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## Storage Classes

## Storage Classes and Memory Layout



## Static Objects



## Advantages

Zero-cost memory
management
Often faster access (address a constant)

No out-of-memory danger

## Examples

Static class variable
Code for hello method
String constant "Hello"
Information about the Example class

## Disadvantages

Size and number must be known beforehand

Wasteful if sharing is possible

## The Stack and Activation Records

## Stack-Allocated Objects

Natural for supporting recursion.


Idea: some objects persist from when a procedure is called to when it returns.

Naturally implemented with a stack: linear array of memory that grows and shrinks at only one boundary.
Each invocation of a procedure gets its own frame (activation record) where it stores its own local variables and bookkeeping information.

## An Activation Record: The State Before Calling bar



## Recursive Fibonacci

(Real C)

```
    if ( }n<2\mathrm{ )
            return 1;
    else
    return
        fib(n-1)
            +
                fib(n-2);
}
```

(Assembly-like C)

```
int fib(int n) {
    int tmp1, tmp2, tmp3;
    tmp1 = n < 2;
    if (!tmp1) goto L1;
    return 1;
L1: tmp1 = n - 1;
    tmp2 = fib(tmp1);
L2: tmp1 = n - 2;
    tmp3 = fib(tmp1);
L3: tmp1 = tmp2 + tmp3;
    return tmp1;
}
```


## Executing fib(3)
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```
int fib(int n) {
    int tmp1, tmp2, tmp3;
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    if (!tmp1) goto L1;
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```

L1: tmp1 = n - 1;
tmp2 = fib(tmp1);
L2: tmp1 = n - 2;
tmp3 $=$ fib(tmp1);
L3: tmp1 = tmp2 + tmp3;
return tmp1;
\}

|  | $\mathrm{n}=3$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{FP} \rightarrow$ | return address |
|  | last frame pointer• |
|  | tmp1 $=2$ |
|  | tmp2 $=$ |
|  | tmp3 $=$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=2$ |

L1: tmp1 = n - 1;

## Executing fib(3)

```
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}
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| :---: | :---: |
|  | ```return address last frame pointer• tmp1 = 2 tmp2 = tmp3 = n=2``` |
| FP ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | ```return address last frame pointer\bullet tmp1 = 1 tmp2 = tmp3 = n=1``` |
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## Executing fib(3)

```
int fib(int n) {
    int tmp1, tmp2, tmp3;
    tmp1 = n < 2;
    if (!tmp1) goto L1;
    return 1;
L1: tmp1 = n - 1;
    tmp2 = fib(tmp1);
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}
```

|  | $\mathrm{n}=3$ |
| :---: | :---: |
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## Executing fib(3)

```
int fib(int n) {
    int tmp1, tmp2, tmp3;
    tmp1 = n < 2;
    if (!tmp1) goto L1;
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    return tmp1;
}
```

|  | $\mathrm{n}=3$ |
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## Executing fib(3)

```
int fib(int n) {
    int tmp1, tmp2, tmp3;
    tmp1 = n < 2;
    if (!tmp1) goto L1;
    return 1;
L1: tmp1 = n - 1;
    tmp2 = fib(tmp1);
L2: tmp1 = n - 2;
    tmp3 = fib(tmp1);
L3: tmp1 = tmp2 + tmp3;
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}
```
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## Executing fib(3)

```
int fib(int n) {
    int tmp1, tmp2, tmp3;
    tmp1 = n < 2;
    if (!tmp1) goto L1;
    return 1;
L1: tmp1 = n - 1;
    tmp2 = fib(tmp1);
L2: tmp1 = n - 2;
    tmp3 = fib(tmp1);
L3: tmp1 = tmp2 + tmp3;
    return tmp1;
}
```



## Allocating Fixed-Size Arrays

Local arrays with fixed size are easy to stack.


## Allocating Variable-Sized Arrays

Variable-sized local arrays aren't as easy.

| void foo(int n) | return address | $\leftarrow \mathrm{FP}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \{ | a |  |
| int $a ;$ <br> int $b[n]$. | $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{n}-1]$ |  |
| int $c$; | ! |  |
| $\text { \} }$ | b[0] |  |
|  | c | $\leftarrow \mathrm{FP}-$ ? |

Doesn't work: generated code expects a fixed offset for c. Even worse for multi-dimensional arrays.

## Allocating Variable-Sized Arrays

As always:
add a level of indirection
void foo(int $n$ )
\{
int $a$; int $b[n]$;
int $c$;
\}

$\uparrow$
Variables remain constant offset from frame pointer.

## Nesting Function Definitions

let articles words =
let report $w=$
let count = List.length (List.filter ((=) w) words)
in w ^ ": " ^
string_of_int count
in String.concat ", "
(List.map report ["a"; "the"])

## in articles

["the"; "plt"; "class"; "is"; "a"; "pain"; "in"; "the"; "butt"]
let count words $w=$ List. length (List.filter ((=) w) words) in
let report words $w=w$ ^ ": " ^ string_of_int (count words w) in
let articles words =
String.concat ", "
(List.map (report words) ["a"; "the"]) in
articles

```
    ["the"; "plt"; "class"; "is";
        "a"; "pain"; "in";
        "the"; "butt"]
```

Produces "a: 1, the: 2"

## Implementing Nested Functions with Access Links

```
let a x s=
    let b y =
        let c z = z + s in
\[
\text { let } d w=c(w+1) \text { in }
\]
        let dw=c(w+1) in
\[
d(y+1) \text { in }(* \mathrm{~b} *)
\]
        d (y+1) in (* b *)
\[
\text { let } e q=b(q+1) \text { in }
\]
        let e q=b (q+1) in
\[
\text { let } c z=z+s \text { in }
\]
\[
e(x+1)(* a *)
\]
    e(x+1)(* a *)
```

What does "a 5 42" give?


## Implementing Nested Functions with Access Links

```
let a x s=
    let b y =
        let c z = z+s in
        let d w = c (w+1) in
        d (y+1) in (* b *)
        let e q=b (q+1) in
    e (x+1) (* a *)
```

What does "a 5 42" give?

## Implementing Nested Functions with Access Links

```
let a x s =
    let b y =
        let c z = z+s in
        let d w = c (w+1) in
        d (y+1) in (* b *)
        let e q=b (q+1) in
    e (x+1) (* a *)
```

What does "a 5 42" give?


## Implementing Nested Functions with Access Links

```
let a x s =
    let b y =
        let c z = z+s in
        let d w = c (w+1) in
        d (y+1) in (* b *)
        let e q=b (q+1) in
    e (x+1) (* a *)
```

What does "a 5 42" give?


## Implementing Nested Functions with Access Links

```
let a x s=
    let b y =
        let c z = z+s in
        let d w = c (w+1) in
        d (y+1) in (% b *)
        let e q=b (q+1) in
    e(x+1)(* a *)
```

What does "a 5 42" give?

a: \begin{tabular}{l}

| (access link) |
| :--- |
| $x=5$ |
| $s=42$ | <br>

e: | (access link) |
| :--- |
| $q=6$ | <br>

b: | (access link) |
| :--- |
| $y=7$ | <br>

d: | (access link) |
| :--- |
| w $=8$ | <br>

c: | (access link) |
| :--- |
| $z=9$ | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

## In-Memory Layout Issues

## Layout of Records and Unions

Modern processors have byte-addressable memory.


The IBM 360 (c. 1964) helped to popularize byte-addressable memory.

Many data types (integers, addresses, floating-point numbers) are wider than a byte.

16-bit integer:
32-bit integer: $\square$ 3 3 2 1 0

## Layout of Records and Unions

It is harder to read an

Modern memory systems read data in 32-, 64-, or 128-bit chunks:

| 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 |

Reading an aligned 32-bit value is fast: a single operation.

| 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 |

unaligned value: two reads plus shifting


SPARC and ARM prohibit unaligned accesses
MIPS has special unaligned load/store instructions
x86, 68k run more slowly with unaligned accesses

## Padding

To avoid unaligned accesses, the C compiler pads the layout of unions and records.

Rules:

- Each $n$-byte object must start on a multiple of $n$ bytes (no unaligned accesses).
- Any object containing an $n$-byte object must be of size $m n$ for some integer $m$ (aligned even when arrayed).


```
struct padded
    char a; /* 1 byte */
    short b; /* 2 bytes */
    short c; /* 2 bytes */
};
```



## Unions

A C struct has a separate space for each field; a C union shares one space among all fields


```
union twostructs {
    struct {
    char c; /* 1 byte */
        int i; /* 4 bytes */
        } a;
    struct {
        short s1; /* 2 bytes */
        short s2; /* 2 bytes */
    } b;
};
```


or


## Arrays

Basic policy in C: an array is just one object after another in memory.

```
int a[10];
```

| $a[0]$ | $a[0]$ | $a[0]$ | $a[0]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a[1]$ | $a[1]$ | $a[1]$ | $a[1]$ |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |
| $a[9]$ | $a[9]$ | $a[9]$ | $a[9]$ |

This is why you need padding at the end of structs.

```
struct {
    int a;
    char c;
} b[2];
```



## Arrays and Aggregate types

The largest primitive type dictates the alignment

```
struct \(\{\)
short \(a\);
short \(b ;\)
char \(c ;\)
\(\} d[4] ;\)
```



## Arrays of Arrays

```
char \(a[4] ;\)
```


## $a[3] \quad a[2] \quad a[1] \quad a[0]$

char $a[3][4] ;$

| $a[0][3]$ | $a[0][2]$ | $a[0][1]$ | $a[0][0]$ | $a[0]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a[1][3]$ | $a[1][2]$ | $a[1][1]$ | $a[1][0]$ | $a[1]$ |
| $a[2][3]$ | $a[2][2]$ | $a[2][1]$ | $a[2][0]$ | $a[2]$ |

## The Heap

## Heap-Allocated Storage

Static works when you know everything beforehand and always need it.
Stack enables, but also requires, recursive behavior.
A heap is a region of memory where blocks can be allocated and deallocated in any order.
(These heaps are different than those in, e.g., heapsort)

## Dynamic Storage Allocation in C

```
struct point {
    int x, y;
};
int play_with_points(int n)
{
    int i;
    struct point *points;
    points = malloc(n * sizeof(struct point));
    for ( i = 0 ; i < n ; i++ ) {
        points[i].x = random();
        points[i].y = random();
    }
    /* do something with the array */
    free(points);
}
```


## Dynamic Storage Allocation



## Dynamic Storage Allocation
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## Dynamic Storage Allocation



## Dynamic Storage Allocation

Rules:
Each allocated block contiguous (no holes)
Blocks stay fixed once allocated
malloc()
Find an area large enough for requested block
Mark memory as allocated
free()
Mark the block as unallocated


## Simple Dynamic Storage Allocation

Maintaining information about free memory
Simplest: Linked list
The algorithm for locating a suitable block
Simplest: First-fit
The algorithm for freeing an allocated block
Simplest: Coalesce adjacent free blocks

## Simple Dynamic Storage Allocation



## Simple Dynamic Storage Allocation


$\operatorname{malloc}(\square)$

## Simple Dynamic Storage Allocation



## Simple Dynamic Storage Allocation



## Simple Dynamic Storage Allocation



## Dynamic Storage Allocation

Many, many other approaches.
Other "fit" algorithms
Segregation of objects by size
More clever data structures

## Heap Variants

Memory pools: Differently-managed heap areas
Stack-based pool: only free whole pool at once
Nice for build-once data structures
Single-size-object pool:
Fit, allocation, etc. much faster
Good for object-oriented programs

## Fragmentation

$\operatorname{malloc}(\square)$ seven times give

free() four times gives

malloc ( $\square$ )?
Need more memory; can't use fragmented memory.

Hockey smile


## Fragmentation and Handles

Standard CS solution: Add another layer of indirection. Always reference memory through "handles."


The original Macintosh did this to save memory.

## Fragmentation and Handles
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## Automatic Garbage Collection

## Automatic Garbage Collection

Entrust the runtime system with freeing heap objects Now common: Java, C\#, Javascript, Python, Ruby, OCaml and most functional languages

## Advantages

Much easier for the programmer

Greatly improves reliability: no memory leaks, double-freeing, or other memory management errors

## Disadvantages

Slower, sometimes unpredictably so

May consume more memory


## Reference Counting

What and when to free?

- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
|0
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```


## Reference Counting

## What and when to free?

- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



## Reference Counting

What and when to free?

- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { let } \mathrm{a}=(42,17) \text { in } \\
& \text { let } \mathrm{b}=[\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a}] \text { in } \\
& \text { let } \mathrm{c}=(1,2): \mathrm{b} \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{b}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Reference Counting

What and when to free?

- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



## Reference Counting

What and when to free?

- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { let } \mathrm{a}=(42,17) \text { in } \\
& \text { let } \mathrm{b}=[\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a}] \text { in } \\
& \text { let } \mathrm{c}=(1,2): \mathrm{b} \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{b}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Reference Counting

What and when to free?

- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { let } \mathrm{a}=(42,17) \text { in } \\
& \text { let } \mathrm{b}=[\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a}] \text { in } \\
& \text { let } \mathrm{c}=(1,2): \mathrm{b} \text { in } \\
& \mathrm{b}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Reference Counting

What and when to free?

- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { let } a=(42,17) \text { in } \\
& \text { let } b=[a ; a] \text { in } \\
& \text { let } c=(1,2):: b \text { in } \\
& b
\end{aligned}
$$



## Reference Counting

What and when to free?

- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

```
let a = (42, 17) in
let b = [a;a] in
let c = (1,2)::b in
b
```



## Reference Counting

What and when to free?

- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { let } a=(42,17) \text { in } \\
& \text { let } b=[a ; a] \text { in } \\
& \text { let } c=(1,2):: b \text { in } \\
& b
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 0 & 1,2 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Reference Counting

What and when to free?

- Maintain count of references to each object
- Free when count reaches zero
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& \mathrm{b}
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## Issues with Reference Counting

Circular structures defy reference counting:


Neither is reachable, yet both have non-zero reference counts.

High overhead (must update counts constantly), although incremental

## Mark-and-Sweep

What and when to free?

- Stop-the-world algorithm invoked when memory full
- Breadth-first-search marks all reachable memory
- All unmarked items freed

$$
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& \text { let } a=(42,17) \text { in } \\
& \text { let } b=[a ; a] \text { in } \\
& \text { let } c=(1,2): b \text { in } \\
& b
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Mark-and-Sweep

Mark-and-sweep is faster overall; may induce big pauses
Mark-and-compact variant also moves or copies reachable objects to eliminate fragmentation

Incremental garbage collectors try to avoid doing everything at once

Most objects die young; generational garbage collectors segregate heap objects by age
Parallel garbage collection tricky
Real-time garbage collection tricky

## Shared Libraries and Dynamic Linking



## Shared Libraries and Dynamic Linking

The 1980s GUI/WIMP revolution required many large libraries (the Athena widgets, Motif, etc.)
Under a static linking model, each executable using a library gets a copy of that library's code.
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Wasteful: running many GUI programs at once fills memory with nearly identical copies of each library.
Something had to be done: another level of indirection.

## Shared Libraries: First Attempt

Most code makes assumptions about its location.
First solution (early Unix System V R3) required each shared library to be located at a unique address:


## Shared Libraries: First Attempt

Most code makes assumptions about its location.
First solution (early Unix System V R3) required each shared library to be located at a unique address:


Obvious disadvantage: must ensure each new shared library located at a new address.

Works fine if there are only a few libraries; tended to discourage their use.

## Shared Libraries

Problem fundamentally is that each program may need to see different libraries each at a different address.


## Position-Independent Code

Solution: Require the code for libraries to be position-independent. Make it so they can run anywhere in memory.
As always, add another level of indirection:

- All branching is PC-relative
- All data must be addressed relative to a base register.
- All branching to and from this code must go through a jump table.


## Position-Independent Code for bar()



## Objects and Inheritance

## Single Inheritance

Simple: Add new fields to end of the object
Fields in base class always at same offset in derived class (compiler never reorders)

Consequence: Derived classes can never remove fields

```
C++
    class Shape {
        double x, y;
    };
    class Box : Shape {
        double h, w;
    };
    class Circle : Shape {
        double r;
    };
```

```
Equivalent C
struct Shape {
    double x, y;
};
struct Box {
    double x, y;
    double h, w;
};
struct Circle {
    double x, y;
    double r;
};
```


## Virtual Functions

```
class Shape {
    virtual void draw(); // Invoked by object's run-time class
}; // not its compile-time type.
class Line : public Shape {
    void draw();
}
class Arc : public Shape {
    void draw();
};
Shape *s[10];
s[0] = new Line;
s[1] = new Arc;
s[0]->draw(); // Invoke Line::draw()
s[1]->draw(); // Invoke Arc::draw()
```


## Virtual Functions

Trick: add to each object a pointer to the virtual table for its type, filled with pointers to the virtual functions.
Like the objects themselves, the virtual table for each derived type begins identically.

```
struct A {
    int x;
    virtual void Foo();
    virtual void Bar();
};
struct B : A {
    int y;
    virtual void Foo();
    virtual void Baz();
};
A a1;
A a2;
B b1;
```



| B's Vtbl |
| :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}:$ :Foo |
| $\mathrm{A}:: \mathrm{Bar}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}:: \mathrm{Baz}$ |
| b 1 |
| vptr |
| x |
| y |

## Exceptions



## C++'s Exceptions

```
struct Except {} ex; // This struct functions as an exception
void top(void) {
    try {
        child();
    } catch (Except e) { // throw sends control here
        printf("oops\n");
    }
}
void child() {
    child2();
}
void child2() {
    throw ex; // Pass control up to the catch block
}
```

$1 \quad \sqrt{3}$

## C's setjmp/longjmp: Idiosyncratic Exceptions

```
#include <setjmp.h>
jmp_buf closure; /* return address, stack & frame ptrs. */
void top(void) {
    switch ( setjmp(closure) ) { /* normal: store closure, return 0 */
                                    /* longjmp jumps here, returns 1 */
    case 0: child();
        break;
    case 1: break;
    /* longjmp( ,1) called */
    }
}
void child() {
    child2();
}
void child2() {
    longjmp(closure, 1);
}
```


## Implementing Exceptions

One way: maintain a stack of exception handlers

```
try {
    child();
} catch (Ex e) {
    foo();
}
void child() {
    child2();
}
void child2() {
    throw ex;
}
push(Ex, Handler); // Push handler on stack
ll}\begin{array}{ll}{\mathrm{ child(); }}&{\mathrm{ pop(); Normal termination }}\\{\mathrm{ goto Exit; }}&{// Jump over "catch" }
```

Incurs overhead, even when no exceptions thrown

## Implementing Exceptions with Tables

Q: When an exception is thrown, where was the last try?
A: Consult a table: relevant handler or "pop" for every PC

```
void foo() {
    try {
        bar();
    } catch (Ex1 e) {
        a();
    }
}
void bar() {
    baz();
}
void baz() {
16 try {
    throw ex1;
    } catch (Ex2 e) {
        b();
    }
}
```

15

## Lines Action

1-2 Pop stack
3-5 Handler @ 5 for Ex1

6-15 Pop stack

16-18 Handler @ 18 for Ex2

19-21 Pop stack

