

Telecom policy: competition, spectrum, access and technology transitions

HENNING SCHULZRINNE

The views and opinions expressed in this presentation are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of any agency of the U.S. government. Any resemblance to actual policies, living or dead, or actual events is purely coincidental.


Key challenges – (nearly) everywhere

- Competition and investment are poorly understood
- Spectrum is no longer just book-keeping
- Rural broadband is about finding the right levers
- Access for people with disabilities enables functionality for everybody
- Emergency services (112 & 911) are mostly still stuck in pre-Internet

Network economics, competition & investment

What's the economic case for 5G?


Big 4 Postpaid ARPU



Cord-cutting for broadband?

Exhibit 13

Comcast: Median Bandwidth Usage per Household per Month, 2008 to 2016



Source: Comcast's website, MoffettNathanson estimates and analysis

Metrics: not Gb/s or b/s/Hz, but \$/GB and \$/year


- Consumer market: **\$/GB delivered**
 - little willingness to pay for speed above 10 Mb/s for now
 - unless \$/GB \rightarrow 0, 1 Gb/s just threatens wallet
- NB-IoT: **\$/device + \$/year cost**
 - compete with \$0 incremental cost BT/Zigbee/WiFi or LPWAN
 - include amortized
 - typically, \ll \$1/month
 - predictable coverage & international reach
 - alternative for one-way: ATSC 3.0 (50+ miles reach, no incremental cost)

Network economics, (over)simplified

% OF REVENUE




Equipment Construction Operations



traditional: 12-15 staff/10k customers
Iliad, FR: 3-4 staff/10k

2016 Average OPEX to CAPEX ratios⁴⁴




Competition models: vertically integrated




content & applications


L3...L7



L2 (MAC)



L1 (PHY)




"L0" (infrastructure)


sharing (incumbent + new entrant) vs. neutral third party

Sharing models: US


+ WISP & satellite




Sharing models: Canada, Europe, Australia



Accidental broadband




Rural wireline ILECs lack resources



OECD overview

1.2.1. OECD Fixed broadband subscriptions per 100 inhabitants, by technology, December 2016




Trade-offs across the world?

- If new deployment, predicted return on investment
 - with unbundling: what is the wholesale price going to be?
 - no magic algorithm --- margin squeeze
- Allow infrastructure owner to provide services?
- Impact on consumer surplus
- US: pole attachment problems
 - if incumbents are pole owners


Rural broadband

Rural broadband US



County shares are estimated using data from a 1 percent sample of 240 million voting-age Americans provided by Catalist, an election data firm. Internet connections faster than dial-up include those via DSL, cable, fiber-optic, satellite, etc.

Number of 25/3 Mb/s providers




Lower population density, easier broadband

32.45/km²

2.91/km²


3.49/km²




Deloitte, 2017

Policy levers for rural broadband

- Decrease cost of serving
 - “dig once” – bury conduit or fiber during street (or other utility) repair & construction
 - pole attachment: make-ready, rates, shot clocks, ...
- Provide funding
 - US: Universal Service Fund



microtrenching




Challenges for rural broadband

- Who is going to build out?
 - some incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs) are not interested
 - municipalities may be prohibited by state laws
 - or hurdle is extremely high
 - rural electric cooperatives – serve 14M homes in US (out of ~110M)
 - average, 5.8 electric meters per mile
- Who is going to pay for broadband?
 - pay once or pay forever?
- Are non-landline approaches scalable?
 - TVWS
 - satellite – NGS like OneWeb (600 satellites)
 - currently, about 500k residential satellite subscribers


Broadband adoption

- Used to be simple binary: “are you on the Internet?”
- Now:
 - low-speed landline Internet
 - mobile Internet
 - high-speed Internet
- What capabilities matter?
 - Facebook and Whatsapp access?
 - ability to fill out job form? → mobile apps
 - content creation → tethering ok?



Reason for non-adoption
















Table 2: Top Reasons for not Subscribing to an Internet Service at Home*



* Asked of those who do not currently get an Internet service at home and do not plan to subscribe in the next six months

Barriers to Internet adoption


Non-Internet users face four categories of barriers

	 Incentives	 Low incomes and affordability	 User capability	 Infrastructure
Barriers directly affecting consumers	<ul style="list-style-type: none">  Lack of awareness of Internet or relevant use cases  Lack of relevant (e.g., local, localized) content and services  Lack of cultural or social acceptance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none">  Low income or consumer purchasing power  Total cost of ownership for device  Cost of data plan  Consumer taxes and fees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none">  Lack of digital literacy  Lack of language literacy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none">  Lack of mobile Internet coverage or network access  Lack of adjacent infrastructure (e.g., grid electricity)
Root causes (e.g., providers, government/regulatory, industrial)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ High content and service provider costs and business model constraints ▪ Low awareness or interest from brands and advertisers ▪ Lack of a trusted logistics and payments system ▪ Low ease of doing business ▪ Limited Internet freedom and information security 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Challenging national economic environment ▪ High device manufacturer costs and business model constraints ▪ High network operator costs and business model constraints ▪ High provider taxes and fees ▪ Unfavorable market structure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Under-resourced educational system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Limited access to international bandwidth ▪ Underdeveloped national core network, backhaul, and access infrastructure ▪ Limited spectrum availability ▪ National ICT strategy that doesn't effectively address issue of broadband access ▪ Under-resourced infrastructure development (e.g., FDI limits)

SOURCE: Literature review; expert interviews; McKinsey analysis

Internet usage by income

Computer ownership
Internet use



Note: About 4.2 percent of all households reported household Internet use without a paid subscription. These households are not included in this figure.


Computer and Internet Use in the United States: 2013
American Community Survey Reports

Spectrum

Spectrum sharing

How much politeness & fairness is required?
→ LTE-U & LTE-LAA (license-assisted, listen-before-talk)

through
1990s



US: since 1994

Ideal spectrum

- Unused or cheap
- Available globally (→ important for consumer goods & market size)
 - preferably under similar licensing conditions
- No noisy or sensitive neighbors
- Propagates indoors through walls and glass
- Not affected by rain or leaves outdoors
- Wide bands (≥ 5 MHz, preferably 20 MHz+)
- Is paired (uplink & downlink)
- Can be processed with cheap electronics (Si, not GaAs)
- Allows small antennas 🦄🦄

Spectrum management

UNTIL THE 2000S

Single purpose
Fixed technology (modulation)
Exclusive use
Narrow bands (except TV)
Assume single radio per device
Worry mostly about OOB to like
Spectral efficiency secondary
Single-country

“MODERN”

Flexible use
Flexible technology
Shared, over/underlay
At least 5 MHz, preferably 100
Multiple (> 4) XTR/RCV
Receiver requirements?
Spectral efficiency matters
International coordination

Challenges for spectrum sharing

Unlicensed ~2000

- indoor home
- indoor enterprise
- campus
- --> natural separation
- only power rules (no listen-before-talk (CS) required)



Unlicensed now

- secondary public SSID
 - e.g., CableWiFi
- re-use HFC/FTTH backhaul
- One band, one channel



Unlicensed emerging

- LTE-U, LAA
- what are the “kindergarten” rules?

Spectrum co-existence



“high tower, high power”
(TV, cellular downlink, radar transmitter)


vs.



- cellular uplink
- radar receiver
- GPS receiver

how do I quickly identify sources of interference?

Spectrum roles



base-level coverage
(particularly rural)

urban capacity

indoor & capacity

directional
capacity


Digital dividend
TV incentive auction

AWS-3

3.5 GHz

mmWave R&O

TV incentive auction



Incentive auction facts

Forward Auction

\$19.8 billion

Gross revenues (2nd largest in FCC auction history)

\$19.3 billion

Revenues net of requested bidding credits

\$7.3 billion

Auction proceeds for federal deficit reduction

70 MHz

Largest amount of licensed low-band spectrum ever made available at auction

14 MHz

Spectrum available for wireless mics and unlicensed use

2,776

License blocks sold (out of total of 2,912 offered)


\$1.31

Average price/MHz-pop *sold* in Top 40 PEAs

\$.93

Average price/MHz-pop *sold* nationwide

3.5 GHz band



Band 42: TDD, 3.4-3.5 GHz

Band 43: TDD, 3.6-3.65 GHz

FSS: C Band (3.625–4.200)

Source: Google



Universal access

Goal: functional equivalence

- Title IV of Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):
 - The term "telecommunications relay services" means telephone transmission services that provide the ability for an individual who has a hearing impairment or speech impairment to engage in communication by wire or radio with a hearing individual in a manner that is functionally equivalent to the ability of an individual who does not have a hearing impairment or speech impairment to communicate using voice communication services by wire or radio. Such term includes services that enable two-way communication between an individual who uses a TDD or other nonvoice terminal device and an individual who does not use such a device.



47 USC 225



What can be done?




But what about YouTube?
Live events?




Enable access by people with disabilities → provide new capabilities for everyone

Relay services





text relay

(legacy, may transition to RTT)





VRS

(ASL as first language; mostly culturally Deaf)



IP-CTS

(Non-ASL; mostly late-deafened)



Direct video calling

old model: customer → video interpreter → government agency

new model: customer – (direct video calling) --- government agency




10% of VRS minutes are to small set of destinations, like SSA

Text-to-911

PSAP REPORTED READINESS - **CURRENT** AND **FUTURE**
TEXT MESSAGE to 9-1-1
BY COUNTY AS OF June 22, 2017*

*BASED ON REPORTS TO THE FCC Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau



obligation
for carriers
by June 2015


Emergency calling

VoIP emergency communications

phone & SMS-based (local)




wireless emergency alerts (WEA)



90 characters
(360 in the future?)


AM/FM
TV
cable



What distinguishes 911?

- 5,784 PSAPs (in 3,135 U.S. counties)
 - some very large (NYC, LA, Chicago), some tiny
 - technical services by contractors and “system service providers”
- 240 million 9-1-1 calls per year: 70% cellular
- Location delivery
 - 98.6% of population have some Phase II (July 2016) – outdoors!
 - most carriers use hybrid location (GPS + network-based such U-TDOA)
- Funded by variety of add-on 9-1-1 charges on phone bills, not taxes
 - some diverted to other purposes
- Limited regulatory authority for FCC
 - Mostly, iVoIP and cellular providers, not PSAPs
 - some oversight by state public utilities commission or state 911 office

Wireless 911: Phase 2



Switches are ageing



Nortel DMS-100

1979

ebay Browse by category

Back to search results | Listed in category: Computers/Tablets & Networking > Enterprise Networking, Servers > Other

This is a private listing. Sign in to view your status or learn more about private listings.

FREE shipping NT6X50AB DMS-100 DS1 Int

Like Want Own

Item **Used**
condition:

Quantity: 3 available

Price: **US \$30.00**

Best Offer:

BillMeLater New customers get \$10

13telecom

March 8th AT&T Mobility VoLTE 911 Outage

- **March 8, 2017: Significant adverse impact on VoLTE 911 services**
 - Outage appeared to affect AT&T Mobility VoLTE 911 service for approximately 5 hours in the Southeast, Central and portions of the Northeast Region of the US, and eventually, a significant portion of VoLTE 911 calls in the remaining portion of the country.
 - According to AT&T, on a normal day, it would expect its total VoLTE 911 call volume to be approximately 44,000 calls nationwide. During the event, approximately 12,600 unique callers were not able to reach 911 directly.
 - Changes to AT&T's network appeared to cause automated call routing for VoLTE 911 calls to fail.
 - Small subset of calls were answered by a backup call center and routed to first responders. Volume of calls exceeded the call center's capability to manually process them, resulting in a large number of calls being dropped.
 - Some customers received fast busy signals when attempting to call 911. Others report that calls to 911 rang repeatedly without being answered.

Conclusions

- Networks as infrastructure → technology, economics & policy
- Think in decades, not conference cycles
- Network performance is rarely the key problem
 - except maybe at physical layer
- Many of the problems are incentive problems
 - we know how to solve them, but levers are missing
 - or are politically not feasible