Verilog 1995, 2001, and SystemVerilog 3.1 Languages for Embedded Systems

Prof. Stephen A. Edwards

Columbia University

March 2009

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

The Verilog Language

Originally a modeling language for a very efficient event-driven digital logic simulator

Later pushed into use as a specification language for logic synthesis

Now, one of the two most commonly-used languages in digital hardware design (VHDL is the other)

Virtually every chip (FPGA, ASIC, etc.) is designed in part using one of these two languages

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Combines structural and behavioral modeling styles

Multiplexer Built From Primitives



Multiplexer Built with Always

b sel



Multiplexer Built with Always



Mux with Continuous Assignment



LHS is always set to the value on the RHS

Any change on the right causes reevaluation

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Mux with User-Defined Primitive



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

How Are Simulators Used?

Testbench generates stimulus and checks response

Coupled to model of the system

Pair is run simultaneously



Structural Modeling

When Verilog was first developed (1984) most logic simulators operated on netlists

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- Netlist: list of gates and how they're connected
- A natural representation of a digital logic circuit
- Not the most convenient way to express test benches

Behavioral Modeling

A much easier way to write testbenches

Also good for more abstract models of circuits

- Easier to write
- Simulates faster

More flexible

Provides sequencing

Verilog succeeded in part because it allowed both the model and the testbench to be described together

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

How Verilog Is Used

Virtually every ASIC is designed using either Verilog or VHDL (a similar language)

Behavioral modeling with some structural elements

"Synthesis subset" can be translated using Synopsys' Design Compiler or others into a netlist

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Design written in Verilog

Simulated to death to check functionality

Synthesized (netlist generated)

Static timing analysis to check timing

Two Main Components of Verilog: Behavioral

Concurrent, event-triggered processes (behavioral)

Initial and Always blocks

Imperative code that can perform standard data manipulation tasks (assignment, if-then, case)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Processes run until they delay for a period of time or wait for a triggering event

Two Main Components of Verilog: Structural

Structure (Plumbing)

Verilog program build from modules with I/O interfaces Modules may contain instances of other modules Modules contain local signals, etc. Module configuration is static and all run concurrently

Two Main Data Types: Nets

Nets represent connections between things

Do not hold their value

Take their value from a driver such as a gate or other module Cannot be assigned in an initial or always block

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Two Main Data Types: Regs

Regs represent data storage

Behave exactly like memory in a computer

Hold their value until explicitly assigned in an initial or always block

Never connected to something

Can be used to model latches, flip-flops, etc., but do not correspond exactly

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Actually shared variables with all their attendant problems

Discrete-event Simulation

Basic idea: only do work when something changes

Centered around an event queue that contains events labeled with the simulated time at which they are to be executed

Basic simulation paradigm

- Execute every event for the current simulated time
- Doing this changes system state and may schedule events in the future
- When there are no events left at the current time instance, advance simulated time soonest event in the queue

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Four-valued Data

Verilog's nets and registers hold four-valued data

0, 1: Obvious

Z: Output of an undriven tri-state driver. Models case where nothing is setting a wire's value

X: Models when the simulator can't decide the value

- Initial state of registers
- When a wire is being driven to 0 and 1 simultaneously

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Output of a gate with Z inputs

Four-valued Logic

Logical operators work on three-valued logic



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ★三▶ 三三 のへで

Part I

Structural Modeling



Nets and Registers

Wires and registers can be bits, vectors, and arrays

wire a; tri [15:0] dbus; tri #(5,4,8) b; reg [-1:4] vec; trireg (small) q; reg [31:0] dcache[0:63]; // A 32-bit memory

// Simple wire // 16-bit tristate bus // Wire with delay // Six-bit register // Wire stores a small charge integer imem[0:1023]; // Array of 1024 integers

Modules and Instances

Basic structure of a Verilog module:



Instantiating a Module

Instances of

module mymod(y, a, b);

look like

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

mymod mm2(.a(a2), .b(b2), .y(c2));

Gate-level Primitives

Verilog provides the following:

and	nand	logical AND/NAND
or	nor	logical OR/NOR
xor	xnor	logical XOR/XNOR
buf	not	buffer/inverter
bufif0	notif0	Tristate with low enable
bifif1	notif1	Tristate with high enable

Delays on Primitive Instances

Instances of primitives may include delays

buf	b1(a, b);	// Zero delay
buf#3	b2(c, d);	// Delay of 3
buf #(4,5)	b3(e, f);	// Rise=4, fall=5
buf #(3:4:5)	b4(g, h);	// Min-typ-max

Switch-level Primitives

Verilog also provides mechanisms for modeling CMOS transistors that behave like switches

A more detailed modeling scheme that can catch some additional electrical problems when transistors are used in this way

Now, little-used because circuits generally aren't built this way

More seriously, model is not detailed enough to catch many of the problems

These circuits are usually simulated using SPICE-like simulators based on nonlinear differential equation solvers

User-Defined Primitives

Way to define gates and sequential elements using a truth table Often simulate faster than using expressions, collections of primitive gates, etc.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Gives more control over behavior with X inputs

Most often used for specifying custom gate libraries

A Carry Primitive



A Sequential Primitive

Primitive dff(q, clk, data);					
output q; reg q;					
input clk, data;					
table					
//clk data q new-q					
(01) 0 : ? : 0; // Latch a 0					
(01) 1 : ? : 1; // Latch a 1					
(0x) 1 : 1 : 1; // Hold when d and q both 1					
(0x) 0 : 0 : 0; // Hold when d and q both 0					
(?0) ? : ? : -; // Hold when clk falls					
? (??) : ? : -; // Hold when clk stable					
endtable					
endprimitive					

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Continuous Assignment

Another way to describe combinational function

Convenient for logical or datapath specifications

wire [8:0] sum; Define bus wire [7:0] a, b; widths wire carryin; assign sum = a + b + carryin;

Continuous assignment: permanently sets the value of sum to be a+b+carryin. Recomputed when a, b, or carryin changes

Part II

Behavioral Modeling



Initial and Always Blocks





Runs when simulation starts Runs wh

Runs when simulation starts

Terminates when control reaches Restarts when control reaches the end the end

Good for providing stimulus

Good for modeling or specifying hardware

Initial and Always

Run until they encounter a delay



or a wait for an event

```
always @(posedge clk) q = d;
always begin
  wait(i);
  a = 0;
  wait(~i);
  a = 1;
end
```

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Procedural Assignment

Inside an initial or always block:

sum = a + b + cin;

Just like in C: RHS evaluated and assigned to LHS before next statement executes

RHS may contain wires and/or regs

LHS must be a reg

(only primitives or continuous assignment may set wire values)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Imperative Statements

```
if (select == 1) y = a;
else y = b;
case (op)
  2'b00: y = a + b;
  2'b01: y = a - b;
  2'b10: y = a ^ b;
  default: y = 'hxxxx;
endcase
```

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

For Loops

Example generates an increasing sequence of values on an output

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ★三▶ 三三 のへで

```
reg [3:0] i, output;
for ( i = 0 ; i <= 15 ; i = i + 1 ) begin
    output = i;
    #10;
end</pre>
```

While Loops

A increasing sequence of values on an output

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ★三▶ 三三 のへで

```
reg [3:0] i, output;
i = 0;
while (i <= 15) begin
    output = i;
    #10 i = i + 1;
end
```
Modeling A Flip-Flop With Always

Very basic: an edge-sensitive flip-flop

reg q;

always @(posedge clk)
q = d;

q = d assignment runs when clock rises: exactly the behavior you expect

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ★三▶ 三三 のへで

Blocking vs. Nonblocking

Verilog has two types of procedural assignment Fundamental problem:

► In a synchronous system, all flip-flops sample simultaneously

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

In Verilog, always @(posedge clk) blocks run in some undefined sequence

A Flawed Shift Register

This does not work as you would expect:



These run in some order, but you don't know which

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨト・ ヨー うらつ

Non-blocking Assignments

always @(posedge clk) d4 <= d3;





LHS updated only after all events for the current instant have run

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のへの

Nonblocking Can Behave Oddly

Nonblocking assignments in sequence do not communicate:

a = 1; b = a;c = b;

Blocking assignment:
$$a = b = c = 1$$

Nonblocking assignment: a = 1 b = old value of a c = old value of b

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Nonblocking Looks Like Latches

RHS of nonblocking taken from latches

RHS of blocking taken from wires



Part III

Building Behavioral Models

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Modeling FSMs Behaviorally

There are many ways to do it:

- Define the next-state logic combinationally and define the state-holding latches explicitly
- Define the behavior in a single always @(posedge clk) block

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Variations on these themes

FSM with Combinational Logic

<pre>module FSM(o, a, b, reset);</pre>		
output o;	a reg because it is	
reg 0;	assigned	
<pre>input a, b, reset;</pre>	procedurally, not	
reg [1:0] <i>state</i> , <i>nextState</i> ;	because it holds	
	state	
always @(a or b or state)		
case (state)		
2' <i>b00</i> : begin		
o = a & b; nextState	= a ? 2'b00 : 2'b01;	
end		
2' <i>b01</i> : begin		
o = 0; nextState = 2'b10;		
end		
endcase		
<pre>always @(posedge clk or res if (reset) state <= 2'b00 else state <= nextS endmodule</pre>);	

FSM with Combinational Logic

```
module FSM(o, a, b, reset);
output o;
                                Combinational
reg o;
                                block must be
input a, b, reset;
                               sensitive to any
reg [1:0] state, nextState;
                               change on any of its
                               inputs (Implies
always @(a or b or state)
                               state-holding
 case (state)
                               elements otherwise)
    2'b00: begin
      o = a & b; nextState = a ? 2'b00 : 2'b01;
    end
    2'b01: begin
      o = 0; nextState = 2'b10;
                                     Latch implied
    end
                                     by sensitivity
 endcase
                                     to the clock or
                                     reset only
always @(posedge clk or reset)
  if (reset) state <= 2'b00;</pre>
  else state <= nextState;</pre>
endmodule
```

FSM from a Single Always Block

<pre>module FSM(o, a, b); output o; reg o; input a, b; reg [1:0] state;</pre>	Expresses Moore machine behavior: — Outputs are latched.
<pre>always @(posedge clk or reset) if (reset) state <= 2'b00; else case (state) 2'b00: begin state <= a ? 2'b00 : 2'b01; o <= a & b;</pre>	Inputs only sampled at clock edges
end 2'b01: begin state <= 2'b10; o <= 0; end endcase	Nonblocking assignments used throughout to ensure coherency. RHS refers to values calculated in previous clock cycle

Writing Testbenches



Part IV

Simulating Verilog

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Scheduled using an event queue

Non-preemptive, no priorities

A process must explicitly request a context switch

Events at a particular time unordered

Scheduler runs each event at the current time, possibly scheduling more as a result

Two Types of Events

Evaluation events compute functions of inputs Update events change outputs Split necessary for delays, nonblocking assignments, etc.

Update event writes new value of a and schedules any evaluation events that are sensitive to a change on a

a <= b + c

Evaluation event reads values of b and c, adds them, and schedules an update event

Concurrent processes (initial, always) run until they stop at one of the following

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

▶ #42

Schedule process to resume 42 time units from now

- wait(cf & of)
 Resume when expression "cf & of" becomes true
- @(a or b or y)
 Resume when a, b, or y changes
- @(posedge clk)
 Resume when clk changes from 0 to 1

Infinite loops are possible and the simulator does not check for them This runs forever: no context switch allowed, so ready can never change

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

```
while (~ready)
  count = count + 1;
```

Instead, use

wait(ready);

Race conditions abound in Verilog

These can execute in either order: final value of a undefined:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ★三▶ 三三 のへで

Semantics of the language closely tied to simulator implementation

Context switching behavior convenient for simulation, not always best way to model

Undefined execution order convenient for implementing event queue

Compiled-Code Discrete-Event Sim.

Most modern simulators use this approach

Verilog program compiled into C

Each concurrent process (e.g., continuous assignment, always block) becomes one or more C functions

Initial and always blocks split into multiple functions, one per segment of code between a delay, a wait, or event control (@)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Central, dynamic event queue invokes these functions and advances simulation time

Part V

Verilog and Logic Synthesis



Logic Synthesis

Verilog is used in two ways

Model for discrete-event simulation

Specification for a logic synthesis system

Logic synthesis converts a subset of the Verilog language into an efficient netlist

One of the major breakthroughs in designing logic chips in the last 20 years

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Most chips are designed using at least some logic synthesis

Logic Synthesis Tools

Mostly commercial tools

- Very difficult, complicated programs to write well
- Limited market
- Commercial products in \$10k \$100k price range

Major vendors

- Synopsys Design Compiler, FPGA Express
- Cadence BuildGates
- Synplicity (FPGAs)
- Exemplar (FPGAs)

Academic tools

SIS (UC Berkeley)

Logic Synthesis

Takes place in two stages:

- 1. Translation of Verilog (or VHDL) source to a netlist Register inference performed here
- 2. Optimization of the resulting netlist to improve speed and area Most critical part of the process Algorithms very complicated and beyond the scope of this class

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Logic Optimization

Netlist optimization the critical enabling technology

Takes a slow or large netlist and transforms it into one that implements the same function more cheaply

Typical operations:

- Constant propagation
- Common subexpression elimination
- Function factoring

Time-consuming operation. Can take hours for large chips

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = のへで

Translating Verilog into Gates

Parts of the language easy to translate Structural descriptions with primitives is already a netlist Continuous assignment expressions turn into little datapaths Behavioral statements the bigger challenge

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

What Can Be Translated

Every structural definition

Behavioral blocks

- Depends on sensitivity list
- Only when they have reasonable interpretation as combinational logic, edge, or level-sensitive latches
- Blocks sensitive to both edges of the clock, changes on unrelated signals, changing sensitivity lists, etc. cannot be synthesized

User-defined primitives

- Primitives defined with truth tables
- Some sequential UDPs can't be translated (not latches or flip-flops)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

What Is Not Translated

Initial blocks

- Used to set up initial state or describe finite testbench stimuli
- Don't have obvious hardware component

Delays

• May be in the Verilog source; are simply ignored

A variety of other obscure language features

In general, things heavily dependent on discrete-event simulation semantics

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Certain "disable" statements
- Pure events

The main trick

A reg is not always a latch or flip-flop

Rule: Combinational if outputs always depend exclusively on sensitivity list

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Sequential if outputs may also depend on previous values

Combinational:



Sequential:

reg q; **always** @(d or clk) **if** (clk) q = d; \leftarrow q only assigned when clk is 1

A common mistake is not completely specifying a case statement

This implies a latch:



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ★三▶ 三三 のへで

The solution is to always have a default case



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ★三▶ 三三 のへで

Inferring Latches with Reset

Latches and Flip-flops often have reset inputs

Can be synchronous or asynchronous

Asynchronous positive reset:

```
always @(posedge clk or posedge reset)
if (reset)
  q <= 0;
else q <= d;</pre>
```

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨト・ ヨー うらつ

Simulation-synthesis Mismatches

Many possible sources of conflict

- Synthesis ignores delays (e.g., #10), but simulation behavior can be affected by them
- Simulator models X explicitly, synthesis does not
- Behaviors resulting from shared-variable-like behavior of regs is not synthesized:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

always @(posedge clk) a = 1;

New value of a may be seen by other @(posedge clk) statements in simulation, never in synthesis

Summary of Verilog 1995

Systems described hierarchically

- Modules with interfaces
- Modules contain instances of primitives, other modules
- Modules contain initial and always blocks

Based on discrete-event simulation semantics

- Concurrent processes with sensitivity lists
- Scheduler runs parts of these processes in response to changes

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Modeling Tools

Switch-level primitives: CMOS transistors as switches that move around charge

Gate-level primitives: Boolean logic gates

User-defined primitives: Gates and sequential elements defined with truth tables

Continuous assignment: Modeling combinational logic with expressions

Initial and always blocks: Procedural modeling of behavior

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <
Language Features

Nets (wires) for modeling interconnection

- Non state-holding
- Values set continuously

Regs for behavioral modeling

- Behave exactly like memory for imperative modeling
- Do not always correspond to memory elements in synthesized netlist

Blocking vs. nonblocking assignment

- Blocking behaves like normal "C-like" assignment
- Nonblocking delays update, modeling synchronous behavior

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Language Uses

Event-driven simulation

- Event queue containing things to do at particular simulated times
- Evaluate and update events
- Compiled-code event-driven simulation for speed

Logic synthesis

Translating Verilog (structural and behavioral) into netlists

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Register inference: whether output is always updated
- Logic optimization for cleaning up the result

Little-used Language Features

Switch-level modeling

- Much slower than gate or behavioral-level models
- Insufficient detail for modeling most electrical problems
- Delicate electrical problems simulated with a SPICE-like differential equation simulator

Delays

- Simulating circuits with delays does not improve confidence enough
- Hard to get timing models accurate enough
- Never sure you have simulated the worst case
- Static timing analysis has taken its place

Compared to VHDL

Verilog and VHDL are comparable languages VHDL has a slightly wider scope

- System-level modeling
- Exposes even more discrete-event machinery

VHDL is better-behaved: Fewer sources of nondeterminism (e.g., no shared variables)

VHDL is harder to simulate quickly

VHDL has fewer built-in facilities for hardware modeling

VHDL is a much more verbose language: Most examples don't fit on slides

In Conclusion

Verilog is a deeply flawed language

- Nondeterministic
- Often weird behavior due to discrete-event semantics
- Vaguely defined synthesis subset
- Many possible sources of simulation/synthesis mismatch

Verilog is widely used because it solves a problem

- Good simulation speed that continues to improve
- Designers use a well-behaved subset of the language
- Makes a reasonable specification language for logic synthesis
- Logic synthesis one of the great design automation success stories

Part VI

Verilog 2001



Verilog 2001

Revised version of the Verilog language

IEEE Standard 1364-2001

Minor changes to the language:

ANSI C style ports standard file I/O (* attributes *) multi dimensional arrays generate \$value\$plusargs configurations signed types localparam 'ifndef 'elsif 'line memory part selects automatic constant functions @* variable part select

** (power operator)

Implicit event lists

Common mistake: forgetting a variable in combinational sensitivity list



Does not simulate like hardware behaves.

Verilog 2001's implicit sensitivity list:

always @* f = a & b | c & d;

Makes process sensitive to all variables on right-hand side of assignments.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Generate

Hardware structures often very regular. Want to create them algorithmically.

Verilog's generate: very clever macro expansion.

```
module gray2bin1 (bin, gray);
  parameter SIZE = 8;
  output [SIZE-1:0] bin;
  input [SIZE-1:0] grav;
  genvar i; // Compile-time only
  generate for (i=0; i<SIZE; i=i+1)
    begin:bit
      assign bin[i] = ^grav[SIZE-1:i];
    end
  endgenerate
endmodule
```

Attributes

Logic synthesis has relied on hints in comments:

```
always @(posedge clk)
begin
    case (instr[6:5]) // synopsys full_case parallel_case
    0 : mask <= 8'h01;
    1 : mask <= 8'h02;
    2 : mask <= 8'h04;
    3 : mask <= 8'h08;
    endcase
end</pre>
```

full_case means one case will always be true, parallel_case means at most one will be true.

Can greatly simplify the generated logic, but simulation/synthesis mismatch if assertion is not true.

Attributes

Such attributes now a first-class part of the language. Simulator understands and checks validity.

```
always @(posedge clk)
begin
    (* full_case, parallel_case=1 *)
    case (instr[6:5])
    0 : mask <= 8'h01;
    1 : mask <= 8'h02;
    2 : mask <= 8'h04;
    3 : mask <= 8'h08;
    endcase
end</pre>
```

ANSI C-style ports

Verilog 1995 ports could require three declarations:

```
module foo(myport1, myport2);
output myport1;
reg [7:0] myport1;
input [3:0] myport2;
...
endmodule
```

Verilog 2001 reduces this to one:

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨト ・ ヨー・ うへつ

Configurations



A way to select among different implementations using the same top-level modules.



・ コ マ ふ 雪 マ ふ 印 マ 山 マ

Part VII

SystemVerilog

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

SystemVerilog

Much bigger change to the language.

Verification Features

assertions biased random variables test program blocks process control mailboxes semaphores clocking domains direct C function calls

C++-like features

classes dynamic arrays inheritance associative arrays strings references

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

More System Verilog Features

C-like features

int shortint longint byte shortreal void alias enum struct union const typedef break continue return do while casting globals ++ -+= -= *= /= »= «= »>= «<= &= |= ^= %=

Modeling Features interfaces dynamic processes nested hierarchy 2-state modeling unrestricted ports packed arrays implicit port connections array assignments enhanced literals enhanced event control time values & units unique/priority case/if logic-specific processes root name space access

Part VIII

C-like Features



New Types

type	values	width	new
reg	{ 0, 1, X, Z }	1+	
logic	{ 0, 1, X, Z }	1+	1
integer	{ 0, 1, X, Z }	32	
bit	{ 0, 1 }	1+	~
byte	{0,1}	8	1
shortint	{0,1}	16	1
int	{0,1}	32	1
longint	{0,1}	64	1

reg & logic now the same: both permit either continuous or procedural assignment, but not both.

Other new types for two-valued functional simulation.

'ifdef and typedef

Can define aliases for existing types. Useful, e.g., for switching between four- and two-valued simulation:

```
'ifdef TWOSTATE
 typedef bit bit_t;
'else
  typedef logic bit_t;
'endif
module dff (
  output bit_t q,
  input bit_t d, clk, rst);
  always @(posedge clk)
    if (rst) q \le 0;
    else a \leq d:
endmodule
```

Structs and Unions

SystemVerilog provides C-like *structs* and *unions* in both packed and unpacked forms.

```
typedef struct {
   logic PARITY;
   logic[3:0] ADDR;
   logic[3:0] DEST;
} pkt_t;
pkt_t mypkt;
mkpkt.ADDR = 12;
```

Packed vs. Unpacked

Structs are *unpacked* by default. The alignment of their fields is implementation-dependent for efficiency, e.g., chosen by the C compiler.

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨト ・ ヨー・ うへつ



31	3	1	0
			PARITY
	ADDR		
		D	ATA

Packed vs. Unpacked

Marking them *packed* removes padding: useful in unions.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ★三▶ 三三 のへで



8	5	4	1	0
DE	ST	AD	DR	PARITY

Packed Structs and Unions

	<pre>typedef union packed {</pre>
<pre>typedef struct packed {</pre>	tcp_t tcp_h;
logic [15:0] <i>source_port</i> ;	udp_t udp_h;
<pre>logic [15:0] dest_port;</pre>	bit [63:0] <i>bits</i> ;
logic [31:0] sequence ;	bit [7:0][7:0] <i>bytes</i> ;
} tcp_t;	} <i>ip_t</i> ;
<pre>typedef struct packed {</pre>	<pre>ip_t ip_h;</pre>
<pre>logic [15:0] source_port;</pre>	logic parity;
<pre>logic [15:0] dest_port;</pre>	
logic [15:0] <i>length</i> ;	<pre>// all are equivalent</pre>
logic [15:0] <i>checksum</i> ;	<pre>ip_h.upd_h.length = 5;</pre>
} udp_t;	<i>ip_h.bits</i> [31:16] = 5;
	<i>ip_h.bytes</i> [3:2] = 5;

tcp_t	source_port	dest_port	sequence	
udp_t	source_port	dest_port	length	checksum

Operator Overloading

SystemVerilog provides operator overloading facilities like those in C++ through the *bind* keyword.

```
typedef struct {
  bit sign;
  bit [3:0] exponent;
  bit [10:0] mantissa;
} float:
bind + function float faddfr(float, real);
bind + function float faddff(float, float);
float A, B, C, D;
assign A = B + C; // means A = faddff(B, C);
assign D = A + 1.0; // means A = faddfr(A, 1.0);
```

Classes

SystemVerilog provides C++-like classes with automatic garbage collection.

```
class Packet;
  bit [3:0] cmd;
  int status:
  header_t header;
  function int get_status();
    return status;
  endfunction
  extern task set_cmd(input bit [3:0] a);
endclass
task Packet::set_cmd(input bit [3:0] a);
  cmd = a;
endtask
initial begin
  Packet myPkt = new; // Create a new packet
end
```

Inheritance

As in C++, classes can inherit from other classes:

```
class ErrPkt extends Packet;
  bit [3:0] err:
  // New function
  function bit [3:0] show_err;
    return err;
  endfunction
  // Overrides Packet::set_cmd
  task set_cmd(input bit [3:0] a);
    cmd = a + 1:
  endtask
endclass
```

Packages

```
package ComplexPkg;
  typedef struct { float i, r; } Complex;
  function Complex add(Complex a, b);
    add.r = a.r + b.r:
    add.i = a.i + b.i;
  endfunction
  function Complex mul(Complex a, b);
    mul.r = (a.r * b.r) + (a.i * b.i);
    mul.i = (a.r * b.i) + (a.i * b.r);
  endfunction
endpackage : ComplexPkg
module foo (input bit clk);
  import ComplexPkg::*;
  Complex a,b;
  always @(posedge clk) c = add(a,b);
endmodule
```

= 900

Part IX

Hardware Modeling Features

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

always_comb, _latch, and _ff

In RTL design, a Verilog *always* block models combinational logic, sequential logic driving flip-flops, or sequential logic driving latches, never more than one.

SystemVerilog's always_comb, always_ff, and always_latch keywords make the designer's intent clear to the compiler so it can issue error messages.

always_comb, _latch, and _ff

```
// Probably intended combinational, but c becomes latch
always @(a or b)
   if (b) c = a;
```

```
// Error: "missing else branch: c is not assigned"
always_comb
if (b) c = a;
```

```
// A correct level-sensitive latch
always_latch
if (clk)
if (en) q <= d;</pre>
```

```
// Error: "q always assigned: it is not a latch"
always_latch
q <= d</pre>
```

always_comb, _latch, and _ff

Compiler verifies coding style.

```
// Correct edge-sensitive FF with asynchronous reset
always_ff @(posedge clk, negedge rst_n)
 if (!rst_n) q <= 0;
 else q \ll d:
// Error: sensitivity not on edges
always_ff @(clk, rst_n)
 if (!rst_n) q <= 0;
 else q \ll d:
// Error: combinational logic loop
always_latch
  if (en) q \ll d;
 else q \ll q; // Error
```

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨト ・ ヨー・ うへつ

Unique/Priority

Verilog 1995 had no provision for checking uniqueness of conditions: synthesis tools placed pragmas in comments.

Verilog 2001 added attributes for such conditions as first-class entities.

SystemVerilog introduces new keywords implying unique and complete conditions.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

	Cases must be	Condition must be
	complete	unique
priority	\checkmark	
unique	\checkmark	\checkmark

Priority Examples

```
// error if none of irq0-irg2 is true
priority case (1'b1)
  irq0: irq = 3'b1 << 0;
  irq1: irq = 3'b1 << 1;
  irq2: irq = 3'b1 << 2;
endcase
// error if none of irq0-irq2 is true
priority if (ira0) ira = 3'b1;
else if (irq1) irq = 3'b2;
else if (irq2) irq = 3'b4;
// Default or else ignores priority
priority if (irq0) irq = 3'b1; // never an error
else
                  ira = 3'b0:
priority case (1'b1) // never an error
  irq = 3'b1 << 0;
  default: irq = 0;
endcase
```

Unique Examples

```
// Error if not exactly one of irq0-irq2 is true
unique case (1'b1)
  irq0: irq = 3'b1 << 0;
  irq1: irq = 3'b1 << 1;
  irq2: irq = 3'b1 << 2;
endcase
// Error if not exactly one of irq0-irq2 is true
unique if (irq0) irq = 3'b1;
else if (irq1) irq = 3'b2;
else if (irq2) irq = 3'b4;
// Error if both irq0 and irq1 are true
unique if (irq0) irq = 3'b1;
else if (irq1) irq = 3'b2;
else
                 irg = 3'b0;
// Error if both irq0 and irq1 are true:
unique case (1'b1)
  irq: irq = 3'b1 << 0;
  irq1: irq = 3'b1 << 1;
  default: irq = 0;
endcase
```

Implicitly named ports

Hierarchy in Verilog usually for separating namespaces. Net and port names typically common across modules. Verbose in Verilog 1995:

<pre>module top; wire [3:0] a; wire [7:0] b; wire [15:0] c;</pre>
<pre>foo foo1(a, b, c); bar bar1(a, b, c); endmodule</pre>

<pre>module foo(a, b, c); input [3:0] a; input [7:0] b; input [15:0] c;</pre>
endmodule
<pre>module bar(a, b, c); output a; output b; output c; reg [3:0] a; reg [7:0] b; reg [15:0] c;</pre>
endmodule

Implicitly named Ports

Implicit ports plus ANSI-style declarations makes this cleaner, especially for modules with many ports.

```
module top;
wire [3:0] a;
wire [7:0] b;
wire [15:0] c;
foo foo1(.*);
bar bar1(.*);
endmodule
```

```
module foo(
  input [3:0] a,
  input [7:0] b,
  input [15:0] c);
endmodule
module bar(
  output reg [3:0] a,
  output reg [7:0] b,
  output reg [15:0] c);
endmodule
```
Implicitly named Ports

Port renaming also supported. Allows specific ports to be overridden or renamed as necessary.

```
module foo(
                                input [3:0] a,
                                input [7:0] b,
module top;
                                input [15:0] c);
  wire [3:0] a;
  wire [7:0] b;
                              endmodule
  wire [15:0] c;
                              module bar(
  foo foo1(.*);
                                output reg [3:0] a,
  bar bar1(.*, .other(c));
                                output reg [7:0] b,
endmodule
                                output reg [15:0] other);
```

endmodule

Interfaces

For communication among modules. Like a collection of shared variables.

<pre>interface simple_bus; logic req, gnt;</pre>	
logic [7:0] addr, data;	
<pre>logic [1:0] mode;</pre>	
<pre>logic start, rdy; endinterface : simple_bus</pre>	
<pre>module top;</pre>	
logic $clk = 0;$	
simple_bus mybus;	
<pre>memory mem(mybus, clk);</pre>	
cpu cpu(.b(mybus),	
. <i>clk</i> (<i>clk</i>));	
endmodule	

```
module memory(
    simple_bus a,
    input bit clk);
  always @(posedge clk)
    a.gnt <= a.req & avail;
  . . .
endmodule
module cpu(simple_bus b,
           input bit clk);
endmodule
```

Interfaces with implicit ports

Even more simple. Use the same names and let the compiler do the rest.

<pre>interface simple_bus; logic req, gnt;</pre>	<pre>module memory(simple_bus bus,</pre>
<pre>logic [7:0] addr, data; logic [1:0] mode;</pre>	<pre>input bit clk);</pre>
logic start, rdy;	always @(posedge clk)
<pre>endinterface : simple_bus</pre>	<pre>bus.gnt <= bus.req & av;</pre>
<pre>module top;</pre>	
logic $clk = 0;$	endmodule
simple_bus bus;	
	<pre>module cpu(simple_bus bus,</pre>
<pre>memory mem(.*);</pre>	<pre>input bit clk);</pre>
cpu cpu(.*);	
endmodule	endmodule

Generic bundles

You can leave the exact type of an interface unspecified to allow different implementations. Must connect explicitly.

<pre>interface simple_bus;</pre>	0
logic req, gnt;	<pre>module memory(</pre>
logic [7:0] addr, data;	interface bus,
<pre>logic [1:0] mode;</pre>	<pre>input bit clk);</pre>
logic start, rdy;	
<pre>endinterface : simple_bus</pre>	always @(posedge clk)
	<pre>bus.gnt <= bus.req & av;</pre>
<pre>module top;</pre>	
logic $clk = 0;$	
simple_bus bus;	endmodule
<pre>memory mem(.*,</pre>	<pre>module cpu(interface bus,</pre>
.bus(bus));	<pre>input bit clk);</pre>
cpu cpu(.*,	
.bus(bus));	endmodule
endmodule	(

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = つへで

Ports on interfaces

Interfaces are groups of shared variables. Ports on interfaces can bring connections in or out.

<pre>interface bus(input bit clk, output bit bus_error);</pre>	<pre>module memory(bus b);</pre>
<pre>logic req, gnt; logic [7:0] addr, data; logic [1:0] mode; logic start, rdy; endinterface : bus</pre>	<pre>always @(posedge b.clk) b.gnt <= b.req & av; endmodule</pre>
<pre>module top;</pre>	<pre>module cpu(bus b);</pre>
<pre>logic clk = 0, bus_error; bus b(clk, bus_error);</pre>	<pre>always @(posedge b.clk) b.bus_error <= cpu_error;</pre>
<pre>memory mem(.*); cpu cpu(.*);</pre>	 endmodule
endmodule	(ロン・(品)・(注)・(注)・注

Modports in interfaces

A way to constrain signal directions in interfaces.

	module top;
interface bus(logic $clk = 0;$
<pre>input bit clk);</pre>	<pre>bus b(clk);</pre>
<pre>logic req, gnt, rdy;</pre>	
logic [7:0] addr, data;	<pre>memory mem(.*);</pre>
	cpu cpu(.*);
<pre>modport slave(</pre>	endmodule
<pre>input req, addr, clk,</pre>	
output gnt, <i>rdy</i> ,	<pre>module memory(bus.slave b);</pre>
<pre>inout data);</pre>	
	always @(posedge bus.clk)
<pre>modport master(</pre>	<pre>b.gnt <= b.req & av;</pre>
output req, addr,	
<pre>input gnt, rdy, clk,</pre>	endmodule
inout <i>data</i>)	
	<pre>module cpu(bus.master b);</pre>
endinterface : bus	
-	endmodule

Tasks and Functions in Interfaces

<pre>interface bus; logic start;</pre>	
<pre>task slaveRead(</pre>	

input logic[7:0] addr);
...

endtask: slaveRead

```
task masterRead(
    input logic[7:0] addr);
    ...
endtask: masterRead
```

```
modport slave(
import task slaveRead(
    input logic[7:0] addr);
);
```

```
endinterface: bus
```

module memory(interface b); **logic**[7:0] addr; always @(posedge b.clk) b.slaveRead(addr); endmodule module omnip(interface b); always @(posedge b.clk) b.masterRead(addr); always @(posedge b.clk) b.slaveRead(addr); endmodule module top; bus b: // can only use slaveRead memory m(b.slave); // can use both omnip o(b); endmodule

Dynamically-sized Arrays

Truly software-like behavior.

```
module dynamic_array;
  bit[3:0] myarray[]; // Creates null reference
  initial begin
    myarray = new[4]; // Allocate four 4-bit words
    // Double the size of the array,
    // preserving its contents
    myarray = new[myarray.size() * 2](myarray);
  end
endmodule
```

Associative Arrays

Very abstract notion. Like maps in C++, hashtables in Java, or associative arrays in Perl, Python, Awk.

```
module associative_array;
typedef struct packed {
    int a;
    logic [7:0] b;
} mykey_t;
int myarray[mykey_t]; // new, empty associative array
initial begin
```

mykey_t key1 = { -3, 8'xFE }; // structure literal
myarray[key1] = 10;

if (myarray.exists(key1)) myarray[key1] = -5; myarray.delete(key1); end endmodule

Queues

Often used to communicate between processes.

```
module queues;
  int q[\$] = \{2, 4, 8\}; // initial contents
  int sq[$:15]; // maximum size is 16
  initial begin
   int e = q[0]; // first item: 2
   e = q[$]; // last item: 8
   q = \{q, 6\}; // append: now 2, 4, 8, 6\}
   q = { e, q }; // insert: now 8, 2, 4, 8, 6
   q = q[1:\$]; // remove: now 2, 4, 8, 6
   q = q[1:\$-1]; // delete first, last: now 4, 8
  end
endmodule
```

Process Management: join

Fork starts processes; join terminates when all blocks terminate.

```
fork
  begin
    $display("Ons have elapsed\n");
    # 20ns; // delay
  end
  begin
    # 20ns;
    $display("20ns have elapsed\n");
    # 5ns;
  end
join
# 5ns;
$display("30ns have elapsed\n");
```

Process Management: join_any

Fork starts processes; join_any terminates when *any* of its blocks terminate.

▲□▶★母▶★ヨ▶★ヨ▶ ヨーの��

fork
<pre>begin \$display("Ons have elapsed\n"); # 20ns; // delay end</pre>
<pre>begin # 20ns; \$display("20ns have elapsed\n"); # 5ns; end</pre>
<pre>join_any # 5ns; \$display("25ns have elapsed\n");</pre>

Process Management: join_none

Fork starts processes; join_none terminates *immediately*, leaving its blocks running.

▶ ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ ● ◎ ● ● ●

fork
<pre>begin \$display("Ons have elapsed\n"); # 20ns; // delay end</pre>
<pre>begin # 20ns; \$display("20ns have elapsed\n"); # 5ns; end</pre>
<pre>join_none # 5ns; \$display("5ns have elapsed\n");</pre>

Process Management: wait fork

wait fork waits for all children to terminate.

```
task wait_fork_demo;
  fork
    task1(); // start task1 and task2 concurrently
    task2();
 join_any // terminates when task1 or task2 does
  fork
    task3(); // start task3 and task4 concurrently
    task4():
 join_none;
  // task3 and task4 and either task1 or task2 running
 wait fork; // wait for all to complete
endtask
```

Process Management: disable fork

disable fork terminates all its children.

```
task wait_for_first( output int adr );
  fork
    wait_device( 1, adr); // user-defined task that waits
    wait_device( 7, adr); // all three started
    wait_device(13, adr); // concurrently
 join_any // terminate when one has arrived
  disable fork; // terminate other two
```

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Process control

task run_n_jobs_and_terminate_after_first(**int** N); process *job*[1:*N*]; // The processes we spawn for (int j = 1; $j \le N$; j + +) fork **automatic int** k = j; // k is the job number begin job[j] = process::self(); // record who I am // the job itself . . . end join_none // spawn next job immediately for (int j = 1; $j \le N$; j + +) wait(job[j] != null); // wait for jobs to start job[1].await(); // wait for first job to finish for (int k = 1; $k \le N$; k++) begin if (job[k].status != process::FINISHED) // done? job[k].kill(); // kill it end endtask

Semaphores

Mutually-exclusive keys in a bucket. get blocks if not enough keys are available.

```
semaphore we_are_there = new; // initialize with no keys
task drive;
  fork
    begin
      # 100ns; // delay 100ns
     we_are_there.put(1); // put one key in semaphore
    end
    begin
      $display("Are we there vet?\n");
      we_are_there.get(1); // wait for a key
      $display("We made it\n");
    end
  join
endtask
```

Semaphores and events

```
event ask, answered;
semaphore answer = new;
int winner; // only valid after answer
task gameshow;
 fork
   begin // the host}
      -> ask: // Start the two contestants
      answer.put(1); // let them compete}
      @answered; $display("%d was first\n", winner);
    end begin // contestant one
      @ask: // wait for the question
      think_about_answer(); answer.get(1); // answer (?)
      winner = 1; -> answered; // signal success
    end begin // contestant two
      @ask:
      think_about_answer(); answer.get(1);
      winner = 2; -> answered;
    end
 join // Does this behave properly?
endtask
```

Mailboxes

Possibly bounded semaphore-like queues.

```
mailbox #(string) mybox =
 new(2); // capacity set to two
                                    Prints
task mailbox_demo;
  fork
   begin
     mybox.put("first letter");
     $display("sent first\n"):
     mvbox.put("second letter");
     $display("sent second\n");
     mvbox.put("third letter");
     $display("sent third\n");
   end
   begin
     $display("%s\n", mybox.get);
     $display("%s\n", mvbox.get);
     $display("%s\n", mybox.get);
   end
  join
                                    endtask
```

sent first sent second first letter second letter sent third third letter1

Part X

Verification Features



Constrained Random Variables

Manually creating test cases tedious and difficult, yet appears necessary for functional verification.

Current best practice: Constrained random tests.

SystemVerilog has features for creating such tests.

Constrained Random Variables

```
class Bus:
  rand bit[15:0] addr;
  rand bit[31:0] data;
  constraint world_align { addr[1:0] = 2'b0; }
endclass
Bus bus = new;
repeat (50) begin
  if (bus.randomize() == 1)
    display("addr = \%16h data = \%hn",
              bus.addr, bus.data);
  else
    $display("overconstrained!\n");
end
```

Adding constraints

```
class Bus;
  rand bit[15:0] addr:
  rand bit[31:0] data;
  constraint world_align { addr[1:0] = 2'b0; }
endclass
Bus bus = new:
repeat (50) begin
  if (bus.randomize() with \{ addr[31] == 0 \} == 1 )
    display("addr = \%16h data = \%hn",
              bus.addr, bus.data);
  else
    $display("overconstrained\n");
end
```

Layering constraints

Constraints inherited, can be added in derived classes.

```
class Bus:
  rand bit[15:0] addr;
  rand bit[31:0] data;
  constraint world_align { addr[1:0] = 2'b0; }
endclass
typedef enum { low, mid, high } AddrType;
class MyBus extends Bus;
  rand AddrType atype; // Additional random variable
  // Additional address constraint (still word-aligned)
  constraint addr_range {
    (atype == low) \rightarrow addr inside { [0:15] };
    (atype == mid ) -> addr inside { [16:127] };
    (atype == high) \rightarrow addr inside \{ [128:255] \};
endclass
```

Using Constraints

Very powerful constraint solving algorithm.

```
task exercise_bus;
  int res;
 // Restrict to low addresses
  res = bus.randomize() with { atype == low; };
  // Restrict to particular address range
  res = bus.randomize()
        with { 10 <= addr && addr <= 20 };
  // Restrict data to powers of two
  res = bus.randomize() with { data & (data - 1) == 0 };
  // Disable word alignment
  bus.word_align.constraint_mode(0);
  res = bus.randomize with { addr[0] || addr[1] };
  // Re-enable word alignment
  bus.word_align.constraint_mode(1);
endtask
```

Other types of constraints

```
// Set membership constraints
rand integer x, y, z;
constraint c1 { x inside {3, 5, [9:15], [y:2*y], z}; }
integer fives[0:3] = { 5, 10, 15, 20 };
rand integer v;
constraint c2 { v inside fives; }
// Distribution constraints
rand integer w;
// make w 100 1/8 of time, 200 2/8, 300 5/8
constraint c3 {
   w dist { 100 := 1, 200 := 2, 300 := 5 }; }
// Implication constraints
bit [3:0] a, b;
// force b to 1 when a is 0
constraint c4 \{ (a == 0) \rightarrow (b == 1); \}
```

Many, many more features

Variables that step through random permutations (randc) If-then-else constraints Algorithmic constraints over array entries (foreach) Constraints among multiple objects Variable ordering constraints (solve..before) Static constraints controlled by one constraint mode() call Functions in constraints Guarded constraints pre- and post-randomize functions Random variable disabling Explicit randomization of arbitrary variables Random sequence generation from a grammar

Once we have generated our tests, how good are they? Current best practice: monitoring and improving *coverage* Coverage: how many cases, statements, values, or combinations

have the test cases exercised?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Covergroup

Defines something whose coverage is to be checked. Creates bins and tracks whether values ever appeared.

```
// color: a three-valued variable to be checked
enum { red, green, blue } color;
covergroup g1 @(posedge clk); // Sample at posedge clk
    c: coverpoint color;
endgroup
g1 g1_inst = new; // Create the coverage object
```

At the end of simulation, reports whether color took all three of its values.

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨト ・ ヨー・ うへつ

Cross Coverage

May want to monitor combinations of variables.

```
enum { red, green, blue } color;
bit [3:0] pixel_adr, pixel_offset;
covergroup g2 @(posedge clk);
 Hue: coverpoint pixel_hue;
 Offset: coverpoint pixel_offset;
 // Consider (color, pixel_adr) pairs, e.g.,
 // (red, 3'b000), (red, 3'b001), ..., (blue, 3'b111)
 AxC: cross color, pixel_adr;
 // Consider (color, pixel_hue, pixel_offset) triplets
 // Creates 3 * 16 * 16 = 768 bins
  all: cross color, Hue, Offset;
endgroup
```

g2 g2_inst = new; // Create a watcher

Covergroup in classes

Individual coverage of each object of a class.

```
class xyz;
 bit [3:0] x:
 int y;
 bit z:
 covergroup cov1 @z; // At every change of z.
   coverpoint x; // sample x
   coverpoint y; // and sample y.
 endgroup
  function new();
   // Create a watcher; variable cov1 implicit
    cov1 = new:
 endfunction
endclass
```

Predicated coverage

May want to selectively disable coverage:

```
covergroup g4 @(posedge clk);
  // check s0 only if reset is true
  coverpoint s0 iff(!reset);
```

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨト ・ ヨー・ うへつ

endgroup

User-defined bins

May only want to track certain values of a variable.

```
bit [9:0] a: // Takes values 0--1023}
covergroup cg @(posedge clk);
  coverpoint a {
    // place values 0--63 and 65 in bin a
    bins a = \{ [0:63], 65 \}:
    // create 65 bins, one for 127, 128, ..., 191
    bins b[] = \{ [127:150], [148:191] \};
    // create three bins: 200, 201, and 202
    bins c[] = \{ 200, 201, 202 \};
    // place values 1000--1023 in bin d
    bins d = \{ [1000:\$] \};
    // place all other values (64, 66, ..., 126, 192, ...)
    // in their own bin
    bins others[] = default:
  }
endgroup
```

Covering Transitions

May want to check transitions, not just a variable's values.

```
bit [3:0] a;
covergroup cg @(posedge clk);
   coverpoint a {
      // Place any of the sequences 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6, 7 \rightarrow 11, 8 \rightarrow 11,
      //9 \rightarrow 11, 10 \rightarrow 11, 7 \rightarrow 12, 8 \rightarrow 12, 9 \rightarrow 12, and
      // 10\rightarrow12 into bin sa.
      bins sa = (4 \implies 5 \implies 6), ([7:9], 10 \implies 11, 12);
      // Bins for 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6, 7 \rightarrow 10, 8 \rightarrow 10, and 9 \rightarrow 10
      bins sb[] = (4 \implies 5 \implies 6), ([7:9] \implies 10);
      // Look for 3 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 3
      bins sc = 3 [* 4]:
      // Look for 5 \rightarrow 5, 5 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 5, or 5 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 5
      bins sd = 5 [* 2:4]:
      // Look for sequences of the form 6 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 6
      // where "..." is any sequence that excludes 6
      bins se = 6 [-> 3]:
endgroup
```

Assertions

We have generated our tests, they do a reasonable job covering the design, but how do we find problems?

Current best practice: Add assertions to the design that check for unwanted conditions.

Currently, the most effective way to reduce debugging time: bugs found more quickly, and easier to remedy.

Long used in software, growing use in hardware.

Main challenge in hardware: asserting temporal behavior. SystemVerilog has constructs specifically for checking sequences of things.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Immediate Assertions

Simplest assertions check an condition only when they are executed.

```
// Make sure req1 or req2 is true
// if we are in the REQ state
always @(posedge clk)
  if (state == REQ)
    assert (req1 || req2);
// Same, but report the error ourselves
always @(posedge clk)
  if (state == REO)
    assert (req1 || req2)
    else
      $error("In REQ; req1 || req2 failed (%0t)",
             $time);
```

Concurrent Assertions

Concurrent assertions check a property that spans time. Data sampled at a clock and observed sequence checked.

For example, say we insist that ack must be asserted between one and three cycles after req is asserted.

```
property req_ack;
@(posedge clk) // Sample req, ack at rising clock edge
    // After req is true, between one and three
    // cycles later, ack must have risen.
    req ##[1:3] $rose(ack);
endproperty
// Assert that this property holds
// i.e., create a checker
as_req_ack: assert property (req_ack);
```

Concurrent Assertions

Another example: make sure the address strobe is not true for two consecutive cycles.

```
property no_two_astr;
  @(posedge clk)
     // Unless reset is true, make sure astr is
     // not true for two cycles in a row.
     disable iff (reset) not (astr [*2]);
endproperty
assert property (no_two_astr);
// Non-overlapping implication |=> waits a cycle
property no_two_astr2;
  @(posedge clk)
 disable iff (reset)
  (astr |=> !astr); // When astr=1, astr=0 next cycle
endproperty
assert property (no_two_astr2);
```

Sequences and Properties

Sequences can be defined in isolation and used elsewhere.

```
// The own_bus signal goes high in 1 to 5 cycles,
// then the breq signal goes low one cycle later.
sequence own_then_release_breq;
 ##[1:5] own_bus ##1 !breq
endsequence
property legal_breq_handshake;
  @(posedge clk) // On every clock,
 disable iff (reset) // unless reset is true,
 // once breq has risen.
 // own_bus should rise; breq should fall.
  $rose(breq) |-> own_then_release_breq;
endproperty
assert property (legal_breq_handshake);
```

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨト ・ ヨー・ うへつ

Sequences (partial syntax)

seq :=
expr
expr [* int-or-range]
expr [= int-or-range]
expr [-> int-or-range]
seq ## int-or-range seq ...
seq or seq
seq and seq
seq intersect seq
seq within seq

Expression over signals Consecutive repetition Non-consecutive repetition Goto repetition Delay between sequences Either true Both true Both true, end simultaneously Second starts/ends within first

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Properties (partial syntax)

prop :=Sequence seq Either holds prop or prop prop and prop Both hold Does not hold not prop Prop holds when sequence ends $seq \mid -> prop$ Prop holds cycle after sequence ends $seq \mid => prop$ if (*expr*) prop [else prop] If-then-else

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

SystemVerilog: Summary

Huge language that reflects changing design methodologies: Switch-level charge-transfer modeling (deprecated) Gate-level structural modeling RTL modeling High-level software-like modeling Assertions, random simulation, and coverage

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Will it succeed?

Maybe.

Substantial industrial support (Cadence, Synopsys). More of an incremental change than SystemC. Reasonable, fairly clear, synthesizable subset. Verilog, with all its flaws, has proven its worth. Large language, but still fairly succinct.

Does it support the right set of methodologies?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <