Elvin Ko						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.62	
Fair	(2)	1	7.69%			
Good	(3)	1	7.69%			
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	11	84.62%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
13/299 (4.35%)				4.62	0.96	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

16 - Communication						
Ivan Wong						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	1	6.67%		4.40	
Fair	(2)	1	6.67%			
Good	(3)	1	6.67%			
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	12	80.00%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
15/299 (5.02%)				4.40	1.30	5.00

16 - Communication						
Jonathan Ang						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.76	
Fair	(2)	1	3.45%			
Good	(3)	2	6.90%			
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	26	89.66%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
29/299 (9.70%)				4.76	0.74	5.00

16 - Communication						
Julien Remy						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.23	
Fair	(2)	1	7.69%			
Good	(3)	3	23.08%			
Very Good	(4)	1	7.69%			
Excellent	(5)	8	61.54%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
13/299 (4.35%)				4.23	1.09	5.00

16 - Communication						
Kevin Durand						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.38	
Fair	(2)	1	12.50%			
Good	(3)	1	12.50%			
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	6	75.00%			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
8/299 (2.68%)				4.38	1.19	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

16 - Communication					
Koral Wheeler					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.42
Fair	(2)	1	8.33%	█	
Good	(3)	2	16.67%	█	
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%		
Excellent	(5)	9	75.00%	█	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
12/299 (4.01%)			4.42	1.08	5.00

16 - Communication					
Kyra Ramesh Krishna					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.68
Fair	(2)	1	5.26%	█	
Good	(3)	1	5.26%	█	
Very Good	(4)	1	5.26%	█	
Excellent	(5)	16	84.21%	█	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
19/299 (6.35%)			4.68	0.82	5.00

16 - Communication					
Leo Sun					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.50
Fair	(2)	1	5.56%	█	
Good	(3)	2	11.11%	█	
Very Good	(4)	2	11.11%	█	
Excellent	(5)	13	72.22%	█	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
18/299 (6.02%)			4.50	0.92	5.00

16 - Communication					
Madalina Stoicov					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.67
Fair	(2)	1	6.67%	█	
Good	(3)	1	6.67%	█	
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%		
Excellent	(5)	13	86.67%	█	
				0 25 50 100	TA
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
15/299 (5.02%)			4.67	0.90	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

16 - Communication					
Olivia Avila					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.64
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%		
Good	(3)	1	7.14%		
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%		
Excellent	(5)	12	85.71%		
Response Rate			14/299 (4.68%)	Mean	4.64
				STD	0.93
				Median	5.00

16 - Communication					
Robert Fornos					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.28
Fair	(2)	1	5.56%		
Good	(3)	4	22.22%		
Very Good	(4)	2	11.11%		
Excellent	(5)	11	61.11%		
Response Rate			18/299 (6.02%)	Mean	4.28
				STD	1.02
				Median	5.00

16 - Communication					
Sam Weldon					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.55
Fair	(2)	1	3.45%		
Good	(3)	3	10.34%		
Very Good	(4)	4	13.79%		
Excellent	(5)	21	72.41%		
Response Rate			29/299 (9.70%)	Mean	4.55
				STD	0.83
				Median	5.00

16 - Communication					
Begum Gokmen, Brian Paick, Brianna Wang, Carl von Bonin, Conor Stewart, Daniel Manjarrez, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Elvin Ko, Ivan Wong, Jonathan Ang, Julien Remy, Kevin Durand, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Leo Sun, Madalina Stoicov, Olivia Avila, Robert Fornos, Sam Weldon					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	1	0.30%		4.49
Fair	(2)	21	6.34%		
Good	(3)	38	11.48%		
Very Good	(4)	26	7.85%		
Excellent	(5)	245	74.02%		
Response Rate				Mean	4.49
				STD	0.95
				Median	5.00

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Korai Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Begum Gokmen										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	14	100.00%		1.00					
No	(2)	0	0.00%							
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
14/299 (4.68%)				1.00		0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Brian Paick										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	21	95.45%		1.05					
No	(2)	1	4.55%							
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
22/299 (7.36%)				1.05		0.21		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Brianna Wang										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	17	100.00%		1.00					
No	(2)	0	0.00%							
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
17/299 (5.69%)				1.00		0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Carl von Bonin										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	23	100.00%		1.00					
No	(2)	0	0.00%							
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
23/299 (7.69%)				1.00		0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Conor Stewart										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	16	94.12%		1.12					
No	(2)	0	0.00%							
N/A	(3)	1	5.88%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
17/299 (5.69%)				1.12		0.49		1.00		

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Daniel Manjarrez										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	11	100.00%							
No	(2)	0	0.00%							
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
11/299 (3.68%)				1.00		0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Elizabeth Kushelevsky										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	24	100.00%							
No	(2)	0	0.00%							
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
24/299 (8.03%)				1.00		0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Elvin Ko										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	13	100.00%							
No	(2)	0	0.00%							
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
13/299 (4.35%)				1.00		0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Ivan Wong										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	14	93.33%							
No	(2)	0	0.00%							
N/A	(3)	1	6.67%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
15/299 (5.02%)				1.13		0.52		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Jonathan Ang										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	29	100.00%							
No	(2)	0	0.00%							
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
29/299 (9.70%)				1.00		0.00		1.00		

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?						
Julien Remy						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Yes	(1)	12	92.31%		1.08	
No	(2)	1	7.69%			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%			
				0 25 50 100	TA	
Response Rate			Mean		STD	
13/299 (4.35%)			1.08		0.28	
					Median	
					1.00	

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?						
Kevin Durand						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Yes	(1)	8	100.00%		1.00	
No	(2)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%			
				0 25 50 100	TA	
Response Rate			Mean		STD	
8/299 (2.68%)			1.00		0.00	
					Median	
					1.00	

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?						
Koral Wheeler						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Yes	(1)	12	100.00%		1.00	
No	(2)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%			
				0 25 50 100	TA	
Response Rate			Mean		STD	
12/299 (4.01%)			1.00		0.00	
					Median	
					1.00	

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?						
Kyra Ramesh Krishna						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Yes	(1)	19	100.00%		1.00	
No	(2)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%			
				0 25 50 100	TA	
Response Rate			Mean		STD	
19/299 (6.35%)			1.00		0.00	
					Median	
					1.00	

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?						
Leo Sun						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Yes	(1)	18	100.00%		1.00	
No	(2)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%			
				0 25 50 100	TA	
Response Rate			Mean		STD	
18/299 (6.02%)			1.00		0.00	
					Median	
					1.00	

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Madalina Stoicov										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	15	100.00%							
No	(2)	0	0.00%							
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
15/299 (5.02%)				1.00		0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Olivia Avila										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	14	100.00%							
No	(2)	0	0.00%							
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
14/299 (4.68%)				1.00		0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Robert Fornos										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	18	100.00%							
No	(2)	0	0.00%							
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
18/299 (6.02%)				1.00		0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Sam Weldon										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	29	100.00%							
No	(2)	0	0.00%							
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
29/299 (9.70%)				1.00		0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?										
Begum Gokmen, Brian Paick, Brianna Wang, Carl von Bonin, Conor Stewart, Daniel Manjarrez, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Elvin Ko, Ivan Wong, Jonathan Ang, Julien Remy, Kevin Durand, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Leo Sun, Madalina Stoicov, Olivia Avila, Robert Fornos, Sam Weldon										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Yes	(1)	327	98.79%							
No	(2)	2	0.60%							
N/A	(3)	2	0.60%							
				0	25	50	100	TA		
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>		
				1.02		0.17		1.00		

# Columbia University: School of Engineering

## Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

18 - Comments	
<b>Begum Gokmen</b>	
<b>Response Rate</b>	1/299 (0.33%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• So wonderful and responsive!!!</li> </ul>	

18 - Comments	
<b>Brian Paick</b>	
<b>Response Rate</b>	5/299 (1.67%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• n/a</li> <li>• Excellent TA!</li> <li>• So wonderful and responsive!!!</li> <li>• Brian was incredible at directing my questions to my own learning rather than to my answers. He guided me to understanding and finding my own answers.</li> <li>• Super nice TA, is very helpful!</li> </ul>	

18 - Comments	
<b>Brianna Wang</b>	
<b>Response Rate</b>	2/299 (0.67%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• So wonderful and responsive!!!</li> <li>• OMG super nice. She is also very helpful and gives good explanations on topics.</li> </ul>	

18 - Comments	
<b>Carl von Bonin</b>	
<b>Response Rate</b>	3/299 (1%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• So wonderful and responsive!!! The GOATTTT</li> <li>• My AP GOAT.</li> <li>• I like Carl a lot as a person</li> </ul>	

18 - Comments	
<b>Conor Stewart</b>	
<b>Response Rate</b>	3/299 (1%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Excellent TA!</li> <li>• So wonderful and responsive!!!</li> <li>• Connor consistently was my saving grace in at least half of the labs. Every week I reached a moment when I felt lost and Conor was able to put me on the right path.</li> </ul>	

18 - Comments	
<b>Daniel Manjarrez</b>	
<b>Response Rate</b>	1/299 (0.33%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• So wonderful and responsive!!!</li> </ul>	

18 - Comments	
<b>Elizabeth Kushelevsky</b>	
<b>Response Rate</b>	4/299 (1.34%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• So wonderful and responsive!!!</li> <li>• Elizabeth is patient and has a great attitude. Very knowledgeable and happy to help.</li> <li>• Very good at explaining concepts in a very detailed and thorough way while still making it easy to understand.</li> <li>• Elizabeth is incredibly knowledgeable and I appreciate her patience, reliability, and helpfulness!</li> </ul>	

# Columbia University: School of Engineering

## Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

### 18 - Comments

**Elvin Ko**

<b>Response Rate</b>	1/299 (0.33%)
----------------------	---------------

- So wonderful and responsive!!!

### 18 - Comments

**Ivan Wong**

<b>Response Rate</b>	2/299 (0.67%)
----------------------	---------------

- So wonderful and responsive!!!
- I am not sure why but he didn't return back to my email. Not receiving a response made me feel like he finds his time valuable and doesn't want to spend that "valuable" time on students.

### 18 - Comments

**Jonathan Ang**

<b>Response Rate</b>	7/299 (2.34%)
----------------------	---------------

- Jonathan is great! Learned a lot from him, and he is also very kind.
- So wonderful and responsive!!! Awesome answers through email!
- Helpful answers to questions and friendly feedback. Great TA
- Johnathan is chronically on listserv. Does he get sleep?
- Responds to listserv emails very efficiently!
- None.
- Jonathan is very knowledgeable in AP and the classes that follow it! He's efficient and I appreciate his thorough responses on the listserv.

### 18 - Comments

**Julien Remy**

<b>Response Rate</b>	1/299 (0.33%)
----------------------	---------------

- So wonderful and responsive!!!

### 18 - Comments

**Kevin Durand**

<b>Response Rate</b>	1/299 (0.33%)
----------------------	---------------

- So wonderful and responsive!!!

### 18 - Comments

**Koral Wheeler**

<b>Response Rate</b>	2/299 (0.67%)
----------------------	---------------

- So wonderful and responsive!!!
- Super Nice, She's super helpful!

### 18 - Comments

**Kyra Ramesh Krishna**

<b>Response Rate</b>	3/299 (1%)
----------------------	------------

- So wonderful and responsive!!!
- I sent Kyra this email after one of her sample exam reviews: Hi Kyra, I tuned in to your review session tn, and everyone in the chat was spot on. Your explanations are so crisp, and I will for sure be copying that diagram style for the exam. I'm sure your OH will be mobbed going forward. Thanks for doing a great job! Best, Jake Ledner
- She's so cool! I definitely have learned so much from her!

**Columbia University: School of Engineering**  
**Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation**

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick,Robert Fornos,Elvin Ko,Daniel Manjarrez,Carl von Bonin,Kevin Durand,Conor Stewart,Koral Wheeler,Kyra Ramesh Krishna,Julien Remy,Brianna Wang,Ivan Wong,Olivia Avila,Begum Gokmen,Madalina Stoicov,Leo Sun,Elizabeth Kushelevsky,Jonathan Ang,Sam Weldon

18 - Comments	
Leo Sun	
<b>Response Rate</b>	2/299 (0.67%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• So wonderful and responsive!!!</li> <li>• Leo is very patient and always explains difficult concepts in depth!</li> </ul>	

18 - Comments	
Madalina Stoicov	
<b>Response Rate</b>	4/299 (1.34%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• So wonderful and responsive!!!</li> <li>• Very knowledgeable TA, she knows what shes doing. She is super nice.</li> <li>• Great TA</li> <li>• Madalina is an amazing and patient TA!</li> </ul>	

18 - Comments	
Olivia Avila	
<b>Response Rate</b>	2/299 (0.67%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• So wonderful and responsive!!!</li> <li>• SHE SO COOL. Amazing TA, super kind and approachable.</li> </ul>	

18 - Comments	
Robert Fornos	
<b>Response Rate</b>	2/299 (0.67%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fantastic!! Such a good head TA.</li> <li>• So wonderful and responsive!!!</li> </ul>	

18 - Comments	
Sam Weldon	
<b>Response Rate</b>	7/299 (2.34%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Very knowledgeable and helpful. Knows to give just the right amount of information to get you out of a rut while also not giving away the answer.</li> <li>• So wonderful and responsive!!!</li> <li>• Sam's awesome, super approachable and chill. Thanks for the help!</li> <li>• Sam is really good at explaining things without giving too much away. He did a great job helping out in recitation as well.</li> <li>• As a student who is always at office hours I believe that Sam deserves the BEST TA award, he gives the best explanations and you can tell he likes being a TA. He stays sometimes after his TA hours to help you on an issue you're facing. HE DESERVES AN AWARD!</li> <li>• Great TA</li> <li>• Sam is an incredible TA who's always shown so much patience during office hours. He's approachable: Sam is always willing to help students and explain concepts, and whenever I've asked questions outside the scope of the course or wonder about something that hasn't been covered just yet, he encourages exploration and curiosity.</li> </ul>	



# Columbia University: School of Engineering

## Fall 2025 SEAS Final Evaluation

---

**Course:** COMSW3157\_001\_2025\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

**Instructor:** Jae Lee \*

Brian Paick, Robert Fornos, Elvin Ko, Daniel Manjarrez, Carl von Bonin, Kevin Durand, Conor Stewart, Koral Wheeler, Kyra Ramesh Krishna, Julien Remy, Brianna Wang, Ivan Wong, Olivia Avila, Begum Gokmen, Madalina Stoicov, Leo Sun, Elizabeth Kushelevsky, Jonathan Ang, Sam Weldon

- Madalina is an amazing and patient TA!
- Leo is very patient and always explains difficult concepts in depth!
- Elizabeth is incredibly knowledgeable and I appreciate her patience, reliability, and helpfulness!
- Jonathan is very knowledgeable in AP and the classes that follow it! He's efficient and I appreciate his thorough responses on the listserv.
- Sam is an incredible TA who's always shown so much patience during office hours. He's approachable: Sam is always willing to help students and explain concepts, and whenever I've asked questions outside the scope of the course or wonder about something that hasn't been covered just yet, he encourages exploration and curiosity.