

Start of Lecture 3

Last time: Proofs (Direct and by Contradiction), De Morgan Laws

Today: Proofs (Contrapositive and by Example)



De Morgan's Laws:

- $\neg(p \wedge q) \iff \neg p \vee \neg q$
- $\neg(p \vee q) \iff \neg p \wedge \neg q$

De Morgan:



$\neg(x \text{ is irrational } \mathbf{or} \ n \text{ is even}) \iff (x \text{ is rational } \mathbf{and} \ n \text{ is odd})$

De Morgan's Laws:

- $\neg(p \wedge q) \iff \neg p \vee \neg q$
- $\neg(p \vee q) \iff \neg p \wedge \neg q$



$\neg(x \text{ is irrational } \mathbf{or} \ n \text{ is even}) \iff (x \text{ is rational } \mathbf{and} \ n \text{ is odd})$

$\neg(x \text{ is even } \mathbf{and} \ y \text{ is even } \mathbf{and} \ z \text{ is even}) \iff (x \text{ is odd } \mathbf{or} \ y \text{ is odd } \mathbf{or} \ z \text{ is odd})$

De Morgan Laws: Negating Quantifiers

Question:

What is the opposite of “for all fruits x , Styopa likes x ”?



De Morgan Laws: Negating Quantifiers

Question:

What is the opposite of “for all fruits x , Styopa likes x ”?

Answer:

“there exists a fruit x such that Styopa does not like x ”



De Morgan Laws: Negating Quantifiers

Question:

What is the opposite of “for all fruits x , Styopa likes x ”?

Answer:

“there exists a fruit x such that Styopa does not like x ”

Negating Quantifiers:

- $\neg(\forall x \in S, P(x)) \iff \exists x \in S, \neg P(x)$



De Morgan Laws: Negating Quantifiers

Question:

What is the opposite of “for all fruits x , Styopa likes x ”?

Answer:

“there exists a fruit x such that Styopa does not like x ”

Negating Quantifiers:

- $\neg(\forall x \in S, P(x)) \iff \exists x \in S, \neg P(x)$
- $\neg(\exists x \in S, P(x)) \iff \forall x \in S, \neg P(x)$



Converse, Inverse, Contrapositive

Example:

$$p \implies q$$

If it is raining, then the ground is wet.



Converse, Inverse, Contrapositive

Example:

$$p \implies q$$

If it is raining, then the ground is wet.

Converse: $q \implies p$



Converse, Inverse, Contrapositive

Example:

$$p \implies q$$

If it is raining, then the ground is wet.

Converse: $q \implies p$

If the ground is wet, then it is raining.



Converse, Inverse, Contrapositive

Example:

$$p \implies q$$

If it is raining, then the ground is wet.

Converse: $q \implies p$

If the ground is wet, then it is raining.

Inverse: $\neg p \implies \neg q$



Converse, Inverse, Contrapositive

Example:

$$p \implies q$$

If it is raining, then the ground is wet.

Converse: $q \implies p$

If the ground is wet, then it is raining.

Inverse: $\neg p \implies \neg q$

If it is not raining, then the ground is not wet.



Converse, Inverse, Contrapositive

Example:

$$p \implies q$$

If it is raining, then the ground is wet.

Converse: $q \implies p$

If the ground is wet, then it is raining.

Inverse: $\neg p \implies \neg q$

If it is not raining, then the ground is not wet.

Contrapositive: $\neg q \implies \neg p$



Converse, Inverse, Contrapositive

Example:

$$p \implies q$$

If it is raining, then the ground is wet.

Converse: $q \implies p$

If the ground is wet, then it is raining.

Inverse: $\neg p \implies \neg q$

If it is not raining, then the ground is not wet.

Contrapositive: $\neg q \implies \neg p$

If the ground is not wet, then it is not raining.



Contrapositive

If it is raining, then the ground is wet. $\iff$ If the ground is not wet, then it is not raining.



Contrapositive

If it is raining, then the ground is wet. $\iff$ If the ground is not wet, then it is not raining.

Claim: For any propositions p and q ,

$$(p \implies q) \iff (\neg q \implies \neg p).$$



Contrapositive

If it is raining, then the ground is wet. $\iff$ If the ground is not wet, then it is not raining.

Claim: For any propositions p and q ,



$$(p \implies q) \iff (\neg q \implies \neg p).$$

Proof: We can prove this claim by showing that both sides of the equivalence have the same truth table.

Contrapositive

If it is raining, then the ground is wet. $\iff$ If the ground is not wet, then it is not raining.

Claim: For any propositions p and q ,



$$(p \implies q) \iff (\neg q \implies \neg p).$$

Proof: We can prove this claim by showing that both sides of the equivalence have the same truth table.

p	q	$p \implies q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Contrapositive

If it is raining, then the ground is wet. $\iff$ If the ground is not wet, then it is not raining.



Claim: For any propositions p and q ,

$$(p \implies q) \iff (\neg q \implies \neg p).$$

Proof: We can prove this claim by showing that both sides of the equivalence have the same truth table.

p	q	$p \implies q$	$\neg q$	$\neg p$	$\neg q \implies \neg p$
T	T	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T

Contrapositive

If it is raining, then the ground is wet. $\iff$ If the ground is not wet, then it is not raining.



Claim: For any propositions p and q ,

$$(p \implies q) \iff (\neg q \implies \neg p).$$

Proof: We can prove this claim by showing that both sides of the equivalence have the same truth table.

p	q	$p \implies q$	$\neg q$	$\neg p$	$\neg q \implies \neg p$
T	T	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T

So, in all cases, $(p \implies q) \iff (\neg q \implies \neg p)$ is true.



De Morgan's Laws:

- $\neg(p \wedge q) \iff \neg p \vee \neg q$
- $\neg(p \vee q) \iff \neg p \wedge \neg q$
- $\neg(\forall x \in S, P(x)) \iff \exists x \in S, \neg P(x)$
- $\neg(\exists x \in S, P(x)) \iff \forall x \in S, \neg P(x)$



De Morgan's Laws:

- $\neg(p \wedge q) \iff \neg p \vee \neg q$
- $\neg(p \vee q) \iff \neg p \wedge \neg q$
- $\neg(\forall x \in S, P(x)) \iff \exists x \in S, \neg P(x)$
- $\neg(\exists x \in S, P(x)) \iff \forall x \in S, \neg P(x)$

Contrapositive:

- $(p \implies q) \iff (\neg q \implies \neg p)$



De Morgan's Laws:

- $\neg(p \wedge q) \iff \neg p \vee \neg q$
- $\neg(p \vee q) \iff \neg p \wedge \neg q$
- $\neg(\forall x \in S, P(x)) \iff \exists x \in S, \neg P(x)$
- $\neg(\exists x \in S, P(x)) \iff \forall x \in S, \neg P(x)$

Contrapositive:

- $(p \implies q) \iff (\neg q \implies \neg p)$

Some Other Basic Facts:



De Morgan's Laws:

- $\neg(p \wedge q) \iff \neg p \vee \neg q$
- $\neg(p \vee q) \iff \neg p \wedge \neg q$
- $\neg(\forall x \in S, P(x)) \iff \exists x \in S, \neg P(x)$
- $\neg(\exists x \in S, P(x)) \iff \forall x \in S, \neg P(x)$

Contrapositive:

- $(p \implies q) \iff (\neg q \implies \neg p)$

Some Other Basic Facts:

- $\neg\neg p \iff p$



De Morgan's Laws:

- $\neg(p \wedge q) \iff \neg p \vee \neg q$
- $\neg(p \vee q) \iff \neg p \wedge \neg q$
- $\neg(\forall x \in S, P(x)) \iff \exists x \in S, \neg P(x)$
- $\neg(\exists x \in S, P(x)) \iff \forall x \in S, \neg P(x)$

Contrapositive:

- $(p \implies q) \iff (\neg q \implies \neg p)$

Some Other Basic Facts:

- $\neg\neg p \iff p$
- $(p \vee q) \iff (q \vee p)$



Facts about Logic

De Morgan's Laws:

- $\neg(p \wedge q) \iff \neg p \vee \neg q$
- $\neg(p \vee q) \iff \neg p \wedge \neg q$
- $\neg(\forall x \in S, P(x)) \iff \exists x \in S, \neg P(x)$
- $\neg(\exists x \in S, P(x)) \iff \forall x \in S, \neg P(x)$

Contrapositive:

- $(p \implies q) \iff (\neg q \implies \neg p)$

Some Other Basic Facts:

- $\neg\neg p \iff p$
- $(p \vee q) \iff (q \vee p)$
- $(p \iff q) \iff ((p \implies q) \wedge (q \implies p))$



Proofs By Contrapositive

Proof by Contrapositive: Instead of proving $p \implies q$, we can prove $\neg q \implies \neg p$.



Proofs By Contrapositive

Proof by Contrapositive: Instead of proving $p \implies q$, we can prove $\neg q \implies \neg p$.

Structure:

Claim: If p , then q .

Proof: We will prove the contrapositive. Assume $\neg q$ is true.

...

$\implies \neg p$ is true.



Proofs By Contrapositive: Example 1

Claim: Let $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$. If $xy > 50$ then $x > 7$ or $y > 7$.

Proofs By Contrapositive: Example 1

Claim: Let $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$. If $xy > 50$ then $x > 7$ or $y > 7$.

Proof: We'll prove the contrapositive. Assume $x \leq 7$ and $y \leq 7$.

Proofs By Contrapositive: Example 1

Claim: Let $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$. If $xy > 50$ then $x > 7$ or $y > 7$.

Proof: We'll prove the contrapositive. Assume $x \leq 7$ and $y \leq 7$.
Then $xy \leq 7 * 7 = 49$.

Proofs By Contrapositive: Example 1

Claim: Let $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$. If $xy > 50$ then $x > 7$ or $y > 7$.

Proof: We'll prove the contrapositive. Assume $x \leq 7$ and $y \leq 7$.
Then $xy \leq 7 * 7 = 49$. Thus, $xy \leq 50$. □

Proofs By Contrapositive: Example 2

Claim: Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$. If r is irrational, then $\sqrt{r}$ is irrational.



Proofs By Contrapositive: Example 2

Claim: Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$. If r is irrational, then $\sqrt{r}$ is irrational.

Proof: We'll prove the contrapositive. Assume $\sqrt{r}$ is rational.



Proofs By Contrapositive: Example 2

Claim: Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$. If r is irrational, then $\sqrt{r}$ is irrational.

Proof: We'll prove the contrapositive. Assume $\sqrt{r}$ is rational. Then $\sqrt{r} = \frac{p}{q}$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $q \neq 0$.



Proofs By Contrapositive: Example 2

Claim: Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$. If r is irrational, then $\sqrt{r}$ is irrational.

Proof: We'll prove the contrapositive. Assume $\sqrt{r}$ is rational. Then $\sqrt{r} = \frac{p}{q}$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $q \neq 0$. Squaring both sides, we get $r = \frac{p^2}{q^2}$.



Proofs By Contrapositive: Example 2

Claim: Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$. If r is irrational, then $\sqrt{r}$ is irrational.

Proof: We'll prove the contrapositive. Assume $\sqrt{r}$ is rational. Then $\sqrt{r} = \frac{p}{q}$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $q \neq 0$. Squaring both sides, we get $r = \frac{p^2}{q^2}$. Thus, r is rational. □



Proofs By Example

Question: Is the following statement true?

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $xy = 1$.



Proofs By Example

Question: Is the following statement true?

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $xy = 1$.

No! We can prove the opposite is true:



Proofs By Example

Question: Is the following statement true?

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $xy = 1$.

No! We can prove the opposite is true:

Claim: There exists $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $xy \neq 1$.



Proofs By Example

Question: Is the following statement true?

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $xy = 1$.

No! We can prove the opposite is true:

Claim: There exists $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $xy \neq 1$.

Proof: Let $x = 0$. Then for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $xy = 0 \neq 1$. □



Proofs By Example

Proof by Example: To prove a statement of the form “there exists $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ ”, we can simply provide an example of an $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ is true.



Proofs By Example

Proof by Example: To prove a statement of the form “there exists $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ ”, we can simply provide an example of an $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ is true.

Claim: There exists an integer n where $n^2 + 3$ is prime.



Proofs By Example

Proof by Example: To prove a statement of the form “there exists $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ ”, we can simply provide an example of an $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ is true.

Claim: There exists an integer n where $n^2 + 3$ is prime.

Proof: Let $n = 2$. Then $n^2 + 3 = 7$, which is prime.



Proofs By Example

Proof by Example: To prove a statement of the form “there exists $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ ”, we can simply provide an example of an $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ is true.

Claim: There exists an integer n where $n^2 + 3$ is prime.

Proof: Let $n = 2$. Then $n^2 + 3 = 7$, which is prime.

Proof by Counterexample: To disprove a statement of the form “for all $x \in S$, $P(x)$ ”, we can simply provide an example of an $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ is false.



Proofs By Example

Proof by Example: To prove a statement of the form “there exists $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ ”, we can simply provide an example of an $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ is true.

Claim: There exists an integer n where $n^2 + 3$ is prime.

Proof: Let $n = 2$. Then $n^2 + 3 = 7$, which is prime.

Proof by Counterexample: To disprove a statement of the form “for all $x \in S$, $P(x)$ ”, we can simply provide an example of an $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ is false.

Claim: For all integers n , $n^2 + 3$ is prime.



Proofs By Example

Proof by Example: To prove a statement of the form “there exists $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ ”, we can simply provide an example of an $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ is true.

Claim: There exists an integer n where $n^2 + 3$ is prime.

Proof: Let $n = 2$. Then $n^2 + 3 = 7$, which is prime.

Proof by Counterexample: To disprove a statement of the form “for all $x \in S$, $P(x)$ ”, we can simply provide an example of an $x \in S$ such that $P(x)$ is false.

Claim: For all integers n , $n^2 + 3$ is prime.

The claim is false.

Proof: Let $n = 5$. Then $n^2 + 3 = 28$, which is not prime.



Proof Techniques:

- Direct Proof
- Proof by Contradiction
- Proof by Contrapositive
- Proof by Example/Counterexample



Proofs: Proof by Contradiction Example 2

Fact: Every integer $n > 1$ is divisible by some prime number.



Proofs: Proof by Contradiction Example 2

Fact: Every integer $n > 1$ is divisible by some prime number.

Claim: There are infinitely many prime numbers.



Proofs: Proof by Contradiction Example 2

Fact: Every integer $n > 1$ is divisible by some prime number.

Claim: There are infinitely many prime numbers.



Proof: Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there are finitely many prime numbers. Let $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ be all the prime numbers.

Proofs: Proof by Contradiction Example 2

Fact: Every integer $n > 1$ is divisible by some prime number.

Claim: There are infinitely many prime numbers.



Proof: Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there are finitely many prime numbers. Let $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ be all the prime numbers.

Consider the number $N = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k + 1$.

Proofs: Proof by Contradiction Example 2

Fact: Every integer $n > 1$ is divisible by some prime number.

Claim: There are infinitely many prime numbers.



Proof: Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there are finitely many prime numbers. Let $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ be all the prime numbers.

Consider the number $N = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k + 1$.

By the fact above, N is divisible by some prime number.

Proofs: Proof by Contradiction Example 2

Fact: Every integer $n > 1$ is divisible by some prime number.

Claim: There are infinitely many prime numbers.



Proof: Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there are finitely many prime numbers. Let $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ be all the prime numbers.

Consider the number $N = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k + 1$.

By the fact above, N is divisible by some prime number.

However, N is not divisible by any of the primes $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$, since it leaves a remainder of 1 when divided by any of them.

Proofs: Proof by Contradiction Example 2

Fact: Every integer $n > 1$ is divisible by some prime number.

Claim: There are infinitely many prime numbers.



Proof: Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there are finitely many prime numbers. Let $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ be all the prime numbers.

Consider the number $N = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k + 1$.

By the fact above, N is divisible by some prime number.

However, N is not divisible by any of the primes $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$, since it leaves a remainder of 1 when divided by any of them.

This is a contradiction, so there must be infinitely many prime numbers. □