#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

## Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

1 - Course: Amount Learned													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent l	Respor	nses		Ме	ans			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.36					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Good	(3)	4	18.18%										
Very Good	(4)	6	27.27%										
Excellent	(5)	12	54.55%										
				0	25	50	100	Question					
Response	e Rate					Mean			STD	Me	dian		
22/33 (66	.67%)					4.36			0.79	Ę	.00		

2 - Course: Appropriateness of Workload	1											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent	Respor	nses			Ме	ans	
Poor	(1)	4	18.18%									
Fair	(2)	2	9.09%					3.14	_			
Good	(3)	6	27.27%									
Very Good	(4)	7	31.82%									
Excellent	(5)	3	13.64%									
	•			0	25	50	100	Questic	n			
Response	Rate					Mean				STD		Median
22/33 (66.6	7%)					3.14				1.32		3.00

3 - Course: Fairness of Grading Process										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	ent Resp	onses		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	3	13.64%							
Fair	(2)	4	18.18%				3.27			
Good	(3)	5	22.73%							
Very Good	(4)	4	18.18%							
Excellent	(5)	6	27.27%							
				0 2	25 50	100	Question			
Response Ra	ate				Mean	l.		STD	Me	dian
22/33 (66.67	%)				3.27			1.42	3	.00

4 - Course: Overall Quality							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.09		
Fair	(2)	3	13.64%				
Good	(3)	4	18.18%				
Very Good	(4)	3	13.64%				
Excellent	(5)	12	54.55%				
				0 25 50 100	Question		
Response Ra	te			Mean		STD	Median
22/33 (66.67%	6)			4.09		1.15	5.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

7/33 (21.21%)

Instructor:

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

Response Rate: 22/33 (66.67 %)

#### 5 - Enter any additional comments here

Jae Lee \*

Response Rate

• This course is challenging but you truly learn a lot throughout the semester.

• I got 28 points deducted from my grade only because I didn't include one print statement, this is not productive. There must be an understanding that the labs are under a lot of pressure and of the program works as expected, this is meant that the student understands what he is doing, not printing things.

This class was difficult for me, but I really enjoyed it.

• The grading is extremely harsh, especially for midterm 2. It would help if you released a partial rubric so that students can use them when completing their labs. Also I would really love to know why you create tests with the aim of the class average being a 60%? Why do you use tricky and convoluted code (midterm 1)? Why do you not make any exceptions for students around exams dates? Ultimately, I liked learning C but the syllabus is lacking basic compassion and kindness.

• I think section 2 would have been more helpful if it was set up more as a review session - so essentially spending the first hour going over what happened last lecture/ giving room for questions on the lecture, and then giving the extra exercises. I don't think Jae is specifically mean, but I think he can work on how he chooses his words a bit better when it comes to motivation. I specifically recall the one day when we all struggled on one exercise and he then stated that "there's no hope" for the next lab. I don't think he intended for it to seem like we were all dumb, but he kind of made it feel that way sometimes. Obviously, we are in section 2 because we care enough to try. Overall, I think Jae is a very good lecturer and AP is an extremely well thought out class but he could definitely be a bit kinder to students and I think that would not only reduce some of the stress surrounding the class, but would make it an overall better experience.

• This class is really well done and amazingly structured but could be done over a year rather than a semester and I would be able to learn it so much better.

• Advanced Programming was an experience that I will remember and cherish for many years after I graduate. I could use this opportunity to rave about Jae's lectures or about the high quality of course. But I would like to use this evaluation to note how beneficial the Friday recitation (section 002) was for me. A lot of the most important ideas and skills that I learned in this class, I really mastered in section 002. The second section, and it was very encouraging to start a weekend before an exam having already studied a lot AND under the supervision of my professor and several TAs. Jae was very approachable (fantastic lecturer and very funny!), I feel very grateful for being about to work with him and such helpful and knowledge TAs, including James, Amanda, Hans, Lucie, Gustaf, Stanley, and Brennan. James on a couple occasions was kind enough to stay an extra 10 minutes after Friday recitation to help me understand memory allocation and other hard topics on a deeper level. Other TAs were also generous with their time, and demonstrated that they truly cared about my success. And not only did section 002 make me a much better programmer, and one hundred percent affected my performance in the course, but it also brought me and about a dozen friends together this semester. I made more friends in section 002 than 1 probably did in all my other classes combined this semester. Computer programming is a collaborative industry and I found the social aspect of section 002 to be very beneficial for me.

#### 6 - Instructor: Organization and Preparation

Jae Lee											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent l	Respoi	nses		Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.32			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	5	22.73%								
Very Good	(4)	5	22.73%								
Excellent	(5)	12	54.55%								
	•			0	25	50	100	Instructor			
Response Ra	ite					Mean			STD	Me	edian
22/33 (66.67%	%)					4.32			0.84	Ę	5.00

7 - Instructor: Classroom Delivery	7 - Instructor: Classroom Delivery													
Jae Lee														
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respo	nses			Mea	ans			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.3	2					
Fair	(2)	1	4.55%											
Good	(3)	5	22.73%											
Very Good	(4)	2	9.09%											
Excellent	(5)	14	63.64%											
				0	25	50	100	Instru	uctor					
Response F	late					Mean				STD	м	ədian		
22/33 (66.6	7%)					4.32				0.99		5.00		

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

#### r: Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

#### **Response Rate:** 22/33 (66.67 %)

8 - Instructor: Approachability						
Jae Lee						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Me	ans
Poor	(1)	3	13.64%			
Fair	(2)	2	9.09%		3.45	
Good	(3)	5	22.73%			
Very Good	(4)	6	27.27%			
Excellent	(5)	6	27.27%			
				0 25 50 100	Instructor	
	Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
	22/33 (66.67%)			3.45	1.37	4.00

#### 9 - Instructor: Overall Quality

Jae Lee													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Me	ans							
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.18								
Fair	(2)	2	9.09%										
Good	(3)	4	18.18%										
Very Good	(4)	4	18.18%										
Excellent	(5)	12	54.55%										
	•			0 25 50 100	Instructor								
Response F	Rate			Mean	STD	Median							
22/33 (66.6	7%)			4.18	1.05	5.00							

10 - Would you nominate this professor for the SEAS Distinguished Faculty Award?													
Jae Lee													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Ре	rcent	Respor	nses		Mea	ns			
Yes	(1)	11	52.38%					1.48					
No	(2)	10	47.62%										
				0	25	50	100	Instructor					
F	Response Rate					Mean			STD	Me	edian		
2	21/33 (63.64%)					1.48			0.51	1	.00		

#### 11 - If so, please explain why

Jae Lee	
Response Rate	6/33 (18.18%)

• Professor Jae had very high expectations for his students. Even though these were difficult to meet, they made students work harder which was very rewarding.

· Because he changed the way I view programming.

Challenges his student, but still approachable and a great professor

• Professor Jae has easily been one of the best professors I've ever had. He's a little scary to the point I couldn't really meet his eyes, but he's very kind and warm (just a little intimidating). He speaks very carefully in order to not confuse people, and he provided a second section for people who are not as confident as coding. As someone in the second section, I feel so grateful that he's giving us extra resources; it really is the only reason I've been able to not fall too behind.

• I think you create a lot of unnecessary fear in the classroom -- fear of failing, fear of cheating. It makes you feel unapproachable.

· Jae Lee cares an insane amount about his students, and was willing to roll out a completely new way of teaching (with the split section), just to give extra attention to those who might need it.

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

## : Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

12 - Overall Quality										
Amanda Liu										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	ent Respo	nses		Ме	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.85			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Very Good	(4)	2	15.38%							
Excellent	(5)	11	84.62%							
	I I			0 2	25 50	100	ТА			
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
	13/33 (39.39%)				4.85			0.38	Ę	5.00

12 - Overall Quality										
Benjamin Most										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	nt Respo	onses		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	1	16.67%							
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1			3.50			
Good	(3)	2	33.33%							
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%							
Excellent	(5)	2	33.33%							
				0 2	5 50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	M	ədian
	6/33 (18.18%)				3.50			1.52	:	3.50

12 - Overall Quality	12 - Overall Quality											
Bill Chen												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns					
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		2.02							
Fair	(2)	1	16.67%		3.83							
Good	(3)	2	33.33%									
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%									
Excellent	(5)	3	50.00%									
				0 25 50 100	TA							
Respon	se Rate			Mean		STD	Median					
6/33 (1	3.18%)			3.83		1.33	4.00					

12 - Overall Quality												
Brennan McManus												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Mear	IS				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.2	9						
Fair	(2)	1	14.29%									
Good	(3)	1	14.29%									
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%									
Excellent	(5)	5	71.43%									
				0 25 50 100	T/	A						
	Response Rate			Mean		STD		Median				
	7/33 (21.21%)			4.29		1.25		5.00				

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

#### Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

12 - Overall Quality										
Catherine Chu										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	nt Respo	nses		M	eans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.00			
Fair	(2)	3	33.33%				4.00			
Good	(3)	0	0.00%							
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1						
Excellent	(5)	6	66.67%							
	- I			0 2	5 50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Me	dian
	9/33 (27.27%)				4.00			1.50	Ę	.00

12 - Overall Quality											
Gustaf Ahdritz											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	ent Res	spons	es		М	eans	
Poor	(1)	1	11.11%					4.33			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	2	22.22%								
Excellent	(5)	6	66.67%								
				0	25 5	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mea	an			STD	Me	edian
	9/33 (27.27%)				4.3	33			1.32	5	5.00

12 - Overall Quality	12 - Overall Quality											
Hans Montero												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mean	s					
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.91							
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%									
Excellent	(5)	10	90.91%									
				0 25 50 100	TA							
	Response Rate			Mean	STD		Median					
	11/33 (33.33%)			4.91	0.30		5.00					

12 - Overall Quality								
Hollis Lehv								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Mear	IS
Poor	(1)	2	25.00%					
Fair	(2)	1	12.50%	] 🔳	3.3	38		
Good	(3)	1	12.50%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	]				
Excellent	(5)	4	50.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Т	A		
	Response Rate			Mean		STD		Median
	8/33 (24.24%)			3.38		1.85		4.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

#### r: Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

12 - Overall Quality											
James Yang											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respor	nses		1	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.83			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	1	8.33%								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	11	91.67%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	N	edian
	12/33 (36.36%)					4.83			0.58		5.00

12 - Overall Quality												
Julia Guo												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	1				3.90			
Fair		(2)	2	20.00%					5.50			
Good		(3)	1	10.00%								
Very Good		(4)	3	30.00%								
Excellent		(5)	4	40.00%								
					0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rat	е					Mean			STD	M	edian
	10/33 (30.30%	)					3.90			1.20		4.00

12 - Overall Quality											
Kent Hall											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mean	s				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.56						
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	1	11.11%								
Very Good	(4)	2	22.22%								
Excellent	(5)	6	66.67%								
	· ·			0 25 50 100	TA						
R	lesponse Rate			Mean	STD		Median				
	9/33 (27.27%)			4.56	0.73		5.00				

12 - Overall Quality	12 - Overall Quality											
Lauren Ogden												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Mea	ns				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.	13						
Fair	(2)	1	12.50%									
Good	(3)	1	12.50%									
Very Good	(4)	2	25.00%									
Excellent	(5)	4	50.00%									
				0 25 50 100	1	Ā						
	Response Rate			Mean			STD	Me	dian			
	8/33 (24.24%)			4.13			1.13	4	.50			

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

### : Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

12 - Overall Quality												
Lucie Le Blanc												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respo	nses			Mear	ıs	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.86				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	2	14.29%									
Excellent	(5)	12	85.71%									
	•			0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		M	edian
	14/33 (42.42%)					4.86		0.36			5.00	

12 - Overall Quality											
Maria Kogan											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respon	ises		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				3.88			
Fair	(2)	2	25.00%					3.00			
Good	(3)	1	12.50%								
Very Good	(4)	1	12.50%								
Excellent	(5)	4	50.00%								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
	8/33 (24.24%)					3.88			1.36		4.50

12 - Overall Quality								
Matthew Broughton								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Respon	ises		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				
Fair	(2)	3	42.86%			3.57		
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	]				
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%					
Excellent	(5)	3	42.86%					
			•	0 25 50	100	TA		
Respon	se Rate			Mean			STD	Median
7/33 (2	1.21%)			3.57			1.51	4.00

12 - Overall Quality							
Michael Jan							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mear	IS
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.71		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	2	28.57%				
Excellent	(5)	5	71.43%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
	7/33 (21.21%)			4.71		0.49	5.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

#### : Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

**Response Rate:** 22/33 (66.67 %)

12 - Overall Quality												
Yuanhe Ye												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respor	ises			Mear	ıs	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.50				
Fair	(2)	1	16.67%									
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%									
		•	•	0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		Me	dian
	6/33 (18.18%)					4.50			1.22		5.	00

#### 12 - Overall Quality

Amanda Liu, Benjamin Most, Bill Chen, Brennan McManus, Catherine Chu, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, James Yang, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lauren Ogden, Lucie Le Blanc, Maria Kogan, Matthew Broughton, Michael Jan, Yuanhe Ye

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means					
Poor	(1)	4	2.67%	I				4.32					
Fair	(2)	15	10.00%										
Good	(3)	11	7.33%										
Very Good	(4)	19	12.67%										
Excellent	(5)	101	67.33%										
				0	25	50	100	TA					
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	M	edian		
						4.32			1.14	ł	5.00		

13 - Knowledgeability											
Amanda Liu											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respor	nses		I	leans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.85			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	2	15.38%								
Excellent	(5)	11	84.62%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	Median	
	13/33 (39.39%)					4.85			0.38	5.00	

13 - Knowledgeability												
Benjamin Most												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent I	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Poor		(1)	1	20.00%								
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1				3.60			
Good		(3)	1	20.00%								
Very Good		(4)	1	20.00%								
Excellent		(5)	2	40.00%								
	•				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rat	e					Mean			STD	Me	edian
	5/33 (15.15%)	)					3.60			1.67	2	1.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

## Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

13 - Knowledgeability							
Bill Chen							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		0.00		
Fair	(2)	1	16.67%		3.83		
Good	(3)	1	16.67%				
Very Good	(4)	2	33.33%				
Excellent	(5)	2	33.33%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
	6/33 (18.18%)			3.83		1.17	4.00

13 - Knowledgeability											
Brennan McManus											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	ent F	Respor	nses		Mea	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.57			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	1	14.29%								
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%								
Excellent	(5)	5	71.43%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				I	Mean			STD	Med	lian
	7/33 (21.21%)					4.57			0.79	5.0	00

13 - Knowledgeability							
Catherine Chu							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mean	IS
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.22		
Fair	(2)	1	11.11%				
Good	(3)	2	22.22%				
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%				
Excellent	(5)	6	66.67%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean	ST	D	Median
	9/33 (27.27%)			4.22	1.2	20	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability							
Gustaf Ahdritz							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.38		
Fair	(2)	1	12.50%	] 🔳			
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	]			
Very Good	(4)	2	25.00%				
Excellent	(5)	5	62.50%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
	8/33 (24.24%)			4.38		1.06	5.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee \* Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

**Response Rate:** 22/33 (66.67 %)

13 - Knowledgeability									
Hans Montero									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	t Respor	ises		Mea	ans
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.90		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	]					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	]					
Very Good	(4)	1	10.00%						
Excellent	(5)	9	90.00%						
	L. L			0 25	50	100	TA		
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Median
	10/33 (30.30%)				4.90			0.32	5.00

#### 13 - Knowledgeability Hollis Lehv **Response Option** Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means Poor (1) 1 14.29% 3.86 Fair 0 0.00% (2) Good (3) 2 28.57% 0 Very Good (4) 0.00% 4 Excellent (5) 57.14% 100 TA 0 25 50 **Response Rate** Mean STD Median 7/33 (21.21%) 3.86 1.57 5.00

13 - Knowledgeability												
James Yang												
Response Option	v	Veight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respor	ises		Mea	ins	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.82			
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good		(3)	1	9.09%								
Very Good		(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent		(5)	10	90.91%								
					0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate						Mean			STD	Me	dian
	11/33 (33.33%)						4.82			0.60	Ę	.00

13 - Knowledgeability							
Julia Guo							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	ļ	3.89		
Fair	(2)	1	11.11%	]	5.69		
Good	(3)	2	22.22%				
Very Good	(4)	3	33.33%				
Excellent	(5)	3	33.33%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
	9/33 (27.27%)			3.89		1.05	4.00

#### Course:

Jae Lee \*

Instructor:

## COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

22/33 (66.67 %) **Response Rate:** 

13 - Knowledgeability											
Kent Hall											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respoi	nses		М	eans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.63			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	1	12.50%								
Very Good	(4)	1	12.50%								
Excellent	(5)	6	75.00%								
				0	25	50	100	ТА			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
	8/33 (24.24%)					4.63			0.74	ł	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability												
Lauren Ogden												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent F	Respoi	nses		Me	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.00			
Fair		(2)	1	14.29%								
Good		(3)	1	14.29%								
Very Good		(4)	2	28.57%								
Excellent		(5)	3	42.86%								
	· · ·				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate	)					Mean			STD	M	ədian
	7/33 (21.21%)						4.00			1.15		1.00

13 - Knowledgeability						
Lucie Le Blanc						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Μ	eans
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.92	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1		
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1		
Very Good	(4)	1	7.69%			
Excellent	(5)	12	92.31%			
				0 25 50 100	ТА	
Respons	e Rate			Mean	STD	Median
13/33 (39	.39%)			4.92	0.28	5.00

13 - Knowledgeability									
Maria Kogan									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Mea	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.0	00			
Fair	(2)	1	14.29%	] 🔳					
Good	(3)	2	28.57%						
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	]					
Excellent	(5)	4	57.14%						
				0 25 50 100	1	A			
	Response Rate			Mean			STD	Med	ian
	7/33 (21.21%)			4.00			1.29	5.0	0

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

#### tor: Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

**Response Rate:** 22/33 (66.67 %)

13 - Knowledgeability												
Matthew Broughton												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent F	Respoi	nses			Mean	s	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1								
Fair	(2)	3	42.86%					3.57				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%									
Excellent	(5)	3	42.86%									
	•			0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate				I	Mean			STD		Me	dian
	7/33 (21.21%)					3.57			1.51		4	.00

#### 13 - Knowledgeability Michael Jan **Response Option** Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means Poor (1) 0 0.00% 5.00 Fair 0 0.00% (2) Good (3) 0 0.00% 0 0.00% Very Good (4) 7 Excellent (5) 100.00% TA 25 50 100 0 **Response Rate** Mean STD Median 7/33 (21.21%) 5.00 0.00 5.00

## 13 - Knowledgeability

Yuanhe Ye												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	cent l	Respo	nses			Mea	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.50				
Fair	(2)	1	16.67%									
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		Me	edian
	6/33 (18.18%)					4.50			1.22		5	i.00

#### 13 - Knowledgeability

Amanda Liu, Benjamin Most, Bill Chen, Brennan McManus, Catherine Chu, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, James Yang, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lauren Ogden, Lucie Le Blanc, Maria Kogan, Matthew Broughton, Michael Jan, Yuanhe Ye

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respor	nses			Mear	าร	
Poor	(1)	2	1.43%	I				4.41				
Fair	(2)	10	7.14%									
Good	(3)	14	10.00%									
Very Good	(4)	17	12.14%									
Excellent	(5)	97	69.29%						1			
				0	25	50	100	TA				
Res	ponse Rate					Mean			STD		Me	dian
						4.41			1.02		5	.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

14 - Approachability												
Amanda Liu												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respo	nses			Mean	s	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.85				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	2	15.38%									
Excellent	(5)	11	84.62%									
				0	25	50	100	ТА				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		M	edian
	13/33 (39.39%)					4.85			0.38		ł	5.00

14 - Approachability												
Benjamin Most												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respo	nses			Mear	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%									
Fair	(2)	1	20.00%					3.60				
Good	(3)	2	40.00%									
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	2	40.00%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		Me	dian
	5/33 (15.15%)					3.60			1.34		3.	00

14 - Approachability						
Bill Chen						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Με	ans
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	2.00	
Fair	(2)	1	20.00%		3.80	
Good	(3)	1	20.00%			
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%			
Excellent	(5)	2	40.00%			
				0 25 50 100	ТА	
	Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
	5/33 (15.15%)			3.80	1.30	4.00

14 - Approachability									
Brennan McManus									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Меа	ins	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.	17			
Fair	(2)	1	16.67%						
Good	(3)	1	16.67%						
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	]					
Excellent	(5)	4	66.67%						
				0 25 50 100	1	A			
	Response Rate			Mean			STD	Me	dian
	6/33 (18.18%)			4.17			1.33	5	.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

14 - Approachability												
Catherine Chu												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	ent R	espo	nses			Mear	าร	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.13				
Fair	(2)	1	12.50%									
Good	(3)	2	25.00%									
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	5	62.50%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate				N	<i>l</i> lean			STD		Me	edian
	8/33 (24.24%)					4.13			1.25		Ę	5.00

14 - Approachability										
Gustaf Ahdritz										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	ent Respo	onses			Mear	IS
Poor	(1)	1	12.50%				4.25			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Very Good	(4)	2	25.00%							
Excellent	(5)	5	62.50%							
				0 2	25 50	100	TA			
Respons	e Rate				Mean			STD		Median
8/33 (24	.24%)				4.25			1.39		5.00

14 - Approachability												
Hans Montero												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respo	nses			Ме	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.6	0			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	1	10.00%									
Very Good	(4)	2	20.00%		1							
Excellent	(5)	7	70.00%									
				0	25	50	100	Т	A			
Response R	ate					Mean				STD	M	edian
10/33 (30.30	%)					4.60				0.70	4	5.00

14 - Approachability								
Hollis Lehv								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Resp	oonses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	2	28.57%					
Fair	(2)	1	14.29%			3.14		
Good	(3)	1	14.29%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	]				
Excellent	(5)	3	42.86%					
				0 25 50	100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mear	1		STD	Median
	7/33 (21.21%)			3.14			1.86	3.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

#### ctor: Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

14 - Approachability											
James Yang											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respor	nses		ľ	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.73			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	1	9.09%								
Very Good	(4)	1	9.09%								
Excellent	(5)	9	81.82%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	N	ledian
	11/33 (33.33%)					4.73			0.65		5.00

14 - Approachability												
Julia Guo												
Response Option	v	Veight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respoi	nses		Me	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.22			
Fair		(2)	1	11.11%								
Good		(3)	1	11.11%								
Very Good		(4)	2	22.22%								
Excellent		(5)	5	55.56%								
	· · · ·				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	edian
	9/33 (27.27%)						4.22			1.09	4	5.00

14 - Approachability							
Kent Hall							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	]	4.50		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	]			
Good	(3)	1	12.50%				
Very Good	(4)	2	25.00%				
Excellent	(5)	5	62.50%				
	•		•	0 25 50 100	TA		
Response	Rate			Mean		STD	Median
8/33 (24.	24%)			4.50		0.76	5.00

14 - Approachability							
Lauren Ogden							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.29		
Fair	(2)	1	14.29%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	2	28.57%				
Excellent	(5)	4	57.14%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
	7/33 (21.21%)			4.29		1.11	5.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

## Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

14 - Approachability												
Lucie Le Blanc												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respor	nses			Mear	IS	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.71				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	1	7.14%									
Very Good	(4)	2	14.29%									
Excellent	(5)	11	78.57%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		Me	dian
	14/33 (42.42%)					4.71			0.61		5	.00

14 - Approachability									
Maria Kogan									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respons	es		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.29		
Fair	(2)	1	14.29%						
Good	(3)	1	14.29%						
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1					
Excellent	(5)	5	71.43%						
				0 25	50	100	TA		
R	esponse Rate				Mean			STD	Median
	7/33 (21.21%)				4.29			1.25	5.00

14 - Approachability							
Matthew Broughton							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.17		
Fair	(2)	1	16.67%				
Good	(3)	1	16.67%				
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%				
Excellent	(5)	4	66.67%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Respons	e Rate			Mean		STD	Median
6/33 (18	.18%)			4.17		1.33	5.00

14 - Approachability							
Michael Jan							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mear	าร
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		5.00		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%				
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%				
Excellent	(5)	6	100.00%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
	6/33 (18.18%)			5.00		0.00	5.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

#### tor: Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

**Response Rate:** 22/33 (66.67 %)

14 - Approachability												
Yuanhe Ye												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respor	ises			Mean	s	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.50				
Fair	(2)	1	16.67%									
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		Me	dian
	6/33 (18.18%)					4.50			1.22		5	.00

#### 14 - Approachability

Amanda Liu, Benjamin Most, Bill Chen, Brennan McManus, Catherine Chu, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, James Yang, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lauren Ogden, Lucie Le Blanc, Maria Kogan, Matthew Broughton, Michael Jan, Yuanhe Ye

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respor	nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	3	2.21%					4.37			
Fair	(2)	10	7.35%								
Good	(3)	14	10.29%								
Very Good	(4)	16	11.76%								
Excellent	(5)	93	68.38%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	M	ədian
						4.37			1.07		5.00

15 - Availability	15 - Availability												
Amanda Liu													
Response Option	w	Veight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	cent l	Respoi	nses		м	eans		
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%					4.75				
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good		(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good		(4)	3	25.00%									
Excellent		(5)	9	75.00%									
					0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	edian	
	12/33 (36.36%)						4.75			0.45		5.00	

15 - Availability							
Benjamin Most							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	1	20.00%				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		3.40		
Good	(3)	2	40.00%				
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%				
Excellent	(5)	2	40.00%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Res	ponse Rate			Mean		STD	Median
5/3	3 (15.15%)			3.40		1.67	3.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

## Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

15 - Availability										
Bill Chen										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respor	nses		Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			0.00			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	]			3.80			
Good	(3)	3	60.00%							
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1						
Excellent	(5)	2	40.00%							
	ľ			0 25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Me	edian
	5/33 (15.15%)				3.80			1.10	3	3.00

15 - Availability												
Brennan McManus												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	ent R	espon	ises			Mea	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.50				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	1	16.67%									
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%									
Excellent	(5)	4	66.67%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate				N	lean			STD		Me	edian
	6/33 (18.18%)				4	4.50			0.84		Ę	5.00

15 - Availability										
Catherine Chu										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respon	ises		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.13			
Fair	(2)	1	12.50%							
Good	(3)	2	25.00%							
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	]						
Excellent	(5)	5	62.50%							
	•		•	0 25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Me	dian
	8/33 (24.24%)				4.13			1.25	5	.00

15 - Availability							
Gustaf Ahdritz							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mean	s
Poor	(1)	1	12.50%		4.13		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%				
Good	(3)	1	12.50%				
Very Good	(4)	1	12.50%				
Excellent	(5)	5	62.50%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean	5	STD	Median
	8/33 (24.24%)			4.13	1	1.46	5.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

### tor: Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

15 - Availability												
Hans Montero												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respor	nses			Mean	s	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.80				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	2	20.00%									
Excellent	(5)	8	80.00%									
	- I			0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		Me	dian
	10/33 (30.30%)					4.80			0.42		Ę	.00

15 - Availability									
Hollis Lehv									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respor	ises		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	1	14.29%						
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	]			3.57		
Good	(3)	3	42.86%		l				
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	]					
Excellent	(5)	3	42.86%						
	·			0 25	50	100	TA		
Resp	onse Rate				Mean			STD	Median
7/33	(21.21%)				3.57			1.51	3.00

15 - Availability											
James Yang											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respor	ises		Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.67			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Good	(3)	2	16.67%								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	10	83.33%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response I	Rate					Mean			STD	M	ədian
12/33 (36.3	6%)					4.67			0.78	Į	5.00

15 - Availability											
Julia Guo											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	nt Resp	oonse	s		Mea	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				3.89			
Fair	(2)	2	22.22%					3.09			
Good	(3)	1	11.11%								
Very Good	(4)	2	22.22%								
Excellent	(5)	4	44.44%								
				0 25	5 50	1	00	TA			
	Response Rate				Mear	1			STD	Me	dian
	9/33 (27.27%)				3.89				1.27	4	.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

## Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

15 - Availability								
Kent Hall								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Re	esponses		Me	ans
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1		4.38		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1				
Good	(3)	1	12.50%					
Very Good	(4)	3	37.50%					
Excellent	(5)	4	50.00%					
	I I			0 25	50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Me	ean		STD	Median
	8/33 (24.24%)			4.	.38		0.74	4.50

15 - Availability											
Lauren Ogden											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	nt Res	spons	es		Меа	ins	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.14			
Fair	(2)	1	14.29%								
Good	(3)	1	14.29%								
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%								
Excellent	(5)	4	57.14%								
				0 2	:5 £	50	100	TA			
Respon	se Rate				Mea	an			STD	Me	ədian
7/33 (2	1.21%)				4.1	14			1.21	Ę	5.00

15 - Availability									
Lucie Le Blanc									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Меа	ins	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.6	9			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	]					
Good	(3)	2	15.38%						
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%						
Excellent	(5)	11	84.62%						
				0 25 50 100	Т	Ą			
Re	esponse Rate			Mean			STD	Me	edian
1:	3/33 (39.39%)			4.69			0.75	Ę	5.00

15 - Availability							
Maria Kogan							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.00		
Fair	(2)	1	14.29%				
Good	(3)	2	28.57%				
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%				
Excellent	(5)	4	57.14%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
	7/33 (21.21%)			4.00		1.29	5.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

#### or: Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

**Response Rate:** 22/33 (66.67 %)

15 - Availability												
Matthew Broughton												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respo	nses			Mean	s	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.20				
Fair	(2)	1	20.00%									
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	1	20.00%									
Excellent	(5)	3	60.00%									
	L			0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		Me	edian
	5/33 (15.15%)					4.20			1.30		Ę	5.00

15 - Availability													
Michael Jan													
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respo	nses			Ме	ans	
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	1				-4.	.67			
Fair		(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good		(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good		(4)	2	33.33%									
Excellent		(5)	4	66.67%									
					0	25	50	100		TA			
	Response Rate	)					Mean				STD	M	edian
	6/33 (18.18%)						4.67				0.52		5.00

15 - Availability											
Yuanhe Ye											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent F	Respor	ises		Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.50			
Fair	(2)	1	16.67%								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
	6/33 (18.18%)					4.50			1.22		5.00

#### 15 - Availability

Amanda Liu, Benjamin Most, Bill Chen, Brennan McManus, Catherine Chu, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, James Yang, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lauren Ogden, Lucie Le Blanc, Maria Kogan, Matthew Broughton, Michael Jan, Yuanhe Ye

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respor	nses			Me	ans	
Poor	(1)	3	2.24%	I				4.32	_			
Fair	(2)	7	5.22%									
Good	(3)	21	15.67%									
Very Good	(4)	16	11.94%									
Excellent	(5)	87	64.93%									
				0	25	50	100	TA				
Respo	onse Rate					Mean				STD	M	edian
						4.32				1.06		5.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

## Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

16 - Communication											
Amanda Liu											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent R	lespor	ises		M	eans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.83			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	2	16.67%								
Excellent	(5)	10	83.33%								
				0	25	50	100	ТА			
	Response Rate				ľ	llean			STD	M	edian
	12/33 (36.36%)					4.83			0.39	1	5.00

16 - Communication									
Benjamin Most									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Re	esponse	s		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	1	20.00%						
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	]			3.40		
Good	(3)	2	40.00%						
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1					
Excellent	(5)	2	40.00%						
				0 25	50 <sup>-</sup>	00	TA		
Response	Rate			Me	lean			STD	Median
5/33 (15.1	i%)			3.	8.40			1.67	3.00

16 - Communication							
Bill Chen							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		2.00		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%		3.80		
Good	(3)	3	60.00%				
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%				
Excellent	(5)	2	40.00%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Respo	onse Rate			Mean		STD	Median
5/33	(15.15%)			3.80		1.10	3.00

16 - Communication									
Brennan McManus									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Mea	ns	
Poor	(1)	1	16.67%		4.(	0			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%						
Good	(3)	1	16.67%						
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	]					
Excellent	(5)	4	66.67%						
				0 25 50 100	Т	A			
	Response Rate			Mean			STD	Me	dian
	6/33 (18.18%)			4.00			1.67	5	.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

### Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

16 - Communication										
Catherine Chu										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	ent Resp	onses		N	leans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.13			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%							
Good	(3)	3	37.50%							
Very Good	(4)	1	12.50%							
Excellent	(5)	4	50.00%							
				0 2	25 50	100	TA			
	Response Rate				Mear	1		STD	M	edian
	8/33 (24.24%)				4.13			0.99		4.50

16 - Communication									
Gustaf Ahdritz									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respon	ses		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	1	12.50%				4.13		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1					
Very Good	(4)	3	37.50%						
Excellent	(5)	4	50.00%						
	•			0 25	50	100	TA		
Response	Rate				Mean			STD	Median
8/33 (24.2	4%)				4.13			1.36	4.50

16 - Communication							
Hans Montero							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Меа	ins
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	5.00		
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1			
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1			
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%				
Excellent	(5)	10	100.00%				
				0 25 50 100	TA		
Response	Rate			Mean		STD	Median
10/33 (30.	30%)			5.00		0.00	5.00

16 - Communication								
Hollis Lehv								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Response	s		Mea	ns
Poor	(1)	2	28.57%					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	]		3.29		
Good	(3)	2	28.57%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	]				
Excellent	(5)	3	42.86%					
				0 25 50 1	00	TA		
	Response Rate			Mean			STD	Median
	7/33 (21.21%)			3.29			1.80	3.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

### tor: Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

**Response Rate:** 22/33 (66.67 %)

James Yang													
Sames rang				_									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent R	lespor	nses			Mea	Means		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.92					
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Very Good	(4)	1	8.33%										
Excellent	(5)	11	91.67%										
		•		0	25	50	100	TA					
	Response Rate				Ν	lean			STD		Me	dian	
	12/33 (36.36%)					4.92			0.29		5	.00	

#### 16 - Communication Julia Guo **Response Option** Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means Poor (1) 0 0.00% T 4.00 Fair 1 11.11% (2) Good (3) 2 22.22% 2 Very Good (4) 22.22% Excellent 4 44.44% (5) 100 TA 0 25 50 STD **Response Rate** Mean Median 9/33 (27.27%) 4.00 1.12 4.00

16 - Communication						
Kent Hall						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Ме	ans
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1	4.63	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1		
Good	(3)	1	12.50%			
Very Good	(4)	1	12.50%			
Excellent	(5)	6	75.00%			
				0 25 50 100	ТА	
	Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
	8/33 (24.24%)			4.63	0.74	5.00

16 - Communication									
Lauren Ogden									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Respon	ses		Меа	ins
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				4.00		
Fair	(2)	1	14.29%						
Good	(3)	1	14.29%						
Very Good	(4)	2	28.57%						
Excellent	(5)	3	42.86%						
				0 25	50	100	TA		
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Median
	7/33 (21.21%)				4.00			1.15	4.00

#### Course:

Jae Lee \*

Instructor:

## COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

22/33 (66.67 %) **Response Rate:** 

16 - Communication												
Lucie Le Blanc												
Response Option		Means										
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				5.00				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1								
Excellent	(5)	13	100.00%									
	- I			0	25	50	100	TA				
	Response Rate					Mean			STD		Me	edian
	13/33 (39.39%)					5.00			0.00		Ę	5.00

16 - Communication											
Maria Kogan											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	rcent	Respo	nses		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1				3.83			
Fair	(2)	1	16.67%					3.63			
Good	(3)	2	33.33%								
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	3	50.00%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
	6/33 (18.18%)					3.83			1.33		4.00

16 - Communication											
Matthew Broughton											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perce	ent R	espon	ises		Меа	ans	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					2.90			
Fair	(2)	2	40.00%					3.80			
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%								
Excellent	(5)	3	60.00%								
				0 2	25	50	100	TA			
Response Ra	ate				N	lean			STD	Me	edian
5/33 (15.15%	6)				3	3.80			1.64	Ę	5.00

16 - Communication						
Michael Jan						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Me	ans
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.83	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	0	0.00%			
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%			
Excellent	(5)	5	83.33%			
				0 25 50 100	TA	
	Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
	6/33 (18.18%)			4.83	0.41	5.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye

**Response Rate:** 22/33 (66.67 %)

16 - Communication													
Yuanhe Ye													
Response Option         Weight         Frequency         Percent         Percent Responses         Means													
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.17						
Fair	(2)	1	16.67%										
Good	(3)	1	16.67%										
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%	1									
Excellent	(5)	4	66.67%										
				0 25	50	100	ТА						
	Response Rate				Mean			STD	Median				
	6/33 (18.18%)				4.17			1.33	5.00				

#### 16 - Communication

Amanda Liu, Benjamin Most, Bill Chen, Brennan McManus, Catherine Chu, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, James Yang, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lauren Ogden, Lucie Le Blanc, Maria Kogan, Matthew Broughton, Michael Jan, Yuanhe Ye

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			nses		Mea	ans	
Poor	(1)	5	3.76%	I				4.35			
Fair	(2)	6	4.51%								
Good	(3)	18	13.53%								
Very Good	(4)	13	9.77%								
Excellent	(5)	91	68.42%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	M	ədian
				4.35					1.11		5.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
Amanda Liu													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Меа	ans			
Yes	(1)	12	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
	· ·		•	0	25	50	100	TA					
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	edian		
12/33 (36.36%)						1.00			0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
Benjamin Most													
Response Option	Percent	Pe	ercent	Respo	nses			Me	ans				
Yes	(1)	6	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1					1.00				
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
				0	25	50	100		TA				
Response				Mean				STD	M	edian			
6/33 (18.18%)						1.00				0.00		1.00	

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
Bill Chen													
Response Option					rcent	Respo	nses		Me	ans			
Yes	(1)	6	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%					1.00					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%										
				0	25	50	100	TA					
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	edian		
6/33 (18.18%)						1.00			0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
Brennan McManus													
Response Option							nses		Меа	ins			
Yes	(1)	7	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
				0	25	50	100	TA					
Response Ra				Mean			STD	Me	edian				
7/33 (21.21%)						1.00			0.00	1	.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
Catherine Chu													
Response Option									Mea	ans			
Yes	(1)	9	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
				0	25	50	100	TA					
Respon				Mean			STD	M	edian				
9/33 (27.27%)						1.00			0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively	17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
Gustaf Ahdritz														
Response Option									Mea	ans				
Yes	(1)	8	100.00%											
No	(2)	0	0.00%	Ι				1.00						
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%											
				0	25	50	100	TA						
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	ədian			
8/33 (24.24%)						1.00			0.00		1.00			

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively	in English	h?											
Hans Montero													
Response Option	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			Меа	ins				
Yes	(1)	11	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1					1.00				
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
				0	25	50	100		TA				
Response Rate						Mean				STD	Mo	edian	
11/33 (33.33%)						1.00				0.00	1	1.00	

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
Hollis Lehv													
Response Option						Respo	nses		Me	ans			
Yes	(1)	8	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%					1.00					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%										
				0	25	50	100	TA					
Response Rate						Mean			STD	м	edian		
8/33 (24.24%)						1.00			0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?													
James Yang													
Response Option									Меа	ans			
Yes	(1)	11	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
				0	25	50	100	TA					
Response Rate						Mean			STD	Me	edian		
11/33 (33.33%)						1.00			0.00	1	.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively	17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?												
Julia Guo													
Response Option	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses			Mea	ans				
Yes	(1)	9	90.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				Ι.	1.20				
N/A	(3)	1	10.00%										
				0	25	50	100		TA				
Response Ra				Mean				STD	M	edian			
10/33 (30.30%)						1.20				0.63		1.00	

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively	in Englisi	1?											
Kent Hall													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Mea	ans			
Yes	(1)	8	100.00%										
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00					
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
				0	25	50	100	TA					
Response Rate						Mean			STD	M	ədian		
8/33 (24.24%)						1.00			0.00		1.00		

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively	17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?											
Lauren Ogden												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	onses			Меа	ins	
Yes	(1)	8	100.00%									
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1					1.00			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100		TA			
Response Ra	ate					Mean				STD	Me	edian
8/33 (24.24%	6)					1.00				0.00	1	1.00

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

r: Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

17 - Does this TA communic	ate effectively in Englis	h?									
Lucie Le Blanc											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Mea	ans	
Yes	(1)	13	100.00%								
No	(2)	0	0.00%					1.00			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%								
	·			0	25	50	100	TA			
	Response Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
	13/33 (39.39%)					1.00			0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively	in Englisł	1?									
Maria Kogan											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Mea	ns	
Yes	(1)	7	100.00%								
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1							
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	Me	edian
7/33 (21.21%	)					1.00			0.00	1	.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?											
Matthew Broughton											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	nses		Me	ans	
Yes	(1)	7	100.00%								
No	(2)	0	0.00%					1.00			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%								
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response	Rate					Mean			STD	M	edian
7/33 (21.2	1%)					1.00			0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?											
Michael Jan											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respo	nses		Mea	ans	
Yes	(1)	7	100.00%								
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1				1.00			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1							-
				0	25	50	100	TA			
Response Ra	te					Mean			STD	M	edian
7/33 (21.21%	)					1.00			0.00		1.00

17 - Does this TA communicate effective	17 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?											
Yuanhe Ye												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Respo	onses			Mea	ins	
Yes	(1)	7	100.00%									
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1					1.00			
N/A	(3)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	100		TA			
Response	Rate					Mean				STD	M	edian
7/33 (21.2	1%)					1.00				0.00		1.00

Course:	COMSW3157_0	02_201	9_3 - ADV	ANCED P	ROG	GRAN	IMING					
Instructor:	Jae Lee *											
	Gustaf Ahdritz,Mat Lehv,Amanda Liu,B		0 ,			,			,		0,	ıcie Le Blanc,Holli
Response Rate:	22/33 (66.67 %)											
17 - Does this TA c	communicate effectively	y in Englis	h?									
Amanda Liu, Benja	communicate effectively amin Most, Bill Chen, B Ianc, Maria Kogan, Mat	rennan Mc	Manus, Cathe				z, Hans I	Nonter	o, Hollis L	ehv, James Y	'ang, Julia G	Guo, Kent Hall, Laur
Amanda Liu, Benja	amin Most, Bill Chen, B	rennan Mc	Manus, Cathe ghton, Michae		he Ye	•	z, Hans I Response		o, Hollis L	ehv, James Y	'ang, Julia G Means	Guo, Kent Hall, Laur
Amanda Liu, Benja Ogden, Lucie Le B	amin Most, Bill Chen, B	rennan Mc thew Broug	Manus, Cathe ghton, Michae	el Jan, Yuan	he Ye	•	·		o, Hollis L	ehv, James Y		Guo, Kent Hall, Laur
Amanda Liu, Benja Ogden, Lucie Le B Response Option Yes	amin Most, Bill Chen, B	rennan Mc thew Broug Weight	Manus, Cathe ghton, Michae Frequency	el Jan, Yuan Percent	he Ye	•	·		o, Hollis L	ehv, James Y		Guo, Kent Hall, Laur
Amanda Liu, Benja Ogden, Lucie Le B Response Option	amin Most, Bill Chen, B	rennan Mc thew Brou Weight (1)	Manus, Cathe ghton, Michae Frequency 144	el Jan, Yuan Percent 99.31%	he Ye	•	·			ehv, James Y		Guo, Kent Hall, Laur
Amanda Liu, Benja Ogden, Lucie Le B Response Option Yes No	amin Most, Bill Chen, B Ilanc, Maria Kogan, Mat	weight (1) (2) (3)	Manus, Cathe ghton, Michae Frequency 144	el Jan, Yuan Percent 99.31% 0.00%	he Ye	rcent F	Respons 50					
Amanda Liu, Benja Ogden, Lucie Le B Response Option Yes No	amin Most, Bill Chen, B	weight (1) (2) (3)	Manus, Cathe ghton, Michae Frequency 144	el Jan, Yuan Percent 99.31% 0.00%	he Ye	rcent R	Respons	es	1.01	ehv, James Y		Guo, Kent Hall, Laur

18 - Comments	8 - Comments					
Amanda Liu						
Response Rate	5/33 (15.15%)					
super super super knowledgeable and a	pproachable					

You're the best and you know it. Extremely smart and great at explaining things.

Amazing wonderful a ray of sunshine

Amanda is one of the best TA's I've ever had. She is so good at explaining everything and makes me feel like I can succeed.

• Excellent.

18 - Comments						
Benjamin Most						
Response Rate	0/33 (0%)					
18 - Comments						
Bill Chen						
Response Rate	0/33 (0%)					

18 - Comments	8 - Comments					
Brennan McManus						
Response Rate	0/33 (0%)					

18 - Comments	
Catherine Chu	
Response Rate	0/33 (0%)

18 - Comments	8 - Comments						
Gustaf Ahdritz							
Response Rate	1/33 (3.03%)						
makes the subject exciting							

18 - Comments	
Hans Montero	
Response Rate	2/33 (6.06%)
Hans stands out a lot as really one of the be     Excellent.	est TA's. Walks you through everything quickly, answers questions well, and goes really above and beyond to teach you.

Course: Instructor:	COMSW3157_002_2019_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING Jae Lee *							
	Gustaf Ahdritz,Matthew Broughton,Bill Chen,Catherine Chu,Julia Guo,Kent Hall,Michael Jan,Maria Kogan,Lucie Le Blanc,Hollis Lehv,Amanda Liu,Brennan McManus,Hans Montero,Benjamin Most,Lauren Ogden,James Yang,Yuanhe Ye							
Response Rate:	22/33 (66.67 %	6)						
18 - Comments								
Hollis Lehv								
Respons	se Rate	0/33 (0%)						
18 - Comments								
James Yang	lames Yang							

Response Rate

Awesome

Extremely smart. "the only one who knows C++" haha

Amazing wonderful a ray of sunshine

· I cannot rave enough about James! Please see my comments about the course, where I discuss how helpful James was.

4/33 (12.12%)

18 - Comments	
Julia Guo	
Response Rate	0/33 (0%)
Response Rate	0/33 (0%)

18 - Comments							
Kent Hall							
Response Rate	1/33 (3.03%)						
• One of the only ones who will stay by you a	nd walk you through every step of the way. Very patient and nice!!						

18 - Comments	
Lauren Ogden	
Response Rate	0/33 (0%)

18 - Comments							
Lucie Le Blanc							
Response Rate	3/33 (9.09%)						
• very good							
Really patient and sweet. Always walks me through everything slowly step by step.							
<ul> <li>Amazing wonderful a ray of sunshine</li> </ul>							

18 - Comments	
Maria Kogan	
Response Rate	0/33 (0%)

18 - Comments	
Matthew Broughton	
Response Rate	0/33 (0%)

18 - Comments	
Michael Jan	
Response Rate	1/33 (3.03%)
Really good!!	

Course:	ourse: COMSW3157_002_2019_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING									
Instructor:	Jae Lee *									
	,	Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye								
Response Rate:	22/33 (66.67 %	)								
18 - Comments										
Yuanhe Ye										
Respons	se Rate	0/33 (0%)								
18 - Comments										
		n, Brennan McManus, Catherine Chu, Gustaf Ahdritz, Hans Montero, Hollis Lehv, James Yang, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Lauren Matthew Broughton, Michael Jan, Yuanhe Ye								
Respons	se Rate									
Awesome										
super super super kr	nowledgeable and appro-	oachable								
<ul> <li>very good</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>makes the subject ex</li> </ul>	kciting									
<ul> <li>Really good!!</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>You're the best and y</li> </ul>	ou know it. Extremely	smart and great at explaining things.								
<ul> <li>Really patient and sv</li> </ul>	veet. Always walks me	through everything slowly step by step.								
One of the only ones	who will stay by you a	nd walk you through every step of the way. Very patient and nice!!								
<ul> <li>Hans stands out a lot</li> </ul>	t as really one of the be	st TA's. Walks you through everything quickly, answers questions well, and goes really above and beyond to teach you.								
<ul> <li>Extremely smart. "the</li> </ul>	e only one who knows (	C++" haha								
<ul> <li>Amazing wonderful a</li> </ul>	Amazing wonderful a ray of sunshine									
Amazing wonderful a	a ray of sunshine									
Amazing wonderful a	a ray of sunshine									
Amanda is one of the	e best TA's I've ever ha	d. She is so good at explaining everything and makes me feel like I can succeed.								
Excellent.										
<ul> <li>Excellent.</li> </ul>	• Excellent.									

· I cannot rave enough about James! Please see my comments about the course, where I discuss how helpful James was.

# 19 - This semester we offered several resources for students to study and interact with the course. Please rate each item. Sample exam review (super office hours)

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Get rid of it	(1)	0	0.00%		4.23					
Meh	(2)	1	4.55%							
Somewhat useful	(3)	3	13.64%							
Very useful	(4)	8	36.36%							
Indispensable	(5)	10	45.45%							
I did not use this resource	(0)	0	0.00%							
				0 25 50 100	Question					
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median				
22/33 (66.67%)				4.23	0.87	4.00				

19 - This semester we offered several resources for students to study and interact with the course. Please rate each item.

Mock exam												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Ре	rcent	Respo	nses	Means				
Get rid of it	(1)	0	0.00%	1				4.22				
Meh	(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Somewhat useful	(3)	3	13.64%									
Very useful	(4)	8	36.36%									
Indispensable	(5)	7	31.82%									
I did not use this resource	(0)	4	18.18%									
				0	25	50	100	Question				
Response Rate				Mean				STD		Median		
22/33 (66.67%)				4.22				0.73		4.00		

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

#### tor: Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

Response Rate: 22/33 (66.67 %)

Course listserv								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Get rid of it	(1)	3	13.64%					
Meh	(2)	1	4.55%		3.50			
Somewhat useful	(3)	7	31.82%					
Very useful	(4)	4	18.18%					
Indispensable	(5)	7	31.82%					
I did not use this resource	(0)	0	0.00%	1				
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD		Median	
22/33 (66.67%)				3.50		1.37	3.50	

19 - This semester we offered several resources for students to study and interact with the course. Please rate each item.

Weekly review sessions									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	nses Means				
Get rid of it	(1)	0	0.00%		0.05				
Meh	(2)	1	4.55%	I	3.95				
Somewhat useful	(3)	4	18.18%						
Very useful	(4)	10	45.45%						
Indispensable	(5)	5	22.73%						
I did not use this resource	(0)	2	9.09%						
	·			0 25 50 100	Question				
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median			
22/33 (66.67%)				3.95	0.83	4.00			

19 - This semester we offered several resources for students to study and interact with the course. Please rate each item. Review videos on YouTube (see https://bit.ly/3157TV) Means **Response Option** Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Get rid of it 0 0.00% (1) 4.24 Meh (2) 0 0.00% Somewhat useful 19.05% (3) 4 Very useful 8 38.10% (4) 9 Indispensable (5) 42.86% 0 I did not use this resource (0) 0.00% 50 100 Question 0 25 **Response Rate** Mean STD Median 0.77 21/33 (63.64%) 4.24 4.00

Review session notes on GitHub										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Get rid of it	(1)	0	0.00%	1						
Meh	(2)	0	0.00%	1	4.00					
Somewhat useful	(3)	4	18.18%							
Very useful	(4)	9	40.91%							
Indispensable	(5)	4	18.18%							
I did not use this resource	(0)	5	22.73%							
				0 25 50 100	Question					

#### Course: COMSW3157\_002\_2019\_3 - ADVANCED PROGRAMMING

Instructor:

Jae Lee \*

Gustaf Ahdritz, Matthew Broughton, Bill Chen, Catherine Chu, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Michael Jan, Maria Kogan, Lucie Le Blanc, Hollis Lehv, Amanda Liu, Brennan McManus, Hans Montero, Benjamin Most, Lauren Ogden, James Yang, Yuanhe Ye

19 - This semester we offered several resources for students to study and interact with the course. Please rate each item.											
Section 2 exercises											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percen	t Respons	es	Means				
Get rid of it	(1)	0	0.00%	1							
Meh	(2)	1	4.55%				3.95				
Somewhat useful	(3)	6	27.27%								
Very useful	(4)	8	36.36%								
Indispensable	(5)	7	31.82%								
I did not use this resource	(0)	0	0.00%	1							
				0 25	50	100	Question				
Response Rate				Mean			STD		Median		
22/33 (66.67%)				3.95			0.90		4.00		