Course: COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

tor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

1 - Course: Amount Learned											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respo	nses	Means			
Poor	(1)	1	0.66%	1				4.46			
Fair	(2)	4	2.65%								
Good	(3)	14	9.27%								
Very Good	(4)	37	24.50%								
Excellent	(5)	95	62.91%								
	•	•		0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Rate					Mean				STD	Me	edian
151/270 (55.93%)				4.46					0.82	5	5.00

2 - Course: Appropriateness of Workload														
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent I	Respo	nses	Means						
Poor	(1)	6	3.97%											
Fair	(2)	23	15.23%						3.64					
Good	(3)	36	23.84%											
Very Good	(4)	40	26.49%											
Excellent	(5)	46	30.46%											
				0	25	50	100	(Question					
Response Rate					Mean					STD	M	edian		
151/270 (55.93	151/270 (55.93%)				3.64					1.18		4.00		

3 - Course: Fairness of Grading Process											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent l	Respor	nses	Means			
Poor	(1)	5	3.31%	I							
Fair	(2)	23	15.23%					3.74			
Good	(3)	31	20.53%								
Very Good	(4)	40	26.49%								
Excellent	(5)	52	34.44%								
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean					STD	Me	dian
151/270 (55.9	3%)					3.74			1.18	4	.00

4 - Course: Overall Quality										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respons	es	Means			
Poor	(1)	3	1.99%	1			4.16			
Fair	(2)	9	5.96%							
Good	(3)	25	16.56%							
Very Good	(4)	38	25.17%							
Excellent	(5)	76	50.33%							
				0 25	50	100	Question			
Response Rate					Mean			STD	Me	edian
151/270 (55.93%)				4.16				1.03	5	i.00

Course: COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

5 - Enter any additional comments here

Response Rate

 $\ensuremath{\cdot}$ PLEASE stop using the mailing list, move to an appropriate online forum.

Maybe next time don't go over for too long.

• The best CS class I've taken at Columbia so far. Very appropriate workload and great instruction from Jae and the TAs

26/270 (9.63%)

• As someone who jumped into computer science I felt like I the gap between the content discussed in the lectures in abstract was a huge leap to what was expected of us in the labs. I think this was more my issue but nevertheless I would have liked a clearer connection of how the material related to the labs (or rather some of the implicit steps in the labs that were not clear to me as a amateur programmer). That said, I did learn a lot and do feel a competitive advantage over others switching to programming through a bootcamp.

• This class has pushed me to the next level with my programming skills and understanding of computer science in general

• I came into this course thinking it would be impossible, but I was pleasantly surprised. Systems programming isn't a joke, but Jae has made the course more manageable after cutting the C++ content and labs 8-10. Jae says he's designed the course so that programming students can become programmers. As someone who had no programming experience before coming to Columbia, I think he definitely follows through with that pledge.

The exams were not a good representation of course understanding

• Phenomenal class all around. I'm unsure why it's so difficult to get an A though, at least from what I know from past semester's grade cutoffs. I understand regarding the best students, but I don't know how harmful it'd be to the learning process if the grade cutoffs were more generous.

• I loved this class! I have learned and INSANE amount and it's all very useful skills (I was actually able to apply some of the skills we learned while we learning them at a hackathon I participated in).

• While letting students discover and figure many parts of materials by themselves is an effective approach, the fact that the grading rubric is hidden from the students makes it hard for them to understand how to approach the labs. Exam 1 preparation was deceiving. The sample exams focused on a small portion of what was asked in the exam itself. The problem with that is that it makes students focus only on what was given in the sample exams and neglecting the rest of the materials. Some of the labs where explained too close to the deadline and not into many details.

• I think that the labs are graded pretty harshly! I think that on the first lab, I didn't put a colon in my print statement and I got 6 points off! I think that the grading is super peculiar and I think it should be a little more relaxed.

• Very stressful course with unfair grading which does not reflect amount learned or effort put into the class. Students should not have to worry about passing this class, and the amount of emails received on the listserv is excessive. Furthermore, Prof. Lee is very inflexible and is not approachable for students. Needlessly stressful class with an insane workload which did not teach me that much.

• Sometime, the rubric is "unfair", as if x happened, y will happened for sure, so why it counts as 15 points. The lab are extremely hard, and the exams even more. Luckily the TA's are super helpful.

Class often went over time by 5-10 minutes

• The online class didn't detract from the quality of this course at all, and it was one of the classes where being able to replay the lectures contributed most immensely to having concepts sink in.

· Definitely recommend this class. Learned so much from it

• AP is a hard course. I learned a lot and I'm glad i took it!

• Coming from someone whose CS background consisted of only 1004 and 3134, this class was tough as hell. And in hindsight, online burn out and taking too many responsibilities this semester most definitely contributed to my poor performance. I burned out after exam 2, but I also learned quite a bit. To be honest, I essentially gave up in the end for lab 6 and 7 just cause I was so burned out of online. This class taught me systems programming isn't for me, but also taught me a lot about C, Git, and important skills 'III probably use later. Jae's right that even those who do poorly learn something from this class. I only wish I hadn't taken this class online, my poor grades probably would have changed if it weren't for Zoom semester burn out. Pros: This class is extremely well organized. The most organized class I've taken at Columbia. The TAs are A+. They are super knowledgeable and responsive. Seriously, best in class TAs here at Columbia. Jae is a good person. I don't know what he was like a few years ago, but I was deathly scared of Jae coming into this class, but over the semester, it became apparent to me that despite the amount of work and fast pace of the class, at the end of the day, Jae cleanty cares about his students and their learning. Jae's a solid lecturer too. You will take something out form this class, regardless of your grade. For me personally, I only got to truly learn up until around the netcat part of the class. I half-assed the web server, I really couldn't tell you how that works. Regardless, I learned a lot about C, Git, command line arguments, and some web stuff. Comparing myself from 12 weeks ago, I'ms till a hornible AP student, but I'm a better CS student. Cons: The listserv. I don't get why Jae a leas, elae gease (suestions. Jae isn't an approachable guy. He's intimidating. I know this isn't Jae's fault as he's actually a nice guy, but I chose not to ask questions to him because it felt like I was assting his time by asking low level questions that weren't as "advanced" as students

• This course is hard. However, it is extremely fair. For someone looking to major in CS, this is one of the fairest courses you can take in my opinion. If you have a well-documented, working solution, you will get 100 on that assignment. Despite a heavy workload and difficult course content, I really enjoyed this course and I think it is more than fair.

• Strict grading but we knew that in advance! Professor Jae gives you everything you need to succeed in this course and so how you do is really up to you.

• I'm a little salty about getting a C on a homework assignment due to a one character typo, but that's the name of the game I guess.

• I learn more efficiently from TA review sessions than from lectures.

• I dislike the policy on "shotgun" multiple-choice answers -- it feels far too punishing. The work required for the labs was very high

· Exams favors those who have 10k hours of programming.

The course is really hard and time consuming

• $3157 = 2^5 + 5^5$. It is also my phone passcode.

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

Jae Lee *

Jae Lee									
Jae Lee									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Poor	(1)	3	1.99%	1	4.48				
Fair	(2)	4	2.65%	I					
Good	(3)	9	5.96%						
Very Good	(4)	36	23.84%						
Excellent	(5)	99	65.56%						
				0 25 50 100	Instructor				
		Mean		STD	Median				
151/270 (55.93%)				4.48		0.88	5.00		

7 - Instructor: Classroom Delivery

Jae Lee												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent R	lespo	nses	Means				
Poor	(1)	2	1.32%	I				4.38				
Fair	(2)	5	3.31%									
Good	(3)	16	10.60%									
Very Good	(4)	39	25.83%									
Excellent	(5)	89	58.94%									
				0	25	50	100	Instructor				
Response Rate					Mean				STD	M	edian	
151/270 (55.9	3%)			4.38					0.90		5.00	

8 - Instructor: Approachability

Jae Lee									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Poor	(1)	7	4.64%	I					
Fair	(2)	22	14.57%		3.65				
Good	(3)	39	25.83%						
Very Good	(4)	32	21.19%						
Excellent	(5)	51	33.77%						
				0 25 50 100	Instructor				
Response Ra	te			Mean	STD	Median			
151/270 (55.93	%)			3.65	1.22	4.00			

9 - Instructor: Overall Quality	9 - Instructor: Overall Quality											
Jae Lee												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Poor	(1)	3	1.99%	1	4.24							
Fair	(2)	7	4.64%									
Good	(3)	22	14.57%									
Very Good	(4)	38	25.17%									
Excellent	(5)	81	53.64%									
				0 25 50 100	Instructor							
Resp	oonse Rate			Mean	STD	Median						
151/2	70 (55.93%)			4.24	1.00	5.00						

132/270 (48.89%)

Course: Instructor:	COMSW3157_00 Jae Lee *	01_202	1_1 - ADV	ANCED F	PROGE	RAN	1MIN	G				
	Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia I McManus,Hans Mo	,	, ,	,	,		,		,	,	,	,
Response Rate:	151/270 (55.93 %)											
10 - Would you nor Jae Lee	ninate this professor fo	r the SEA	S Distinguish	ed Faculty	Award?							
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	ent F	Respon	ses		Mea	ns	
Yes		(1)	93	70.45%					1.30			
No		(2)	39	29.55%								
					0	25	50	100	Instructor			
	Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	Me	edian

1.30

0.46

1.00

	niversity: School of Engineering SEAS Final Evaluation
Course:	COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
	Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika
	McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman
Response Rate:	151/270 (55.93 %)
11 - If so, please ex	nlain why
Jae Lee	
Respons	se Rate 48/270 (17.78%)
 Excellent, knowledge Awesome teacher. 	able teacher. Concepts explained extremely clearly, labs are designed in very fair and interesting ways.
	and fair on the course.
Straight forward clas	
He is the only profes	sor that has always been prepared thoroughly for class, delivers the material like no other, and actually cares about whether his students understand what's happening in the best quality of lectures and accommodated the online setting flawlessly. If someone were to get the award it should be Jae.
been able to confiden	detail, from the ground-up, is fantastic. He makes sure we understand every single detail of every single function that may be used in a lengthy program. Never before have I the say I understand such programs (built mostly using library functions, which are notoriously confusing/"a black box"). Even though it takes a long time to go through such ious, Jae has taught me how important it is to do so. I am so much more confident in my programming abilities now.
He is dedicated and	approachable. I learned a great deal of stuff.
 Going into AP I was and I really appreciate 	terrified, but Jae really taught me a lot this semester and I thoroughly enjoyed this challenge! Jae was very accommodating and understanding during the pandemic semester d that!
	ne to make sure students fully understand the material. Even with virtual learning, Jae does an amazing job explaining the sometimes difficult material and answering students' lass or through the Listserv. Also a super nice guy who seems to enjoy what he does.
Jae is superb at effe	ctively communicating the course material, clarifying any questions about topics, and makes difficult concepts very clear.
constructive to the stu	s was by far the most organized and well-planned course I've taken at the university. It's clear how his curriculum accumulates throughout the semester to make the material as dent as possible. This, in addition to his excellent delivery of information to the class, made this semester a challenging, yet rewarding experience.
	nd lectures reflect not just the depth of his knowledge, but also his thoughtfulness in carefully organizing the materials together. Amazing teacher.
	I've had at Columbia, Jae is the most committed to his students' learning. While his lectures often go over time (and that's not his fault - there's just a lot of material to cover in a he always stays back to answer everyone's questions. In addition, Jae is incredibly transparent, and he tries to answer everything he possibly can. I can't really say the same sors at Columbia.
 Learned so much, Ja 	e is clearly very knowledgeable and very passionate
• Hes a g	
 Jae is incredibly pass 	sionate about the class he teaches. I went from knowing nothing about C/Unix/Socket Programming to feeling ready to tackle real world challenges with the topics I learned.
 All reasons listed ab lectures. You feel like 	ove. He had transferred his AP class to a virtual format that actually runs smoothly. Additionally, his teaching style is amazing, and it's complemented by his thoroughness in you actually learn.
 This is a very good c 	ourse. Jae is the best among all the lecturers.
He manages a very I	arge ecosystem for this class and does so extremely well. There's a lot that goes into running the AP class as it exists now and he does so perfectly.
and teaching in an eng	that was put into designing this course and running it is unlike what I've seen in other courses, in and out of CS. Besides being very good about answering questions clearly aging manner, Prof. Lee is also very open about what is expected from the students to do well in the course.
 Nice teaching 	
course and beyond!	best CS professors I have had so far. He truly goes out of his way to make sure that we all understand the material and that we have everything we need to succeed in this
on just one small thing	the course content through and through, and its this quality that is reflected in his teaching where while listening to him, I feel like I am gaining multiple perspectives all at once 1. He tries to go over as much as he can, adds a little comedy and also is very organized with his lecture. he always lets us know exactly what he is going to teach us and how signpost throughout his lecture and somehow I never felt lost.
Great course, great I	ecturer!!
 He is incredibly clear 	, structured, and skilled at explaining content in an orderly fashion. I found the course to be one of the most well-designed I've done during my time at Columbia.
	ts clear enough so people can understand him but with enough complexity that they can appreciate their understanding. He gives more than enough examples and demos are students for labs and exams.

• Professor Jae Lee was super organized and made expectations for this class extremely clear from Day 1. His course is structured beautifully and ensures that, by the end, you have a concrete project that you built yourself, entirely from scratch, a unique and fun experience compared to other CS courses.

· This by far the most well-organized and instructive class I ever had. I think Jae is an excellent lecturer.

• In my time here at Columbia, I feel that this class has been one of the most impactful/I have learned the most. I am walking out of this course knowing so much more than I did coming in.

· Has the right amount of humor and is just entertaining to listen to. He can explain content concisely and helps you realize the impact of what you are learning.

· Jae does his best to deliver the course material. Although AP concepts might not necessarily be easy to understand, he tries his best to communicate the best ways of approaching and understanding the concepts, the labs, and the exams.

Jae is an institution

• It's clear that Jae focuses on CS education. Many friends who go to peer institutions complain that many of their CS faculty are well established researchers, but are horrible teachers and view undergraduate teaching as a waste of time. Jae is the complete opposite of that. Jae, despite his notorious reputation, obviously designed a well thought out curriculum, has CS experience both in the private sector and academia, and truly is invested in undergraduate CS education. I decided to major in CS at Columbia to learn something new, and have been consistently blown away by the faculty, and Jae is no exception. From Cannon, Blaer, and Ansaf, Jae continues the line of professors who invest their time for student success.

• No exaggeration, this was the best course I have ever taken in my life. Every assignment had a purpose to build up to the final project of lab 7. The exams were fair and really tested understanding, not memorization. The lectures and websites were very well organized. Jae's speaking was engaging.

• I really appreciated Jae's honesty and blatant-ness with us. I can see how some people may not like it, but I personally found it refreshing. Aside from this, Jae is an amazing lecturer and also makes class fun with some jokes here and there.

• AP was a very worthwhile class that taught me that paying attention to the intricate details of code can make you a much better programmer. Jae is a great lecturer and this class taught me more than any other Columbia class that I have taken.

· Jae is an excellent professor and explains AP's highly complex concepts very well

Course:	COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
	Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika
	McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

• The care and passion Jae puts into this course makes me love it even more. He's just great give him that sweet distinguished faculty award.

• Stressful class. It is unreasonable to go over time every lecture and assign additional videos to watch regularly.

• Jae teaches in a very clear concise no nonsense way that really helps students learn quickly. He always has the best interests of students in mind and it shows through his teaching.

· Jae is a great professor that challenges students to do their best!

• This is one of the most rewarding class I've taken at Columbia. Jae is extremely clear in his explanation and truly wants his students to succeed. Thanks for all that you've done to design this course and teach this course in such a deliberate, clear, and concise manner. i've personally learned so much in this course.

Amazing professor who takes time to make sure his students understand the material!

Jae's lecture is terrific.

• It is very obvious how much Professor Jae cares about his students. He is an extremely fair grader and wants the best for each student, and is willing to put forward all that he can in order to guide a student to the best possible outcome. On two occasions he met with me in office hours and stayed nearly an hour past when the office hours were supposed to end, both times, to help me to work through something that I wasn't quite understanding. He is a very good listener and trias to trace where you are going wrong instead of just giving you what the correct answer is supposed to be, or burshing you off with the common 'eh, you'll get it eventually attitude, or judging you for not getting it right away. He cares about students who try, and tries to push them to best they can be. He is also extremely organized and forces his students to be as well, which I already can say has already helped me tremendously in both my CS classes, and in life.

· Jae constructed this class masterfully. Sometimes Jae has black-and-white thinking he expresses and I encourage him to see the gray in situations.

• Jae is engaging and good at explaining difficult concepts. His lectures have a conversational feel, benefited by his sense of humor. His class is the one of the most organized I've taken. The first day, we were briefed on the grading of the course, the hours it should take, and many other details. The homework and exam due dates have been posted on the website since day 1. Each exam is preceded by multiple sample exams with answer keys and review sessions. The lectures, homework and exams align well and are on interesting and challenging topics. Jae is a good lecturer, runs a very organized course, and is very open and honest about his expectations.

• pretty sure the definition of "Jae Woo Lee" is good programming teacher. it is an honor of a lifetime to have painstakingly found the sea, with him holding the metaphorical sword of 3157 that knighted me into a programmer, and now I am complete (well, after the final tomorrow). seas distinguished faculty undersells how rewarding this class is. all students should take the opportunity to have this man's radiance shine down upon them

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

12 - Overall Quality											
Alan Zhao											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respor	nses		Меа	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.41				
Fair	(2)	1	4.55%]							
Good	(3)	2	9.09%								
Very Good	(4)	6	27.27%								
Excellent	(5)	13	59.09%								
				0 25	50	100	TA				
Response Rate					Mean			STD	Me	dian	
22/270 (8.15%)					4.41			0.85	5	.00	

12 - Overall Quality												
Bruk Zewdie												
Response Option	w	Veight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respo	nses		Меа	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.38			
Fair		(2)	1	6.25%								
Good		(3)	2	12.50%								
Very Good		(4)	3	18.75%								
Excellent		(5)	10	62.50%								
					0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate						Mean			STD	Me	edian
	16/270 (5.93%)						4.38			0.96	Ę	i.00

12 - Overall Quality										
Cherie Liu										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percen	t Respoi	nses		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.56			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Good	(3)	3	18.75%							
Very Good	(4)	1	6.25%							
Excellent	(5)	12	75.00%							
				0 25	50	100	TA			
Re	sponse Rate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
16	6/270 (5.93%)				4.56			0.81	Ę	i.00

12 - Overall Quality											
Erika McManus											
Response Option	Weigh	t Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent R	espor	ises		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.69			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	1	7.69%								
Very Good	(4)	2	15.38%								
Excellent	(5)	10	76.92%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				N	<i>l</i> lean			STD	Me	edian
	13/270 (4.81%)					4.69			0.63	Ę	i.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee * Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

12 - Overall Quality												
Gustaf Ahdritz												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respor	nses			Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.71				
Fair	(2)	1	3.23%									
Good	(3)	1	3.23%									
Very Good	(4)	4	12.90%									
Excellent	(5)	25	80.65%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		Me	dian
	31/270 (11.48%)					4.71			0.69		5	.00

12 - Overall Quality												
Hans Montero												
Response Option	w	/eight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respor	nses		Меа	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.71			
Fair		(2)	1	3.57%								
Good		(3)	1	3.57%								
Very Good		(4)	3	10.71%								
Excellent		(5)	23	82.14%								
	·				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate						Mean			STD	N	ledian
	28/270 (10.37%)						4.71			0.71		5.00

12 - Overall Quality						
Hollis Lehv						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Ι	leans
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.55	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	2	9.09%			
Very Good	(4)	6	27.27%			
Excellent	(5)	14	63.64%			
	÷		•	0 25 50 100	TA	
Response	Rate			Mean	STD	Median
22/270 (8.	5%)			4.55	0.67	5.00

12 - Overall Quality										
Imanol Uribe Echevarria										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	ent Resp	onses		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				4.56			
Fair	(2)	1	5.56%							
Good	(3)	1	5.56%							
Very Good	(4)	3	16.67%							
Excellent	(5)	13	72.22%							
		•		0	25 50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mear	I		STD	Me	dian
	18/270 (6.67%)				4.56			0.86	5	.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

12 - Overall Quality							
Ivy Cao							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Меа	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.58		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%]			
Good	(3)	1	8.33%]			
Very Good	(4)	3	25.00%				
Excellent	(5)	8	66.67%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
	12/270 (4.44%)			4.58		0.67	5.00

12 - Overall Quality												
Julia Guo												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respor	nses		Mea	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.82			
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good		(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good		(4)	3	17.65%								
Excellent		(5)	14	82.35%								
					0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	IV	edian
	17/270 (6.30%	b)					4.82			0.39		5.00

12 - Overall Quality							
Kent Hall							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mear	าร
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.45		
Fair	(2)	2	10.00%				
Good	(3)	1	5.00%				
Very Good	(4)	3	15.00%				
Excellent	(5)	14	70.00%				
			•	0 25 50 100	TA		
Respons	e Rate			Mean		STD	Median
20/270 (7	.41%)			4.45		1.00	5.00

12 - Overall Quality												
Lucie Le Blanc												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent F	Respon	ses		Меа	ins	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.75			
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good		(3)	2	7.14%								
Very Good		(4)	3	10.71%								
Excellent		(5)	23	82.14%								
					0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate)					Mean			STD	Me	edian
	28/270 (10.37%))					4.75			0.59	5	i.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee * Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Luiz do Valle											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respor	nses		Μ	eans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.72			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	1	5.56%								
Very Good	(4)	3	16.67%								
Excellent	(5)	14	77.78%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
	18/270 (6.67%)					4.72			0.57	ł	5.00

12 - Overall Quality												
Maylis Whetsel												
Response Option	1	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respor	nses		Меа	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.75			
Fair		(2)	1	2.27%								
Good		(3)	1	2.27%								
Very Good		(4)	6	13.64%								
Excellent		(5)	36	81.82%								
					0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate						Mean			STD	N	ledian
	44/270 (16.30%))					4.75			0.61		5.00

12 - Overall Quality						
Mia Bramel						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Mea	ins
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.80	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1		
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1		
Very Good	(4)	8	20.00%			
Excellent	(5)	32	80.00%			
			•	0 25 50 100	TA	
Response	Rate			Mean	STD	Median
40/270 (14	.81%)			4.80	0.41	5.00

12 - Overall Quality												
Michael Jan												
Response Option	w	Veight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent F	Respor	nses		Me	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.52			
Fair		(2)	3	4.00%								
Good		(3)	5	6.67%								
Very Good		(4)	17	22.67%								
Excellent		(5)	50	66.67%								
			•		0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					I	Mean			STD	M	edian
	75/270 (27.78%)						4.52			0.79	1	5.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

Jae Lee *

12 - Overall Quality												
Shruti Verma												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respor	nses			Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.83				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	3	16.67%									
Excellent	(5)	15	83.33%									
				0	25	50	100	ТА				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		Me	dian
18/270 (6.67%)						4.83		0.38			5.00	

12 - Overall Quality							
Tal Zussman							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ins
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	j	4.90		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1			
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1			
Very Good	(4)	2	10.00%				
Excellent	(5)	18	90.00%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response	Rate			Mean		STD	Median
20/270 (7.4	1%)			4.90		0.31	5.00

12 - Overall Quality

Alan Zhao, Bruk Zewdie, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Ivy Cao, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lucie Le Blanc, Luiz do Valle, Maylis Whetsel, Mia Bramel, Michael Jan, Shruti Verma, Tal Zussman

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.65			
Fair	(2)	11	2.40%	1							
Good	(3)	24	5.24%								
Very Good	(4)	79	17.25%								
Excellent	(5)	344	75.11%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rat	te					Mean			STD	M	edian
						4.65			0.69	Į	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability										
Alan Zhao										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respons	es		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.57			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%]						
Good	(3)	1	4.76%							
Very Good	(4)	7	33.33%							
Excellent	(5)	13	61.90%							
	·			0 25	50	100	TA			
Respo	onse Rate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
21/27	0 (7.78%)				4.57			0.60	Ę	i.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

13 - Knowledgeability										
Bruk Zewdie										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percer	nt Respo	nses		Ме	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.64			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Very Good	(4)	5	35.71%							
Excellent	(5)	9	64.29%							
	ľ			0 25	5 50	100	ТА			
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
	14/270 (5.19%)				4.64			0.50	Ę	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability												
Cherie Liu												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respor	nses		Ме	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.71			
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good		(3)	1	7.14%								
Very Good		(4)	2	14.29%								
Excellent		(5)	11	78.57%				I				
					0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rat	e					Mean			STD	M	ədian
	14/270 (5.19%)					4.71			0.61	ł	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability												
Erika McManus												
Response Option	We	eight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respor	ises		Меа	ins	
Poor	((1)	0	0.00%	1				4.75			
Fair	((2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	((3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	((4)	3	25.00%								
Excellent	((5)	9	75.00%								
	· ·				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate						Mean			STD	Me	edian
	12/270 (4.44%)						4.75			0.45	5	i.00

13 - Knowledgeability												
Gustaf Ahdritz												
Response Option	w	leight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent F	Respon	ses		Mea	ins	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.82			
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good		(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good		(4)	5	17.86%								
Excellent		(5)	23	82.14%								
					0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate						Mean			STD	Me	dian
	28/270 (10.37%)						4.82			0.39	5	.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee * Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Hans Montero												
Halls Montero												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent l	Respor	nses			Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.85				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	1	3.85%									
Very Good	(4)	2	7.69%									
Excellent	(5)	23	88.46%									
	•			0	25	50	100	ТА				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	N	ledian	
	26/270 (9.63%)					4.85		0.46			5.00	

13 - Knowledgeability												
Hollis Lehv												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent F	Respor	ises		Μ	eans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.65			
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good		(3)	1	5.00%								
Very Good		(4)	5	25.00%								
Excellent		(5)	14	70.00%								
					0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rat	te				I	Mean			STD	M	edian
	20/270 (7.41%	»)					4.65			0.59	ł	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability											
Imanol Uribe Echevarria											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	ent Res	pons	es		Mea	ins	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.65			
Fair	(2)	1	5.88%								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	3	17.65%								
Excellent	(5)	13	76.47%								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0	25 50	0	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mea	in			STD	Me	edian
	17/270 (6.30%)								0.79	5	i.00

13 - Knowledgeability							
Ivy Cao							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.60		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	1	10.00%]			
Very Good	(4)	2	20.00%				
Excellent	(5)	7	70.00%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
	10/270 (3.70%)			4.60		0.70	5.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

13 - Knowledgeability											
Julia Guo											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.73			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	4	26.67%								
Excellent	(5)	11	73.33%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	ədian
15/270 (5.56%)				4.73				0.46		5.00	

13 - Knowledgeability													
Kent Hall													
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			Меа	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.61				
Fair		(2)	1	5.56%									
Good		(3)	1	5.56%									
Very Good		(4)	2	11.11%									
Excellent		(5)	14	77.78%				1					
					0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rat	e					Mean			S	TD	M	edian
	18/270 (6.67%)					4.61			0	.85		5.00

13 - Knowledgeability											
Lucie Le Blanc											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	ent Re	espon	ises		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.77			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	6	23.08%								
Excellent	(5)	20	76.92%								
	÷			0	25	50	100	TA			
Respons	Rate				М	lean			STD	M	ədian
26/270 (9	.63%)				4	1.77			0.43		5.00

13 - Knowledgeability							
Luiz do Valle							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.82		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	3	17.65%				
Excellent	(5)	14	82.35%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
	17/270 (6.30%)			4.82		0.39	5.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee * Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

13 - Knowledgeability												
Maylis Whetsel												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent F	Respor	ises			Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.77				
Fair	(2)	1	2.33%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	7	16.28%									
Excellent	(5)	35	81.40%									
	•			0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate				1	Mean			STD		Me	dian
43/270 (15.93%)				4.77				0.57			5.00	

13 - Knowledgeability												
Mia Bramel												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respor	ises		Ме	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.77			
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good		(3)	1	2.56%								
Very Good		(4)	7	17.95%								
Excellent		(5)	31	79.49%								
					0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rat	e					Mean			STD	M	ədian
	39/270 (14.44%	b)					4.77			0.48	ł	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability						
Michael Jan						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Μ	eans
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.60	
Fair	(2)	1	1.39%	1		
Good	(3)	6	8.33%			
Very Good	(4)	14	19.44%			
Excellent	(5)	51	70.83%			
				0 25 50 100	ТА	
	Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
	72/270 (26.67%)			4.60	0.71	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability											
Shruti Verma											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent R	espon	ses		Ме	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.82			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	3	17.65%								
Excellent	(5)	14	82.35%								
		•		0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Ν	/lean			STD	M	ədian
	17/270 (6.30%)					4.82			0.39		5.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

Jae Lee *

13 - Knowledgeability													
Tal Zussman													
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respor	nses			Mea	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.89				
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good		(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good		(4)	2	10.53%									
Excellent		(5)	17	89.47%									
					0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rat	te					Mean				STD	1	ledian
	19/270 (7.04%)					4.89				0.32		5.00	

13 - Knowledgeability

Alan Zhao, Bruk Zewdie, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Ivy Cao, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lucie Le Blanc, Luiz do Valle, Maylis Whetsel, Mia Bramel, Michael Jan, Shruti Verma, Tal Zussman

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent I	Respor	nses		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	Ι				4.72			
Fair	(2)	4	0.93%	I -							
Good	(3)	13	3.04%								
Very Good	(4)	82	19.16%		l						
Excellent	(5)	329	76.87%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rat	te					Mean			STD	M	ədian
						4.72			0.57	{	5.00

14 - Approachability											
Alan Zhao											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent	Respo	nses			Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.60			
Fair	(2)	1	5.00%								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	5	25.00%								
Excellent	(5)	14	70.00%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		Median
	20/270 (7.41%)					4.60			0.75		5.00

14 - Approachability										
Bruk Zewdie										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respon	ses		Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.71			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Very Good	(4)	4	28.57%							
Excellent	(5)	10	71.43%							
				0 25	50	100	TA			
Response R	ate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
14/270 (5.19	%)				4.71			0.47	Ę	5.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

14 - Approachability							
Cherie Liu							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.64		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	2	14.29%				
Very Good	(4)	1	7.14%				
Excellent	(5)	11	78.57%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
	14/270 (5.19%)			4.64		0.74	5.00

14 - Approachability													
Erika McManus													
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent I	Respor	ises			Mea	ns	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.82				
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good		(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good		(4)	2	18.18%									
Excellent		(5)	9	81.82%									
					0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rat	e					Mean			STD		Me	ədian
	11/270 (4.07%)					4.82			0.40		Ę	5.00

14 - Approachability						
Gustaf Ahdritz						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Ме	ans
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.79	
Fair	(2)	1	3.45%]		
Good	(3)	0	0.00%			
Very Good	(4)	3	10.34%			
Excellent	(5)	25	86.21%			
	·			0 25 50 100	ТА	
Respons	e Rate			Mean	STD	Median
29/270 (*	0.74%)			4.79	0.62	5.00

14 - Approachability											
Hans Montero											
Response Option	Weig	nt Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respor	ises		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	1	3.85%					4.73			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	3	11.54%								
Excellent	(5)	22	84.62%								
			•	0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
	26/270 (9.63%)					4.73			0.83		5.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee * Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

14 - Approachability											
Hollis Lehv											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent	Respo	nses		M	leans	
Poor	(1)	1	5.00%					4.55			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	5	25.00%								
Excellent	(5)	14	70.00%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
	20/270 (7.41%)					4.55			0.94	:	5.00

14 - Approachability													
Imanol Uribe Echevarria													
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respon	ises			Mean	s	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.71				
Fair		(2)	1	5.88%									
Good		(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good		(4)	2	11.76%									
Excellent		(5)	14	82.35%									
					0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate	•					Mean			STD		Me	dian
	17/270 (6.30%)						4.71			0.77		5	.00

14 - Approachability						
Ivy Cao						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Меа	ins
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.80	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1		
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1		
Very Good	(4)	2	20.00%			
Excellent	(5)	8	80.00%			
				0 25 50 100	ТА	
Respo	nse Rate			Mean	STD	Median
10/270	(3.70%)			4.80	0.42	5.00

14 - Approachability								
Julia Guo								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	 1.80			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	3	20.00%					
Excellent	(5)	12	80.00%					
				0 25 50 100	TA			
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Me	dian
	15/270 (5.56%)			4.80		0.41	5	.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

14 - Approachability											
Kent Hall											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respo	onses		М	eans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.50			
Fair	(2)	2	11.11%								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	3	16.67%								
Excellent	(5)	13	72.22%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
	18/270 (6.67%)					4.50			0.99	:	5.00

14 - Approachability											
Lucie Le Blanc											
Response Option	Weigh	t Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respor	ises		Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.85			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	4	15.38%								
Excellent	(5)	22	84.62%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	ədian
	26/270 (9.63%)					4.85			0.37	1	5.00

14 - Approachability						
Luiz do Valle						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Меа	ins
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.81	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	0	0.00%			
Very Good	(4)	3	18.75%			
Excellent	(5)	13	81.25%			
			•	0 25 50 100	ТА	
Respons	Rate			Mean	STD	Median
16/270 (5	93%)			4.81	0.40	5.00

14 - Approachability												
Maylis Whetsel												
Response Option	١	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent F	Respon	ises		Меа	ins	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.74			
Fair		(2)	1	2.38%								
Good		(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good		(4)	8	19.05%								
Excellent		(5)	33	78.57%								
					0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate						Mean			STD	Me	edian
	42/270 (15.56%)						4.74			0.59	5	i.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

14 - Approachability										
Mia Bramel										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	nt Respor	ises		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.82			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Very Good	(4)	7	18.42%							
Excellent	(5)	31	81.58%							
				0 25	5 50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
	38/270 (14.07%)				4.82			0.39	5	i.00

14 - Approachability												
Michael Jan												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respo	nses		Mea	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.51			
Fair		(2)	3	4.17%								
Good		(3)	5	6.94%								
Very Good		(4)	16	22.22%								
Excellent		(5)	48	66.67%								
					0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate	e					Mean			STD	M	edian
	72/270 (26.67%)					4.51			0.80		5.00

14 - Approachability											
Shruti Verma											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	ercent	Respo	nses		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.88			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	2	11.76%								
Excellent	(5)	15	88.24%								
			•	0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	Me	edian
	17/270 (6.30%)					4.88			0.33	Ę	i.00

14 - Approachability										
Tal Zussman										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percer	nt Respons	ses		Mea	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			5.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1						
Excellent	(5)	18	100.00%							
		•		0 25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Me	dian
	18/270 (6.67%)				5.00			0.00	5	.00

Course: COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

tor: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

14 - Approachability Alan Zhao, Bruk Zewdie, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Ivy Cao, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lucie Le Blanc, Luiz do Valle, Maylis Whetsel, Mia Bramel, Michael Jan, Shruti Verma, Tal Zussman **Response Option** Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means Poor (1) 2 0.47% 9 Fair (2) 2.13% I Good (3) 7 1.65% L

Very Good	(4)	73	17.26%								
Excellent	(5)	332	78.49%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rat	te				N	lean			STD	Me	edian
					4	4.71			0.65	5	5.00

15 - Availability						
Alan Zhao						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Με	ans
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.60	
Fair	(2)	1	5.00%			
Good	(3)	0	0.00%			
Very Good	(4)	5	25.00%			
Excellent	(5)	14	70.00%			
				0 25 50 100	ТА	
	Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
	20/270 (7.41%)			4.60	0.75	5.00

15 - Availability									
Bruk Zewdie									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respo	nses		Me	ans
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.75		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1					
Very Good	(4)	3	25.00%						
Excellent	(5)	9	75.00%						
				0 25	50	100	TA		
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Median
	12/270 (4.44%)				4.75			0.45	5.00

15 - Availability											
Cherie Liu											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respor	nses		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.77			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	1	7.69%								
Very Good	(4)	1	7.69%								
Excellent	(5)	11	84.62%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response R	ate					Mean			STD	M	edian
13/270 (4.81	%)					4.77			0.60	4	5.00

Course: C

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

15 - Availability										
Erika McManus										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percen	t Respo	nses		Ме	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.73			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Very Good	(4)	3	27.27%							
Excellent	(5)	8	72.73%							
	I			0 25	50	100	ТА			
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
	11/270 (4.07%)				4.73			0.47	5	5.00

15 - Availability													
Gustaf Ahdritz													
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent I	Respor	nses			Mea	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					_4	.71			
Fair		(2)	1	3.57%									
Good		(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good		(4)	5	17.86%									
Excellent		(5)	22	78.57%									
					0	25	50	100		TA			
	Response Ra	te					Mean				STD	M	edian
	28/270 (10.379	%)					4.71				0.66		5.00

15 - Availability							
Hans Montero							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.88		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	3	12.00%				
Excellent	(5)	22	88.00%				
	·		•	0 25 50 100	TA		
Response	Rate			Mean		STD	Median
25/270 (9.2	6%)			4.88		0.33	5.00

15 - Availability									
Hollis Lehv									
Response Option	Weig	ht Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Mea	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%]	4.5	3			
Fair	(2)	1	5.26%]∎					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%						
Very Good	(4)	6	31.58%						
Excellent	(5)	12	63.16%						
				0 25 50 100	T/	۹.			
	Response Rate			Mean			STD	Me	dian
	19/270 (7.04%)			4.53			0.77	5	.00

Course: COMS

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee * Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

15 - Availability										
Imanol Uribe Echevarria										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respor	nses		Ме	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.56			
Fair	(2)	1	6.25%							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Very Good	(4)	4	25.00%							
Excellent	(5)	11	68.75%							
	ľ			0 25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
	16/270 (5.93%)				4.56			0.81	Ę	5.00

15 - Availability								
Ivy Cao								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	s		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.67		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%]				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1				
Very Good	(4)	3	33.33%					
Excellent	(5)	6	66.67%					
				0 25 50 10	00	TA		
Respo	onse Rate			Mean			STD	Median
9/270	(3.33%)			4.67			0.50	5.00

15 - Availability											
Julia Guo											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respor	nses		Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.86			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	2	14.29%								
Excellent	(5)	12	85.71%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response	Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
14/270 (5.1	9%)					4.86			0.36	ł	5.00

15 - Availability						
Kent Hall						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.24	
Fair	(2)	3	17.65%			
Good	(3)	1	5.88%			
Very Good	(4)	2	11.76%			
Excellent	(5)	11	64.71%			
				0 25 50 100	ТА	
	Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
	17/270 (6.30%)			4.24	1.20	5.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

15 - Availability											
Lucie Le Blanc											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent l	Respo	nses		М	eans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.60			
Fair	(2)	1	4.00%								
Good	(3)	1	4.00%								
Very Good	(4)	5	20.00%								
Excellent	(5)	18	72.00%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
	25/270 (9.26%)					4.60			0.76		5.00

15 - Availability												
Luiz do Valle												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respor	ises			Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.8	0			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	3	20.00%									
Excellent	(5)	12	80.00%									
				0	25	50	100	Т	Ą			
	Response Rate					Mean				STD	N	edian
	15/270 (5.56%)					4.80				0.41		5.00

15 - Availability										
Maylis Whetsel										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respo	nses		Mea	ins	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.68			
Fair	(2)	1	2.50%]						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%]						
Very Good	(4)	10	25.00%							
Excellent	(5)	29	72.50%	1						
				0 25	50	100	TA			
Res	sponse Rate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
40/2	270 (14.81%)				4.68			0.62	5	i.00

15 - Availability												
Mia Bramel												
Response Option	v	Veight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent R	Respon	ses		Меа	ins	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.84			
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good		(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good		(4)	6	16.22%								
Excellent		(5)	31	83.78%								
					0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					ľ	Mean			STD	Me	dian
	37/270 (13.70%)						4.84			0.37	5	.00

Course: (

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee * Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

15 - Availability										
Michael Jan										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respor	nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.58			
Fair	(2)	1	1.41%]						
Good	(3)	6	8.45%							
Very Good	(4)	15	21.13%							
Excellent	(5)	49	69.01%							
				0 25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
	71/270 (26.30%)				4.58			0.71	Ę	5.00

15 - Availability												
Shruti Verma												
Response Option	Weigh	t Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			Mea	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.88				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	2	12.50%									
Excellent	(5)	14	87.50%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		M	ədian
	16/270 (5.93%)					4.88			0.34			5.00

15 - Availability											
Tal Zussman											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.89			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	2	11.11%								
Excellent	(5)	16	88.89%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Respo	nse Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
18/270	(6.67%)					4.89			0.32		5.00

15 - Availability

Alan Zhao, Bruk Zewdie, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Ivy Cao, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lucie Le Blanc, Luiz do Valle, Maylis Whetsel, Mia Bramel, Michael Jan, Shruti Verma, Tal Zussman

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respon	ses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.68			
Fair	(2)	10	2.46%	1							
Good	(3)	9	2.22%	I							
Very Good	(4)	80	19.70%								
Excellent	(5)	307	75.62%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response	Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
						4.68			0.64		5.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

16 - Communication											
Alan Zhao											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent	Respo	nses		N	leans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.61			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	1	5.56%								
Very Good	(4)	5	27.78%								
Excellent	(5)	12	66.67%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	ədian
	18/270 (6.67%)					4.61			0.61	ł	5.00

16 - Communication										
Bruk Zewdie										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percer	nt Respo	nses		Меа	ins	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.69			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Very Good	(4)	4	30.77%							
Excellent	(5)	9	69.23%							
	1			0 25	50	100	TA			
Re	sponse Rate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
13	/270 (4.81%)				4.69			0.48	Ę	5.00

16 - Communication						
Cherie Liu						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Mea	ans
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.55	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1		
Good	(3)	2	18.18%			
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%			
Excellent	(5)	8	72.73%			
			•	0 25 50 100	ТА	
	Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
	11/270 (4.07%)			4.55	0.82	5.00

16 - Communication											
Erika McManus											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent R	espor	nses		Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.75			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	2	25.00%								
Excellent	(5)	6	75.00%								
		•		0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				N	<i>l</i> lean			STD	M	edian
	8/270 (2.96%)				4	4.75			0.46	1	5.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

16 - Communication							
Gustaf Ahdritz							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.74		
Fair	(2)	1	3.70%]			
Good	(3)	0	0.00%]			
Very Good	(4)	4	14.81%				
Excellent	(5)	22	81.48%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
	27/270 (10.00%)			4.74		0.66	5.00

16 - Communication											
Hans Montero											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respor	ises		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.88			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	3	12.50%								
Excellent	(5)	21	87.50%								
	· ·			0	25	50	100	TA			
Resp	onse Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
24/2	70 (8.89%)					4.88			0.34	4	5.00

16 - Communication							
Hollis Lehv							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mean	s
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.59		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1			
Good	(3)	1	5.88%				
Very Good	(4)	5	29.41%				
Excellent	(5)	11	64.71%				
	·			0 25 50 100	TA		
Response	Rate			Mean	STD)	Median
17/270 (6.	0%)			4.59	0.62	2	5.00

16 - Communication							
Imanol Uribe Echevarria							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Меа	ins
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.50		
Fair	(2)	1	7.14%]∎			
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	4	28.57%				
Excellent	(5)	9	64.29%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
	14/270 (5.19%)			4.50		0.85	5.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee * Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Ivy Cao										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percer	it Respo	nses		Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.71			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Very Good	(4)	2	28.57%							
Excellent	(5)	5	71.43%							
	•			0 25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Me	ədian
	7/270 (2.59%)				4.71			0.49	5	5.00

16 - Communication												
Julia Guo												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respor	nses		Me	ans		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.85				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	2	15.38%									
Excellent	(5)	11	84.62%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	edian	
13/270 (4.81%)						4.85			0.38	4	5.00	

16 - Communication												
Kent Hall												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Ре	rcent	Respor	nses		Меа	ins	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.63			
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%								
Good		(3)	2	12.50%								
Very Good		(4)	2	12.50%								
Excellent		(5)	12	75.00%								
					0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rate							Mean			STD	Me	edian
16/270 (5.93%)							4.63			0.72	Ę	5.00

16 - Communication							
Lucie Le Blanc							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Меа	ins
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.72		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	1	4.00%]			
Very Good	(4)	5	20.00%				
Excellent	(5)	19	76.00%				
		•		0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate					STD	Median
	25/270 (9.26%)					0.54	5.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

16 - Communication											
Luiz do Valle											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent R	espor	nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.73			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%]							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	4	26.67%								
Excellent	(5)	11	73.33%								
				0	25	50	100	ТА			
			N	/lean			STD	M	edian		
	15/270 (5.56%)					4.73			0.46		5.00

16 - Communication											
Maylis Whetsel											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respor	nses		Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.72			
Fair	(2)	1	2.56%								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	8	20.51%								
Excellent	(5)	30	76.92%								
	•			0	25	50	100	TA			
				Mean			STD	N	ledian		
	39/270 (14.44%)					4.72			0.60		5.00

16 - Communication											
Mia Bramel											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respor	ises		Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.83			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	6	17.14%		I						
Excellent	(5)	29	82.86%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	edian
35/270 (12.96%)						4.83			0.38	Į	5.00

16 - Communication												
Michael Jan												
Response Option	W	/eight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent F	Respor	ises		Меа	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.60			
Fair		(2)	1	1.43%								
Good		(3)	5	7.14%								
Very Good		(4)	15	21.43%								
Excellent		(5)	49	70.00%								
	1				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rate							Mean			STD	Me	dian
70/270 (25.93%)							4.60			0.69	5	.00

Course:

COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee * Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

Shruti Verma												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent I	Respor	nses			Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.86				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	2	14.29%									
Excellent	(5)	12	85.71%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
Response Rate						Mean			STD		Mee	dian
	14/270 (5.19%)					4.86			0.36		5.	00

16 - Communication												
Tal Zussman												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent F	Respor	nses			Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.	38			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	2	12.50%									
Excellent	(5)	14	87.50%									
				0	25	50	100	1	A			
Response Rate						Mean				STD	M	edian
16/270 (5.93%)						4.88				0.34		5.00

16 - Communication

Alan Zhao, Bruk Zewdie, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Ivy Cao, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lucie Le Blanc, Luiz do Valle, Maylis Whetsel, Mia Bramel, Michael Jan, Shruti Verma, Tal Zussman

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.71			
Fair	(2)	4	1.05%								
Good	(3)	12	3.14%								
Very Good	(4)	76	19.90%								
Excellent	(5)	290	75.92%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	edian
						4.71			0.58		5.00

17 - Does this TA communi	cate effectively in E	English	?									
Alan Zhao												
Response Option	w	/eight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Mea	ans	
Yes		(1)	17	100.00%								
No		(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00			
N/A		(3)	0	0.00%	1							
	· · · ·				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rate							Mean			STD	M	edian
17/270 (6.30%)							1.00			0.00		1.00

Course: COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

17 - Does this TA communic	ate effectively in Er	nglish	?									
Bruk Zewdie												
Response Option	We	ight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			nses		Ме	ans	
Yes	(1)	10	100.00%								
No	(2	2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00			
N/A	(;	3)	0	0.00%	1							
					0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rate							Mean			STD	M	edian
10/270 (3.70%)							1.00			0.00		.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
Cherie Liu													
Response Option	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Mea	ans					
Yes	(1)	11	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
				0	25	50	100	TA					
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	edian		
11/270 (4.07%)						1.00			0.00		.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
Erika McManus													
Response Option							nses		Mea	ans			
Yes	(1)	9	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
				0	25	50	100	TA					
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	edian		
9/270 (3.33%)						1.00			0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?												
Gustaf Ahdritz												
Response Option	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Mea	ans				
Yes	(1)	25	100.00%									
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00				
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100	TA				
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	ədian	
25/270 (9.26%)						1.00			0.00		1.00	

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively	in English	h?											
Hans Montero													
Response Option	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			Меа	ans				
Yes	(1)	21	95.45%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				_	1.09				
N/A	(3)	1	4.55%										
				0	25	50	100		TA				
Response Rate						Mean				STD	M	edian	
22/270 (8.15%				1.09				0.43		1.00			

Course: COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?												
Hollis Lehv												
esponse Option Weight Frequency Perce				Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Ме	ans		
Yes	(1)	16	100.00%									
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00				
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%									
	·	•		0	25	50	100	TA				
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	edian	
16/270 (5.93%)						1.00			0.00		1.00	

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
Imanol Uribe Echevarria													
Response Option Weight Frequency Perce					rcent	Respo	nses		Меа	ns			
Yes	(1)	14	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
				0	25	50	100	TA					
Response Rate						Mean			STD	Me	edian		
14/270 (5.19%)						1.00			0.00	1	.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively	17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?												
Ivy Cao													
Response Option	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			Me	ans					
Yes	(1)	7	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%					_	1.00				
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%							-			
				0	25	50	100		TA				
Response Ra	Response Rate					Mean				STD	M	edian	
7/270 (2.59%				1.00				0.00		1.00			

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
Julia Guo													
Response Option							nses		Mea	ans			
Yes	(1)	12	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%	Ι				1.00					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
				0	25	50	100	TA					
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	edian		
12/270 (4.44%)						1.00			0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively	17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
Kent Hall	Kent Hall													
Response Option	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			Mea	ns					
Yes	(1)	15	100.00%											
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1					1.00					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1										
				0	25	50	100		TA					
Response Rate						Mean				STD	M	ədian		
15/270 (5.56				1.00				0.00		1.00				

Course: COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
Lucie Le Blanc													
				Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Ме	ans		
Yes		(1)	22	100.00%									
No		(2)	0	0.00%					1.00				
N/A		(3)	0	0.00%	1								
					0	25	50	100	TA				
Response Rate							Mean			STD	M	edian	
22/270 (8.15%)							1.00			0.00		1.00	

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
Luiz do Valle													
Response Option						Respo	nses		Me	ans			
Yes	(1)	12	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
	•			0	25	50	100	TA					
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	edian		
12/270 (4.44%)						1.00			0.00		.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?												
Maylis Whetsel												
Response Option							nses		Me	ans		
Yes	(1)	38	100.00%									
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00				
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100	TA				
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	edian	
38/270 (14.07%)						1.00			0.00		1.00	

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?											
Mia Bramel											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Mea	ans	
Yes	(1)	34	100.00%								
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rate				Mean					STD	M	ədian
34/270 (12.59%)						1.00			0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?											
Michael Jan											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses	Means			
Yes	(1)	66	95.65%								
No	(2)	1	1.45%	1				1.07			
N/A	(3)	2	2.90%								
		-		0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Rate						Mean			STD	Mo	edian
69/270 (25.56	%)					1.07			0.36	1	1.00

Course: COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?												
Shruti Verma												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses Means								
Yes	(1)	12	100.00%									
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00				
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
		•	•	0	25	50	100	TA				
Response Rate				Mean					STD	м	edian	
12/270 (4.44%)					1.00			0.00			1.00	

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?												
Tal Zussman												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Me	ans		
Yes	(1)	15	100.00%									
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00				
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100	TA				
Response Rate				Mean					STD	M	edian	
15/270 (5.56%)				1.00				0.00			1.00	

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?								
Alan Zhao, Bruk Zewdie, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Ivy Cao, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lucie Le Blanc, Luiz do Valle, Maylis Whetsel, Mia Bramel, Michael Jan, Shruti Verma, Tal Zussman								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Me	ans		
Yes	(1)	356	98.89%					
No	(2)	1	0.28%		1.02			
N/A	(3)	3	0.83%	1				
				0 25 50 100	ТА			
Response Ra			Mean	STD	Median			
				1.02	0.19	1.00		

18 - Comments	3 - Comments					
Alan Zhao						
Response Rate 4/270 (1.48%)						
• Effective and knowledgeable. Alan was very	v good at helping debug issues quickly.					
Was not a helpful resource at office hours or review sessions						
• Explain material the effectively and gives go	od pointers.					

• Amazing in OH, extremely patient, knowledgeable, and organized.

Bruk Zewdie					
/270 (0.37%)					
Bruk was very quick to debug problems and help lead me to solutions by asking questions.					

18 - Comments					
Cherie Liu					
Response Rate	2/270 (0.74%)				
Effective and knowledgeable. Cherie was go The best TA	ood at being patient with me as "wheels turned" in my brain while figuring out a problem. She definitely gave up her free time to assist students like me.				

Course: COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

r: Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

18 - Comments					
Erika McManus					
Response Rate	2/270 (0.74%)				
So nice and approachable - loved having you as a TA for this class!!!					

• incredible TA. 10/10

18 - Comments	8 - Comments						
Gustaf Ahdritz							
Response Rate	4/270 (1.48%)						
Gustaf was very patient and good at helpir	ig me fix problems.						
Very knowledgeable about subject material and good at explaining topics.							
• Very knowledgeable, and his comments/	nuidance in the listeany are most helpful when approaching the labs. For most of the questions/issues I encounter when doing my labs. I tend to find						

• Very knowledgeable, and his comments/guidance in the listserv are most helpful when approaching the labs. For most of the questions/issues I encounter when doing my labs, I tend to find guidance and hints in his replies to listserv emails.

incredible TA. 10/10

8 - Comments						
Hans Montero						
Response Rate	6/270 (2.22%)					
Clearly very knowledgeable. Sometimes intimidating but more in a positive way than in a negative way.						

Very helpful during OH. Always on the ListServ to provide amazing responses

• Explain material the effectively and gives good pointers.

• Very knowledgeable, and his comments/guidance in the listserv are most helpful when approaching the labs. For most of the questions/issues I encounter when doing my labs, I tend to find guidance and hints in his replies to listserv emails.

• incredible TA. 10/10

· Really helpful and fun. And loved the bots at the Hackathon

18 - Comments	
Hollis Lehv	
Response Rate	4/270 (1.48%)
Awesome, really knows her stuff.	
 Effective and knowledgeable. 	

Not very approachable or helpful. Made me feel bad about my lack of understanding at office hours when I was seeking help.

Great leader of review sessions and approachable when discussing grades.

18 - Comments		
Imanol Uribe Echevarria		
Response Rate 2/270 (0.74%)		
 Very friendly and obviously gave up a lot of free time to assist students like me. I appreciated how he would break the assignment down into simpler tasks which helped me apply the things I was learning in the lectures. incredible TA. 10/10 		
18 - Comments		
Ivy Cao		
Response Rate	0/270 (0%)	

Course: COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee *

Gustaf Ahdritz, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Luiz do Valle, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Hans Montero, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Bruk Zewdie, Alan Zhao, Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

18 - Comments		
Julia Guo	Julia Guo	
Response Rate 2/270 (0.74%)		
Julia was very helpful with a lot of issues I was having on Lab7. Greatly appreciated the follow up as well.		

• very helpful and approachable, and knows her stuff. asked julia a lot of dumb questions and she answered 'em

18 - Comments		
Kent Hall		
Response Rate 4/270 (1.48%)		
• Effective and knowledgeable.		
• Explain the effectively; however, his OH is not organized and constantly changing.		
Had a nice lecture about shell		
Thank you for guest lecture or script-writing. It was helpful, and I feel like I am not interested in learning even more about script-writing.		

18 - Comments		
Lucie Le Blanc		
Response Rate	7/270 (2.59%)	
• Effective and knowledgeable.		
• W000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000	
So nice and approachable - loved having you as a TA for this class!!!		
Helped me with a problem I had been stuck on for two days.		
• lucie is a great TA! extremely knowledgeable and very dedicated. 10/10. would be in my top 3 picks to teach the whole class if jae had to retire today		
• incredible TA. 10/10		

• She was wonderful at walking through problems I was having with my code and asking leading questions to help me see the issue and figure out how to fix it

18 - Comments	
Luiz do Valle	
Response Rate	2/270 (0.74%)
Effective and knowledgeable.	
incredible TA. 10/10	

•	incredible	IA.	10/10	

18 - Comments	
Maylis Whetsel	
Response Rate	9/270 (3.33%)

· Great at explaining and is awesome

• Maylis was good at cultivating a positive learning environment. She often asked questions to help lead me to solutions which was beneficial in the learning process

• YEAH

· Maylis is super amazing at drawing diagrams and she really guided me through a lot of the practice exams.

• Super helpful in office hours and over the listserv, with prompt, detailed, and incredibly useful responses!

• Very knowledgeable, and her comments/guidance in the listserv are most helpful when approaching the labs. For most of the questions/issues I encounter when doing my labs, I tend to find guidance and hints in her replies to listserv emails.

• My favorite TA! So so sweet and I always learned so much from her Sample Exam Review Sections

· Only regret is not spending more time learning from Maylis in office hours.

• This girl is the best TA I've had, also probably the smartest person ever. The review sessions that she led made so much sense out of sample exam questions that baffled me when I tried them, and she was always there with a response in the class or TA listserv when you most needed it. Thank you Maylis!!!

Instructor:

Jae Lee * Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman

Response Rate: 151/270 (55.93 %)

18 - Comments				
Mia Bramel	Mia Bramel			
Response Rate	11/270 (4.07%)			
• Awesome, knows her stuff and is great at ex	xplaining.			
whenever there is confusion and is always ve	• Mia is one of the best TAs that I have ever encountered. She is so patient even knowing that there are 15 other students waiting for her assistance and effectively communicates the course material whenever there is confusion and is always very thorough. Her OH often happened right before an assignment is due and she was always willing to stay later to make sure she gets to everyone. I have felt comfortable approaching her when it comes to non-course-related work. She truly deserves some special recognition for all that she does.			
Very enthusiastic about teaching and helpin	g students achieve excellence. I enjoyed the learning environment she cultivated.			
• Mia was one of the most active TAs on the I	istserv, which was extremely helpful during the TA strike. I never felt judged when asking her questions about the labs.			
 Again, she is super nice and thorough! I re tricks are super useful. She writes so nicely ir 	member asking a question once and she was able to give me such a short and sweet response that I haven't forgotten till today and her little tips and n the listserv too			
 Very knowledgeable, and her comments/giguidance and hints in her replies to listserv er 	uidance in the listserv are most helpful when approaching the labs. For most of the questions/issues I encounter when doing my labs, I tend to find mails.			
Mia always took time to write thorough and	thoughtful responses to any question I had. She went above and beyond. Her emails were non-fiction literature.			
One of the best TAs ever!				
• Mia is the best!				
 incredible TA. 10/10. Literally the most patie every way. 	• incredible TA. 10/10. Literally the most patient and kind and humble human being to ever walk the Earth. You are absolutely amazing Mia, thank you for all your help this semester. You inspire me in every way.			
• Mia is an awesome TA!!				
18 - Comments				
Michael Jan				
Response Rate	14/270 (5.19%)			
Awesome, really knows his stuff.	Awesome, really knows his stuff.			
Great bruh				
Michael is amazing! His office hour sessions have been extremely helpful and I'm really grateful for all his support during his class!				
Great teaching style. A healthy mix of asking questions to assure that I understood what I was doing. Very easy to work with and I felt I retained a lot.				
Michael is the GOAT! He's one of the most dedicated TAs I've had. Please keep him on as a head TA for future semesters!				
• Explain material the effectively and gives good pointers.				
	• He always asks leading questions and never directly gives the answer which is what I love because it gives me a chance to deeply think about the concept and then its easier for me to comprehend and it also informs me on how to approach these types of problems.			

• Enjoyed the little comments in the labs

• Very knowledgeable, and his comments/guidance in the listserv are most helpful when approaching the labs. For most of the questions/issues I encounter when doing my labs, I tend to find guidance and hints in his replies to listserv emails.

Machine in the listserv

· Writes wonderfully thoughtful exams and also leads equally thoughtful & thorough review sessions.

• solid TA, I dig the fancy podcaster mic.

• incredible TA. 10/10

• Michael is literally the best. Cannot praise him enough. You guys should seriously consider hiring him or giving him a shitton of research money or making him valedictorian

18 - Comments	
Shruti Verma	
Response Rate	2/270 (0.74%)

Shurti is an amazing and patient TA and has helped me understand the material better!

Shruti is so helpful, nice, knowledgeable, and approachable. Helped me a lot and also provided the right environment to not feel dumb asking dumb questions. 10/10 TA any professor would be lucky to have her

18 - Comments Tal Zussman		
		a Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika nruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman
Instructor:	Jae Lee *	
Course:	COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED P	ROGRAMMING

Response Rate 4/270 (1.48%)

• Tal is an amazing and patient TA and has helped me understand the material better!

Explain material the effectively and gives good pointers.

• Ran chaotic lab 7 office hours like an absolute machine. This was pretty much the only office hour I went to, so I don't know if they're typically like that, but Tal was efficient and helpful.

• incredible TA. 10/10

Course:			
Instructor:			
	Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman		
Response Rate:	151/270 (55.93 %)		
Response nate.			
18 - Comments			
Alan Zhao, Bruk Z	ewdie, Cherie Liu, Erika McManus, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Ivy Cao, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lucie Le		
	e, Maylis Whetsel, Mia Bramel, Michael Jan, Shruti Verma, Tal Zussman		
Respon	e Rate		
Awesome, really know	ws his stuff.		
 Awesome, really known 	ws her stuff.		
Awesome, knows he	r stuff and is great at explaining.		
Great at explaining a	ind is awesome		
Great bruh			
 Michael is amazing! 	His office hour sessions have been extremely helpful and I'm really grateful for all his support during his class!		
whenever there is con	t TAs that I have ever encountered. She is so patient even knowing that there are 15 other students waiting for her assistance and effectively communicates the course material fusion and is always very thorough. Her OH often happened right before an assignment is due and she was always willing to stay later to make sure she gets to everyone. I approaching her when it comes to non-course-related work. She truly deserves some special recognition for all that she does.		
Great teaching style	A healthy mix of asking questions to assure that I understood what I was doing. Very easy to work with and I felt I retained a lot.		
 Effective and knowledge 	dgeable.		
 Bruk was very quick 	to debug problems and help lead me to solutions by asking questions.		
 Effective and knowled 	dgeable.		
 Julia was very helpfu 	I with a lot of issues I was having on Lab7. Greatly appreciated the follow up as well.		
 Effective and knowled 	dgeable.		
	lgeable. Sometimes intimidating but more in a positive way than in a negative way.		
	ent and good at helping me fix problems.		
Effective and knowle			
-	out teaching and helping students achieve excellence. I enjoyed the learning environment she cultivated.		
	dgeable. Cherie was good at being patient with me as "wheels turned" in my brain while figuring out a problem. She definitely gave up her free time to assist students like me.		
	cultivating a positive learning environment. She often asked questions to help lead me to solutions which was beneficial in the learning process.		
 Very mendly and ob learning in the lecture 	viously gave up a lot of free time to assist students like me. I appreciated how he would break the assignment down into simpler tasks which helped me apply the things I was s.		
Effective and knowle	dgeable. Alan was very good at helping debug issues quickly.		
Michael is the GOAT	! He's one of the most dedicated TAs I've had. Please keep him on as a head TA for future semesters!		
 Mia was one of the r 	nost active TAs on the listserv, which was extremely helpful during the TA strike. I never felt judged when asking her questions about the labs.		
• W000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		
• YEAH			
 Not very approachable 	e or helpful. Made me feel bad about my lack of understanding at office hours when I was seeking help.		
Was not a helpful re	source at office hours or review sessions		
Shurti is an amazing	and patient TA and has helped me understand the material better!		
· ·	d patient TA and has helped me understand the material better!		
The best TA			
	DH. Always on the ListServ to provide amazing responses		
-	effectively and gives good pointers.		
-	y; however, his OH is not organized and constantly changing.		
•	effectively and gives good pointers.		
-	effectively and gives good pointers. effectively and gives good pointers.		
• He always asks lead	ing questions and never directly gives the answer which is what I love because it gives me a chance to deeply think about the concept and then its easier for me to comprehend on how to approach these types of problems.		
	nice and thorough! I remember asking a question once and she was able to give me such a short and sweet response that I haven't forgotten till today and her little tips and She writes so nicely in the listserv too		
Maylis is super ama	Maylis is super amazing at drawing diagrams and she really guided me through a lot of the practice exams.		
Very knowledgeable	about subject material and good at explaining topics.		
So nice and approace	hable - loved having you as a TA for this class!!!		
So nice and approace	hable - loved having you as a TA for this class!!!		
	Super helpful in office hours and over the listserv, with prompt, detailed, and incredibly useful responses!		
-	Amazing in OH, extremely patient, knowledgeable, and organized.		
 Enjoyed the little cor 	Enjoyed the little comments in the labs		

Course:	COMSW3157_001_2021_1 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING
Instructor:	Jae Lee *
	Gustaf Ahdritz,Mia Bramel,Ivy Cao,Luiz do Valle,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Cherie Liu,Erika McManus,Hans Montero,Imanol Uribe Echevarria,Shruti Verma,Maylis Whetsel,Bruk Zewdie,Alan Zhao,Tal Zussman
Response Rate:	151/270 (55.93 %)
Had a nice lecture about shell	
• Very knowledgeable, and his comments/guidance in the listserv are most helpful when approaching the labs. For most of the questions/issues I encounter when doing my labs, I tend to find guidance and hints in his replies to listserv emails.	
Thank you for guest lecture or script-writing. It was helpful, and I feel like I am not interested in learning even more about script-writing.	
• Very knowledgeable, and his comments/guidance in the listserv are most helpful when approaching the labs. For most of the questions/issues I encounter when doing my labs, I tend to find guidance and hints in his replies to listserv emails.	
• Very knowledgeable, and his comments/guidance in the listserv are most helpful when approaching the labs. For most of the questions/issues I encounter when doing my labs, I tend to find guidance and hints in his replies to listserv emails.	
• Very knowledgeable, and her comments/guidance in the listserv are most helpful when approaching the labs. For most of the questions/issues I encounter when doing my labs, I tend to find guidance and hints in her replies to listserv emails.	
• Very knowledgeable, and her comments/guidance in the listserv are most helpful when approaching the labs. For most of the questions/issues I encounter when doing my labs, I tend to find guidance and hints in her replies to listserv emails.	
• Mia always took time to write thorough and thoughtful responses to any question I had. She went above and beyond. Her emails were non-fiction literature.	
• Machine in the listserv	
• One of the best TAs ever!	
Mia is the best!	
My favorite TA! So so sweet and I always learned so much from her Sample Exam Review Sections	
Writes wonderfully thoughtful exams and also leads equally thoughtful & thorough review sessions.	
Great leader of review sessions and approachable when discussing grades.	
• Ran chaotic lab 7 office hours like an absolute machine. This was pretty much the only office hour I went to, so I don't know if they're typically like that, but Tal was efficient and helpful.	
solid TA, I dig the fancy podcaster mic.	
• lucie is a great TA! extremely knowledgeable and very dedicated. 10/10. would be in my top 3 picks to teach the whole class if jae had to retire today	
• very helpful and approachable, and knows her stuff. asked julia a lot of dumb questions and she answered 'em	
• incredible TA. 10/10	
• incredible TA. 10/10	
• incredible TA. 10/10	
incredible TA. 10/10	
• incredible TA. 10/10	
incredible TA. 10/10. Literally the most patient and kind and humble human being to ever walk the Earth. You are absolutely amazing Mia, thank you for all your help this semester. You inspire me in every way.	
• incredible TA. 10/10	
• incredible TA. 10/10	
• incredible TA. 10/10	
Only regret is not spending more time learning from Maylis in office hours.	
Michael is literally the best. Cannot praise him enough. You guys should seriously consider hiring him or giving him a shitton of research money or making him valedictorian	
Really helpful and fun. And loved the bots at the Hackathon	
Shruti is so helpful, nice, knowledgeable, and approachable. Helped me a lot and also provided the right environment to not feel dumb asking dumb questions. 10/10 TA any professor would be lucky to have her	
She was wonderful at walking through problems I was having with my code and asking leading questions to help me see the issue and figure out how to fix it	
This girl is the best TA I've had, also probably the smartest person ever. The review sessions that she led made so much sense out of sample exam questions that baffled me when I tried them, and she was always there with a response in the class or TA listserv when you most needed it. Thank you Mayis!!!	
Mia is an awesome TA!!	