

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

1 - Course: Amount Learned

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	2	10.00%					
Very Good	(4)	6	30.00%					
Excellent	(5)	12	60.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
20/40 (50.00%)				4.50	0.69	5.00		

2 - Course: Appropriateness of Workload

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	3	15.00%					
Fair	(2)	5	25.00%					
Good	(3)	2	10.00%					
Very Good	(4)	4	20.00%					
Excellent	(5)	6	30.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
20/40 (50.00%)				3.25	1.52	3.50		

3 - Course: Fairness of Grading Process

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	1	5.00%					
Fair	(2)	6	30.00%					
Good	(3)	5	25.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	10.00%					
Excellent	(5)	6	30.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
20/40 (50.00%)				3.30	1.34	3.00		

4 - Course: Overall Quality

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	2	10.00%					
Good	(3)	3	15.00%					
Very Good	(4)	6	30.00%					
Excellent	(5)	9	45.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
20/40 (50.00%)				4.10	1.02	4.00		

5 - Enter any additional comments here

Response Rate 5/40 (12.5%)

- Very workload heavy course, but you learn an extreme amount
- This course is extremely challenging but makes a programmer.
- This is a really great course. Its hard, for sure, but a fantastic course.
- I learned so much but at what cost... RIP
- "Fairness of grading process" was fair because it was explicitly detailed out in rubrics, but the standards were very high and there was little room for leniency

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING


Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)


6 - Instructor: Organization and Preparation

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.60		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	2	10.00%	█				
Very Good	(4)	4	20.00%	██				
Excellent	(5)	14	70.00%	██████				
				0 25 50 100	Instructor			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
20/40 (50.00%)				4.60		0.68		5.00


7 - Instructor: Classroom Delivery

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.60		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	1	5.00%	█				
Very Good	(4)	6	30.00%	████				
Excellent	(5)	13	65.00%	███████				
				0 25 50 100	Instructor			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
20/40 (50.00%)				4.60		0.60		5.00


8 - Instructor: Approachability

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	1	5.00%	█		3.60		
Fair	(2)	3	15.00%	███				
Good	(3)	6	30.00%	█████				
Very Good	(4)	3	15.00%	███				
Excellent	(5)	7	35.00%	██████				
				0 25 50 100	Instructor			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
20/40 (50.00%)				3.60		1.27		3.50

9 - Instructor: Overall Quality

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.35		
Fair	(2)	1	5.00%	█				
Good	(3)	1	5.00%	█				
Very Good	(4)	8	40.00%	██████				
Excellent	(5)	10	50.00%	███████				
				0 25 50 100	Instructor			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
20/40 (50.00%)				4.35		0.81		4.50

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING




Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

10 - Would you nominate this professor for the SEAS Distinguished Faculty Award?

Jae Lee

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	13	76.47%					
No	(2)	4	23.53%					
				0 25 50 100	Instructor			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
17/40 (42.50%)				1.24	0.44	1.00		





11 - If so, please explain why

Jae Lee

Response Rate	8/40 (20%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jae is one of the best professors I have had. The course he teaches is not easy but he finds a way to make everything doable and I definitely learnt a lot from his class Jae is a great instructor. I personally really enjoy taking his class, not that I am a genius who gets an A+ from his class - I am an average student at most, but I feel like I've learned so much from Jae and AP. Jae is very organized and he delivers course materials in an efficient and clear way. Despite his reputation of being strict, he did show flexibility for exams because of Covid and snowstorms in Texas - which is appreciated. You can tell Jae is very serious about his work as a professor and he is genuinely passionate about the C language, and I am deeply positively affected by this. I would take a class with him again. Jae is extremely knowledgeable and skilled in this topic. He tailors each class to content necessary for the labs, midterms, and exams. He makes this course. Jae really cares about his students and LOVES teaching. This man owns my soul Amazing lecturer, really devoted to his craft. And although he doesn't show it directly, he deeply cares about his TA cohort and students. He challenges his students a lot, but we all learn a lot from the course. He emphasizes that we may all come in with varying levels of experience that may influence how challenging the course is, but we will come away from the class with a lot. Jae is an excellent Professor. He created the course Advanced Programming and his passion for the content shows. He also made the effort to host Section 2, which was really helpful for me in grasping the concepts throughout AP. 	




12 - Overall Quality

Alan Zhao

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	1	16.67%					
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%					
Excellent	(5)	4	66.67%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
6/40 (15.00%)				4.50	0.84	5.00		

12 - Overall Quality

Bruk Zewdie

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%					
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
5/40 (12.50%)				4.80	0.45	5.00		

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

12 - Overall Quality

Cherie Liu

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%	■				
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				4.83		0.41		5.00

12 - Overall Quality

Erika McManus

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	25.00%	■				
Excellent	(5)	6	75.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
8/40 (20.00%)				4.75		0.46		5.00

12 - Overall Quality

Gustaf Ahdritz

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	7	100.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

12 - Overall Quality

Hans Montero

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	2	22.22%	■				
Very Good	(4)	1	11.11%	■				
Excellent	(5)	6	66.67%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/40 (22.50%)				4.44		0.88		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING


Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)


12 - Overall Quality

Hollis Lehv

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.86		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%	■				
Excellent	(5)	6	85.71%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				4.86		0.38		5.00


12 - Overall Quality

Imanol Uribe Echevarria

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.50		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	3	50.00%	■				
Excellent	(5)	3	50.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				4.50		0.55		4.50


12 - Overall Quality

Ivy Cao

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.80		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%	■				
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
5/40 (12.50%)				4.80		0.45		5.00

12 - Overall Quality

Julia Guo

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.67		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	33.33%	■				
Excellent	(5)	4	66.67%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				4.67		0.52		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

12 - Overall Quality

Kent Hall

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.80		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%	■				
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
5/40 (12.50%)				4.80		0.45		5.00

12 - Overall Quality

Lucie Le Blanc

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.86		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%	■				
Excellent	(5)	6	85.71%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				4.86		0.38		5.00

12 - Overall Quality

Luiz do Valle

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.83		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%	■				
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				4.83		0.41		5.00

12 - Overall Quality

Maylis Whetsel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.88		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	12.50%	■				
Excellent	(5)	7	87.50%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
8/40 (20.00%)				4.88		0.35		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

12 - Overall Quality							
Mia Bramel							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	10.00%				
Excellent	(5)	9	90.00%				
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
10/40 (25.00%)				4.90	0.32	5.00	

12 - Overall Quality							
Michael Jan							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%				
Excellent	(5)	10	90.91%				
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
11/40 (27.50%)				4.91	0.30	5.00	

12 - Overall Quality							
Shruti Verma							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	2	28.57%				
Excellent	(5)	5	71.43%				
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
7/40 (17.50%)				4.71	0.49	5.00	

12 - Overall Quality							
Tal Zussman							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	25.00%				
Excellent	(5)	3	75.00%				
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
4/40 (10.00%)				4.75	0.50	5.00	

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING


Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)




12 - Overall Quality

Alan Zhao, Bruk Zewdie, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Ivy Cao, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lucie Le Blanc, Luiz do Valle, Maylis Whetsel, Mia Bramel, Michael Jan, Shruti Verma, Tal Zussman

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.77	TA					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%								
Good	(3)	3	2.44%								
Very Good	(4)	22	17.89%								
Excellent	(5)	98	79.67%								
				02550100							
Response Rate				Mean		STD			Median		
				4.77		0.48			5.00		


13 - Knowledgeability

Alan Zhao

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%						
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%						
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%						
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%						
				02550100	TA				
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
6/40 (15.00%)				4.83		0.41		5.00	


13 - Knowledgeability

Bruk Zewdie

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.80	TA			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%						
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%						
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%						
				02550100					
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
5/40 (12.50%)				4.80		0.45		5.00	

13 - Knowledgeability

Cherie Liu

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		5.00	TA			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%						
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%						
Excellent	(5)	6	100.00%						
				02550100					
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
6/40 (15.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00	

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

13 - Knowledgeability

Erika McManus

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	25.00%	■				
Excellent	(5)	6	75.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
8/40 (20.00%)				4.75		0.46		5.00

13 - Knowledgeability

Gustaf Ahdritz

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	7	100.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

13 - Knowledgeability

Hans Montero

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	11.11%	■				
Excellent	(5)	8	88.89%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/40 (22.50%)				4.89		0.33		5.00

13 - Knowledgeability

Hollis Lehv

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	28.57%	■				
Excellent	(5)	5	71.43%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				4.71		0.49		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING


Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)


13 - Knowledgeability

Imanol Uribe Echevarria

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.67		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	33.33%	■				
Excellent	(5)	4	66.67%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				4.67		0.52		5.00


13 - Knowledgeability

Ivy Cao

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.80		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%	■				
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
5/40 (12.50%)				4.80		0.45		5.00


13 - Knowledgeability

Julia Guo

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.83		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%	■				
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				4.83		0.41		5.00

13 - Knowledgeability

Kent Hall

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			5.00		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	5	100.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
5/40 (12.50%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING



Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)



13 - Knowledgeability

Lucie Le Blanc

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	7	100.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				5.00		0.00		5.00




13 - Knowledgeability

Luiz do Valle

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	6	100.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00




13 - Knowledgeability

Maylis Whetsel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	12.50%					
Excellent	(5)	7	87.50%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
8/40 (20.00%)				4.88		0.35		5.00

13 - Knowledgeability

Mia Bramel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	10.00%					
Excellent	(5)	9	90.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
10/40 (25.00%)				4.90		0.32		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING


Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)


13 - Knowledgeability

Michael Jan

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	11	100.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
11/40 (27.50%)				5.00		0.00		5.00


13 - Knowledgeability

Shruti Verma

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	7	100.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				5.00		0.00		5.00


13 - Knowledgeability

Tal Zussman

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	4	100.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
4/40 (10.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

13 - Knowledgeability

Alan Zhao, Bruk Zewdie, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Ivy Cao, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lucie Le Blanc, Luiz do Valle, Maylis Whetsel, Mia Bramel, Michael Jan, Shruti Verma, Tal Zussman

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	13	10.57%	■				
Excellent	(5)	110	89.43%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
				4.89		0.31		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING



Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)




14 - Approachability

Alan Zhao

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%					
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%					
				02550100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				4.83		0.41		5.00



14 - Approachability

Bruk Zewdie

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%						
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%						
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%						
Excellent	(5)	5	100.00%						
									
				02550100	TA				
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
5/40 (12.50%)				5.00		0.00		5.00	



14 - Approachability

Cherie Liu

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%					
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%					
				02550100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				4.83		0.41		5.00

14 - Approachability

Erika McManus

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%						
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%						
Very Good	(4)	1	12.50%						
Excellent	(5)	7	87.50%						
				02550100	TA				
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
8/40 (20.00%)				4.88		0.35		5.00	

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

14 - Approachability

Gustaf Ahdritz

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	7	100.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
7/40 (17.50%)				5.00	0.00	5.00		

14 - Approachability

Hans Montero

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	1	11.11%	■				
Good	(3)	1	11.11%	■				
Very Good	(4)	1	11.11%	■				
Excellent	(5)	6	66.67%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
9/40 (22.50%)				4.33	1.12	5.00		

14 - Approachability

Hollis Lehv

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%	■				
Excellent	(5)	6	85.71%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
7/40 (17.50%)				4.86	0.38	5.00		

14 - Approachability

Imanol Uribe Echevarria

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	33.33%	■				
Excellent	(5)	4	66.67%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
6/40 (15.00%)				4.67	0.52	5.00		

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING


Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)


14 - Approachability

Ivy Cao

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%	■				
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%	■■■■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
5/40 (12.50%)				4.80		0.45		5.00


14 - Approachability

Julia Guo

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%	■				
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%	■■■■■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				4.83		0.41		5.00


14 - Approachability

Kent Hall

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%	■				
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%	■■■■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
5/40 (12.50%)				4.80		0.45		5.00

14 - Approachability

Lucie Le Blanc

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	7	100.00%	■■■■■■■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

14 - Approachability

Luiz do Valle

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	6	100.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

14 - Approachability

Maylis Whetsel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	25.00%					
Excellent	(5)	6	75.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
8/40 (20.00%)				4.75		0.46		5.00

14 - Approachability

Mia Bramel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	20.00%					
Excellent	(5)	8	80.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
10/40 (25.00%)				4.80		0.42		5.00

14 - Approachability

Michael Jan

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	11	100.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
11/40 (27.50%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

14 - Approachability

Shruti Verma

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.86
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	0	0.00%		
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%	■	
Excellent	(5)	6	85.71%	■■■■■	
				0 25 50 100	TA
Response Rate				Mean	STD
7/40 (17.50%)				4.86	0.38
					Median
					5.00

14 - Approachability

Tal Zussman

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.75
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	0	0.00%		
Very Good	(4)	1	25.00%	■	
Excellent	(5)	3	75.00%	■■■	
				0 25 50 100	TA
Response Rate				Mean	STD
4/40 (10.00%)				4.75	0.50
					Median
					5.00

14 - Approachability

Alan Zhao, Bruk Zewdie, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Ivy Cao, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lucie Le Blanc, Luiz do Valle, Maylis Whetsel, Mia Bramel, Michael Jan, Shruti Verma, Tal Zussman

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.83
Fair	(2)	1	0.81%		
Good	(3)	1	0.81%		
Very Good	(4)	16	13.01%	■	
Excellent	(5)	105	85.37%	■■■■■	
				0 25 50 100	TA
Response Rate				Mean	STD
				4.83	0.46
					Median
					5.00

15 - Availability

Alan Zhao

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.80
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		
Good	(3)	0	0.00%		
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%	■	
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%	■■■■	
				0 25 50 100	TA
Response Rate				Mean	STD
5/40 (12.50%)				4.80	0.45
					Median
					5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

15 - Availability							
Bruk Zewdie							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%				
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%				
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
5/40 (12.50%)				4.80	0.45	5.00	

15 - Availability							
Cherie Liu							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%				
Excellent	(5)	6	100.00%				
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
6/40 (15.00%)				5.00	0.00	5.00	

15 - Availability							
Erika McManus							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	12.50%				
Excellent	(5)	7	87.50%				
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
8/40 (20.00%)				4.88	0.35	5.00	

15 - Availability							
Gustaf Ahdritz							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%				
Excellent	(5)	6	85.71%				
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
7/40 (17.50%)				4.86	0.38	5.00	

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

15 - Availability							
Hans Montero							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	2	22.22%				
Excellent	(5)	7	77.78%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
9/40 (22.50%)				4.78	0.44	5.00	

15 - Availability							
Hollis Lehv							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%				
Excellent	(5)	6	85.71%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
7/40 (17.50%)				4.86	0.38	5.00	

15 - Availability							
Imanol Uribe Echevarria							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	2	33.33%				
Excellent	(5)	4	66.67%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
6/40 (15.00%)				4.67	0.52	5.00	

15 - Availability							
Ivy Cao							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%				
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
5/40 (12.50%)				4.80	0.45	5.00	

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

15 - Availability							
Julia Guo							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%				
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
6/40 (15.00%)				4.83	0.41	5.00	

15 - Availability							
Kent Hall							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%				
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
5/40 (12.50%)				4.80	0.45	5.00	

15 - Availability							
Lucie Le Blanc							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%				
Excellent	(5)	6	85.71%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
7/40 (17.50%)				4.86	0.38	5.00	

15 - Availability							
Luiz do Valle							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%				
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
6/40 (15.00%)				4.83	0.41	5.00	

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

15 - Availability							
Maylis Whetsel							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	1	12.50%				
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%				
Excellent	(5)	7	87.50%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean		STD	Median
8/40 (20.00%)				4.75		0.71	5.00

15 - Availability							
Mia Bramel							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	1	10.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	10.00%				
Excellent	(5)	8	80.00%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean		STD	Median
10/40 (25.00%)				4.70		0.67	5.00

15 - Availability							
Michael Jan							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%				
Excellent	(5)	10	90.91%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean		STD	Median
11/40 (27.50%)				4.91		0.30	5.00

15 - Availability							
Shruti Verma							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%				
Excellent	(5)	6	85.71%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean		STD	Median
7/40 (17.50%)				4.86		0.38	5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering


Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation


Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING


Instructor: Jae Lee *


Gustaf Ahdrizt, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

15 - Availability							
Tal Zussman							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	25.00%	■			
Excellent	(5)	3	75.00%	■			
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean		STD	Median
4/40 (10.00%)				4.75		0.50	5.00

15 - Availability							
Alan Zhao, Bruk Zewdie, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Gustaf Ahdrizt, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Ivy Cao, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lucie Le Blanc, Luiz do Valle, Maylis Whetsel, Mia Bramel, Michael Jan, Shruti Verma, Tal Zussman							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	2	1.64%				
Very Good	(4)	18	14.75%	■			
Excellent	(5)	102	83.61%	■			
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean		STD	Median
				4.82		0.43	5.00

16 - Communication							
Alan Zhao							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%	■			
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%	■			
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean		STD	Median
6/40 (15.00%)				4.83		0.41	5.00

16 - Communication							
Bruk Zewdie							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%	■			
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%	■			
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response Rate				Mean		STD	Median
5/40 (12.50%)				4.80		0.45	5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING


Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)


16 - Communication

Cherie Liu

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	6	100.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00


16 - Communication

Erika McManus

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%					
Excellent	(5)	6	85.71%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				4.86		0.38		5.00


16 - Communication

Gustaf Ahdritz

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	7	100.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

16 - Communication

Hans Montero

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	1	11.11%					
Very Good	(4)	2	22.22%					
Excellent	(5)	6	66.67%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/40 (22.50%)				4.56		0.73		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

16 - Communication

Hollis Lehv

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.86		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%	■				
Excellent	(5)	6	85.71%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				4.86		0.38		5.00

16 - Communication

Imanol Uribe Echevarria

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.67		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	33.33%	■				
Excellent	(5)	4	66.67%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				4.67		0.52		5.00

16 - Communication

Ivy Cao

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.80		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%	■				
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
5/40 (12.50%)				4.80		0.45		5.00

16 - Communication

Julia Guo

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.83		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%	■				
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				4.83		0.41		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

16 - Communication

Kent Hall

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%	■				
Excellent	(5)	4	80.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
5/40 (12.50%)				4.80		0.45		5.00

16 - Communication

Lucie Le Blanc

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%	■				
Excellent	(5)	6	85.71%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				4.86		0.38		5.00

16 - Communication

Luiz do Valle

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	6	100.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

16 - Communication

Maylis Whetsel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	12.50%	■				
Excellent	(5)	7	87.50%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
8/40 (20.00%)				4.88		0.35		5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING


Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)


16 - Communication

Mia Bramel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	2	20.00%	■				
Excellent	(5)	8	80.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
10/40 (25.00%)				4.80	0.42	5.00		


16 - Communication

Michael Jan

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	11	100.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
11/40 (27.50%)				5.00	0.00	5.00		


16 - Communication

Shruti Verma

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	7	100.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
7/40 (17.50%)				5.00	0.00	5.00		

16 - Communication

Tal Zussman

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	25.00%	■				
Excellent	(5)	3	75.00%	■				
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
4/40 (10.00%)				4.75	0.50	5.00		

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

16 - Communication

Alan Zhao, Bruk Zewdie, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Ivy Cao, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lucie Le Blanc, Luiz do Valle, Maylis Whetsel, Mia Bramel, Michael Jan, Shruti Verma, Tal Zussman

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	1	0.82%					
Very Good	(4)	16	13.11%					
Excellent	(5)	105	86.07%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
				4.85	0.38	5.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Alan Zhao

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	6	100.00%					
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
6/40 (15.00%)				1.00	0.00	1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Bruk Zewdie

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	5	100.00%					
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
5/40 (12.50%)				1.00	0.00	1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Cherie Liu

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	6	100.00%					
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
6/40 (15.00%)				1.00	0.00	1.00		

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdrizt,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Erika McManus

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	8	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div>	1.00		
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
8/40 (20.00%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Gustaf Ahdrizt

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	7	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div>	1.00		
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Hans Montero

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	9	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div>	1.00		
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/40 (22.50%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Hollis Lehv

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	7	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div>	1.00		
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Imanol Uribe Echevarria

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	6	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div>	1.00		
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Ivy Cao

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	5	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div>	1.00		
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
5/40 (12.50%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Julia Guo

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	6	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div>	1.00		
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Kent Hall

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	5	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div>	1.00		
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
5/40 (12.50%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Lucie Le Blanc

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	7	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div>	1.00		
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Luiz do Valle

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	6	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div>	1.00		
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/40 (15.00%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Maylis Whetsel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	8	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1.00</div></div>			
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
8/40 (20.00%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Mia Bramel

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	10	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1.00</div></div>			
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
10/40 (25.00%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Michael Jan

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	11	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1.00</div></div>			
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
11/40 (27.50%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Shruti Verma

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	7	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1.00</div></div>			
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/40 (17.50%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Tal Zussman

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	4	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div>1.00</div></div>			
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
4/40 (10.00%)				1.00		0.00		1.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING



Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?

Alan Zhao, Bruk Zewdie, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Ivy Cao, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lucie Le Blanc, Luiz do Valle, Maylis Whetsel, Mia Bramel, Michael Jan, Shruti Verma, Tal Zussman

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	123	100.00%		1.00		TA	
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100				
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
				1.00	0.00	1.00		

18 - Comments

Alan Zhao

Response Rate 1/40 (2.5%)

- Super friendly and approachable

18 - Comments

Bruk Zewdie

Response Rate 1/40 (2.5%)

- Very knowledgeable and knows how to explain concepts in a digestible manner. Thanks for your hard work!

18 - Comments

Cherie Liu

Response Rate 1/40 (2.5%)

- Cherie is very knowledgeable and approachable. Whenever I went to her office hours, she was always very aware of the long line of people waiting and therefore worked very efficiently. She's able to identify the problem quickly and gives helpful suggestions on how to debug the problem if we weren't able to solve the problem within our session. She often goes overtime as well, which was very much appreciated.

18 - Comments

Erika McManus

Response Rate 2/40 (5%)

- Amazing, super helpful, nothing bad to say except she deserves to be a TA
- Erika was always so helpful during office hours and took great lengths to help me understand the goals of the assignment instead of just how to implement it. She was also just an all around approachable, kind person who made my time in this class infinitely better.

18 - Comments

Gustaf Ahdritz

Response Rate 3/40 (7.5%)

- Approachable chill dude, super smart and helpful
- Gustaf is very knowledgeable and is willing to go in depth to explain general concepts or to respond to questions I have, including drawing diagrams and explaining what's going on in the machine. I always appreciated the amount of time he gave to each person and I never felt too rushed where I'd forget to ask some questions I had. This often meant that he stayed overtime to get to all the people who signed up for his office hours, which was very much appreciated.
- Gustaf was an excellent TA and helped me to learn the material well.

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

18 - Comments

Hans Montero

Response Rate 5/40 (12.5%)

- I loved how he could illustrate concepts so easily. Thank you for being so kind and amazing!
- Really good at highlighting key issues
- Hans is very nice and easy to talk to
- Hans is very knowledgeable about the topics and I appreciated his descriptive emails/his efforts in responding to listserv emails. However, in the few times I did go to office hours, he seemed impatient with some of the questions I asked (which may have been easy in concept but I just wanted to confirm my understanding) and made me feel like I had to filter through the questions I wanted to ask. Nevertheless, he is always helpful and very efficient in office hours, which is much appreciated when lines to see TAs were very long. I also liked how he would summarize what happened during private sessions to the entire group because often times they were common mistakes or misunderstandings that other students may have been struggling with.
- Hans is very knowledgeable. Sometimes, he was not approachable.

18 - Comments

Hollis Lehv

Response Rate 2/40 (5%)

- Nice TA that clearly knows her stuff, I just wish she was a little more approachable
- Best explainer of code and algorithms

18 - Comments

Imanol Uribe Echevarria

Response Rate 0/40 (0%)

18 - Comments

Ivy Cao

Response Rate 1/40 (2.5%)

- Ivy is very kind and easy to approach and she always takes her time with each student during her office hours. She often would stay overtime to help more students, which was very appreciated.

18 - Comments

Julia Guo

Response Rate 2/40 (5%)

- Super kind and knowledgeable. I love how approachable her demeanor was, she really cares for her students
- Julia is easy to approach and really makes an effort to make sure we understand the topics.

18 - Comments

Kent Hall

Response Rate 0/40 (0%)

18 - Comments

Lucie Le Blanc

Response Rate 2/40 (5%)

- Absolutely awesome
- Lucie is very knowledgeable and approachable and often takes her time to draw us diagrams and other visual aids, which was very helpful in understanding more abstract or convoluted ideas.

18 - Comments

Luiz do Valle

Response Rate 3/40 (7.5%)

- Super kind and nice. You can tell he puts in a lot of effort into being a TA, specially during crowded OH. Thank you for everything!
- Luiz is very knowledgeable, approachable, and is often quick to understand what the problem is whenever I go to his office hours.
- Luiz was an excellent TA and helped me understand the material.

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

18 - Comments

Maylis Whetsel

Response Rate 3/40 (7.5%)

- 10/10. ever done before, amazing, spectacular
- Among the best explainers of code and algorithms
- Maylis is extremely knowledgeable and is very good at explaining difficult concepts in an accessible way. It's clear that she knows the topics so well and is able to come up with analogies or visual aids on the spot to help our understanding. She is also very patient and willing to explain something more than once and in a different manner if it didn't make sense to someone the first time around. She is very flexible and able to accommodate specific requests, which was helpful when she was dealing with a range of questions and requests. Whenever I went to her office hours, she always made sure to try to prioritize answering general questions and was always very efficient at identifying the problem and getting to most, if not all, of the students waiting. I also notice that she often helps other TAs in their office hours, which was very much appreciated.

18 - Comments

Mia Bramel

Response Rate 4/40 (10%)

- Very thoughtful, kind, and helpful TA
- Mia is so approachable and knowledgeable. I am a regular at her OH and she has helped me a lot.
- Mia is one of the kindest and most patient TAs ever. She takes her time to go over general concepts in detail and in a way that is accessible and easy to understand. I appreciated that she always took some time in the beginning of her office hours to go over general questions, so that even if she wasn't able to get to a private session with every person, she addressed many questions that more than one person may have been struggling with. She is very generous with her time and often participates in a lot of the meetings held by TAs and goes beyond her office hours to try to help more people, which was very much appreciated. I really enjoyed having her as a TA and being able to go to her office hours because I always left understanding topics better and having a clearer idea of how to do the labs, even if she didn't get to personally see me.
- Mia was so nice and encouraging regardless of what questions we ask her, and even if office hours have stretched on for a really long time.

18 - Comments

Michael Jan

Response Rate 5/40 (12.5%)

- Awesome, approachable, and smart TA. Just please make tests easier :]
- Michael is a great TA! He explains everything well. He writes difficult exam questions, though.
- Amazing ability to explain HARD stuff!!
- Michael is very knowledgeable and is able to explain difficult topics in a way that is very accessible. He is also very approachable and seems to participate in lots of the TA meetings beyond just his office hours. I also really appreciated his email responses on the listserv because he often goes into detail to describe concepts, which I found really helpful.
- Very helpful and knowledgeable. Put in lots of effort to communicate concepts to students. Could slow down sometimes in explaining things.

18 - Comments

Shruti Verma

Response Rate 1/40 (2.5%)

- Shruti is such a kind, patient, and knowledgeable TA. Even when I didn't get to see her one-on-one on the days where the lines were longer, she always was willing to go over general questions in the beginning/throughout her session so that everyone had some chance to get some help. Her office hours before exams were helpful as well, as she was able to go through a lot of concepts and go into detail about what's happening in tricky lines of codes, often drawing helpful visual aids. She really catered to the students and was very flexible with whatever requests we had, which was very much appreciated.

18 - Comments

Tal Zussman

Response Rate 2/40 (5%)

- Tal is kind and knowledgeable. I never had a one-on-one meeting with him but have attended his office hours and he was still very helpful when he went over general questions.
- Tal was very helpful in teaching me the AP material.

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

18 - Comments

Alan Zhao, Bruk Zewdie, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Ivy Cao, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lucie Le Blanc, Luiz do Valle, Maylis Whetsel, Mia Bramel, Michael Jan, Shruti Verma, Tal Zussman

Response Rate

- Awesome, approachable, and smart TA. Just please make tests easier :]
- Super kind and nice. You can tell he puts in a lot of effort into being a TA, specially during crowded OH. Thank you for everything!
- Very knowledgeable and knows how to explain concepts in a digestible manner. Thanks for you hard work!
- Absolutely awesome
- Super kind and knowledgeable. I love how approachable her demeanor was, she really cares for her students
- I loved how he could illustrate concepts so easily. Thank you for being so kind and amazing!
- Approachable chill dude, super smart and helpful
- Nice TA that clearly knows her stuff, I just wish she was a little more approachable
- Very thoughtful, kind, and helpful TA
- 10/10. ever done before, amazing, spectacular
- Amazing, super helpful, nothing bad to say except she deserves to be a TA
- Michael is a great TA! He explains everything well. He writes difficult exam questions, though.
- Mia is so approachable and knowledgeable. I am a regular at her OH and she has helped me a lot.
- Amazing ability to explain HARD stuff!!
- Really good at highlighting key issues
- Best explainer of code and algorithms
- Among the best explainers of code and algorithms
- Super friendly and approachable
- Hans is very nice and easy to talk to
- Erika was always so helpful during office hours and took great lengths to help me understand the goals of the assignment instead of just how to implement it. She was also just an all around approachable, kind person who made my time in this class infinitely better.
- Michael is very knowledgeable and is able to explain difficult topics in a way that is very accessible. He is also very approachable and seems to participate in lots of the TA meetings beyond just his office hours. I also really appreciated his email responses on the listserv because he often goes into detail to describe concepts, which I found really helpful.
- Luiz is very knowledgeable, approachable, and is often quick to understand what the problem is whenever I go to his office hours.
- Lucie is very knowledgeable and approachable and often takes her time to draw us diagrams and other visual aids, which was very helpful in understanding more abstract or convoluted ideas.
- Julia is easy to approach and really makes an effort to make sure we understand the topics.
- Erika is very knowledgeable about the topics and I appreciated his descriptive emails/his efforts in responding to listserv emails. However, in the few times I did go to office hours, he seemed impatient with some of the questions I asked (which may have been easy in concept but I just wanted to confirm my understanding) and made me feel like I had to filter through the questions I wanted to ask. Nevertheless, he is always helpful and very efficient in office hours, which is much appreciated when lines to see TAs were very long. I also liked how he would summarize what happened during private sessions to the entire group because often times they were common mistakes or misunderstandings that other students may have been struggling with.
- Gustaf is very knowledgeable and is willing to go in depth to explain general concepts or to respond to questions I have, including drawing diagrams and explaining what's going on in the machine. I always appreciated the amount of time he gave to each person and I never felt too rushed where I'd forget to ask some questions I had. This often meant that he stayed overtime to get to all the people who signed up for his office hours, which was very much appreciated.
- Mia is one of the kindest and most patient TAs ever. She takes her time to go over general concepts in detail and in a way that is accessible and easy to understand. I appreciated that she always took some time in the beginning of her office hours to go over general questions, so that even if she wasn't able to get to a private session with every person, she addressed many questions that more than one person may have been struggling with. She is very generous with her time and often participates in a lot of the meetings held by TAs and goes beyond her office hours to try to help more people, which was very much appreciated. I really enjoyed having her as a TA and being able to go to her office hours because I always left understanding topics better and having a clearer idea of how to do the labs, even if she didn't get to personally see me.
- Ivy is very kind and easy to approach and she always takes her time with each student during her office hours. She often would stay overtime to help more students, which was very appreciated.
- Cherie is very knowledgeable and approachable. Whenever I went to her office hours, she was always very aware of the long line of people waiting and therefore worked very efficiently. She's able to identify the problem quickly and gives helpful suggestions on how to debug the problem if we weren't able to solve the problem within our session. She often goes overtime as well, which was very much appreciated.
- Shruti is such a kind, patient, and knowledgeable TA. Even when I didn't get to see her one-on-one on the days where the lines were longer, she always was willing to go over general questions in the beginning/throughout her session so that everyone had some chance to get some help. Her office hours before exams were helpful as well, as she was able to go through a lot of concepts and go into detail about what's happening in tricky lines of codes, often drawing helpful visual aids. She really catered to the students and was very flexible with whatever requests we had, which was very much appreciated.
- Maylis is extremely knowledgeable and is very good at explaining difficult concepts in an accessible way. It's clear that she knows the topics so well and is able to come up with analogies or visual aids on the spot to help our understanding. She is also very patient and willing to explain something more than once and in a different manner if it didn't make sense to someone the first time around. She is very flexible and able to accommodate specific requests, which was helpful when she was dealing with a range of questions and requests. Whenever I went to her office hours, she always made sure to try to prioritize answering general questions and was always very efficient at identifying the problem and getting to most, if not all, of the students waiting. I also notice that she often helps other TAs in their office hours, which was very much appreciated.
- Tal is kind and knowledgeable. I never had a one-on-one meeting with him but have attended his office hours and he was still very helpful when he went over general questions.
- Mia was so nice and encouraging regardless of what questions we ask her, and even if office hours have stretched on for a really long time.
- Very helpful and knowledgeable. Put in lots of effort to communicate concepts to students. Could slow down sometimes in explaining things.
- Luiz was an excellent TA and helped me understand the material.
- Hans is very knowledgeable. Sometimes, he was not approachable.
- Gustaf was an excellent TA and helped me to learn the material well.

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Spring 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW3157_002_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahndritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 20/40 (50.00 %)

- Tal was very helpful in teaching me the AP material.