COMS E6998-9: Algorithms for Massive Data (Fall'23)

Nov 29, 2023

Lecture Lecture 23: MPC for Estimating MST (cont'd)

Instructor: Alex Andoni

Scribes: Ruowang Zhang

1 Recap: Algorithm for computing MST of Geometric Graphs

1.1 Algorithm Overview

Recall in the previous class, we described an algorithm for the problem of computing MST of $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$:

- 1. Assume *n* distinct points in an integer grid of size $[n^2] \times [n^2]$.
- 2. Split the space into a randomly-shifted quad-tree with partition π , which has cells by size $\sigma \times \sigma$ (or more precisely $(\sigma + 1) \times (\sigma + 1)$ with spilled margin).
- 3. Set $\sigma = s^{1/4}$, and define $L = O(\log_s n)$ where L is the number of levels for the algorithm runs.

The high level algorithm is to run cell - algo on each cell where cell - algo is:

- 1. From the lowest level.
- 2. Run the Kruskal algorithm on the cell of V nodes until the edge length $> \varepsilon \triangle$ where \triangle is the current cell's side length.
- 3. Represent V' as a $\varepsilon \triangle$ -net of V.
- 4. Return V' with connectivity info, i.e. the representative set of V.
- 5. Propagate to the upper next level.

Theorem 1. The algorithm runs in $O(\log_S n)^{O(1)}$ p-time, if $s > (\frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{O(d)}$, assuming d = 2. s is the space of the machine.

1.2 Correctness of the algorithm

Definition 2. $\rho(u, v)$ as the original distance between u and v in the graph. $\rho_{\pi}(u, v) = \rho(N_{l-1}(u), N_{l-1}(v)) + 2\varepsilon \Delta_{l-1}$ where l is the level u and v belong to in the same cell, $N_l(u)$ as the representative set of u in level l, and Δ_l is the side length at level l.

Fact 3. $\rho_{\pi}(u,v) \leq \rho(u,v) + 4\varepsilon \Delta_l$

We proved the following result in the previous lecture:

Lemma 4. $E_{\pi}[\rho_{\pi}(u,v)] \leq (1+8\sqrt{2}\varepsilon L)\rho(u,v)$

2 Today: Remain aspects of the algorithm

2.1 Conclude the correctness proof

We want to prove the following result:

Lemma 5. Output of algo \hat{MST} satisfies $E_{\pi}[\hat{MST}] \leq (1 + 8\sqrt{2}\varepsilon L)MST_{\rho}$ where MST_{ρ} is the optimal MST under distinct function ρ .

First, we claim:

Claim 6. Our algorithm \equiv run Kruskal's algorithm on $\rho_{\pi} \equiv MST_{\rho_{\pi}}$, and $E_{\pi}[MST] \leq E_{\pi}[MST_{\rho_{\pi}}] = E_{\pi}[\min_{T} \rho_{\pi}(T)] \leq E_{\pi}[\rho_{\pi}(T^*)]$ where T is one MST and T^* is the optimal MST_{ρ} . Then we can apply Lemma 4 and obtain $E_{\pi}[\rho_{\pi}(T^*) \leq (1 + 8\sqrt{2\varepsilon L})MST_{\rho}$

Proof. The Proof for Claim 6 is by induction. On level l, we define IH(l) = when done with level l, chosen edge \equiv Kruskal up to $\varepsilon \triangle_l$ cost w.r.t. ρ_{π} .

Base Step:

When l = 0, $\varepsilon \triangle_l < 1$ since cell side length is 1.

Inductive Step:

Assume IH(l), looking at l + 1:

Observation 7. $\forall u, v \text{ in different cells at } l+1, \ \rho_{\pi}(u,v) \geq 2\varepsilon \triangle_{l+1} > \varepsilon \triangle_{l+1} \text{ by definition } 2, \text{ so we can analyze the cells at } l+1 \text{ separately.}$

Observation 8. $\forall u, v \text{ in the same cells at } l+1 \text{ with size } \Delta \times \Delta$. If $u, v \text{ are not inputs to cell-algo (i.e. not coming from representive set <math>N_l$), $\rho_{\pi}(u, v) = \rho(N_l(u), N_l(v)) + 2\varepsilon \Delta_l$ which is bigger than the distance between their representatives.

which concludes the proof.

2.2 Implementation

- 1. A stage for each level of the quad-tree
- 2. In each level, the total input to cell algo s at level $l \leq O(n)$
- 3. Each cell's input size is $\sigma^2 O(\#representatives)$ where $O(\#representatives) \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon^2}$. The input size is therefore $\leq \sqrt{S} * O(1/\varepsilon^2) < S/2$, since $\sqrt{S} > O(1/\varepsilon^2)$
- 4. Can distribute work to all machines, keeping input size < S.

One way to arrange the jobs/cells per level as $D1, D2, \ldots, D_k$, then for the non empty ones, we can pack them in order and assign to machines once the packed size s' once $s' \in [S/2, S]$.

2.3 Remark

To use the algorithm for problems where the input grid is a $[n^2] \times [n^2]$ integer grid, we should reduce those problems to it. Here is the algorithm:

- 1. Given *n* points in \mathbb{R}^2 . Compute $D = \max\{\max\{x_i | \forall i \in [n]\}, \max\{y_i | \forall i \in [n]\}\}$. Observe that $cost(MST) \in [D, 2nD]$, so it's ok to ignore distance less than $\frac{\varepsilon}{n}D$.
- 2. Round all points to integer multiples of $\frac{\varepsilon}{n}D$.
- 3. If a point is repeated, then connect together, leaving just one copy.
- 4. Divide all coordinates by $\frac{\varepsilon}{n}D$. For each x or y, subtract min-value, which gives all coordinates $\in [0, \frac{n}{\varepsilon}]$

3 Intro: Distributed Algorithms (Models)

3.1 CONGEST model

- Given an undirected graph G.
- Each node = a computation unit
- Communication is done on edges of G only
- In each round, a node can send $O(\log n)$ bits message to each neighbor.

We would like to solve a problem on G, e.g. MST, max flow/min cut, shortest path, etc. **Output**: each node should have the "relevant" part of the output. E.g. for MST, each node knows which incident edges belong to the MST.

Time: minimum # of rounds.

For the MST problem, runtime is $\Omega(D)$ where D is the diameter / # of hops, or $\Omega(D + \sqrt{n})$. We will show an algorithm that runs in $O((D + \sqrt{n}) \log n)$.