Recursion and Higher-Order Functions

Stephen A. Edwards

Columbia University

Fall 2019



Recursion in Haskell

Pattern matching works nicely:

```
recfun <base case> = <base value>
```

recfun <part> <rest> = <some work> <part> <combined with> recfun <rest>

maximum'	:: Ord a => [a] ->	a
maximum' []	<pre>= error "empty list</pre>	t"
maximum' [x]	= x	–– base case
<pre>maximum' (x:xs)</pre>		
x > maxTail	= x	found a new maximum
otherwise	= maxTail	
where maxTail	= maximum' xs	recurse

The list elements need to be ordered so we can perform > on them *maximum* is part of the standard prelude; you do not need to write this

Maximum

Far better: build the solution out of helpful pieces, even if they are small. It is efficient; GHC aggressively inlines code to avoid function call overhead

```
max' :: Ord a => a -> a -> a
max' a b
| a > b = a
| otherwise = b
maximum' :: Ord a => [a] -> a
maximum' [] = error "empty list"
maximum' [x] = x
maximum' [x:xs) = x `max'` maximum' xs
```

This is still twice as complicated as it needs to be; we'll revisit this later

Replicate and Take

The Num typeclass (-) does not include Ord (for <=), so Ord is needed

Used a guard since we're testing a condition $n \le 0$ rather than a constant.

take'		:: (Num n, Ord n) => n -	-> [a] -> [a]
take'	n _ n <= 0	= []	base case
take'	_ []	= []	base case
take'	n (x:xs)	= x : take' (n-1) xs	recurse

Replicate and Take Revisited

The Standard Prelude implementation uses infinite lists

```
take'
                    :: (Num n, Ord n) => n -> [a] -> [a]
take' n _ | n <= 0 = []
take' _ [] = []
take' n (x:xs) = x : take' (n-1) xs
repeat' :: a -> [a]
                                             -- Infinite list
repeat' x = xs where xs = x : xs
replicate' :: (Num n, Ord n) \Rightarrow n \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow [a]
replicate' n x = take' n (repeat' x)
```

Zip: Combine Two Lists Into a List of Pairs

```
zip' :: [a] -> [b] -> [(a,b)]
zip' [] _ = []
zip' _ [] = []
zip' (x:xs) (y:ys) = (x,y) : zip' xs ys
```

Works nicely with lists of mismatched lengths, including infinite:

```
*Main> zip' [0..3] [1..5] :: [(Int, Int)]
[(0,1),(1,2),(2,3),(3,4)]
*Main> zip' "abc" ([1..] :: [Int])
[('a',1),('b',2),('c',3)]
```

Quicksort in Haskell

- Pick and remove a pivot
- Partition into two lists: smaller or equal to and larger than pivot
- Recurse on both lists
- Concatenate smaller, pivot, then larger

```
quicksort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
quicksort [] = []
quicksort (p:xs) = quicksort [x | x <- xs, x <= p] ++
        [p] ++
        quicksort [x | x <- xs, x > p]
```

Efficient enough: ++ associates to the right so a ++ b ++ c is (a ++ (b ++ c))

Using Recursion in Haskell



Haskell does not have classical for or do loops

Recursion can implement either of these plus much more. Tail-recursion is just as efficient as such loops

Most of the time, however, your loop or recursive function fits a well-known pattern that is already in a Standard Prelude function that you should use instead

A key advantage of functional languages, including Haskell, is that you can build new control constructs

Partially Applied Functions

The (+) syntax also permits a single argument to be applied on either side and returns a function that takes the "missing" argument:

```
Prelude> (++ ", hello") "Stephen"
"Stephen, hello"
Prelude> ("Hello, " ++) "Stephen"
"Hello, Stephen"
Prelude> (<= (5::Int)) 10</pre>
False
Prelude> (<= (5::Int)) 5
True
Prelude> (<= (5::Int)) 4
True
```

- is weird because (-4) means negative four. Use subtract:

```
Prelude> (subtract 4) 10
6
```

Higher-Order Functions

Passing functions as arguments is routine yet powerful

```
Prelude> :{
  Prelude| applyTwice :: (a -> a) -> a -> a
  Prelude| applyTwice f x = f (f x)
  Prelude| :}
  Prelude> applyTwice (+5) 1
  11
  Prelude> applyTwice (++ " is stupid") "Stephen"
  "Stephen is stupid is stupid"
```

"applyTwice takes a function and return a function that takes a value and applies the function to the value twice"

Flip

Standard Prelude function that reverses the order of the first arguments

flip' :: (a -> b -> c) -> (b -> a -> c)
flip' f = g where g x y = f y x

But since the "function type" operator -> associates right-to-left,

```
flip' :: (a -> b -> c) -> b -> a -> c
flip' f x y = f y x
```

```
Prelude> zip [1..5] "Hello"
[(1,'H'),(2,'e'),(3,'l'),(4,'l'),(5,'o')]
Prelude> flip zip [1..5] "Hello"
[('H',1),('e',2),('l',3),('l',4),('o',5)]
Prelude> zipWith (flip div) [2,2..] [10,8..2]
[5,4,3,2,1]
```

Map: A Foundation of Functional Programming

A Standard Prelude function. Two equivalent ways to code it:

map'			::	(a	->	b)	->	[a]	->	[b]	
map'	_	[]	=	[]							
map'	f	(x:xs)	=	fx	: 1	map'	f	xs			

map''			::	(a	->	b)	->	[a] ->	[b]
map''	\mathbf{f}	xs	=	[f	х	x	<-	xs]	

```
*Main> map (+5) ([1..5] :: [Int])
[6,7,8,9,10]
*Main> map (++ "!") ["BIFF","BAM","POW"]
["BIFF!","BAM!","POW!"]
```

You've written many loops that fit map in imperative languages

zipWith

Another Standard Prelude function *zipWith* takes a function and two lists and applies the function to the list elements, like a combination of *zip* and *map*:

zipWith' :: (a -> b -> c) -> [a] -> [b] -> [c] zipWith' _ [] _ = [] zipWith' _ [] = [] zipWith' f (x:xs) (y:ys) = f x y : zipWith' f xs ys

Prelude> zipWith (+) [1..5] [10,20..] :: [Int]
[11,22,33,44,55]

The Standard Prelude implements zip with zipWith

zip' :: [a] -> [b] -> [(a,b)]
zip' = zipWith (,) -- the "make-a-pair" operator

Filter: Select each element of a list that satisfies a predicate

filter				:: (a -> Bool) -> [a] ->	[a]
filter .	_ []			= []	
filter j	p (x:xs)	рх	= x : filter p xs	
			otherwise	= filter p xs	

filter	:: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [[a]
filter p xs	s = [x x <- xs, p x]	

```
Prelude> filter (>= 3) [1..10] :: [Int]
[3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]
```

What's the largest number under 100,000 that's divisible by 3,829?

Prelude> x `divides` y = y `mod` x == 0
Prelude> head (filter (3829 `divides`) [100000,99999..])
99554

Using *filter* instead of list comprehensions:

quicksort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a] quicksort [] = [] quicksort (p:xs) = quicksort (filter (<= p) xs) ++ [p] ++ quicksort (filter (> p) xs)

Similar performance; choose the one that's easier to understand

takeWhile: Select the first elements that satisfy a predicate

Same type signature as *filter*, but stop taking elements from the list once the predicate is false. Also part of the Standard Prelude



Prelude> takeWhile (/= ' ') "Word splitter function"
"Word"

What's the sum of all odd squares under 10,000?

Prelude>	sum	(takeWhile	(<10000)	(filter	odd (map	(^2)	[1])))	
166650								
Prelude>	sum	(takeWhile	(<10000)	[n^2	n <- [1.	.], od	ld (n^2)]))
166650								

Twin Primes

Twin Primes differ by two, e.g., 3 and 5, 11 and 13, etc.

```
Prelude> primes = f [2..] where
Prelude| f (p:xs) = p : f [ x | x <- xs, x `mod` p /= 0 ]
Prelude> twinPrimes = filter twin (zip primes (tail primes) where
```

```
Prelude | twin (a,b) = a+2 == b
```

```
Prelude> take 7 twinPrimes
[(3,5),(5,7),(11,13),(17,19),(29,31),(41,43),(59,61)]
```

Prelude> length twinPrimes

(Left as an exercise for the reader)

Collatz sequences

For starting numbers between 1 and 100, how many Collatz sequences are longer than 15?

```
*Main> collatz 30
[30,15,46,23,70,35,106,53,160,80,40,20,10,5,16,8,4,2,1]
*Main> numLongChains
66
```

Lambda Expressions

A *lambda expression* is an unnamed function. \setminus is a λ missing a leg:

\ <args> -> <expr>

Things like (+ 5) and max 5 are also unnamed functions, but the lambda syntax is more powerful

Without a Lambda expression:

numLongChains = length (filter isLong (map collatz [1..100]))
where isLong xs = length xs > 15

Using Lambda:



Lambda Expressions

Multiple and pattern arguments:

```
Prelude> zipWith (\a b -> a * 100 + b) [5,4..1] [1..5]
[501,402,303,204,105]
Prelude> map (\(a,b) -> a + b) [(1,2),(3,5),(6,3),(2,6),(2,5)]
[3,8,9,8,7]
```

Function definitions are just convenient shorthand for Lambda expressions:

addThree :: Num a => a->a->a->a addThree x y z = x + y + z

```
addThree :: Num a => a->a->a->a
addThree = x \rightarrow y \rightarrow z \rightarrow x + y + z
```

Some Lambdas are unncessary:

```
Prelude> zipWith (\x y -> x + y) [1..5] [100,200..500]
[101,202,303,404,505]
Prelude> zipWith (+) [1..5] [100,200..500]
[101,202,303,404,505]
```

Fold: Another Foundational Function

Apply a function to each element to accumulate a result:

foldl
$$f z [a_1, a_2, ..., a_n] = f (\dots (f (f z a_1) a_2) \dots) a_n$$

foldl	::	(a -> b -> a) -> a -> [b] -> a
foldl f z []	=	Z
<pre>foldl f z (x:xs)</pre>	=	foldl f (f z x) xs

```
Prelude> 0 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5
15
Prelude> foldl (\acc x -> acc + x) 0 [1..5]
15
Prelude> foldl (+) 0 [1..5]
15
```

```
sum :: Num a -> [a] -> a
sum = fold1 (+) 0 -- Standard Prelude definition
```

Foldl[†] in action

foldl	::	(a -> b -> a) -> a -> [b] -> a
foldl f z []	=	Z
foldl f z (x:xs)	=	foldl f (f z x) xs

fold	ll f 10)0	[13]	whe	re f =	∖z	x -> z + x a.k.a. (+)
=	foldl	\mathbf{f}	100	[1,2,3]		Evaluate <i>foldl</i> : apply <i>f</i> to <i>z</i> and <i>x</i>
=	foldl	\mathbf{f}	(f 100	1)	[2,3]		Evaluate <i>f</i> : add <i>z</i> and <i>x</i>
=	foldl	\mathbf{f}	101		[2,3]		
=	foldl	\mathbf{f}	(f 101	2)	[3]		
=	foldl	\mathbf{f}	103		[3]		
=	foldl	\mathbf{f}	(f 103	3)	[]		
=	foldl	\mathbf{f}	106		[]		Base case: return z
=	106						

† Technically, this is foldl' in action; this gives the same result.

foldl1: foldl starting from the first element

```
foldl :: (a -> b -> a) -> a -> [b] -> a
foldl f z [] = z
foldl f z (x:xs) = foldl f (f z x) xs

foldl1 :: (a -> a -> a) -> [a] -> a
foldl1 f (x:xs) = foldl f x xs -- Start with the list's head
foldl1 _ [] = error "Prelude.foldl1: empty list"
```

foldl vs. foldr

fold1 from the left; foldr from the right. Function's arguments reversed

foldl
$$f z [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = f (\cdots (f (f z a_1) a_2) \cdots) a_n$$

foldr $f z [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = f a_1 (f a_2 (\cdots (f a_n z)) \cdots)$

foldl				::	(a -> b -> a) -> a -> [b] -> a
foldl	\mathbf{f}	z	[]	=	Z
foldl	\mathbf{f}	z	(x:xs)	=	foldl f (f z x) xs $f = acc x ->$

foldr	::	(b -> a -> a) -> a -> [b] -> a
foldr f z []	=	Z
foldr f z (x:xs)	=	f x (foldr f z xs) $f = \langle x acc \rangle$

Folds Are Extremely Powerful: They're Everywhere

```
concat :: [[a]] -> [a]
concat xss = foldr (++) [] xss
reverse :: [a] -> [a]
reverse = fold1 (a \times -x \times a) [] -- Lambda expression version
reverse = fold1 (flip (:)) [] -- Prelude definition
and, or :: [Bool] \rightarrow Bool
and = foldr (&&) True
or = foldr (||) False
sum. product :: (Num a) \Rightarrow [a] \Rightarrow a
sum = foldl (+) 0
product = fold1 (*) 1
maximum, minimum :: Ord a => [a] -> a
maximum [] = error "Prelude.maximum: empty list"
maximum xs = foldl1 max xs
minimum [] = error "Prelude.minimum: empty list"
minimum xs = foldl1 min xs
```

Folds Subsume map and filter

map' :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
map' f xs = foldr (\x acc -> f x : acc) [] xs

A left fold also works, but is less efficient because of ++:

map' f xs = foldl (\acc x -> acc ++ [f x]) [] xs

Filter is like a conditional map

filter'	:: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]	
filter' p	= foldr (\x acc -> if p x then x : acc else acc) []	

The Standard Prelude uses the recursive definitions of map and filter

Foldr Evaluates Left-to-Right Because Haskell is Lazy

Haskell's undefined throws an exception only when it is evaluated

undefined :: a
undefined = error "Prelude.undefined"

foldr
$$f z [a_1, a_2, ..., a_n] = f a_1 (f a_2(\cdots (f a_n z)) \cdots)$$

```
Prelude> quitZero x acc = if x == 0 then 0 else x + acc
Prelude> foldr quitZero 0 [3,2,1,0]
6
Prelude> foldr quitZero 0 [3,2,1,0,100]
6
Prelude> foldr quitZero 0 [3,2,1,undefined]
*** Exception: Prelude.undefined
Prelude> foldr quitZero 0 [3,2,1,0,undefined]
6
```



&& and || are Short-Circuit Operators

(&&), (||) :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool True && x = x False && _ = False True || _ = True False || x = x

and,	\mathbf{or}	::	[Bool]	->	Bool
and		=	foldr	(&&)	True
or		=	foldr	()	False

Prelude> or [True, True, undefined] True Prelude> and [True, True, undefined] *** Exception: Prelude.undefined Prelude> and [True, False, undefined] False Prelude> or [False. True. undefined] True Prelude> or [False, False, undefined] *** Exception: Prelude.undefined

Foldl Evaluates Left-to-Right Because of Laziness

foldl	::	: (a ->	b -> a) -> a ->	→ [b] -> a
foldl f z	[] =	Z		–– (base)
foldl f z	(x:xs) =	foldl	f (f z x) xs	(recurse)

f oldl f 100 [13]	
where $f = \langle z x \rightarrow z + x \rangle$	(f)
= foldl f 100 [1,2,3]	–– expand range
= foldl f (f 100 1) [2,3]	–– (recurse)
= foldl f (f (f 100 1) 2) [3]	–– (recurse)
= foldl f (f (f 100 1) 2) 3) []	–– (recurse)
= f (f (f 100 1) 2) 3	–– (base)
= (f (f 100 1) 2) + 3	—— (f)
= (f 100 1) + 2 + 3	—— (f)
= 100 + 1 + 2 + 3	(+)
= 101 + 2 + 3	(+)
= 103 + 3	(+)
= 106	(+)

Scanl and Scanr: Fold Remembering Accumulator Values

scanl				::	(a -> b -> a) -> a -> [b] -> [a]	
scanl	\mathbf{f}	qх	s	= 0	q : (case xs of [] -> []	
					x:xs -> scanl f (f q x) xs)	
scanr				::	(b -> a -> a) -> a -> [b] -> [a]	
scanr	\mathbf{f}	q0	[]	=	[q0]	
scanr	\mathbf{f}	q0	(x:xs)	=	<pre>f x q : qs where qs@(q:_) = scanr f q0 xs</pre>	

```
Prelude> foldl (+) 0 [1..5]
15
Prelude> scanl (+) 0 [1..5]
[0,1,3,6,10,15]
Prelude> scanr (+) 0 [1..5]
[15,14,12,9,5,0]
```

Scanl and takeWhile Can Mimic a Do Loop

How many square roots added together just exceed 1000?

```
Prelude> length (takeWhile (<1000) (scanl1 (+) (map sqrt [1..])))
130
Prelude> sum (map sqrt [1..130])
993.6486803921487
Prelude> sum (map sqrt [1..131])
1005.0942035344083
```

Avoiding LISP[†] with \$

Many functions put their complex-to-compute arguments at the end; applying these in sequence give expressions of the form f ... (g (h ...))

Use \$ to eliminate the ending parentheses. It is right-associative at the lowest precedence so f g h x is f (g (h x))

Normal argument application (juxtaposition) is at the highest precedence

infixr 0 \$ -- Right-associative, lowest precedence
(\$) :: (a -> b) -> a -> b
f \$ x = f x

Prelude> length (takeWhile (<1000) (scanl1 (+) (map sqrt [1..])))
130
Prelude> length \$ takeWhile (<1000) \$ scanl1 (+) \$ map sqrt [1..]
130</pre>

† Lots of Irritating, Silly Parentheses

Applying an Argument as a Function

\$ is the *function application* operator: it applies the function on its left to the argument on its right

Juxataposition does the same thing without an explicit operator

Prelude> map (\$ 3) [(4+), (10*), (^2), sqrt]
[7.0,30.0,9.0,1.7320508075688772]

(\$ 3) is the "apply 3 as an argument to the function" function, equivalent to $f \rightarrow f$ 3.

Function Composition

In math notation, $(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x))$; in Haskell,

infixr 9 . -- Right-associative, highest precedence (.) :: $(b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow a \rightarrow c$ f . g = $\langle x \rightarrow f (g x)$

So
$$(f \cdot g \cdot h) \times is (f (g (h x)))$$

```
Prelude> map (\x -> negate (abs x)) [5,-3,-6,7,-3,2,-19,24]
[-5,-3,-6,-7,-3,-2,-19,-24]
Prelude> map (negate . abs) [5,-3,-6,7,-3,2,-19,24]
[-5,-3,-6,-7,-3,-2,-19,-24]
```

Best used when constructing functions to pass as an argument