

Lecture 7: Spectral Graph Theory

Instructor: *Alex Andoni*Scribes: *Liushiya Chen, Yu Guo*

1 Diffusion Operator

Definition 1. Given graph G with n nodes, we define the diffusion operator W as the following.

$x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $x_i = Pr(\text{at node } i \text{ in a random walk on } G)$

$$W(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad W(x)_i := \sum_{j:(i,j) \in E} \frac{x_j}{\text{degree}_j}$$

Example 2.

$$G = 1-2-3-4-5, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we start at node 1, our initial state $x_0 = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0)^T$, then we should expect .5 probability of walking to node 0 and 2.

$$W(x_0) = \begin{bmatrix} .5 \\ 0 \\ .5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition 3. A stationary distribution x^* satisfies $W(x^*) = x^*$.

In our example above, $x^* = (1/8, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/8)^T$. The intuition is that node 1, 5 have degree 1 while nodes 2,3,4 have degree 2, and so $2x_1^* = 2x_5^* = x_2^* = x_3^* = x_4^*$.

Definition 4. Another way to define diffusion operator W :

$$W(x) = A \cdot \underbrace{D^{-1} \cdot x}_{\substack{\text{mass sent to each} \\ \text{neighbor of } i}}, \quad W(x)_j = \sum_{i \in [n]} A_{ij}(D^{-1}x)_i$$

Then the stationary distribution x^* satisfies $AD^{-1}x^* = x^*$.

2 Spectral Decomposition & Theorem for Symmetric M

Definition 5. v is eigenvector with eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ if $Mv = \lambda v$.

Observation 6. If λ is an eigenvalue, then $Mv - \lambda v = 0 \Rightarrow (M - \lambda I)v = 0 \Rightarrow \det(M - \lambda I) = 0$, where I is the identity matrix. So, solutions to $\det(M - \lambda I) = 0$ (where λ is unknown) are the eigenvalues.

$\det(M - \lambda I) = 0$ is degree- n polynomial in $\lambda \Rightarrow$ There are n solutions if counting multiplicities.

Fact 7. If M is **symmetric**, then all solutions are real (no complex numbers).

Theorem 8. Spectral theorem

For symmetric matrix M , \exists vectors v_1, \dots, v_n and eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ s.t.

1) $\|v_i\|_2 = 1$ 2) $v_i \cdot v_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$ (orthonormal) 3) $Mv_i = \lambda_i v_i$

Remarks:

1) The sorted sequence of eigenvalues, i.e. $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, is unique.

2) The set of eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_n is not necessarily unique. E.g., if $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1}$, then can replace v_i, v_{i+1} with $\frac{v_i+v_{i+1}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{v_i-v_{i+1}}{\sqrt{2}}$.

3) $M = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \cdot v_i \cdot v_i^T$, where $v_i \cdot v_i^T$ is the outer product of vector v_i .

4) If $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_n$, i.e., all eigenvalues are strictly different, then the set of eigenvectors $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is unique up to taking $\{-v_1, \dots, -v_n\}$.

Example 9. $M = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n = 0$. Any set of n orthonormal vectors is a set of eigenvectors.

For example, we can take e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n , or alternatively we can take $\frac{e_1+e_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{e_1-e_2}{\sqrt{2}}, e_3, \dots, e_n$ as a set of eigenvectors.

Example 10. $M = I \Rightarrow \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n = 1$. Again, any set of n orthonormal vectors is a set of eigenvectors. So, we can take any basis to be the set of orthogonal eigenvectors.

If $M = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \cdot v_i \cdot v_i^T$, where $v_i \cdot v_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$, then the λ 's and v 's are the decomposition given by Spectral Theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} M \cdot v_j &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \cdot v_i \cdot v_i^T \cdot v_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \cdot v_i \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases} \\ &= \lambda_j \cdot v_j \end{aligned}$$

3 Rayleigh Quotients

Definition 11. For vector $x \neq 0$, $R(x) = \frac{x^T M x}{\|x\|^2}$.

Observation 12. $R(v_i) = \lambda_i$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(v_i) &= \frac{v_i^T \cdot M \cdot v_i}{\|v_i\|^2} \\
 &= \frac{v_i^T \cdot \lambda_i \cdot v_i}{\|v_i\|^2} \\
 &= \lambda_i
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 13.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{x \neq 0} R(x) &= \lambda_1 \\
 \min_{x \neq 0} R(x) &= \lambda_n
 \end{aligned}$$

By the above theorem, $v_1 = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \neq 0} R(x)$.

Proof. Consider $x \neq 0$,

$$R(x) = \frac{x^T \cdot [\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \cdot v_i \cdot v_i^T] \cdot x}{\|x\|^2}$$

Since v_1, \dots, v_n is basis $\Rightarrow \exists \underbrace{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}_{\text{unique}}$ s.t.

$$1) x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \cdot v_i \quad 2) \|x\|^2 = x^T \cdot x = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \cdot v_i\right)^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \cdot v_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(x) &= \frac{x^T \cdot M \cdot x}{\|x\|^2} \\
 &= \frac{x^T \cdot M \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \cdot v_i}{\|x\|^2} \\
 &= \frac{x^T \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \cdot \lambda_i \cdot v_i}{\|x\|^2} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{i,j} (\alpha_j \cdot v_j)^T \cdot \alpha_i \cdot \lambda_i \cdot v_i}{\|x\|^2} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \cdot \alpha_i^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2} \\
 &\leq \max_i \lambda_i = \lambda_1
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof for lower bound is precisely the same, by just replacing the maximum with the minimum in the final inequality. □

Theorem 14.

$$\lambda_i = \max_{\substack{x \neq 0 \\ x \perp v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}}} R(x)$$

Proof for this extension theorem is similar to the previous one.