COMS E6998-9: Algorithms for Massive Data (Spring'19)

Mar 7, 2019

Lecture 14: Distribution Testing

Instructor: Alex Andoni

Scribes: Tianyang Yang

1 Introduction

Sublinear Time Algorithm: Only Look at a subset of the input:

- take a subset of data and return an approximate output, or
- test a hypothesis under some probability (property tesing).

We will look at the problem of Distribution Testing.

Distribution Testing: We have access to m samples, $x_1, x_2, ..., x_m \sim D$, and want to do some hypothesis test on distribution D with the samples. The goal is to minimize the sample size m.

2 Uniformity Testing

One kind of distribution testing is to test the Uniformity of distribution, i.e., to test when D is uniform over domain [n]. Distribution D is uniform distribution if

$$D_i = \frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall i \in [n].$$

It will require large runtime to exactly distinguish the uniformity, so we turn to use sublinear algorithm for appromate testing. The **Approximate Problem** is to distinguish between

- *D* is uniform;
- D is "far" from uniform.

Definition 1. Distributions D and Q are ε -far if

$$\|D - Q\|_1 \ge \varepsilon.$$

This is equivalent to compute the Total Variance Distance between D and Q (see Claim 3).

Definition 2. Total Variance Distance between D and Q is define as

$$TV(D,Q) = \max_{T \subset [n]} |Pr_{x \sim D}[x \in T] - Pr_{x \sim Q}[x \in T]|$$

Claim 3. $TV(D,Q) = \frac{1}{2} ||D - Q||_1$.

Here is some intuition behind TV Distance. For example, we have only 1 sample to distinguish whether sample x is from distribution D or Q. Let t(x) be the result distribution of our testing, and T be the set where we accept $x \sim D$. Take some intuition from machine learning: we want to accept D as the output distribution when the probability of that $x \sim D$ is larger than that of Q. Without knowledge of prior probability, we should compare the probability $Pr_D(x)$ and $Pr_Q(x)$, and accept D when $Pr_D(x) > Pr_Q(x)$. Thus, we have

$$T = \{x : Pr_D(x) > Pr_Q(x)\}$$

and this is actually equivalent to

$$T \in \arg\max_{T} \left(Pr_{D}[x \in T] - Pr_{Q}[x \in T] \right)$$

Since the sum of probability over [n] is 1 for both D and Q, we have

$$[n] \setminus T \in \arg \max_{T'} \left(\Pr_Q[x \in T'] - \Pr_D[x \in T'] \right)$$

and

$$\max_{T} \left(Pr_D[x \in T] - Pr_Q[x \in T] \right)$$
$$= \max_{T'} \left(Pr_Q[x \in T'] - Pr_D[x \in T'] \right)$$
$$= \max_{T} |Pr_D[x \in T] - Pr_Q[x \in T]|$$

Since $\left(\max_{T} Pr_{D}[x \in T] - Pr_{Q}[x \in T]\right) + \left(\max_{T'} Pr_{Q}[x \in T'] - Pr_{D}[x \in T']\right) = ||D - Q||_{1}$, we get

$$\max_{T} |Pr_D[x \in T] - Pr_Q[x \in T]| = \frac{1}{2} ||D - Q||_1,$$

that is,

$$TV(D,Q) = \frac{1}{2} ||D - Q||_1$$

2.1 Attempt 1

We define **Empirical Distribution** of D on sample $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$ as

$$\hat{D}_i = \frac{\sum_j \mathbf{1}[x_j = i]}{m}, \quad \forall i \in [n].$$

Then we test the ulformity hypothesis by

- if $||U \hat{D}|| << \varepsilon$, accept that D is uniform;
- otherwise, D is not uiform.

Claim 4. We can test uniformity with $m >> \Omega_{\varepsilon}(n \log n)$.

Proof. A sketch of proof is as below

$$\begin{split} &|\hat{D}_i - D_i| < \frac{\varepsilon}{n} , \text{ with high prob.} \\ \Rightarrow &\|\hat{D} - D\|_1 = \sum_{i \in [n]} |\hat{D}_i - D_i| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{3} , \text{ with high prob.} \\ \Rightarrow &\|\hat{D} - U\| = \|D - U\| \pm \frac{\varepsilon}{3} , \text{ with high prob..} \end{split}$$

Claim 5. $m = O_{\varepsilon}(n)$ samples are enough as well for testing uniformity. Proof.

$$E\{||D - \hat{D}||_{1}\}$$

$$= \sum_{i \in [n]} E\{|D_{i} - \hat{D}_{i}|\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \in [n]} \left(E\{|D_{i} - \hat{D}_{i}|^{2}\}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \sum_{i \in [n]} \left(var\{\hat{D}_{i}\}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \sum_{i \in [n]} \left(var\{\frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{1}[x_{j} = i]\}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \sum_{i \in [n]} \left(\frac{1}{m^{2}}\sum_{j=1}^{m} var\{\mathbf{1}[x_{j} = i]\}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \in [n]} \left(\frac{1}{m}D_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left(\sum_{i \in [n]} D_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{n}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} \left(\sum_{i \in [n]} D_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

We want $\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{30}$, therefore

-		•
L		
L		
L		

2.2 Attempt 2

Let $C := \#\{i < j : x_1 = x_j\}, C$ is the collision count. We test the uniformity by

- if $\frac{C}{\binom{m}{2}} < \frac{\alpha}{n}$ for some constant $\alpha = \alpha(\varepsilon)$, then D is uniform;
- otherwise, D is ε -far from uniform.

Analysis: We analyze the *l*-2 distance:

- if D = U, we have $||D U||_1 = 0$ and $||D U||_2 = 0$;
- if $||D U||_1 \ge \varepsilon$, we have $||D U||_2 \ge \frac{||D U||_1}{\sqrt{n}} \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{n}}$, then $||D U||_2^2 \ge \frac{\varepsilon^2}{n}$.

Claim 6. $||D - U||_2^2 = ||D||_2^2 - \frac{1}{n}$.

Proof.

$$||D - U||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i} (D_{i} - \frac{1}{n})^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i} (D_{1}^{2} - \frac{2D_{i}}{n} + \frac{1}{n^{2}})^{2}$$

$$= ||D||_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{n}.$$

Now our problem becomes to distinguish between

• $||D||_2^2 = \frac{1}{n}$, then uniform; • $||D||_2^2 \ge \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{n}$, then ε -far from uniform. The following claims will show the correctness to use $\frac{C}{\binom{m}{2}}$ for testing.

Claim 7.
$$E(\frac{C}{\binom{m}{2}}) = ||D||_2^2.$$

Proof.

$$E(C) = \sum_{i < j} \Pr[x_i = x_j]$$
$$= \sum_{i < j} \sum_{k \in [n]} D_i^2$$
$$= \binom{m}{2} \|D\|_2^2.$$

That is, we have $E(\frac{C}{\binom{m}{2}}) = ||D||_2^2$.