Little Self-Replicating Programs

Alex Gajewski (apg2162)

December 18, 2019

1 Introduction

In this project, I built a simple open-ended ALife simulator. Open-ended is a keyword here. Most ALife simulators use an explicit evolutionary process, with organisms that have some genetic code and some reproduction mechanism. They also usually have some sense of evolutionary fitness that the organisms are trying to optimize.

In this project, I've done away all these things and simulated at a slightly lower level, where you just have little bits of code that can modify each other and themselves, and a bit of randomness thrown in. The idea is that something like reproduction would probably emerge, because if any such idea happened to emerge by chance, it would quickly expand and take over everything. The only question is how long it would take until such a thing emerged.

More concretely, the idea is this: there is a list of code expressions (imagine Lisp S-expressions for something specific) that represents the universe, and there is some fixed number of threads of execution, representing energy in this universe (this is where parallelization could give a speedup). Each thread of execution is always evaluating some expression in the list, and if it ever finishes, it jumps to another random one and starts up again.

There is also some base rate of mutation, by which randomly selected code expressions are mutated according to some mutation rule. Importantly, some of the instructions allow the code expressions to read and write their own and each others' code. This is done through relative addressing so that the same expression can be used for recursion anywhere in the list. For example, an expression should ask for (peek 0) to get itself, (peek 1) for its neighbor to the right, (peek -1) for its neighbor to the left, etc. This mechanism, together with the ability to pass execution to one of these other expressions (e.g. ((expr -1))) ought to be enough to make the whole thing Turing-complete.

At the beginning of the simulation, the universe list is filled with randomly generated code expressions, and the threads of execution are be assigned to randomly selected expressions. At this point, the game will be to see how long it takes for recursion to occur (all you need is one of the expressions to be ((expr 0)) to capture the execution thread indefinitely, until a mutation kills the program), and to try to study any other patterns that emerge.

2 Code

This section contains a walkthrough of all of the code in the simulator. If something doesn't make sense, feel free to email me at apg2162@columbia.edu.

2.1 Value.lhs

This module contains declarations of the basic types that we'll be using throughout the rest of the code. It also contains a few little helper functions that didn't have better homes. The following language extensions just make things a bit easier, letting us automatically derive a few typeclass instances and implement the MonadState typeclass.

```
{-# LANGUAGE GeneralizedNewtypeDeriving #-}
{-# LANGUAGE MultiParamTypeClasses #-}
{-# LANGUAGE NamedFieldPuns #-}
module Value (
    Value(..),
    EvalError(..),
    Thread(..),
    WorldState(..),
    throw,
    pause,
    runThread,
    liftRandom,
) where
```

We'll be using transformers to build up the Thread monad, so we need the following imports:

```
import Control.Monad.Identity
import Control.Monad.Except
import Control.Monad.State
import Control.Monad.Coroutine
```

We also need the Rand monad to deal with mutations and random initialization. There is a RandT transformer, but since it's just a wrapper for StateT and since we're already using one of those to keep track of the WorldState, I thought it would be more straightforward to add a random generator to the WorldState and make a liftRandom helper function (see below).

```
import Control.Monad.Random
import System.Random
```

For parallelization, we're going make NFData instances for all of the relevant data structures, so that we can force deep enough parallel evaluation at each step of execution.

```
import Control.DeepSeq
import Control.Parallel.Strategies
```

Basically everything is currently implemented with maps, even the universe of cells, which would more reasonably have been implemented as an array. This was just for simplicity. Array.Diff is still experimental, and it would have been annoying to wrap everything in ST or IO, so I just went with maps for everything. Future versions could use more efficient data structures, but since the point of this project was (1) to be a proof of concept and (2) to try to get a parallelization speedup, it seemed fine to have the sequential code be a bit inefficient.

```
import qualified Data.Map as Map
```

The Value type represents both code and data in our small interpreted language. It only has support for integers and functions, and the functions are all fexpres for simplicity.

The following is just a helpful type alias, because there are lots of maps from integers to values.

type ValueMap = Map.Map Int Value

The following is our error type, which causes the evaluation of a thread to halt prematurely. A different version of this code could have different EvalError constructors to allow for easier inspection of what the code is doing, but for this first version I went with a single constructor.

data EvalError = EvalError

The WorldState type contains all of the state data a single thread of execution needs in order to operate. The univMap is a read-only map from cell-number to value (which should be thought of as an array), and is the same across all threads. The univSize field is the size of the universe, i.e. the number of cells. The univEdits map contains the current thread's edits to the universe since the last time the different univMaps have been synchronized. When a cell's value is queried, it is first searched for in univEdits, and then in univMap. When a cell's value is written to, it is written in univEdits. The envMap contains the current thread's local scope. This is unique to each thread. This is mainly used for arguments and local variables. The randomGen field is the random generator for the current thread. The generators for different threads are initialized with different random seeds. Finally, the location of the cell the thread is currently evaluating is stored in cellPos.

A Thread is an identity coroutine (meaning it can be paused, but doesn't generate a value until it's finished) that can fail with an EvalError, and always has a WorldState, even if it has failed.

The following is a helper instance making it easier to access the internal WorldState.

```
instance MonadState WorldState Thread where
  get = Thread $ lift $ get
   put = Thread 0 lift 0 put
And as promised, here are the relevant NFData instances:
```

instance NFData (Thread a) where rnf t = seq t () instance NFData EvalError where rnf e = seq e () instance NFData Value where rnf (IntVal x) = seq x () rnf (PrimFunc name f) = seq name \$ seq f () rnf (Lambda var val) = seq var \$ deepseq val () rnf (Variable var) = seq var () rnf (FuncCall f a) = deepseq f \$ deepseq a ()

```
instance NFData WorldState where
    rnf (WorldState { univMap,
                      univSize,
                      univEdits,
                      envMap,
                      randomGen,
                      cellPos,
                      evalTime }) = runEval $ do
        rdeepseq univMap
        rseq univSize
        rdeepseq univEdits
        rdeepseq envMap
        rseq randomGen
        rseq cellPos
        rseq evalTime
        return ()
```

We don't need a MonadError instance since we never need to catch any errors, so this is essentially just the throwError method from the MonadError typeclass.

```
throw :: EvalError \rightarrow Thread a throw = Thread \circ lift \circ throwError
```

As the name suggests, the **pause** function pauses the current thread.

```
pause :: Thread ()
pause = Thread $ suspend $ Identity $ return ()
```

The **runThread** function just runs the whole monad transformer and gets it into a form we can work with directly. This is done at every step of execution.

```
type Unwrapped a = (Either EvalError (Either (Thread a) a), WorldState)
runThread :: WorldState → Thread a → Unwrapped a
runThread state (Thread t) =
    unwrapId orunIdentity oflip runStateT state orunExceptT oresume $ t
    where
```

```
unwrapId (Right (Left (Identity t)), s) = (Right $ Left $ Thread t, s)
unwrapId (Right (Right x), s) = (Right $ Right x, s)
unwrapId (Left err, s) = (Left err, s)
```

The following is just a helper function that lifts an action from the Rand monad to the Thread monad.

```
liftRandom :: Rand StdGen a \rightarrow Thread a
liftRandom rand = do
state \leftarrow get
let (x, g) = runRand rand $ randomGen state
put $ state { randomGen = g }
return x
```

2.2 State.lhs

This module contains some helper functions for dealing with the WorldState.

```
module State (
    getVar,
    setVar,
    getCell,
    setCell,
    getCellPos,
    setCellPos,
    getSize,
    resetEvalTime,
) where
import Value
import Control.Monad.State
import System.Random
```

```
import qualified Data.Map as Map
```

The getVar function just gets a variable from the local execution scope, or throws an error if it's not found.

The setVar function just sets a variable in the local execution scope.

```
setVar :: Int \rightarrow Value \rightarrow Thread ()
setVar x v = do
state \leftarrow get
put $ state { envMap = Map.insert x v $ envMap state }
```

The getCell function just gets the value of a cell from the universe, looking first in the current thread's edits and then in the read-only univMap. It causes the program to crash if the requested cell is out of bounds.

The setCell function just sets the value of a cell in the thread's local univEdits.

The following just gets the index of the cell the current thread is evaluating. This is used for some of the locality-sensitive builtin functions.

```
getCellPos :: Thread Int
getCellPos = do
    state ← get
    return $ cellPos state
```

The following just sets the index of the cell the current thread is evaluating.

The following just gets the size of the universe, i.e. the total number of cells.

```
getSize :: Thread Int
getSize = do
    state ← get
    return $ univSize state
```

The following just resets the evalTime to 0. It is called when a thread starts evaluating a new cell.

2.3 Eval.lhs

This module is very short, and only exists because it can't go anywhere else. It only contains one function, the eval function, which evaluates a value in the current thread.

```
module Eval (
    eval,
) where
import Value
import State
eval :: Value → Thread Value
Values are all autoquoted, as in Lisp:
eval x@(IntVal _) = return x
```

eval x@(PrimFunc _ _) = return x
eval x@(Lambda _ _) = return x

Evaluating a variable just gets it from the local environment:

eval (Variable x) = getVar x

Evaluating a function is the only time the current thread gets paused, and it gets paused between when the result is evaluated and when it is returned. Attempting to evaluate something that isn't a function kills the thread.

```
eval (FuncCall f a) = do

f' \leftarrow eval f

case f' of

PrimFunc _ g \rightarrow do

y \leftarrow g a

pause

return y

Lambda x v \rightarrow do

setVar x a

y \leftarrow eval v

pause

return y

_ \rightarrow throw EvalError
```

2.4 Builtins.lhs

This module contains all the builtin functions that can be used. Hopefully I didn't forget anything that prevents the interpreter from being Turing-complete.

```
module Builtins (
    primFuncs,
) where
import Value
import State
import Eval
```

There's just one export, the primFuncs export, which is just a list of PrimFuncs.

```
primFuncs :: [Value]
primFuncs = [macro3 "if" ifFunc,
             macro2 "define" define,
             func1 "peek" peek,
             func2 "poke" poke,
             func2 "+" $ intOp (+),
             func2 "-" $ intOp (-),
             func2 "*" $ intOp (*),
             func2 ">" $ intBoolOp (>),
             func2 "<" $ intBoolOp (<),</pre>
             func2 "=" $ intBoolOp (==),
             func2 "&&" $ boolOp (&&),
             func2 "||" $ boolOp (||),
             func1 "eval" eval,
             func1 "lambda-get-var" lambdaGetVar,
             func1 "lambda-get-val" lambdaGetVal,
             func2 "lambda-set-var" lambdaSetVar,
             func2 "lambda-set-val" lambdaSetVal,
             func1 "funccall-get-func" funcCallGetFunc,
             func1 "funccall-get-arg" funcCallGetArg,
             func2 "funccall-set-func" funcCallSetFunc,
             func2 "funccall-set-arg" funcCallSetArg]
```

The following are just some helper functions that make defining multi-parameter functions and macros easier. The difference is that functions automatically evaluate their parameters, but macros do not. Fundamentally they're both fexprs, the only reason there are both is to reduce repetition in function definitions. There's probably some crazy dependent-type way to make these helpers work for functions of any arity, but since there are only two of each type, it seemed fine to do it by hand.

The following is just an if macro. There aren't booleans in the language, so positive integers are treated as true and negative ones as false.

```
\begin{array}{rll} \text{ifFunc} :: \text{Value} & \rightarrow \text{Value} & \rightarrow \text{Thread Value} \\ \text{ifFunc b thenExpr elseExpr} & = \text{do} \\ \text{b'} \leftarrow \text{eval b} \\ \text{case b' of} \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\
```

The following is a macro that sets a local variable (recall that these are unique to each thread of execution).

```
define :: Value \rightarrow Value \rightarrow Thread Value
define (Variable x) y = do
y' \leftarrow eval y
setVar x y'
return y'
define _ _ = throw EvalError
```

The **peek** and **poke** functions read from and write to cells, respectively. The names are a reference to early BASIC machines.

```
peek :: Value \rightarrow Thread Value
peek (IntVal x) = do
    n \leftarrow getSize
    y \leftarrow getCellPos
    getCell ((x + y) 'mod' n)
peek _ = throw EvalError
poke :: Value \rightarrow Value \rightarrow Thread Value
poke (IntVal x) val = do
    y \leftarrow getCellPos
    n \leftarrow getSize
    setCell ((x + y) 'mod' n) val
    return val
poke _ _ = throw EvalError
```

The intOp, intBoolOp, and boolOp functions are helpers for defining builtin binary operators on integers and "bools", which are also just integers. The operators that output booleans output 1 for true and 0 for false.

The next few functions are for metaprogramming, allowing the construction and deconstruction of lambdas and function calls. They should be helpful in allowing actual self-replication.

```
lambdaGetVar :: Value 
ightarrow Thread Value
lambdaGetVar (Lambda x _) = return $ Variable x
lambdaGetVar _ = throw EvalError
lambdaGetVal :: Value \rightarrow Thread Value
lambdaGetVal (Lambda _ y) = return y
lambdaGetVal _ = throw EvalError
lambdaSetVar :: Value 
ightarrow Value 
ightarrow Thread Value
lambdaSetVar (Lambda _ y) (Variable x) = return $ Lambda x y
lambdaSetVar _ _ = throw EvalError
<code>lambdaSetVal</code> :: Value \rightarrow Value \rightarrow Thread Value
lambdaSetVal (Lambda x _) y = return $ Lambda x y
lambdaSetVal _ _ = throw EvalError
funcCallGetFunc :: Value \rightarrow Thread Value
funcCallGetFunc (FuncCall f _) = return f
funcCallGetFunc _ = throw EvalError
<code>funcCallGetArg</code> :: Value \rightarrow Thread Value
funcCallGetArg (FuncCall _ a) = return a
funcCallGetArg _ = throw EvalError
<code>funcCallSetFunc</code> :: Value \rightarrow Value \rightarrow Thread Value
funcCallSetFunc (FuncCall _ a) f = return $ FuncCall f a
funcCallSetFunc _ _ = throw EvalError
<code>funcCallSetArg</code> :: Value \rightarrow Value \rightarrow Thread Value
funcCallSetArg (FuncCall f _) a = return $ FuncCall f a
funcCallSetArg _ _ = throw EvalError
```

2.5 Mutate.lhs

This module contains actions that mutate contents of cells and generate new random values. The actions are all in the Rand monad instead of in the Thread monad because they only need the random generator, not any other part of the WorldState, so it would have been overkill to give them an entire Thread coroutine. Also because they're not just used from within the Thread monad, but are also used for initializing the universe at the beginning of execution.

```
module Mutate (
    mutate,
    randomValue,
) where
import Value
```

import State import Builtins import System.Random

```
import Control.Monad.Random
```

The following is just a helper type alias for the monad we're going to be doing everything in.

type RandM = Rand StdGen

The following represents the probability that any mutation is going to occur this step.

```
mutateP :: Double
mutateP = 0.01
```

The following represents the probability that the parameter of a Lambda, as opposed to its body, will be mutated.

```
mutateParP :: Double
mutateParP = 0.2
```

The following is the probability that a function, as opposed to its argument, will be mutated in a function call.

mutateFuncP :: Double
mutateFuncP = 0.3

The following is the probability that an entirely new random value will be generated, as opposed to the differential modifications that are otherwise performed.

```
mutateTypeP :: Double
mutateTypeP = 0.1
```

When an int is mutated, it is randomly incremented or decremented with equal probability.

When a new integer is generated, it is selected from the range [-5, 5].

```
randInt :: RandM Int
randInt = getRandomR (-5, 5)
randIntVal :: RandM Value
randIntVal = randInt >>= return o IntVal
```

When a new primitive function is generated, it is selected at random from the list of primitive functions.

When a new lambda is generated, its parameter is a random integer from the range [-5, 5], and its body is a randomly generated value.

```
randLambda :: RandM Value
randLambda = do
    x ← randInt
    v ← randomValue
    return $ Lambda x v
```

When a variable is generated, it is selected at random from the range [-5, 5].

```
randVariable :: RandM Value
randVariable = randInt >>= return o Variable
```

When a function call is generated, both the function and the argument are randomly generated values. If the function is an integer this will result in the thread crashing, but that's sufficiently low probability that it wouldn't have been worth making a separate random function generator.

```
randFuncCall :: RandM Value
randFuncCall = do
   f ← randomValue
   a ← randomValue
   return $ FuncCall f a
```

The mutateInplace function just puts together all of the above probabilities and mutators and applies them to an arbitrary value.

```
\texttt{mutateInplace} :: Value \rightarrow RandM Value
mutateInplace (IntVal x) = mutateInt x >>= return o IntVal
mutateInplace (PrimFunc _ _) = randPrimFunc
mutateInplace (Lambda x v) = do
    b \leftarrow getRandom
    if b < mutateParP then do
        x' \leftarrow mutateInt x
        return $ Lambda x' v
    else do
         v' \leftarrow mutateInplace v
         return $ Lambda x v'
mutateInplace (Variable x) = mutateInt x >>= return o Variable
mutateInplace (FuncCall f a) = do
    b \leftarrow getRandom
    if b < mutateFuncP then do
         f' \leftarrow mutateInplace f
        return $ FuncCall f' a
    else do
         a' \leftarrow mutateInplace a
         return $ FuncCall f a'
```

When a new random value is required, its type is selected at random from the 5 different possible types (ints, primitive functions, lambdas, variables, and function calls), with equal probability. A

different version of the code could have different probabilities for each of the types, but there are already a lot of parameters to deal with, and it's not clear how these probabilities should deviate from uniform for better performance.

```
randomValue :: RandM Value
randomValue = do
b \leftarrow getRandomR (0, 4)
case b :: Int of
0 \rightarrow randIntVal
1 \rightarrow randPrimFunc
2 \rightarrow randLambda
3 \rightarrow randVariable
4 \rightarrow randFuncCall
```

The mutateValue function just generates a new random value with probability mutateTypeP, and mutates the existing value otherwise.

When we mutate a thread, we first check whether any mutations are going to occur (probability mutateP), and if so, we pick a random cell to mutate, and then mutate it.

```
mutate :: Thread ()
mutate = do
    b \leftarrow liftRandom getRandom
    when (b < mutateP) $ do
        n \leftarrow getSize
        i \leftarrow liftRandom $ getRandomR (0, n - 1)
        x \leftarrow getCell i
        x' \leftarrow liftRandom $ mutateValue x
        setCell i x'
```

2.6 Rep.lhs

This module contains the heart and soul of the simulator, the code that sets up the initial state, and the code that takes a state and runs one step of simulation on it. That is, this module contains the initial value and the update rule of the dynamical system we're building.

```
module Rep (
    runStep,
    runN,
) where
import Value
import State
import Eval
import Mutate
import Control.Monad.Random
import Control.Parallel.Strategies
import qualified Data.Map as Map
```

The randomThread function assigns a thread to a random cell to evaluate, and starts it evaluating that random cell.

```
randomThread :: Thread Value
randomThread = do
    n ← getSize
    i ← liftRandom $ getRandomR (0, n - 1)
    setCellPos i
    resetEvalTime
    cell ← getCell i
    eval cell
```

To run a step of simulation, we tell the threads to mutate the universe, and then we run the threads for one step of execution, randomly restart any threads that have finished evaluating their assigned cells, then merge edits to the universe and write the results back to the thread states. In terms of parallelization, it is relatively straightforward, just doing a parallel map over the **runThreads**.

At the beginning of the simulation, we set each cell to a random value, generate some random seeds to give each thread a different random generator, and start each thread on evaluating a random cell.

```
initialize :: Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow ([WorldState], [Thread Value])
initialize nCells nThreads seed = (states, threads) where
    rand = do
        cells \leftarrow sequence $ replicate nCells randomValue
        seeds \leftarrow sequence $ replicate nThreads getRandom
        return (cells, seeds)
    (cells, seeds) = evalRand rand $ mkStdGen seed
    univ = Map.fromList $ zip [0..] cells
    makeState s = WorldState { univMap = univ,
                                  univSize = nCells,
                                  univEdits = Map.empty,
                                  envMap = Map.empty,
                                  randomGen = mkStdGen s,
                                  cellPos = 0,
                                  evalTime = 0 }
    states = [makeState s | s \leftarrow seeds]
    threads = replicate nThreads randomThread
```

The following is just a helper function that will run the simulation for n steps, and output the longest evaluation times for each thread.

```
runN :: Int → Int → Int → [Int]
runN nCells nThreads seed n =
   runN' (initialize nCells nThreads seed) (replicate nThreads 0) n where
   runN' state maxs 0 = maxs
   runN' state maxs m = runN' (states, threads) maxs' (m - 1) where
        (states, threads) = runStep state
        maxs' = [max (evalTime s) m | (s, m) ← zip states maxs]
```

3 Results and Discussion

Empirically, parallelization helps a decent amount, though definitely far from linear. A simple experiment with 100 cells, 100 threads of execution, and running for 10000 steps took 5.49 seconds to run in a single Haskell Execution Context (HEC), 5.16 seconds to run on two HECs, and 2.96 seconds to run on three HECs. Studying the eventlog, it seems that the main difficulty is that each step of work is so small (basically just evaluating a single function call with depth 1) that the overhead of sending the work to an HEC is comparable to the actual work of evaluating the expression.

One way of making the algorithm more parallelizable in the future could be to pause execution more infrequently, for example by pausing with stochastically with some fixed probability, say 10%. Then each thunk would give the HECs more work to do. On the other hand, this would cause some thunks to take much longer to evaluate than others, and since the different steps of simulation are necessarily synchronized in lock-step, this could leave some HECs starved, unless there are many more threads of execution than HECs.

As for whether or not self-replication emerged, unfortunately it seems it did not in this first version. In fact, the longest expression took just 7 steps to evaluate, indicating that the simulation did not even discover recursion. The probable cause for this is that there were too many builtin functions; future versions of the simulator could try to simplify the language used in the interpreter to make recursion easier to discover. Other improvements could be to use Array.Diff instead of maps for the universe, or perhaps the ST monad, to speed up the number of steps per second that the simulator is able to run, enabling the simulator to run for longer and allowing more complex behaviors to emerge.